

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: Ted Bernstein <tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 9:40 AM
To: 'Pam Simon (psimon@stpcorp.com)'; Lisa Friedstein (lisa.friedstein@gmail.com); 'Jill lantoni (jilliantoni@gmail.com)'
Cc: 'Eliot Ivan Bernstein'; 'Eliot Ivan Bernstein'
Subject: Update from court last week

Please note that Eliot is copied into this email.

I think it is important for you guys to know what happened in court last Thursday. I am sending this as your brother, not a trustee and not the ad litem for the Stansbury litigation.

Aside from the fact that we were in court on matters that were entirely unnecessary and tragically wasteful, you should know that Eliot has somehow aligned himself with Bill Stansbury. It is the most incredible thing to witness firsthand. Remember, Eliot did not know Bill Stansbury but Bill Stansbury knew all about Eliot. If I didn't see this with my own eyes, I would not fully believe that Eliot does not comprehend the fact that Bill Stansbury is trying, with all his might, to take all monies that Eliot or his children might get one day from dad's estate or dad's life insurance trust. He is helping Stansbury advance his claim against the estate. In that case, Bill Stansbury's sole position is that Dad committed fraud against him. I won't go into the details here about that case. Brian O'Connell asked that I help oversee that litigation in order to expedite it and because I know more about the truth and the realities of the Stansbury allegations better than any other non-attorney. Additionally, I am willing to do it for free in order to help dad's estate keep as much as possible. As you know, Eliot, Stansbury and O'Connell are all continuing to rapidly diminish the remaining assets. Neither Eliot, nor Stansbury, care or understand the results of their actions, as obvious as it may be to the rest of us.

Back to Eliot and Stansbury. Stansbury testified in court Thursday, under subpoena. He testified that, if anyone committed fraud against him, it was Dad. Stansbury claimed he did not speak to me about compensation issues and all of his **oral** communications were with Dad. In reality, nobody committed fraud against him, but he testified otherwise. He testified that all his conversations about his compensation were with dad. He testified that if the estate wins the Illinois case, the proceeds will go into the estate and he will try to get all of them. He testified that he is aware that his claim is for much more than all the assets combined. Stansbury is trying to prevent the Estate from appointing me as its representative and Alan Rose as counsel to defend against Stansbury's claim, so the Estate will have a more expensive and/or less effective defense. Eliot supports that motion, despite its negative impact on the Estate and the beneficiaries.

So Eliot heard all of this testimony. Regardless of what Stansbury is telling him privately in order to gain the advantage of his help, Bill Stansbury testified with his hand sworn to God, that he was being truthful about the alleged fraud against him. There is only one explanation for what Eliot is doing and why he is allowing this to be done to him. If we circle all the way back to his issues, the same ones driving mom and dad's decision concerning Grove, his behavior makes more sense. It is very, very sad. Because he considers me his adversary, nothing I say or do gets through to him.

I am not sure anything you might say or do gets through to him either. But the tragedy of what is happening to him by this person who is trying to rob him is too serious not to bring to your attention. It is important for you to know that Stansbury is suing Bernstein Family Realty, LLC, the company Dad created to hold title to the home Eliot lives in. Thus, despite Stansbury trying to take the home for himself, Eliot still supports him. This fact alone makes no rational sense. Alan Rose has the details about that case if you want to speak with him, as the Trustee's counsel, about what Stansbury is doing.