

Eliot Bernstein

From: Eliot Bernstein <iviewit@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2013 5:01 AM
To: 'Myra'
Cc: Ted Bernstein; Pamela Beth Simon (psimon@stpcorp.com); Jill M. Iantoni (jilliantoni@gmail.com); Lisa (lisa.friedstein@gmail.com); Lisa S. Friedstein (Lisa@friedsteins.com); Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA
Subject: RE: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

Mr. Manceri, do you represent Tescher & Spallina P.A., Robert Spallina and Donald Tescher as estate counsel in Shirley or represent them personally? I believe they are listed both personally and professionally in the instant action and would require separate counsel for each capacity they act in? Do you represent them in both Simon and Shirley's estates and in Simon do you represent them as estate counsel or alleged personal representatives or personally or in all three capacities? Please clearly indicate what parties specifically you are representing in each estate and in what capacities you represent them? Do you represent the estates in any other matters and in what capacities and for whom? I will send Judge Colin's my proposed Orders and comments, what day are they due? I look forward to your prompt reply and please also include all the information requested in my prior email regarding the insurance policies your clients have for liabilities and their Notary Public as requested in my last email reply to Ted's correspondence. Have your clients notified their carriers of the massive liabilities and serious allegations alleged against them yet? Ted stated in his prior correspondence with us that the legal fees, forensic fees, etc. would be massive alone resulting from your clients and their notary's actions thus far. Also, could you please hit reply to all when responding to my emails? Finally, I do not believe I asked for or would want your legal advice and never asked you to contact the judge on my behalf, I was just trying to make our efforts less cumbersome on the Judge, but oh well. Thanks, Eliot

From: Myra [mailto:mrmlaw1@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 3:05 PM
To: iviewit@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Estate of Shirley Bernstein
Mr. Bernstein,

I do not represent you and will not be communicating with Judge Colin either directly or indirectly on your behalf. If you wish to communicate with Judge Colin, you should so as you see fit. In the mean time, I am going to submit both proposed Orders to Judge Colin and will advise him that I advised you that you can submit your own Orders to the Court for consideration.

Mark R. Manceri, Esq.

Mark R. Manceri, P.A.
2929 E. Commercial Blvd., Suite 702
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308
E-mail: mrmlaw@comcast.net
(954) 491-7099
(954) 771-0545 (fax)

-----Original Message-----

From: [Eliot Bernstein](#)

Date: 09/19/2013 03:00:52 PM

To: [Myra](#)

Cc: [Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq.](#); [Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP](#); [Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA](#)

Subject: RE: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

Myra, additionally I will not be able to make the hearing on October 28th 2013 as I am traveling to testify at the Moreland Commission on Public Corruption in Albany NY and I am slated tentatively to travel from the 10.23.13 to 11.1.13. What other days' work? Please be sure to include this correspondence per my request below to Judge Colin when you submit your orders and my comments. Thank you, Eliot

From: Eliot Bernstein [mailto:iviewit@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 2:16 PM

To: 'Myra'

Cc: Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA

Subject: RE: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

I do not agree with your Order at all. Again, I think estate counsel Tescher & Spallina should resign immediately as counsel to the estate for the Fraud on the Court already identified and admitted to at the hearing as the transcripts clearly show.

My response,

The proposed Order is false and misleading in the first part of your draft order, as the Emergency Motion was not denied in toto and there are many parts to it and the remainder to be heard on the 28th or whatever date the judge selects, according to the Judge. From the hearing transcript,

18 It's only really that there's

19 no emergency here. Everything everyone raises

20 on the 28th.

21 MR. MANCERI: Very good, Judge. Do you

22 think we can do it in an hour, Judge?

23 THE COURT: We'll try.

The hearing cannot be solely on Shirley's estate as the matters in Shirley's estate pertain to Simon's estate. I do understand that Simon's estate is administered by Judge French and orders in that estate will come from him. Have you thought of inviting him to attend the hearings as they relate to Simon's estate?

I thought the judge wanted the evidentiary hearing moved up from the 10/28/13 to mid-September.

I would add that the hearing will also be in regard to any documents or issues in Simon's estate that relate to the closing of Shirley's estate or any documents or issues that effected both estates, including beneficiary changes in either estate and all the documents that effectuated any changes to their 2008 estate plans that appear properly signed and executed.

Again, I believe you should advise your clients to withdraw as counsel to the estate as all of these issues relate to their alleged fraudulent acts and their legal assistant, Kimberly Moran and their admitted fraudulent notarizations that are alleged forged and this puts them in conflict now. Finally, I am not sure how the judge is to allow them to continue to represent any parties in these matters until all of the ongoing investigations both criminally and civilly against them are rectified in light of the fact that they have already committed Fraud upon the Court and more and admitted to it in the hearing.

Your cover email states that you will “advise Judge Colin that you do not agree with the provisions of the Agreed Order and will be submitting your own Orders to the Court for its consideration” and instead I would prefer if you not only advise the Judge but submit my entire replies to your proposed orders, including this email and my last, with the original proposed orders I reviewed to the Judge, along with any other advice you will be giving him. If you do not intend on complying with this request please let me know so that I may send them in if I have to file my own proposed orders, either way, did not want to burden the Court with the same documents.

Thank you,

Eliot

Eliot I. Bernstein

Inventor

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL

2753 N.W. 34th St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459

(561) 245.8588 (o)

(561) 886.7628 (c)

(561) 245-8644 (f)

iviewit@iviewit.tv

<http://www.iviewit.tv>

NOTICE: Due to Presidential Executive Orders, the National Security Agency may have read this email without warning, warrant, or notice. They may do this without any judicial or legislative oversight and it can happen to ordinary Americans like you and me. You have no recourse nor protection save to vote against any incumbent endorsing such unlawful acts.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this “Message,” including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator’s confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch. *Wireless Copyright Notice*. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator’s full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others’ copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.

From: Myra [mailto:mrmlaw1@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 11:53 AM
To: iviewit@gmail.com
Cc: rspallina@tescherspallina.com
Subject: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

Dear Mr. Bernstein,

I am in receipt of your e-mail dated September 18, 2013 regarding the Agreed Order to Reopen Estate and Appointing Successor Personal Representatives. I do not agree with your comments, changes as they do not comport with the Order of the Court.

I am attaching a draft Order on Notice of Emergency Motion to Freeze Estates for your review, comments, etc., which I will need to receive from you by noon (12:00 PM) tomorrow. If I do not hear from you, I will submit both Orders to the Court and advise Judge Colin that you do not agree with the provisions of the Agreed Order and will be submitting your own Orders to the Court for its consideration.

Mark R. Manceri, Esq.

Mark R. Manceri, P.A.
2929 E. Commercial Blvd., Suite 702
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308
E-mail: mrmlaw@comcast.net
(954) 491-7099
(954) 771-0545 (fax)



FREE Animations for your email

Click Here!

-



FREE Animations for your email

[Click Here!](#)

■