

Are we over the 16 trillion dollar debt limit yet?

[www.disbarthefordabar.com](http://www.disbarthefordabar.com)

www.constitutionalguardian.com • www.judicialaccountability.net • www.americans4legalfamilyform.com •

cc: Mr. Mike Calhoun

Milton H. Baxley II

Walter H. Bunting

Very truly yours,

Agree with your position that the Florida Constitution, Article II, Section 3, prohibits any Florida lawyer, who is a member of the Florida Bar, from either running for or occupying a non-judicial public office, because members of the Florida Bar are members of the judicial branch of government.

"...No person belonging to one branch shall exercise any powers appertaining to either of the other branches, unless it is expressly provided herein."

<sup>11</sup> Article II, Section 3, of the Constitution of the State of Florida, in pertinent part, states that:

Dear Mr. Bibace:

Re: Article II, Section 3, of the Florida Constitution and lawyers in non-judicial office

Mr. Ronald Bibbace  
3021 N.E. 43rd Street  
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308

Milton H. BAXLEY II  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
[www.miltonbaxley.com](http://www.miltonbaxley.com)

For a free subscription to The Philadelphia Trumpet in the U.S. and Canada, call 1-800-772-8777

**COMMITTEE ON BAR INTEGRATION  
OF THE  
FLORIDA STATE BAR ASSOCIATION**

Julius F. Parker, Chairman  
Brock Building, Tallahassee, Fla.

John Dickeson, Vice-Chairman, 2555-3rd Avenue N.  
St. Petersburg, Fla.

LaRoy Collins,  
Midyette-Moor Building,  
Tallahassee, Fla.

G. L. REEVES,  
Box 2111,  
Tampa, Fla.

MANLEY P. CALDWELL,  
Box 751,  
West Palm Beach, Fla.

J. HENRY BLOWN,  
Barnett Nat'l Bank Bldg.,  
Jacksonville, Fla.

September 10, 1947

TO THE LAWYERS OF FLORIDA:

For many years, the Florida State Bar Association has sponsored a move for the integration of the Bar of the State.

The Association is very much interested in learning the will of all of the lawyers in this State on this subject. For that purpose you will find enclosed a postcard ballot addressed to the Florida State Bar Association, P.O. Box 1226, Tallahassee, Florida, which is a ballot giving you the privilege of expressing your opinion. These cards will be kept segregated, and counted in the presence of Honorable Guyte P. McCord, Clerk of the Supreme Court. It is not necessary that you sign the ballot, although a place is provided for that purpose, and it is the belief of the Bar Committee that signed ballots will have more effect with the Supreme Court, but signing it is not compulsory, and you can vote without signing it if you care to do so. The postage is paid on the ballot, and your cooperation is sincerely solicited in order to have the fullest expression of the opinion of all of the lawyers of Florida.

The Committee plans in the event the vote is favorable, to file a petition with the Supreme Court asking it to integrate the Bar by court rule. The rule which the Supreme Court will be asked to adopt will require each lawyer to belong to the integrated bar, and to pay to the Treasurer of the integrated Bar \$5.00 annually for dues.

The entire affairs of the integrated bar will then be run by a governing board, one member to be selected from each judicial circuit. Any disciplinary action taken by the Board will be subject to direct review by the Supreme Court. This general policy may be modified some to meet the demands of the Supreme Court if it approves integration.

For your further information, we are enclosing a copy of a speech delivered before the last meeting of the Bar Association on the subject by Judge Edwin Carter of the Supreme Court of Nebraska.

While the Bar Association has for a long time favored integration, this letter is sent to you seeking your honest opinion on the subject. When we present the petition to the Supreme Court, if it is presented, we want to be able to state that notices were mailed to all lawyers whose addressees were available, and that from the mailing we received and counted the votes so that we can demonstrate successfully whether or not the lawyers of this state actually want the bar integrated.

Regardless of which way you vote, please do vote, sign your name if you care to, and drop the enclosed ballot in the mail. Your cooperation in securing as big a vote as possible will be deeply appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Julius F. Parker.

Julius F. Parker, Chairman,  
Bar Integration Committee

JFP:gd

# The Miami Herald

TUESDAY, JULY 6, 1999

[www.herald.com](http://www.herald.com)


Are we Over  
20 Trillion Debt  
Cliff?

[constitutionalguardian.com](http://constitutionalguardian.com)

RESTORE  
THE  
CONSTITUTION

DON'T VOTE  
LAWYERS

COMPLAINTS  
AGAINST LAWYERS



A. L. DIAZ/Miami Herald

THE  
FEDERALIST  
PAPERS  
in  
Modern Language  
Indexed for Today's  
Political Issues  
Many E. Webster

If you were looking for the one man in South Florida who hates lawyers the most, you might not do any better than Bob Bertrand. He has even done to New York to protest at the convention of the American Bar Association, taunting lawyers as they entered the meeting hall.

# The Rule of LAWYERS

How it has replaced the rule of law

BY STEPHEN FLURRY

**J**ESUS CHRIST'S MESSAGE GENERALLY led audiences to respond in one of two ways: He astonished the general public; He angered the educated elite. We read of both reactions in Matthew 22:33-34. After seeing how the multitudes were moved by Jesus's words, the Pharisees and Sadducees gathered themselves together to plot against Christ.

"Then one of them, which was a lawyer, asked [Christ] a question, tempting him..." (v. 35). Put to silence by Christ's message, the leading Jews conferred among themselves and then sent forward *their lawyer*. He wasn't interested in truth. He wanted to "tempt" Christ—to trick Him into doing or saying something "unlawful." But Christ would not be tricked. He

knew how to expose the chicanery of so-called experts in Mosaic law.

In verse 46, it says "no man was able to answer him a word, neither durst any man from that day forth ask him any more questions." Yet this was toward the end of His ministry. As we will see, Jesus Christ had several confrontations with lawyers prior to this. And in every case, they persistently dogged Him, trying to expose any flaw they could find, even after Christ put them to shame.

It was quite some time before Christ was finally able to silence the lawyers. His situation is not unlike the one we face today.

#### The Litigation Explosion

Concerning today's presidential election controversy, some have suggested that it has given every American



#### ARGUE THE CAUSE

David Boies, representing Al Gore, speaks before the Florida Supreme Court

a wonderful lesson in civics, showing how our government works. While that may be true, the political dispute has also revealed a near-fatal flaw in American society. What used to be a nation ruled by law is now ruled by lawyers.

Gavin Esler, writing for the *Independent* in London, noted America's propensity for being over-lawyered: "In the Stephen Sondheim song, when something bad happens in the circus, they send in the clowns. In America's political circus, they send in the lawyers.

"The broad expanse of K Street in the heart of Washington D.C. is known as 'Gucci Gulch,' inhabited by the best-paid lawyers in the world. Gucci Gulch's \$475-an-hour legal gun-slingers are now descending upon Florida to help aggrieved citizens seek redress for their inability to punch the

## AMERICAN DIVORCE: DISORGANIZED CRIME

*"The fastest growing poverty class in America consists of single female parents without support systems. A second economically disadvantaged group is made up of re-married middle class men who are told to support two households or go to jail."*

*"The American divorce system is a tragedy causing more hardships to America than heroin or cocaine because it uses children as cannon fodder to enrich our least scrupulous lawyers, and our least ethical psychologists and psychiatrists often under the supervision of our least competent judges."*

*"When a man or a woman is going through a divorce, he or she is in the gutter financially and emotionally. The majority of family law lawyers keep them there as long as possible, and rob them in the process."*

*"The adversary system should be altogether dissolved or radically changed when it comes to family law."*

*"Currently, 99 percent of all divorces could be handled in the lawyer's office: no pre-trial fights no need to take the cases to court. Why squander resources with a non-trial attorney, who doesn't know how to properly prepare for trial anyway, for a trial that never takes place?"*

# SOMETHING SERIOUS TO THINK ABOUT

## Grand Jury, The Supreme Authority Never To Be In Subservient Role

In messages to our readers, we often purposely repeat some vital cases concerning Bill of Rights and Constitutional wrongs to fix in their mind that any government is capable of the most outrageous actions. If our readers are getting the message, they won't be surprised at the more aggressive approach now being taken by the **Foundation For Rights** in this document. America has returned to the overbearing insolence suffered by early Americans prior to the Declaration of Independence. We the People have not enforced our Bill of Rights, therefore they have become of no avail. It has become urgently necessary that We the People assert our Sovereign Authority over public servants.

Indictment and conviction by Grand and Trial Juries are the methods people must independently employ for quick direct action against the public officials who are abusing our rights, liberty and property. However most people don't know how to go about being an active independent Grand or Trial Juror dedicated to seeking justice and keeping government in line. Several of the following facts

By Ralph Boryszewski, Founder, Foundation For Rights

about our American history will better enable you to realize what brought about our present plight and hopefully compel you to speak out against the usurping Judiciary.

American colonists may have been annoyed with "Taxation without Representation," but they were downright angry over the English system of law. As Jurors, they were commanded to follow the law as given by judges. Americans were aware that in England, Jurors were jailed for rendering decisions not agreeable to the court. Such Jurors were jailed until they relented and followed the Judge's commands. In British Colonial America, if a Grand Jury refused to indict a person, the prosecutor (lawyer) would sign an Information that could have that person confined to a prison cell. The Judge would then order the American to be tried by a Jury in far off England, where a guilty verdict would more easily be obtainable.

In June 1788, the American people ratified the US Constitution which makes no mention of the office of attorney general, attorney for the government, nor "officer of the court", attorney or lawyer. The people absolutely believed that under the new Constitution, there

would be no conducting of any legal business except by a non-lawyer judge and Jury who would together administer a simple and understandable judicial process.

State judicial officers (attorneys) would not be acceptable for federal offices in their judicial capacity, for there were no titles, qualifications or duties for attorneys provided in the federal Constitutional system. In fact, Article I, Section 6. Clause 2. forbids a sworn judicial officer from taking a second oath as a lawmaker and enforcer of impeachment provisions. The Constitution defines a process by which the President would nominate, and the Senate confirm only lay persons to serve as judges. In the best interest of Justice, non-lawyer Justices on the US Supreme Court would better honor and preserve the intended purpose and wishes of the people. The delegates who ratified the US Constitution did so with full knowledge that without the presence of an attorney general and US attorneys for the government, the federal courts would not be able to conduct or assume positions as adversarial parties. Lay people instead would sit, as a hearing jury and directly question the accused

case would eventually have had to have been appealed to the US Supreme Court. There the Justices would be confronted with the Canon of Ethics which commands that no court can sit in judgment of its own cause. That in itself is proof enough. The Supreme and inferior Courts do not have the right to make any rule. Therefore no private practice lawyer can claim to be without guilt. Everyone of them still sides with the corrupt system that brings them wealth and power instead of justice to the people.

## Every Grand Jury To Assume Complete Command To Keep Government Under Control

When you next serve on a Grand or Trial Jury, it is your duty as a good citizen to present to the judge of the court a copy of this document. Tell him the information contained herein has been prepared by **Foundation For Rights**. The Foundation complains that the Federal Judiciary since 1870, has usurped complete domination of the Legislative, Executive and Judicial departments so that lawyers in their various roles can commit criminal acts with impunity.

This document specifically details that the Justices of the US Supreme Court, the US Attorney General, the US Attorney for the government and a Chief Federal District Judge cooperated in a lengthy series of criminal acts to render the people's Bill of Rights

of no avail. Members of every Grand and Trial Jury therefore must always disregard the advice and instructions of judges, attorneys general and attorneys for the government so that justice can truly be served. For over 200 years, lawyers and judges have forced upon us their self-serving adversarial system that is beneficial only to themselves.

We the People is an organization of tax protesters questioning the legality of the 16th Amendment. On February 16th of 2001, they published a full-page ad in *USA Today* outlining their cause for complaint in detail. Toward the end of the ad, the following disclaimer appeared:

This message is presented solely for educational and informational purposes. It is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice. We The People Foundation does not advocate disobedience to any laws and does not advise or recommend the non-filing of any return or non-payment of any tax for which any person is legally liable. For legal advice, consult your attorney.

This Disclaimer weakens the resolve of the people seeking justice or reform and should not be a part of a public notice of protest. Organizations that claim their ad is for informational purposes and not to be construed as legal advice or for the advocating of disobedience to any laws, appear weak and

submissive. The men of 1776, who wrote and published the Declaration of Independence never submitted a disclaimer, for their neighbors would have shown their utter disapproval.

The **Foundation For Rights'** primary mission is to educate citizens in matters relating to the maintenance of separation of powers. Our members know an Executive order of a President is not a law, nor can a rule by a Supreme Court have the force of law. They also know that every Congress has been dominated by lawyers who have each and every time failed to order the President and Supreme Court that it is in violation of the basic law when they assume the legislative power.

Every person should go forth to teach people about the vital need of a separation of powers or instead be prepared for the terrible violence that will eventually bring the government of lawyers down.

Ralph Boryszewski, Founder  
**Foundation For Rights**  
PO Box 17699  
Rochester, NY 14617

Every reader should send a copy of this Document to the Editor of his newspaper and organizations dealing with Bill of Rights, justice and liberty. Editors have the responsibility as a free press to bring the matter to the public's attention.