

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE)
INSURANCE TRUST DTD 6/21/95,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

HERITAGE UNION LIFE INSURANCE)
COMPANY,)

Defendant,)

HERITAGE UNION LIFE INSURANCE)
COMPANY)

Counter-Plaintiff)

v.)

SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE)
INSURANCE TRUST DTD 6/21/95)

Counter-Defendant)

and,)

FIRST ARLINGTON NATIONAL BANK)
as Trustee of S.B. Lexington, Inc. Employee)
Death Benefit Trust, UNITED BANK OF)
ILLINOIS, BANK OF AMERICA,)
Successor in interest to LaSalle National)
Trust, N.A., SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST,)
N.A., TED BERNSTEIN, individually and)
as purported Trustee of the Simon Bernstein)
Irrevocable Insurance Trust Dtd 6/21/95,)

**Case No. 13 cv 3643
Honorable John Robert Blakey
Magistrate Mary M. Rowland**

**Simon Bernstein Irrevocable
Insurance Trust Dated 6/21/95,
Ted Bernstein, as Trustee and
Individually,
Pamela B. Simon, Jill Iantoni, and Lisa
Friedstein (“Movants or Plaintiffs”)**

**MOVANTS’ STATEMENT OF
UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN
SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

and ELIOT BERNSTEIN)
)
Third-Party Defendants.)
_____)
)
ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN,)
)
Cross-Plaintiff)
)
v.)
)
TED BERNSTEIN, individually and)
as alleged Trustee of the Simon Bernstein)
Irrevocable Insurance Trust Dtd, 6/21/95)
)
Cross-Defendant)
and,)
)
PAMELA B. SIMON, DAVID B.SIMON,)
both Professionally and Personally)
ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and)
Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM,)
TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A.,)
DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally)
and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA,)
both Professionally and Personally,)
LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI)
S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE)
DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P.)
ENTERPRISES, INC. S.B. LEXINGTON,)
INC., NATIONAL SERVICE)
ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA),)
NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION)
(OF ILLINOIS) AND JOHN AND JANE)
DOES)
)
Third-Party Defendants.)
_____)

Movants, pursuant to Local Rule 56.1, submit the following statement of uncontested material facts, including an appendix of exhibits hereto, in support of their motion for summary judgment.

These are all contested.

I. THE PARTIES

The following is a review of the Parties (and entities named as potential parties) listed on the Civil Docket for this matter:

There is no legally existent trust. There is no executed copies and no law firm on draft of alleged trust submitted, only David Simon (Dead Man Rule) statements.

1. Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust Dated 6/21/95 (the “Bernstein Trust”), is an irrevocable life insurance trust formed in Illinois as further described below. The Bernstein Trust is the original Plaintiff that first filed this action in the Circuit Court of Cook County. The Insurer then filed a notice of removal to the Northern District of Illinois. The Bernstein Trust has also been named as a Counterdefendant to Eliot’s Claims. The Bernstein Trust is represented by counsel, Adam M. Simon. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶21)**

How is he retained?
Under what trust
terms, where is
retainer.

2. Bank of America, N.A. (“Bank of America”), was named a party to Heritage’s counterclaim for Interpleader. Bank of America was terminated as a co-Plaintiff on January 13, 2014, and the Insurer voluntarily dismissed Bank of America as a Third-Party Defendant on February 14, 2014. **(Dkt. #97; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶22)**

3. Eliot Bernstein (“Eliot”) was named a Party by virtue of Heritage’s counterclaim for Interpleader, and Eliot filed third-party claims against several Parties described herein making Eliot a Third-Party Plaintiff as well (“Eliot’s Claims”). Eliot is the third adult child of Simon Bernstein. Eliot is representing himself, and/or his children, pro se in this matter. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶23)**

Eliot is Pro Se and no one is representing the minor children alleged beneficiaries of the Estate and Trusts, only Estate is represented.

4. United Bank of Illinois, now known as PNC Bank, was named as a Third-Party Defendant in Heritage’s counterclaim for Interpleader. PNC Bank was served on August 5, 2013, and has never filed an appearance or answer. **(Dkt. #25; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶24)**

Why have they not appeared or answered?

5. “Simon Bernstein Trust, N.A.” was named a Party to Heritage’s counterclaim for interpleader. “Simon Bernstein Trust, N.A.”, however, is merely a misnomer by the Insurer as a

We believe there is a Simon Bernstein Trust NA that is being suppressed and denied as part of the frauds involving the Estates and Trusts. Who is Don Sanders, why would the insurer write letters confirming these names and not others.

result of a data entry error in the database of the Insurer. There is no evidence that any entity exists or was formed under the name “Simon Bernstein Trust. N.A.” No one submitted a claim to the Policy Proceeds with the Insurer on behalf of an entity named “Simon Bernstein Trust, N.A.”

(Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶69 and ¶78)

In production docs, Simon was sent a letter to confirm beneficiaries as LaSalle and Simon Bernstein Trust, NA, no objection was made to this by Simon.

Adam is counsel to Plaintiffs and Ted? Spallina filed claim for benefit as Trustee of alleged 95 Trust

6. Ted Bernstein, as Trustee, of the Bernstein Trust retained Plaintiff’s counsel and initiated the filing of this Action. Ted Bernstein, is also a co-Plaintiff, individually, and has been named as a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot’s Claims. Ted Bernstein is the eldest of the five adult children of Simon Bernstein. Ted Bernstein is represented by counsel, Adam M. Simon. (Ex. 30,

Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶25)

Ted was also disinherited from the Estates and Trusts with his sister Pam and both gain substantial benefits from this illegal transaction.

7. First Arlington National Bank was named as a Third-Party Defendant by virtue of Heritage’s counterclaim for Interpleader. First Arlington National Bank was never served by Heritage, and instead Heritage served JP Morgan Chase Bank as First Arlington Bank’s alleged successor and JPMorgan Chase Bank was substituted as a party in place of First Arlington National Bank on 10/16/2013. (Dkt. #44; see also JP Morgan Chase Bank at Par. 12 below; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶26)

Not sure of how and why this happened.

8. Lisa Sue Friedstein is a co-Plaintiff and has been named as a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot’s Claims. Lisa Sue Friedstein is the fifth adult child of Simon Bernstein. Lisa Sue Friedstein is represented by counsel, Adam M. Simon. (Ex. 34, Aff. of Lisa Friedstein, ¶2, ¶3, ¶6 and ¶23)

9. Jill Marla Iantoni is a co-Plaintiff and has been named as a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot’s Claims. Jill Marla Iantoni is the fourth adult child of Simon Bernstein. Jill Marla Iantoni is represented by counsel, Adam M. Simon. (Ex. 33, Aff. of Jill Iantoni, ¶2, ¶3, ¶6 and ¶23)

10. Pamela Beth Simon is a co-Plaintiff and has been named as a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. Pamela Beth Simon is the second adult child of Simon Bernstein. Pamela Beth Simon is represented by counsel, Adam M. Simon. **(Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶2, ¶3, ¶6 and ¶38.)**

The Court needs to reinstate Heritage to determine the beneficiary and produce a bona fide policy.

11. Heritage is the successor Insurer to Capitol Banker Life Insurance Company that originally issued the Policy in 1982. Heritage was terminated as a party on February 18, 2014 when the court granted Heritage's motion to dismiss itself from the Interpleader litigation after having deposited the Policy Proceeds with the Registry of the Court pursuant to an Agreed Order. The amount of the Policy Proceeds (plus interest) on deposit with the Registry exceeds \$1.7 million. **(Dkt. #101 and Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶30 and Ex. 2.)**

12. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., ("J.P. Morgan") was named as a Third-Party Defendant by virtue of Heritage's counterclaim for Interpleader. In its claim for Interpleader, Heritage named J.P. Morgan, as a successor to First Arlington National Bank (described above). J.P. Morgan filed an appearance and answer to Heritage's counterclaim for Interpleader in which it disclaimed any interest in the Policy Proceeds. J.P. Morgan then filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings to have itself dismissed from the litigation, and the court granted the motion. As a result, J.P. Morgan was terminated as a party on March 12, 2014. **(Dkt. #105; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶31)**

13. William Stansbury filed a motion to intervene in this action, but his motion to intervene was denied, and he was terminated as a non-party intervenor on January 14, 2014. **(Dkt. #74; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶32)**

14. Adam M. Simon is counsel for the Bernstein Trust and four of the five adult children of Simon Bernstein. Adam M. Simon is not counsel for the fifth adult child, Eliot Bernstein whom has chosen to represent himself *Pro Se* in this matter. Adam M. Simon was named a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. Adam M. Simon is the brother-in-law of Pam Simon, and the brother of David B. Simon. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶33);**

15. National Service Association, Inc. (of Illinois) was a corporation owned by the decedent, Simon Bernstein. According to the public records of the Secretary of State of Illinois, National Service Association, Inc. (of Illinois) was dissolved in October of 2006. There is no record of Eliot having obtained service of process upon National Service Association, Inc. because it is dissolved and has been for over 7 years. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶34; Ex. 21)**

16. Donald R. Tescher, Esq. was named a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. Donald R. Tescher is a partner of in the firm of Tescher & Spallina. Donald R. Tescher was terminated as a party to this matter when the court granted his motion to dismiss as to Eliot's claims on March 17, 2014. **(Dkt. #106; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶35)**

17. Tescher and Spallina, P.A. is a law firm whose principal offices are in Palm Beach County, FL. Tescher and Spallina, P.A. was named a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Donald R. Tescher was terminated as a party to this matter when the court granted his motion to dismiss as to the Eliot's Claims. **(Dkt. #106; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶36)**

18. The Simon Law Firm was named a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. The Simon Law Firm is being represented by counsel, Adam M . Simon.

19. David B. Simon is the husband of Pam Simon, and the brother of counsel, Adam M. Simon and was named a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. David B. Simon is being represented by counsel, Adam M. Simon. **(Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶20 and ¶29)**

20. S.B. Lexington, Inc. was a corporation formed by Simon Bernstein. According to the records of the Secretary of State of Illinois, S.B. Lexington, Inc. was dissolved on April 3, 1998. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein ¶39; Ex. 35; Dep. of David Simon, p. 51:13-18 and Ex. 9)**

21. S.B. Lexington, Inc. Employee Death Benefit Trust (the "VEBA Trust") was named a Third-Party Defendant by virtue of Eliot's Claims, and was a Trust formed by Simon Bernstein in his role as principal of S.B. Lexington, Inc. The VEBA Trust was formed pursuant to I.R.S. Code Sec. 501(c)(9) as a qualified Employee Benefit Plan designed to provide a death benefit to certain key employees of S.B. Lexington, Inc. The VEBA was dissolved in 1998 concurrently with the dissolution of S.B. Lexington, Inc. **(Ex. 35, Dep. of David Simon, p. 51:13-18 and Ex. 9; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶40)**

22. Robert Spallina, Esq. was named a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. Robert Spallina is a partner of in the firm of Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Robert Spallina was terminated as a party to this matter when the court granted his motion to dismiss as to Eliot's Claims on March 17, 2014. **(Dkt. #106; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶41)**

23. S.T.P. Enterprises, Inc. was named a Third-Party Defendant to Eliot's Claims. S.T.P. Enterprises, Inc. has filed an appearance and responsive pleading and is represented by counsel, Adam M. Simon. **(Dkt. #47; Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶25)**

When was it dissolved, pre or post Simon and by whom? What was in it?

24. According to the records of the Secretary of State of Florida, National Service Association, Inc. (Florida) was a Florida corporation formed by Simon L. Bernstein. National Service Association, Inc. (Florida) was named a Third-Party Defendant in Eliot's Claims. According to the records of the Secretary of State of Florida, National Service Association, Inc. (Florida) dissolved in 2012. (**Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶42; Ex. 22**)

25. Benjamin Brown as Curator of The Estate of Simon Bernstein filed a motion to intervene in this litigation. The court granted the motion to intervene on July 28, 2014, and as a result the Estate became a third-party claimant in the litigation. (**Dkt. #121**). Subsequently, Brian O'Connell as successor Curator and *Administrator Ad Litem* of the Estate of Simon Bernstein filed a motion to substitute for Benjamin Brown, and the court granted the motion November 3, 2014. For purposes of this motion, Movants refer to this party as the "Estate of Simon Bernstein" or the "Estate". (**Dkt. #126; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein ¶43-¶44**)

II. THE POLICY AND POLICY PROCEEDS

Here they admit only a specimen was produced. What was the policy proceeds if no policy, was Shirley insured under a rider, was it Option B, etc.

26. In 1982, Simon Bernstein, as Insured, applied for the purchase of a life insurance policy from Capitol Bankers Life Insurance Company, issued as Policy No. 1009208 (the "Policy"). A specimen policy and a copy of the Schedule Page of the Policy are included in Movant's Appendix to the Statement of Facts. (**Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders at ¶38, ¶39, ¶48, ¶52; Ex. 5**). The amount of the Policy Proceeds (plus interest) on deposit with the Registry of the Court exceeds \$1.7 million. (**Dkt. #101 and Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶30 and Ex. 2.**)

27. The Capitol Bankers Life Insurance Application, dated March 2, 1982 designates Simon Bernstein, as the Insured and lists S.B. Lexington as his employer. On page one of the Application, the Owner of the Policy is designated as follows:

The policy lapsed shortly before Simon died allegedly and so where is the reinstated policy, etc. The beneficiaries and all that would have been in the new reinstated policy.

First Arlington must have been
original then LaSalle

“First Arlington National Bank, Trustee of S.B. Lexington Employee Death Benefit Trust”. (Ex. 29, Aff. Don Sanders, ¶48; Ex. 3)

28. Also, on page one of the Application the beneficiary was designated as follows: “First Arlington National Bank, Trustee of S.B. Lexington Employee Death Benefit Trust”. (See Ex. 3--Part 1 of application); and (ii) Premium notices were to be sent to S.B. Lexington Inc. Employee Death Benefit Plan and Trust c/o National Service Association, Inc., 9933 Lawler Ste. 210, Skokie, IL 60077; and (iii) Simon Bernstein’s occupation was listed as an Executive with S.B. Lexington, Inc.; (iv) Simon Bernstein was the insured and on the application his residence address was in Glencoe, Illinois and he was a citizen of the state of Illinois; and (v) Simon Bernstein was the listed as the selling agent on the application; (vi) the application was signed in Illinois; and (vii) the Policy would have been delivered by the Insurer via its agent to the initial Policy Owner. (Ex. 29, Aff. Don Sanders, ¶48, Ex. 31; Aff. Pam Simon, ¶¶21-¶23; Ex. 3)

III. THE S.B. LEXINGTON EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST (THE “VEBA”)

29. The S.B. Lexington Employee Death Benefit Trust was a Voluntary Employee Benefit Trust (“VEBA”) established by S.B. Lexington, Inc. to provide death benefits to the beneficiaries of its employees. The Policy was purchased by the VEBA, with the VEBA listed as both owner and beneficiary of the Policy on the application. The Policy would have been delivered by the agent (Simon Bernstein) to the Owner at the offices of its Bank trustee in Illinois. (Ex. 3; Ex. 31, Aff. Pam Simon, ¶21-¶23); Ex. 30, Aff. Ted Bernstein, ¶56 and ¶57; Ex. 29, Aff. Don Sanders ¶48)

30. Part 1 of the application for the Policy indicates that First Arlington National Bank, was acting as Trustee of the VEBA. As part of the application and underwriting process, a company named Equifax conducted an interview with Simon Bernstein about his application for the Policy. The Equifax report states that Simon Bernstein told the investigator the Policy would be owned by the VEBA, that (i) the insurance [benefits] would be paid to the VEBA, (ii) the VEBA would determine to whom the benefits are paid, and (iii) the benefits are normally paid to family members. **(Ex. 29, Aff. Don Sanders ¶¶48, ¶74-¶75; Ex. 3 and Ex. 20)**

31. On June 5, 1992, Sandy Kapsa (an employee of S.B. Lexington and an affiliated company, National Service Association, Inc.) submitted a letter to Capitol Bankers Life Insurance Company informing them that LaSalle National Trust was being appointed successor trustee of the VEBA. On June 17, 1992, the Insurer acknowledged the change of trustee listing the owner of the Policy as LaSalle National Trust, N.A., as Successor Trustee. **(Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶31, and Ex. 7)**

32. On August 26, 1995, Simon L. Bernstein, as a Member of the VEBA, named the Bernstein Trust as the “person(s) to receive at my death the Death Benefit stipulated in the S.B. Lexington, Inc. Employee Death Benefit and Trust and Adoption Form adopted by my Employer.” **(Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶35; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶65-¶67; Ex. 4)**

33. On or about November 27, 1995, Capitol Bankers received a “Request Letter” signed by LaSalle National Trust, N.A. in their capacity as Trustee of the VEBA which owned the Policy, and the following policy changes were made a part of the Policy by way of endorsement issued by the Company: LaSalle National Trust, N.A. as Trustee (the “VEBA”) was designated as the Primary Beneficiary of the Policy; and The Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust dated June 21, 1995 (the “Bernstein Trust”) was designated the contingent

So Simon never named the 1995 Trust as a contingent bene on the policy

Where is this letter?

This is untrue and Sanders statements are false. According to the Insurer, again primary = LaSalle and Contingent = Simon Bernstein Trust, NA.

beneficiary. According to the Insurer's records, the VEBA and the Bernstein Trust were the primary and contingent beneficiaries of record on the date of death of the Insured. **(Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶56, ¶64 and Ex. 8)**

Look at Sanders statement and records to see if true, sounds bs

34. On November 27, 1995, Capitol Bankers sent correspondence acknowledging the change in beneficiary referenced above in Par. 33, and that correspondence was sent to "LaSalle National Trust, N.A., as Successor Trustee". **(Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶60 and Ex. 8)**

35. The records above establish that First Arlington National Bank, N.A., and LaSalle National Trust, N.A. were original and successor trustees of the VEBA, respectively. This is confirmed by Pamela B. Simon who worked on the VEBA insurance program for both S.B. Lexington and NSA. **(Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶22 and ¶31)**

Need to get these records, they are hidden, if Pam has them why were they not part of discovery?

36. On April 3, 1998, S.B. Lexington, Inc. was voluntarily dissolved by its shareholder(s), and the VEBA was likewise terminated at this time. **(Ex. 9)**. As a part of the dissolution, ownership of the Policy was changed from the VEBA to Simon Bernstein, individually. **(Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶36; Ex. 9 and Ex. 10)**

Ah, but no mention of what happened with LaSalle now Chicago Title?????

37. Neither First Arlington National Bank nor LaSalle National Trust, N.A. have made any claim to the Policy proceeds. First Arlington National Bank's successor-in-interest, J.P. Morgan Bank filed a responsive pleading and then a motion for judgment on the pleadings disclaiming any interest in the Policy Proceeds and requesting to be dismissed from the litigation. J.P. Morgan's motion was granted and it was dismissed as a party on March 12, 2014. **(Dkts. #60 and 105)**

38. None of the Bank Parties whose names appear on the docket have tendered a claim to the Insurer for the Policy proceeds. **(Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sander, ¶77(b))**

LaSalle the primary has never been contacted as part of this action?????????

Does LaSalle or its successor even know Si died? Perhaps they have copies of trusts and info on bene's and who Simon Bernstein Trust, NA is.

39. The docket also reflects that none of the Bank Parties whose names appear on the docket in this matter have filed a claim in this litigation for the Policy Proceeds.

IV. MOVANTS' CLAIMS TO THE POLICY PROCEEDS

40. On or about June 21, 1995, Simon Bernstein as Grantor formed the Simon Irrevocable Insurance Trust dtd 6/21/95. Simon Bernstein, appointed his wife, Shirley Bernstein, as Trustee of the Trust. (**Ex. 32, Aff. of David B. Simon, ¶30; Ex. 19**)

41. On June 21, 1995, the date of the Trust Agreement, David Simon assisted Shirley Bernstein to obtain a tax identification number for the Bernstein Trust. The tax identification number for the Bernstein Trust is X5-XXXX916. In order to obtain the tax identification number David Simon completed an IRS SS-4 form. Shirley Bernstein is identified as trustee of the Bernstein Trust and Shirley's signature, and the name of the Bernstein Trust also appear on this SS-4 form. (**Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon at ¶30; Ex. 19**)

42. On August 26, 1995, Simon L. Bernstein, as a Member of the VEBA, named the Bernstein Trust as the "person(s) to receive at my death the Death Benefit stipulated in the S.B. Lexington, Inc. Employee Death Benefit and Trust and Adoption Form adopted by my Employer." Simon Bernstein's signature and the name of the Bernstein Trust appear on this document. (**Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶35; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶65-¶67; Ex. 4**)

43. As of August 26, 1995, the VEBA was the owner and primary beneficiary of the Policy, and on August 26, 1995, Simon Bernstein's execution of the VEBA Beneficiary Designation form evidenced his intent that the Policy proceeds flow through the VEBA to the Bernstein Trust. (**Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶32 and ¶35; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein; ¶65-¶67; Ex. 4**)

44. The next Policy change in November of 1995, as described in Par. 32 above, again confirmed Simon Bernstein's intent with regard to the death benefit proceeds. The primary beneficiary he named was the VEBA and Simon Bernstein's beneficiary of the VEBA was the Bernstein Trust. In addition, the Bernstein Trust was designated as contingent beneficiary of the Policy. **(Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶56, ¶57 and ¶62; Ex. 8).** Movants have included a diagram, explained in the Aff. of Ted Bernstein illustrating Simon Bernstein's intent with regard to the ultimate beneficiaries of the Policy Proceeds. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein ¶106; Ex. 17).**

NOT

Who cares what his intent was then and then the 2000 Trust

45. The Policy Records indicate that on April 23, 2010, Heritage sent Simon Bernstein a letter in response to Simon Bernstein having contacted Heritage. **(Ex. P. 36).** The letter provides confirmation to Simon Bernstein that the primary beneficiary is the VEBA, listed as LaSalle National Trust as Trustee, and the letter states that the contingent beneficiary is "Simon Bernstein Trust, N.A."

This confounds there whole claim.

46. According to the Policy records as confirmed by the testimony of Don Sanders, the misnomer "Simon Bernstein Trust, N.A." was an error or abbreviation of the name of the actual Contingent Beneficiary, "Simon Bernstein Insurance Trust dated 6/21/95". Don Sanders also confirmed that there is no change of beneficiary in the Policy records that was submitted by an Owner designating Simon Bernstein Trust, N.A. as a primary or contingent beneficiary of the Policy. **(Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶71-¶72, and Ex. P. 36)**

How did name get truncated? Who is Don Sanders? There is no policy at this time that Sanders reviewed statement is bs

47. In 2011, the Policy had lapsed for non-payment of premium, and Simon Bernstein executed the paperwork necessary and paid the required premium to the Insurer to reinstate the Policy without making any change to the beneficiary of the Policy. **(Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶56, ¶57 and ¶62; Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶91-¶93; Ex. 13 and Ex. 14)**

So where is the reinstated policy? Simon confirmed the beneficiaries?

48. That no party to this litigation, including movants and the Insurer, have been able to locate an executed original or copy of the Bernstein Trust Agreement. However, two unexecuted drafts of the Bernstein Trust have been located and produced by Movants in this litigation. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶¶97-¶98; Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶28 and ¶29; Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶37; Ex. 15 and Ex. 16)**

49. In 1995, David B. Simon, Ted S. Bernstein, Pam Simon, and Simon L. Bernstein all shared common office space at 600 West Jackson Blvd., Ste. 800, Chicago, IL 60606, and all were engaged in the life insurance business. Simon Bernstein was a licensed life insurance agent for at least 30 years and owned and operated several insurance brokerages. **(Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶88; Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶19, ¶20, and ¶24; Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶33)**

50. In 1995, David and Pamela Simon created irrevocable insurance trusts with the assistance of attorneys from the Chicago firm of Hopkins and Sutter. **(Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶34, Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶23; Ex. 35, Dep. Of David Simon, p.41:7-41:10)**

51. David B. Simon and Simon Bernstein discussed Simon Bernstein's desire to form a similar irrevocable insurance trust to protect his family. **(Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶24)**

52. One unexecuted draft of what would become The Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Trust dated 6/21/95 include David Simon's handwritten notations which he made to show Simon Bernstein where his name and others would go in the trust. According to David Simon, Simon Bernstein went to the firm of Hopkins and Sutter and executed the Bernstein Trust Agreement. **(Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶28; Ex. 35, Dep. Of David Simon, p.40:17-41:1, and Ex. 16)**

These are two made up drafts with no law firms and have no identification other than Pam writing on them. Dead man rule

Dead man and David has interest in outcome with Pam

Hopkin's did draft, where is there letterhead?

Drafts have no value or weight and no law firm did them.

53. According to the terms of this draft of the Bernstein Trust Agreement, the proceeds in the trust were to be split into as many separate Trusts as there were “children of mine who survive me and children of mine who predecease me leaving descendants who survive me.” (Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶28; Ex. 16 at §7)

So on the date of the trust it was only in draft form and Hopkins had not produced final documents at this time????

54. On David Simon’s law firm database, David and Adam Simon located a computer file named “SITRUST” and the file date on the metadata for the file is June 21, 1995, the date of the Bernstein Trust. This draft contains virtually identical language to Ex. 16, and also directs that all proceeds be split by the surviving children of Simon Bernstein. (Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶29; Ex. 15 at §7)

Ted on day Simon died had holographic will in Si’s handwriting leaving Maritza Puccio part of death benefit.

55. On September 13, 2012, the date of Simon Bernstein’s death, he had five adult children whom survived him, Ted S. Bernstein, Pamela B. Simon, Eliot I. Bernstein, Jill Iantoni, and Lisa Friedstein. (Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶102)

56. Simon Bernstein’s five children had a total of ten children of their own, so Simon Bernstein had ten grandchildren that survived him, whose names and year of birth are set forth in Ted Bernstein’s Affidavit. (Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶103)

No executed document states this. D. Simon affidavit has no bearing Dead man rule.

57. In Ex. 16, Simon Bernstein names his wife Shirley Bernstein, as Trustee, and he was going to name either David Simon, or Ted Bernstein or Pam Simon as successor trustee. (Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶25; Ex. 16)

On date of computer draft Simon had not made this change so how could before it be changed?

58. At a meeting in 1995 prior to Simon Bernstein executing the trust, David Simon recalls discussing the fact that for various reasons involving family dynamics, Ted Bernstein should be the first successor trustee to Shirley Bernstein rather than David Simon. (Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶25)

59. On or about June 21, 1995, David Simon assisted his mother-in-law, Shirley Bernstein, as Trustee of the Bernstein Trust, with obtaining a tax identification number from the Internal Revenue Service. Prior to obtaining the Tax Identification number, David Simon saw the executed Bernstein Trust Agreement with Simon Bernstein's signature on it. By this time, David Simon also confirmed that Shirley was the initial Trustee and Ted Bernstein was the successor trustee. I then completed an SS-4 form indicating the name of the trust, and the tax identification number issued by the Internal Revenue Service. The SS-4 document contains the signature of Shirley Bernstein, as trustee of the Bernstein Trust. **(Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶30, Ex. 35, Dep. of David Simon, p.42:6-p.43:9, p. 88:17-89:22; Ex. 19)**

60. The executed Bernstein Trust Agreement like the drafts referenced above designated the five surviving children of Simon Bernstein as the beneficiaries to the Trust in equal shares. **(Ex. 32, Aff. of David Simon, ¶25, ¶26, ¶28, ¶29 and ¶30; Ex. 15 at §7; Ex. 16 at §7)**

61. Four of five of the adult children (the "Consenting Children") have executed Affidavits indicating their stipulation to the following:

- a. That Simon Bernstein formed the Bernstein Trust on June 21, 1995;
- b. That the five surviving children of Simon Bernstein were named as beneficiaries;
- c. That Ted S. Bernstein is authorized to act as Trustee of the Bernstein Trust, and with the assistance of counsel, Adam Simon, Ted Bernstein is authorized to cause the release and distribution of the Policy proceeds from the Registry of the Court for deposit to The Simon Law Firm, and to distribute the Policy proceeds (less legal fees and costs associated with this litigation) to the five adult children of Simon Bernstein in equal shares, and to obtain vouchers of receipt therefore;

Lapsed or was
dearbitrated?

62. Prior to his death, Simon Bernstein was also the insured under a separate Policy of insurance issued by Lincoln Benefit Life Insurance Company, as Policy No. U0204204 (the “Lincoln Policy”). (Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶108; Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶26-¶27)

63. The Lincoln Policy lapsed in 2006 six years prior to Simon Bernstein’s death. (Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶108; Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶27)

Both policies
are in 2000
Proskauer trust.

64. While the Lincoln Policy was in force and less than two months after the formation of the Bernstein Trust, Simon Bernstein, as Lincoln Policy owner transferred his ownership interest in the Lincoln Policy to the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust on August 8, 1995. This form contains the name of the Bernstein Trust, the same tax identification number that appears of the IRS Form SS-4 form signed by the trustee, the name and address of the trustee, Shirley Bernstein, and the signature of Simon Bernstein. (Ex. 31, Aff. of Pam Simon, ¶27; Ex. 18)

V. ELIOT’S CLAIMS

Not sure if they
ever
responded.

65. Eliot Bernstein filed counterclaims, third-party claims and cross-claims in this litigation the (“Eliot’s Claims”). (Ex. 26)

66. The pleading setting forth Eliot’s Claims—not including exhibits—is seventy-two pages long and consists of one hundred and sixty-three separate paragraphs. (Ex. 26)

67. No Owner of the Policy ever submitted any change of beneficiary forms which were received by the Insurer that designated Eliot, or any of Eliot’s children as a beneficiary of the Policy. (Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶65-¶68)

Eliot never made a claim to carrier, this is all bs to try and say there are competing claims that made lawsuit when it is really a breach of contract for Heritage failing to pay Spallina fraudulent claim.

VI. INTEVENOR CLAIMS BY ESTATE OF SIMON BERNSTEIN

68. In its intervenor complaint, the Estate of Simon Bernstein, asserts that it has an interest in the policy because “Plaintiff cannot prove the existence of a Trust document; cannot prove that a trust was ever created; thus, cannot prove the existence of the Trust nor its status as purported beneficiary of the Policy. In the absence of a valid Trust and designated beneficiary, the Policy Proceeds are payable to the Petitioner [Estate].....”. (**Ex. 26 at ¶12**)

69. The Estate of Simon Bernstein produced no documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 indicating that the Estate of Simon Bernstein was ever designated as a beneficiary of the Policy.

70. The Policy Records contain no documents indicating that the Estate of Simon Bernstein was ever designated a beneficiary or contingent beneficiary of the Policy. (**Ex. 29, Aff. of Don Sanders, ¶70**)

71. The Will of Simon L. Bernstein which was duly executed on July 25, 2012 and has been admitted to Probate in Palm Beach County, Florida. The Will of Simon L. Bernstein was filed in this action as an Exhibit to William Stansbury’s motion to intervene (*See Dkt. #56-2*). A true and correct copy of the Will of Simon L. Bernstein is included in Movant’s Appendix to their Statement of Undisputed facts as (**Ex. 24.**) A true and correct copy of the Palm Beach County Death Certificate for Simon Bernstein is included in Movant’s Appendix of Exhibits. (**Ex. 30, Aff. of Ted Bernstein, ¶96; Ex. 12**)

72. A copy of Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint is included in Movant’s Appendix to its Statement of Undisputed Facts as (**Ex. 25.**)

FL law states if no beneficiary then estate.

This Will is challenged and FL Gov Rick Scott notary division has found it to be improperly notarized.

73. A copy of the Estate of Simon Bernstein's Intervenor Complaint is included in Movant's Appendix to its Statement of Undisputed Facts attached hereto as **(Ex. 27.)**

74. A copy of Eliot's Counterclaims, Cross-claims and Third-Party Claims is included in Movant's Appendix to its Statement of Undisputed Facts as **(Ex. 26.)**

VII. THE INSURER'S INTERPLEADER ACTION

75. A copy of the Insurer's Interpleader Action is included in Movant's Appendix to its Statement of Undisputed Facts as **(Ex. 28).** In its Interpleader Action, the Insurer alleges that it failed to pay the Bernstein Trust's death claim because the claimants could not produce an original or copy of an executed trust agreement, and because the Insurer received a letter from Eliot setting forth a conflicting claim. **(Ex. 28 at ¶22)**

Dated: March 27, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Adam Simon
Adam Simon, Esq.
#6205304
303 East Wacker Drive
Suite 2725
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 819-0730
Attorney for Plaintiffs-Movants

Eliot letter is not
a conflicting
claim.