

"Good Law Day" began 10.31.2013. 10.15.2018	LAW OFFICES OF LALIT K JAIN ESQ Practice of Law in NY State, US Tax and District Courts, US Supreme Court, and <i>all</i> Courts in India.™	Fon: 718-255-6576 Cell: 718-316-5921 Fax: 347-637-5498
Claimer instead of Disclaimers: Let all live in truth Knowing Justice <i>always</i> insures nature.™		

- Copyrighted Client Engagement Letter ("CEL"):** Legal Help for *all* Matters of Eliot I Bernstein's Family ("Cases")
- As required by NYS Court Rule effective March 4, 2002, this CEL, under attached One-Pager *LKJMOL* by *LKJESQ* as 100% *normal* making it 100% *different* than all others, memorializes the "meeting of the minds with regard to the basic clearly understood and agreed upon terms of the engagement." They are as follows.
- 1 *Preamble*: "You" and "Your" mean *LKJESQ* Offices. "I," "My" and "Mine" mean Eliot I Bernstein who failed *pro se* and/or with other 100% *different* lawyers who still believe in Justicide by laws *misapplied*.
 - 2 *The CEL*: It is *Privileged and Confidential* for help *only* in *all* My matters in Para. 3 and in *no* others.
 - 3 *The Cases*: *All* My patents, parents' estates, etc. matters *no matter what or where* they are ("Cases").
 - 4 *The Legal Help*: My *correctly informed decision* is to use Your legal help in due process of law funded by taxes to help make torturers restitute victims by laws *correctly* applied as Justice ("**Safe**") since it ends nullities disgracing nations by laws *misapplied* as Justicide ("**Unsafe**") that all other lawyers believe in. Due process of law does not end *with no time limit until* the record of the case reveals "arriving at the truth"¹ *no matter who has the burden of proof*,² because the ***immunized duty to serve Justice keeping all Safe still prevails over immunized power to commit Justicide keeping all Unsafe. It's the law, isn't it?***
 - 5 *Retainer, Contingency Fee Agreement and Expenses*: Your *non-refundable good faith Retainer* is \$1,000 (Special Courtesy Discount). No Collection, no Contingency Fee. If and when collected by Me or paid to You by way of settlement, Judgment, or otherwise, only then, Your *Contingency Fee* is 1/3rd to You and 2/3rd to Me of Net Collection which means Gross Collection less actual expenses incurred by You. Expenses include legal fees, if any, paid by You to local counsel if needed to take local legal actions.
 - 6 *Amendment, Termination and Timely Cooperation*: I read, discussed and understood this CEL in Plain English. It is not in legalese used by *all* other lawyers. It can be amended in writing to change the scope of legal help. Without My timely help and support, You are *disabled* and *vice versa*. I agreed to give You My prompt help and support as and when needed or risk earlier termination of CEL for My default. The aggrieved can terminate this CEL if default is not cured upon 10 days' notice ***subject to continuing lien*** of the 1/3rd Contingency Fee on the Net Collection made before, during and/or after such termination.
 - 7 *The Buyer Beware Law*: I *did* read, understand and agree with the duly attached One-Pager *LKJMOL* by *LKJESQ*. It proves that this CEL is *unbiased* since it is *not one-sided* as *all* other lawyers' retainers are.

Law Offices of Lalit K. Jain, Esq. Read, understood, approved, accepted, and received a Signed Copy.
Sign/Date: Sign/Date: *October 15, 2018*
By Name: Lalit K. Jain, Esq. By Name: *Eliot I Bernstein* for Self, Wife and Three Sons as Family
2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton FL 33434

- ¹ *Per se*, "**arriving at the truth [for Justice by laws *correctly* applied]** is a fundamental goal of our legal system" in *US v Havens*, 1980, 446 US 620, 626. *Per se*, "we are, after all, always engaged in a search for truth in a criminal [, same as or even more so in a civil,] case so long as the search is surrounded with the safeguards provided by our Constitution [to prevent arriving at the lies instead]." *Oregon v Hass*, 1975, 420 US 714, 722.
- ² "There shall be full disclosure of all matter material and necessary in the prosecution or defense of an action, *regardless of the burden of proof*, by... [all litigants and forensic experts ***to prevent arriving at the lies*** for Justicide by laws *misapplied but for which arriving at the truth* for Justice by laws *correctly* applied ***saving time and money*** will be delayed thus denied, knowing that Justice delayed is Justice denied, that Justice denied is Justicide multiplied, and that Justicide multiplied is Justice crucified until ***mandatory resurrection*** of Justice since day one with no time limit, no ifs, ands/or buts, period, case closed]" NYCPLR - CVP § 3101. Scope of disclosure.

Recipients:	Eliot Ivan Bernstein	cc		cc	
Fon:	561 245-8588				
Fax:	N/A				
Email:	IViewIt@IViewIt.TV				
Unlawful use of this confidential/privileged fax and/or its contents is Unauthorized. Unintended recipients please fax back top page, destroy all pages and help prevent unintended consequences.				Total Page(s): 2 + 1 LKJMOL = 3	

LB

Copyrighted Client Engagement Letter ("CEL"): *all* Matters of Eliot I Bernstein's Family ("Cases").

Eliot I Bernstein for Self, Wife and Three Sons as Family

10.15.2018

Statement of My Rights (As adopted by the Administrative Board of the Courts)

"I/We/Us/Our" mean the "Client(s)" as Appropriate.

1 I am entitled to be treated with courtesy and consideration at all times by My lawyer and the other lawyers and personnel in My lawyer's offices.

2 I am entitled to an attorney capable of handling My legal matter competently and diligently, in accordance with the highest standards of the profession. If I am not satisfied with how My matter is being handled, I have the right to withdraw from the attorney-client relationship at any time (court approval may be required in some matters and My attorney may have a claim against Me for the value of services rendered to Me up to the point of discharge).

3 I am entitled to My lawyer's independent professional judgment and undivided loyalty uncompromised by conflicts of interest.

4 I am entitled to be charged a reasonable fee and to have My lawyer explain at the outset how the fee will be computed and the manner and frequency of billing. I am entitled to request and receive a written itemized bill from My attorney at reasonable intervals. I may refuse to enter into any fee arrangement that I find unsatisfactory. In the event of a fee dispute, I may have the right to seek arbitration; My attorney will provide Me with the necessary information regarding arbitration in the event of a fee dispute, or upon My request.

5 I am entitled to have My questions and concerns addressed in a prompt manner and to have My telephone calls returned promptly.

6 I am entitled to be kept informed as to the status of My matter and to request and receive copies of papers. I am entitled to sufficient information to allow Me to participate meaningfully in the development of My matter.

7 I am entitled to have My legitimate objectives respected by My attorney, including whether or not to settle My matter (court approval of a settlement is required in some matters).

8 I have the right to privacy in My dealings with My lawyer and to have My secrets and confidences preserved to the extent permitted by law.

9 I am entitled to have My attorney conduct himself or herself ethically in accordance with the Code of Professional Responsibility.

10 I may not be refused representation on the basis of race, creed, color, age, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin or disability. ♦

Statement of My Duties (As adopted by the Administrative Board of the Courts)

Reciprocal trust, courtesy and respect are the hallmarks of the attorney-client relationship. Within that relationship, the client looks to the attorney for expertise, education, sound judgment, protection, advocacy and representation. These expectations can be achieved only if the client fulfills the following duties:

1 I am expected to treat the lawyer and the lawyer's staff with courtesy and consideration.

2 My relationship with the lawyer must be one of complete candor and the lawyer must be apprised of all facts or circumstances of the matter being handled by the lawyer even if I believe that those facts may be detrimental to My cause or unflattering to Me.

3 I must honor the fee arrangement as agreed to with the lawyer in accordance with law.

4 All bills for services rendered which are tendered to Me pursuant to the agreed upon fee arrangement should be paid promptly.

5 I may withdraw from the attorney-client relationship, subject to financial commitments under the agreed to fee arrangement, and, in certain circumstances, subject to court approval.

6 Although I should expect that his or her correspondence, telephone calls and other communications will be answered within a reasonable time frame, I should recognize that the lawyer has other clients equally demanding of the lawyer's time and attention.

7 I should maintain contact with the lawyer, promptly notify the lawyer of any change in telephone number or address and respond promptly to a request by the lawyer for information and cooperation.

8 I must realize that the lawyer need respect only My legitimate objectives and that the lawyer will not advocate or propose positions which are unprofessional or contrary to law or the Lawyer's Code of Professional responsibility.

9 The lawyer may be unable to accept a case if the lawyer has previous professional commitments which will result in inadequate time being available for the proper representation of a new client.

10 A lawyer is under no obligation to accept a client if the lawyer determines that the cause of the client is without merit, a conflict of interest would exist or that a suitable working relationship with the client is not likely. ♦

"Good Law Day"
began 10.31.2013.
07.04.2018

LAW OFFICES OF LALIT K JAIN ESQ
Practice of Law in NY State, US Tax and District Courts,
US Supreme Court, and *all* Courts in India.™

Fon: 718-255-6576
Cell: 718-316-5921
Fax: 347-637-5498

Claimer instead of Disclaimers: Let all live in truth Knowing Justice *always* insures nature.™

An Unbiased Memorandum of Law ("LKJMOL") is to help *Resurrect* Justice with Absolute Judicial Immunity.™

Due process of law, funded by taxes,¹ *ending all nullities² disgracing nations³* by laws *misapplied* as Justicide⁴ ("Unsafe") *makes* torturers retribute⁵ victims⁶ by laws *correctly* applied as Justice⁷ ("Safe").

¹ "Taxes are what we pay for *civilized society*... A *penalty*... is intended... *to prevent [torture, etc. as] the thing*..." *Compania General de Tabacos v Collector*, 1927, Justice Holmes, 275 US 87, 100.

² "...where a court has jurisdiction, it has a right to decide every question [of law and fact] which occurs in the cause... But if it act [above the law thus without jurisdiction or authority in law], its [void] judgments and orders are regarded as *nullities [torturing lives by laws misapplied]*... all persons... executing [them] are considered in law as trespassers ["Outlaws"]. *Elliott v Lessee of Piersol*, 1828, 26 US (1 Pet.) 328, 340-341.

Petitions are *rarely granted* when the asserted error consists of *erroneous factual findings* or the *misapplication of a properly stated rule of law [evidencing lies]*. Rule 10, Supreme Court of the United States ("SCOTUS").

"A *void* act ... may be attacked in any forum, state or federal, where its validity may be drawn in issue." *Pennoyer v Neff*, 1878, 95 US 714, 732-733, *World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson*, 444 US 286.

"When rule providing for relief from *void judgments* is applicable, relief is *not a discretionary* matter, but is *mandatory* [to make torturers pay victims *punitive damages*, all properties held in *constructive and/or deemed trusts to learn to never repeat tortures* ("Mandatory Restitution"). *Orner v Shalala*, Colo. 1994, 30 F3d 1307.

³ "*Tortura legume pessima... The torture... of laws is the worst [kind of torture]...*" *Bl. Dict.*, 6th ed., p.1490.

Every baby's birth from every seed is Justice served. Denying Justice by Justicide *misapplying* everyone's One Creator's One Universal Law is the *worst kind of torture* by *evil power evidenced by insane mental health*. Using DNA-match (it is 99% evidence), not marriage (it is 0% evidence), *undisgraces all disgraced nations to adopt the safest system*, end tortures of laws, prove illicit sex, prosecute lies, use the right evidences to prove all facts in all cases, make the man the illicit father (bastard), birth the world's first moral, ethical and spiritual nation, and end killing of reporters selling exposés as scandals, knowing that babies can do no wrong, can they?

⁴ "...if two policemen see a rape and watch it just for their own amusement [from sexual-torture], no violation of the Constitution [immunizing Outlaws enforcing Nullities as the State Created Danger from human rights to do wrong still sold as the State Confirmed Security with no human duties to do right]... (laughter)."

May It Please the Court... Transcripts of... Landmark Cases before the SCOTUS ... 1993, pp 39-60 at pp 46-47. Hear at <http://tinyurl.com/pnu9lrj> at 39:00 to 41:00 minutes this Nov 2, 1988 *lexually-tortuous due process* by CJ Rehnquist making the *DeShaney* case one more evil landmark case reported as 1989, 489 US 189. *Due process continues in this case of boy's physical abuse by his father same as it does in all sexual abuse cases*.

⁵ "No one shall be permitted to profit by his own fraud, or to take advantage of his own wrong, or to found any claim upon his own iniquity, or to acquire property by his own crime. These *maxims* are dictated by *public policy [and procedure]*, have their foundation in universal law [correctly] administered..., and have nowhere been superseded by statutes [and/or case laws made by Courts]." *Riggs v Palmer*, 1889, 115 NY 506, 511-512.

⁶ "...But if you think that it is terribly important that the case came out wrong, *you miss the point* of the common law. In the grand scheme of [lies], whether the right party won is really *secondary*..." 1997, *A Matter of Interpretation, Federal Courts and the Law*, p6, Justice Scalia. Knowing that "Government, even in its best state, is but a *necessary evil*; in its worst state, an *intolerable one*", even *Thomas Paine* in *Common Sense*, 1776, *confessed but did not correct the common law tradition of lies as the nation's ethics, morality, etc.*

⁷ "[p20] ... Court: ... I do find the defendant guilty... unless you [Jain] want to be heard... [p21] MR JAIN: Yes ... [p22]. Court... Parties step up real quick. (Whereupon a bench discussion was held) ... Court: After re-examining the statute more closely... as I reread it, many, many more times [helped by correct practice of law], my initial reading of it [helped by incorrect practice, aka malpractice, of law] was incorrect. [p23]. ... I have to change my verdict to not guilty ... ¶ Court Officer: You are free to go." Docket No. 2012QN040877 in *People v Onuorah* in NYS Queens County Criminal Court's 25-page 10.31.2013 Transcript. *This newest court-ordered role-model-precedent is for V-Turns from State Created Danger to State Confirmed Security*.