

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 1
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 6 O F F E N S E R E P O R T CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU
 DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:
 ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
 SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/14/14 THURSDAY
 ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
 OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
 EXCEPTION TYPE:
 INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
 CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431
 NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
 LOCATION: OTHER
 NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

ON 02/14/14 I RECEIVED COPIES OF RECEIPT OF PARTIAL DISTRIBUTION FORM FROM ATTORNEY ALAN ROSE. I RECEIVED A FORM SIGNED BY PAMELA SIMON IN REGARDS TO MOLLY SIMON, SIGNED AUGUST 30, 2013. I RECEIVED ONE SIGNED BY JILL IANTONI IN REGARDS TO JULIA IANTONI SIGNED ON AUGUST 30, 2013. I RECEIVED THREE SIGNED BY TED BERNSTEIN, ONE FOR EACH MICHAEL, ALEXANDRIA, AND ERIC BERNSTEIN. THEY WERE NOT DATED.

THE FORM READS THAT THE AFOREMENTIONED GRANDCHILDREN (MOLLY, JULIA, MICHAEL, ALEXANDRIA, AND ERIC) OF SIMON BERNSTEIN ARE TO RECEIVE \$80,000 EACH INTO THEIR TRUSTS. IT ALSO STIPULATES THAT THE MONEY IS TO BE RETURNED IF THE COURTS DEEM THAT IT WAS IMPROPERLY DISTRIBUTED. IT REFERENCES THE SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT.

THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN.
 DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704
 02/14/14 @ 1457 HRS.
 TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/20/2014/MDR/#6405

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 1
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 7 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU
DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/18/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
EXCEPTION TYPE:
INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
LOCATION: OTHER
NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

..

ON 02/18/14 I MET WITH PATRICIA FITZMAURICE, WHO WAS SIMON'S THERAPIST.
SHE INFORMED ME THAT HER SESSIONS WITH HIM WERE CONFIDENTIAL AND SHE WOULD NOT
DISCUSS THOSE. SHE DID STATE TO ME THAT HE HAD TOLD HER OUTSIDE OF A SESSION
THAT HIS INTENTIONS WERE TO LEAVE HIS ESTATE TO HIS 10 GRANDCHILDREN.
THIS CONCLUDED MY DISCUSSION WITH HER. THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN.
DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704
02/18/14 @ 1115 HRS.
TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/20/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 1
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 8 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU
 DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:
 ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
 SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/18/14 THURSDAY
 ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
 OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
 EXCEPTION TYPE:
 INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
 CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431
 NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
 LOCATION: OTHER
 NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

..

THE FOLLOWING IS A NON-VERBATIM ACCOUNT OF AN INTERVIEW:
 ON 02/06/14 I SPOKE WITH LISA FRIEDSTEIN. HER ATTORNEY, WILLIAM PEARSON, WAS PRESENT WITH ME DURING THE TIME I SPOKE WITH LISA. LISA TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS NOT AWARE IN 2007 THAT HER PARENTS MET WITH SPALLINA AND TESCHER. SHE STATED TO ME THAT IN 2008 SHE WAS NOT AWARE OF THE FACT THAT HER PARENTS HAD WILLS AND TRUSTS DRAWN UP. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE BECAME AWARE OF THE WILLS AND TRUSTS ONCE HER MOTHER PASSED AWAY. SHE SAID THAT ONCE HER MOTHER PASSED, HER FATHER TOLD HER THAT HER MOTHER'S TRUST READ THAT SHE, ELIOT, AND JILL WERE TO RECEIVE AN INHERITANCE FROM HER MOTHER'S ESTATE. SHE SAID THIS CONVERSATION CAME ABOUT BECAUSE PAM SENT SIMON A LETTER QUESTIONING HOW ESTATES WERE TO BE DISTRIBUTED. LISA SAID THAT HE TOLD HER FATHER TO MAKE ANY CHANGES HE FELT NECESSARY, SUGGESTING TO MAKE IT EQUAL ALL IF HE THOUGHT IT SHOULD BE. SHE STATED TO ME THAT THIS WAS A ONE ON ONE CONVERSATION SHE HAD WITH HER FATHER.
 LISA TOLD ME THAT SHE REMEMBERS THE CONFERENCE CALL THAT WAS MENTIONED BY HER OTHER SIBLINGS. SHE TOLD ME THAT SPALLINA STARTED THE CONFERENCE CALL AND MENTIONED AT THE BEGINNING OF THE CALL THAT HE WAS AGAINST WHAT SIMON WAS DOING, BUT IT WAS UP TO SIMON TO MAKE HIS OWN DECISIONS. SHE SAID THAT SIMON DECIDED TO CHANGE HOW THE MONEY WAS GOING TO BE DISTRIBUTED FROM THE THREE TO KIDS TO 10 GRANDKIDS. SHE STATED THAT IS AT LEAST HOW SHE UNDERSTOOD IT. SHE TOLD ME THAT HER REACTION TO THIS NEWS WAS SOMETHING SIMILAR TO, OK, THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME KNOW. SHE SAID THAT ELIOT DID QUESTION WHAT WAS HAPPENING, NOT BECAUSE HE DID NOT AGREE, BUT BECAUSE IT WAS OBVIOUS THAT HE DID NOT KNOW HOW THE TRUST READ UNTIL THAT TIME. SHE TOLD ME THAT ULTIMATELY EVERYONE DID AGREE TO WHAT SIMON SAID OR AT LEAST THAT NO ONE DISAGREED.

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 2
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 8 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

LISA FIRST TOLD ME THAT SHE DID NOT SIGN ANY OTHER DOCUMENT OTHER THAN THE WAIVER OF ACCOUNTING IN PBSO CASE # 13-097087. THEN SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE REALLY WAS NOT AWARE NOR DID SHE TOTALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT ALL WAS GOING ON. SHE TOLD ME THOUGH THAT SHE REALLY IS NOT CERTAIN EXACTLY WHAT ALL SHE SIGNED AND IT IS POSSIBLE SHE SIGNED BITS AND PIECES OF WHAT WAS SENT TO HER. SHE SAID IT IS VERY POSSIBLE THAT SHE DID NOT SEND BACK ALL THAT WAS SENT TO HER TO SIGN; SHE IS JUST NOT 100% CERTAIN. LISA SAID AFTER HER FATHER PASSED A PHONE CALL TOOK PLACE WITH SPALLINA. SHE SAID THAT SPALLINA STATED WHO WAS THE TRUSTEE OF WHAT ESTATE AND MENTIONED THERE WAS A LIFE INSURANCE POLICY THAT EXISTED BUT THAT THE DOCUMENTS FOR IT WERE MISSING. LISA STATED THAT SHE WAS IN AGREEANCE WITH THE DISTRIBUTIONS OF FUNDS TO THE TEN GRANDKIDS AND FELT SHE HAD NO REASON NOT BE. SHE STATED SHE WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THE DISTRIBUTIONS WERE COMING FROM THE SALE OF HER MOTHER'S CONDO AND HER FATHER WANTED THINGS TO BE DISTRIBUTED TO THE 10 GRANDCHILDREN.

SHE STATED THAT SHE DID SIGN SOME SORT OF DOCUMENT WHEN THE DISTRIBUTION WAS BEING MADE. SHE SAID THAT SHE DOES NOT REMEMBER WHAT THE DOCUMENTS SAID, BUT THAT SHE DID RECEIVE THE DOCUMENT FROM TED. SHE SAID SOMEONE DID STATE THAT IT WAS BEST TO MAKE THE DISTRIBUTIONS SO THAT CREDITORS CANNOT GET TO IT, BUT SHE DID NOT THINK THIS WAS SAID BY TED. SHE WAS NOT REALLY CERTAIN WHO SAID THIS.

LISA SWORE TO HER STATEMENT AND SAID SHE WOULD PURSUE CRIMINAL CHARGES IF I FOUND PROBABLE CAUSE FOR AN ARREST AND SHE WAS THE VICTIM.
DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704
02/18/2014
TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/24/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 1
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 9 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU
DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:
ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/20/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
EXCEPTION TYPE:
INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
LOCATION: OTHER
NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

..

ON 02/20/14 I SPOKE WITH RICHARD NACLERIO. HE TOLD ME THAT HE AND HIS WIFE WERE FRIENDS WITH SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN FOR MANY DECADES. HE TOLD ME THAT HE AND SIMON SPOKE ON A REGULAR BASIS. HE TOLD ME THAT HE FELT THAT SIMON WAS HURTING AFTER THE LOSS OF SHIRLEY. HE STATED THAT SIMON TOLD HIM HE (SIMON) WAS VERY HURT BY HOW HIS CHILDREN WERE TREATING HIM AFTER SHIRLEY HAD PASSED. HE SAID IT MAY HAVE HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE RELATIONSHIP SIMON HAD WITH PUCCIO, BUT WAS NOT 100% CERTAIN. HE TOLD ME THAT SIMON TOLD HIM THAT HE (SIMON) WAS LEAVING THE ESTATE TO THE GRANDCHILDREN, NOT HIS CHILDREN.

HE SAID THAT IN HIS OPINION SIMON WAS OF SOUND MIND DURING THE LATTER PART OF HIS LIFE WHEN MAKING ALL HIS DECISIONS RELATING TO HIS ESTATE. HE SAID THAT THE DECISIONS MAY HAVE BEEN MADE OUT OF ANGER, BUT HE IS NOT CERTAIN. NACLERIO ALSO TOLD ME THAT HE DID NOT PRY INTO SIMON'S PERSONAL BUSINESS, BUT WAS JUST A FRIEND LENDING AN EAR WHEN NEEDED.

THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN.
DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704
02/20/14 @ 1144 HRS.
TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/24/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 1
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 10 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU
 DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:
 ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
 SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/20/14 THURSDAY
 ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
 OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
 EXCEPTION TYPE:
 INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
 CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431
 NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
 LOCATION: OTHER
 NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

THIS IS A NON-VERBATIM ACCOUNT OF AN INTERVIEW:
 ON OR ABOUT 02/13/14 I SPOKE WITH RACHEL WALKER. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE STARTED WORKING FOR SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN IN MAY 2007. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE ORIGINALLY WORKED FOR SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN UNTIL SHIRLEY PASSED IN 2010, THEN SHE WORKED FOR SIMON. SHE SAID THAT SHE STARTED STAYING AT THE BERNSTEIN'S RESIDENCE WHEN SHIRLEY TOOK ILL. SHE STATED THAT ONCE SHIRLEY PASSED SIMON TOLD HER TO JUST MOVE INTO THE HOME FULLY. SHE SAID THAT SIMON TOLD HER SHE COULD THEN SAVE MONEY ON RENT AND SHE COULD JUST TAKE CARE OF THINGS AROUND HIS HOME. SHE SAID THAT MARITZA PUCCIO ENDED UP MOVING INTO THE HOME. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE AND PUCCIO DID NOT GET ALONG VERY WELL.
 WALKER TOLD ME THAT SHE REMEMBERS SIGNING SOME DOCUMENTS AS A WITNESS FOR SHIRLEY AND ROBERT SPALLINA. SHE TOLD ME THAT SPALLINA HAD COME OVER TO THE HOME AND THAT SHIRLEY TOLD HER TO SIGN THE DOCUMENTS AS A WITNESS. SHE SAID THAT SHE THINKS IT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH SHIRLEY'S WILL, BUT WAS NOT CERTAIN. SHE STATED THAT SOMEONE ELSE WAS WITH SPALLINA, BUT SHE WAS NOT SURE WHO WAS WITH HIM. SHE STATED THAT SHE IS NOT SURE WHAT DOCUMENT THIS WAS, NOT SURE EXACTLY WHEN THIS WAS, AND SHE IS SURE THAT MORAN WAS NOT AT THE HOUSE DURING THIS TIME. SHE STATED THAT THIS MAY HAVE HAPPENED IN 2009, BUT IS NOT CERTAIN.
 I ASKED WALKER IF SHE KNOWS WHAT SHIRLEY'S SIGNATURE LOOKED LIKE. SHE SAID YES, SHE SAID SHE EVEN KIND OF ADOPTED IT. SHE APPEARED TO BE FOND OF SHIRLEY'S SIGNATURE. SHE TOLD ME IT WAS VERY SIMILAR TO A CIRCLE. SHE ADDED HER (SHIRLEY'S) AND SIMON'S WERE BOTH LIKE THAT. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE DID NOT WITNESS ANY DOCUMENTS (REFERRING TO WILL & TRUST) SIGNED BY SIMON. SHE TOLD

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 2
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 10 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

ME THAT SIMON ALWAYS HANDLED THAT TYPE OF BUSINESS AT HIS OFFICE. SHE TOLD ME THAT SPALLINA DID NOT COME OUT TO SIMON'S HOME TO SIGN ANY DOCUMENTS JUST PRIOR TO HIS DEATH, BUT SHE DID STATE THAT SHE BELIEVES SIMON AND SPALLINA HAD A LUNCH MEETING JUST PRIOR TO HIS DEATH TO GO OVER AND SIGN SOME DOCUMENTS. SHE APPEARED TO BE REFERRING TO THE CHANGES IN SIMON'S TRUST, THE DOCUMENTS DATED JULY 25, 2012.

WALKER TOLD ME THAT PRIOR TO SHIRLEY'S DEATH; SHIRLEY TOLD HER SHE WAS LEAVING HER ESTATE TO LISA, JILL, AND ELIOT. SHE STATED THAT SHIRLEY TOLD HER THAT SHE (SHIRLEY) AND SIMON HAD GIVEN BOTH TED AND PAM BUSINESSES AND THAT THEY SHOULD BE ALL SET FROM THAT. WALKER TOLD ME THAT AFTER SHIRLEY HAD PASSED AND SIMON ENTERED INTO AN INTIMATE RELATIONSHIP WITH PUCCIO, SOME OF HIS CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN BECAME UPSET WITH HIM. SHE SAID THAT IT APPEARED THEY WERE UPSET WITH SIMON OVER THE RELATIONSHIP HE HAD WITH PUCCIO. SHE TOLD ME THAT SIMON DECIDED THAT IT WAS BEST TO LEAVE ESTATE TO THE GRANDCHILDREN AND NOT HIS CHILDREN OVER THE ISSUES THAT WERE GOING ON WITH HIS CHILDREN.

SHE STATED TO ME THAT SIMON TOLD HER HE FELT IT WAS BEST TO HAND EVERYTHING DOWN TO HIS GRANDCHILDREN TO KEEP PEACE AMONGST HIS CHILDREN. WALKER TOLD ME THAT SHE UNDERSTOOD IT AS BOTH ESTATES, SINCE SHIRLEY'S ASSETS BECAME SIMON'S ONCE SHE PASSED. SHE STATED THAT SIMON TOLD HER ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS THAT HE WANTED HIS ESTATE TO GO TO HIS GRANDCHILDREN. SHE STATED THAT SHE WAS EITHER PRESENT OR OVERHEARD HIM (SIMON) AND SPALLINA DISCUSSING THIS ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS AS WELL. WALKER TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS PRESENT DURING THE CONFERENCE CALL THAT TOOK PLACE IN MAY OF 2012 WHERE HE (SIMON) TOLD HIS CHILDREN OF HIS WISHES FOR THE ESTATE TO GO TO THE GRANDCHILDREN. SHE TOLD ME THAT ONCE SIMON, THROUGH SPALLINA, REVEALED WHAT CHANGES WERE BEING MADE, ALL HIS CHILDREN SEEMED TO BE COMPLIANT.

WALKER INFORMED ME THAT ALTHOUGH SIMON WAS A FUNCTIONING PERSON AND WENT TO WORK, HE SEEMED DIFFERENT THE LAST TWO MONTHS OF HIS LIFE. SHE SAID THAT HE WOULD COME UP WITH "WEIRD" AND "STRANGE" IDEAS. SHE STATED HE WAS ON MEDICATION FOR HIS ILLNESSES AND ALSO COMPLAINED OF SHOULDER PAIN QUITE OFTEN. SHE TOLD ME THAT HE WAS ON TWO DIFFERENT MEDICATIONS AND SHE HAS SINCE LEARNED AT LEAST ONE OF THOSE MEDICATIONS "MESSES WITH YOUR BRAIN", SO IT MAKES MORE SENSE TO HER NOW, AS TO WHY HE WAS ACTING DIFFERENT AT TIMES. SHE CITED ONE OF THE THINGS THAT SHE CONSIDERED TO BE DIFFERENT WAS THE FACT THAT HE WAS OFF TRAVELING ALL THE TIME WITH HIS GIRLFRIEND. THEN SHE STATED, HE WAS JUST NOT BEING HIMSELF. SHE SAID HE HAD HIS GOOD DAYS AND BAD DAYS. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE FELT THAT SIMON MADE THE CHANGES TO HIS TRUST OUT OF HIS OWN FREE WILL, BECAUSE HE COULD NOT BE TALKED INTO ANYTHING AND HE DID WHAT HE WANTED TO DO ON A NORMAL BASIS.

WALKER CONCLUDED HER STATEMENT BY SWEARING TO IT. THIS CASE REMAINS

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 3
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 10 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

OPEN.
DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704
02/20/14 @ 0725 HRS.
TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/24/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 1
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU
DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:
ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/21/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
EXCEPTION TYPE:
INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
LOCATION: OTHER
NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

ON 02/14/14 RACHEL WALKER SEND ME AN E-MAIL, INCLUDING AN ATTACHMENT SHE RECEIVED FROM ELIOT. IN HER E-MAIL TO ME SHE RESPONDED TO STATEMENTS MADE BY ELIOT IN HIS ATTACHMENT. THE ATTACHMENT WAS TITLED PETITION TO FREEZE ESTATES, WHICH WAS FILED WITH THE PALM BEACH COUNTY CLERK & COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE (SOUTH COUNTY BRANCH) ON MAY 6, 2013. IT IS REGARDING THE ESTATES OF SHIRLEY AND SIMON BERNSTEIN.

THE FOLLOWING IS A COPY OF THE E-MAIL SHE SENT TO ME. I INSERTED THE EXCERPT FROM ELIOTS ATTACHMENT (CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT), THAT CORRESPONDS WITH WALKER'S RESPONSE. BOTH WERE CUT AND PASTED INTO THIS REPORT EXACTLY HOW THEY WERE WRITTEN BY THE ORIGINATORS.
(P.12 PP.14)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

14. THAT THE THREE CHILDREN THAT ARE THE DESIGNATED BENEFICIARIES UNDER THE 2008 TRUSTS OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY ARE PETITIONER, JILL AND LISA AND THEIR SIX CHILDREN WHO ALSO WERE BENEFICIARIES. THAT IN PETITIONER'S INSTANCE EVEN PRIOR TO THE PROPOSEDCHANGES, SIMON AND SHIRLEY HAD INTENDED TO LEAVE ALMOST ALL OF HIS INHERITANCE TO HIS THREE CHILDREN DIRECTLY TO PROTECT PETITIONER'S FAMILY FOR SPECIFIC SAFETY REASONS FURTHERDEFINED HEREIN.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THE CHANGE OF BENEFICIARIES WAS NOT DONE AS INTENT FOR PROTECTION. IT WAS DONE THAT WAY TO BE FAIR ACCORDING TO SHIRLEY AND SIMON.
(P.15PP.28)

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 2
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

28. THAT IN FACT, SIMON'S PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH RAPIDLY DECLINED AND HE NEVER RECOVERED FROM THESE NEW MORE SERIOUS SYMPTOMS THAT STARTED ALMOST EXACTLY WHEN HE SUPPOSEDLY SIGNED THESE NEAR DEATHBED CHANGES ON JULY 25, 2012 TO ALLEGEDLY AMEND AND RADICALLY ALTER HIS EARLIER 2008 TRUST ("2008 TRUST") AND CREATE A NEW ALLEGED 2012 TRUST ("AMENDED TRUST"). COPIES OF THAT ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST ARE ATTACHED FURTHER HEREIN AND WILL EVIDENCE THAT THAT THE ALLEGED AMENDED TRUST DOCUMENT WAS NOT NOTARIZED, WITNESSED AND EXECUTED PROPERLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW AND PART OF A LARGER SCHEME INVOLVING ALLEGED FORGED AND FRAUDULENT ESTATES DOCUMENTS, AS EVIDENCED AND EXHIBITED FURTHER HEREIN.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THOUGH SIMON SIGNED THE PAPERWORK TO CHANGE BENEFICIARIES IN JULY, HE HAD MADE THE DECISION TO DO SO WELL BEFORE THE MAY 10, 2012 FAMILY CONFERENCE CALL.

(P.17PP.42)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

42. THAT UPON THIS VISIT, PETITIONER'S SISTERS TOOK NOT ONLY ALL OF SHIRLEY'S CLOTHING AND PERSONAL EFFECTS BUT ALSO TOOK 50 YEARS OF JEWELRY AND OTHER VALUABLES SIMON AND SHIRLEY HAD ACCUMULATED WORTH AN ESTIMATED SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS AND WERE ASSETS OF THE ESTATES.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THE SISTERS DIDN'T JUST "TAKE" SHIRLEY'S BELONGINGS AND JEWELRY. SIMON ADMINISTERED EACH PIECE TO EACH GIRL AS HE KNEW WERE SHIRLEY'S WISHES AND FAIR. I WAS THERE AND WITNESSED IT.

(P.17PP.45)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

45. THAT SIMON STATED TO PETITIONER THAT HE HAD NEVER GIFTED, SOLD OR TRANSFERRED THE JEWELRY AND OTHER ITEMS THEY TOOK OUT OF THE ESTATES AND THEREFORE EVERYTHING THEY TOOK THAT WAS PART OF THE ESTATES WOULD ALL STILL BE PART OF THE ESTATES UPON HIS DEATH FOR DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO THE ESTATES PLANS TO THE PROPER BENEFICIARIES. SIMON STATED THAT PETITIONER'S SISTERS HAD INVENTORY LISTS OF THE JEWELRY AND THERE WAS AN INSURANCE POLICY ON THE ITEMS THAT THEY TOOK AND ALL WOULD BE RETURNED WHEN HE PASSED FOR EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION TO THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE ESTATES.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

SIMON MAY HAVE TOLD ELIOT THAT, AFTER HE LEARNED OF THE DIVISION OF SHIRLEY'S BELONGINGS, TO CALM ELIOT AFTER LEARNING THIS UPSET HIM.

(P.17PP46)

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 3
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT
 THAT PETITIONER DID NOT LEARN FROM THEODORE UNTIL AFTER SIMON'S DEATH THAT THEODORE WAS EXTREMELY ANGRY AT SIMON, PAMELA, LISA AND JIL UPON LEARNING THAT PETITIONER'S SISTERS TOOK SHIRLEY'S ENTIRE PERSONAL EFFECTS AND JEWELS AND LEFT HIM AND HIS CHILDREN NONE OF IT, NOT EVEN A KEEPSAKE.
 (WALKER'S RESPONSE)
 NOT TRUE. THERE IS A RING THAT WAS LEFT TO ALLY THAT EVERYONE KNOWS ABOUT.
 (P.18PP.47)

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT
 47. THAT UPON TRYING TO RECRUIT PETITIONER'S IMMEDIATE FAMILY TO JOIN AN ONGOING BOYCOTT AGAINST SIMON A FEW MONTHS AFTER SHIRLEY DIED, IT WAS TOLD TO PETITIONER BY THEODORE'S CHILDREN, ERIC BERNSTEIN ("ERIC"), MICHAEL BERNSTEIN ("MICHAEL") AND HISSTEP SON MATTHEW LOGAN ("MATTHEW") THAT THE REASON ALL THE CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN HADJOINED TOGETHER TO BOYCOTT SIMON, ACCORDING TO THEODORE AND PAMELA, WAS NOW DUE TO HISCOMPANION, PUCCIO.
 (WALKER'S RESPONSE)
 A "BOYCOTT" WAS NEVER PLANNED NOR INTENDED FOR THE SITUATION. THOSE KIDS HAD A PAST WITH MARITZA THAT ELIOT AND HIS FAMILY WERE UNAWARE OF. THEY HAD ILL FEELINGS AND EXPERIENCES WITH HER WHICH LED THEM TO DETEST HER RETURN INTO THEIR FAMILY'S LIFE AND RIGHTFULLY SO.
 (P.18PP.48)

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT
 48. THAT THEODORE'S CHILDREN WERE URGING PETITIONER AND HIS FAMILY TO GET ON BOARD AS THEY WERE ENABLING SIMON, AS PUCCIO THEY CLAIMED WAS AFTER HIS MONEY, STEALING HIS MONEY, HAD STOLEN MONEY FROM SHIRLEY AND SIMON IN THE PAST AND WAS NOW PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY ABUSING SIMON AND OTHER HORRIBLE ALLEGATIONS ABOUT HER. THEY CLAIMED THEY KNEW THINGS ABOUT PUCCIO'S PAST FROM WHEN SHE WORKED FOR THEIR FATHER AS A NANNY. THEY ALLEGED SHE HAD SWINDLED MONEY FROM SIMON REGARDING BREAST IMPLANT MONEY WHEN PUCCIO WORKED FOR SIMON AND SHIRLEY AND MORE. THEY STATED THEY HATED PUCCIO AND REFUSED TO ATTEND ANY FAMILY OCCASIONS WITH HER AS SHE WAS ONLY AFTER SIMON'S MONEY AND HE WAS TOO ENAMORED BY HER TO SEE CLEARLY. THEY STATED THAT SHIRLEY WAS ROLLING OVER IN HER GRAVE AS PUCCIO WOULD DESECRATE THEIR HOME AND ROB SIMON AND THAT PETITIONER MUST JOIN THE BOYCOTT.
 (WALKER'S RESPONSE)
 TRUE. THEY WERE CORRECT, HOWEVER, IT WASN'T A "BOYCOTT". THEY INFORMED

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 4
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

ELIOT OF OF THEIR EXPERIENCES WITH MARITZA, WHICH ELIOT HAD NO CLUE ABOUT
 SINCE HIS FAMILY WERE NOT IN THE PICTURE DURING THAT TIME.
 (P.18.PP.49)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

49. THAT PETITIONER AND CANDICE REFUSED TO PARTICIPATE IN SUCH A HURTFUL
 SCHEME AGAINST SIMON AND PUCCIO AND TOLD THEODORE'S CHILDREN THAT SIMON AND
 SHIRLEY WOULD BE ASHAMED OF THEIR BIZARRE AND CRUEL BEHAVIOR AND THAT THEY
 SHOULD NOTCONTINUE TO BOYCOTT SEEING SIMON AS IT WAS BREAKING HIS HEART AND
 DEPRESSING HIM AND TO TELL THEODORE AND ANYONE ELSE INVOLVED THAT WE THOUGHT
 THIS WAS A BAD IDEA. ESPECIALLY DISTURBING IS THAT THEODORE'S CHILDREN WERE
 PARTIALLY RAISED BY SIMON AND SHIRLEY, EVEN WHENTHEY WERE NOT WELL PHYSICALLY,
 FOR MANY YEARS AND EVEN MOVING THEODORE AND HIS CHILDREN INTO THEIR HOME FOR
 SEVERAL YEARS. THEY RAISED THEODORE'S CHILDREN DURING A LENGTHY PERSONAL AND
 FINANCIAL CRISIS THEODORE WENT THROUGH RESULTING IN HIS DECLARING BANKRUPTCY,
 DIVORCE, LOSS OF HIS HOME AND EVENTUAL TRAGIC OVERDOSE DEATH OF HIS EX-WIFE
 AND RESULTINGLOSS TO THE CHILDREN OF A MOTHER.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THEY DID NOT "BOYCOTT" SEEING SIMON, THEY REFUSED TO SEE SIMON WHEN HE WAS
 WITH MARITZA. THEY HAD MANY DATES WITH SIMON WITHOUT MARITZA. SIMON TRIED TO
 PUSH MARITZA ON EVERYONE IN A VERY UNCOMFORTABLE WAY ESPECIALLY NOT TAKING
 THEIR PERSONAL FEELINGS INTO ACCOUNT. HE WAS VERY MENTALLY MIXED UP AFTER
 SHIRLEY PASSED.
 (P.18PP.51)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

51. THAT AFTER LEARNING OF THIS EXACT PLOY AGAINST SIMON BY ALL OF
 PETITIONER'S SIBLINGS, THEIR SPOUSES AND EVEN THEIR CHILDREN, PETITIONER WROTE
 LETTERS AT SIMON'S REQUEST TO THEODORE, TO HAVE HIM STATE EXACTLY WHAT WAS
 GOING AND WHY HE WAS NOT ATTENDING THE JEWISH HOLIDAY OF PASSOVER WITH HIS
 FATHER WHO WAS STILL IN MOURNING AT PETITIONER'S HOUSE. THAT THESE
 CORRESPONDENCES ARE ATTACHED HEREIN AS, EXHIBIT 1 - EMAIL CORRESPONDENCES
 THEODORE AND ELIOT, AND WHEREIN THEODORE CL *MS, "MY PRIMARY FAMILY IS DEBORAH
 AND OUR FOUR CHILDREN. THEY COME FIRST, BEFORE ANYTHING AND ANYONE. THE
 FAMILY I WAS BORN INTO IS NO LONGER, THAT IS JUST A FACT, IT IS NOT A MATTER
 OF OPINION, IT JUST IS."

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

IT'S NOT A CRAZY NOTION. THEY ALL TOLD SIMON THAT HE WAS WELCOMED BUT
 MARITZA IS NOT. SO, ULTIMATELY, IT WAS SIMON'S DECISION TO CHOSE MARITZA OVER
 HIS FAMILY.
 (P.19PP.53)

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 5
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

53. THAT THE BOYCOTT BY SIMON'S OTHER FOUR CHILDREN AND SEVEN GRANDCHILDREN SENT SIMON INTO DEEP DEPRESSION, WHICH HE BEGAN PSYCHOTHERAPY TO ATTEMPT TO COPE WITH. PETITIONER'S IMMEDIATE FAMILY INCREASED THEIR WEEKLY VISITS TO FILL THE LOSS AND SO BEGAN SEEING SIMON 2-3 TIMES A WEEK OR MORE, TRYING TO SPEND AS MUCH TIME WITH HIM AS HE WAS NOW NOT ONLY SUFFERING FROM THE LOSS OF SHIRLEY WHOM HE LOVED PROFUSELY BUT NOW SUFFERED THE CATASTROPHIC LOSS OF ALMOST HIS ENTIRE FAMILY SUPPOSEDLY OVER HIS GIRLFRIEND.

WALKER'S RESPONSE

THIS IS SIMPLY UNTRUE. SIMON WAS PERPLEXED BY MORE THAN THAT. HE WAS ULTIMATELY DEPRESSED BY THE LOSS OF SHIRLEY AND DIDN'T KNOW HOW TO COPE AND THEREFORE COVERED HIS PAIN WITH THIS MADE UP FANTASY OF HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH MARITZA.

P.19PP.56

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

56. THAT DURING THE TIME FROM SHIRLEY'S DEATH TO SIMON'S DEATH ALL OF SIMON'S CHILDREN BUT PETITIONER BOYCOTTED THEIR FATHER AND HATED ON PUCCIO INCESSANTLY, EVEN AFTER THE MAY 12, 2012 MEETING WITH TS WHERE ALL OF THESE MATTERS WERE TO BE PUT TO REST BY THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE 2008 TRUST OF SIMON. AFTER THE MAY 12, 2012 MEETING IT IS BELIEVED THAT JILL FLEW OUT ONCE MORE TO SEE SIMON WITH HER DAUGHTER AND WOULD NOT STAY WITH SIMON IN HIS HOME BECAUSE OF PUCCIO AND THE TRIP WENT SOUR AS SIMON REFUSED TO LEAVE HIS GIRLFRIEND PUCCIO AT HOME.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

NOT TRUE. JILL, JULIA AND I ALL STAYED AT THE CONDO. JILL MADE A VALIANT EFFORT TO SEE HER FATHER AND NOT LET HIS PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH MARITZA TAINT THEIRS. WE ALL WENT TO LUNCH TOGETHER AND PUCCIO SHOWED UP LATE AND THEN LEFT BEFORE SITTING AT THE TABLE DUE TO HER OWN INSECURITIES. THAT SAME EVENING WE ALL WENT TO DINNER TOGETHER, INCLUDING MARITZA, AND EVERYTHING WAS FINE. SIMON ACTUALLY CHOSE TO HAVE FATHER'S DAY BRUNCH THE NEXT MORNING WITH MARITZA AND HER FRIENDS INSTEAD OF HIS OWN DAUGHTER AND GRANDDAUGHTER.

(P.19PP.57)

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

57. THAT THE EXCLUSION FROM THE ESTATES APPEARS NOW TO HAVE BEEN THE BANE OF THEODORE AND PAMELA'S ANGER ALL ALONG AND THE REAL CAUSE OF THEIR BOYCOTT OF SIMON, NOT PUCCIO, NOR WALKER, AND IT APPEARS THEY HAD RECRUITED LISA AND JILL INTO THE SCHEME ALSO BASED ON CONCERN OVER PUCCIO HURTING AND ROBBING THEIR FATHER, NOT ON THE FACT THEY WERE ANGRY OVER THE ESTATES PLANS. HAVING PUCCIO AS THE FOCUS OF THE BOYCOTT COULD GET ALL THE

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 6
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

CHILDREN TO PARTICIPATE IN THE BOYCOTT IN CONCERN ND DESIGNED TO MAKE SIMON SUFFER WHOLLY THROUGH THE TOTAL LOSS OF HIS CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN AND ALLEGEDLY TRY TO FORCE HIM TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE ESTATES PLANS OR SUFFER NEVER SEEING OR TALKINGTO ANY OF THEM AGAIN.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THIS IS ONLY SPECULATION OF ELIOT

(P.20 PP.58)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

58. THAT IN THE MAY 12, 2012 MEETING, SIMON CLEARLY STATED THAT THE REASON HE WAS MAKING THESE CHANGES WAS TO RESOLVE FAMILY PROBLEMS CAUSED BY THE EXCLUSION OF THEODORE AND PAMELA THAT WERE CAUSING HIM TOO MUCH STRESS. CLEARLY SIMON WAS UNDER UNDUE PRESSURE TO CONTEMPLATE MAKING THESE CHANGES, DESPERATE TO SEE HIS CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN AND PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY BEATEN DOWN. AT THIS MAY 12, 2012 MEETING, PETITIONER LEARNED THAT THIS ASSAULT MAY HAVE BEEN DUE TO THEODORE AND PAMELA'S ANGER OVER THEIR EXCLUSION AND CLAIMING THE BUSINESSES THEY HAD ACQUIRED WERE NOT DOING AS WELL AS WHEN THEY ACQUIRED THEM AND THEY WANTED BACK IN ON THE REMAINING ESTATES ASSETS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THE CHANGES WEREN'T MADE BECAUSE HE HADN'T SEEN HIS FAMILY MEMBERS. HE HADN'T SEEN HIS FAMILY MEMBERS BECAUSE HE CHOSE PUCCIO OVER SEEING THEM. HE MADE THE CHANGES BECAUSE EVEN THOUGH HE AND SHIRLEY ALREADY AGREED THEIR PLAN WAS FAIR, HE DECIDED TO SKIP THE CHILDREN DUE TO ARGUMENTS AND FELT IT WAS FAIR FOR THE GRANDCHILDREN WITHOUT ANY FURTHER ARGUMENTS.

(P.21 PP.71II)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

71. THAT IN THE EIGHT WEEKS FROM JULY 15, 2012 WHEN SIMON ALLEGEDLY SIGNED THE IMPROPERLY NOTARIZED AND IMPROPERLY WITNESSED ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST AND THE TIME SIMON PASSED ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012, HIS HEALTH WENT WHOLLY DOWNHILL TO HIS SUDDEN AND UNEXPECTED DEATH. IN THE EIGHT WEEKS AFTER HE SUPPOSEDLY SIGNED THE ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST, SIMON,

II. WAS DELIRIOUS, CONFUSED AND SUFFERING FROM HALLUCINATIONS AND FAINTING SPELLS,

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

FAINTING AND DIZZY SPELLS DIDN'T HAPPEN UNTIL LATE AUGUST/EARLY SEPTEMBER.

(P.21PP.71IV)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

71 . THAT IN THE EIGHT WEEKS FROM JULY 15, 2012 WHEN SIMON ALLEGEDLY

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 7
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

SIGNED THE IMPROPERLY NOTARIZED AND IMPROPERLY WITNESSED ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST AND THE TIME SIMON PASSED ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012, HIS HEALTH WENT WHOLLY DOWNHILL TO HIS SUDDEN AND UNEXPECTED DEATH. IN THE EIGHT WEEKS AFTER HE SUPPOSEDLY SIGNED THE ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST, SIMON,

IV. WAS GIVEN AN IMPROPER PILL OF AMBIEN BY PUCCIO, ALONG WITH AN UNKNOWN AMOUNT OF PRESCRIBED PAIN MEDICINE ON SEPTEMBER 08, 2012, CAUSING PUCCIO TO PANIC AND STATE THAT SHE MAY HAVE CAUSED HIM HARM. PUCCIO CALLED PETITIONER'S HOME WORRIED AS ALL NIGHT AS HE HAD NOT SLEPT WATCHING OVER SIMON AND NOW WANTED TO RUSH SIMON TO THE HOSPITAL. PUCCIO ASKED CANDICE TO COME TO THE HOME IMMEDIATELY AS SHE THOUGHT HE MAY BE DYING AND EVALUATE HIS CONDITION. PUCCIO CLAIMED HE WAS HALLUCINATING AND DELIRIOUS AND SPEAKING TO HIS MOTHER ON THE BED, PROMPTING CANDICE TO IMMEDIATELY GO TO SIMON'S HOME TO ASSESS HIS HEALTH. SIMON THEN WENT TO DR. IRA PARDO, MD ("PARDO") OF BOCA RATON WITH PUCCIO WHERE WHERE SIMON WAS CLEARED OF ANY DANGER AND LET HOME BY PARDO ACCORDING TO BY PARDO ACCORDING TO PUCCIO.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THIS WAS THE SUNDAY PRIOR TO SIMON'S PASSING THAT I WAS CALLED TO COME OVER AND SIMON WAS TOTALLY OUT OF IT. THIS IS THE DAY I TOOK ALL OF HIS MEDICATIONS AND HID THEM FROM HIM BECAUSE HE COULDN'T REMEMBER WHAT OR WHEN HE DID ANYTHING. I LEFT A LIST FOR MARITZA TO ADMINISTER HIS MEDS WHEN AND HOW MUCH AND NOT TO LEAVE HIM ALONE AT THE HOUSE OR IN A ROOM AS HE COULD HARM HIMSELF. I ALSO FOUND VICODIN IN HIS LITTLE HEART PILL CONSOLE HE KEEPS ON HIM AT ALL TIMES. I ACTUALLY STILL HAVE A 30 MINUTE RECORDING ON MY PHONE WHICH I LEFT IN THE KITCHEN SECRETLY WITH MARITZA AND SIMON AS I WENT UPSTAIRS TO GATHER HIS MEDICINES. I CAN'T REALLY HEAR MUCH OF WHAT IS SAID ON IT BUT MAYBE A PROFESSIONAL CAN IF YOU THINK THIS WOULD BE PRUDENT TO THE CASE.
 (P.22PP76)

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

76. THAT SIMON WAS TAKEN TO THE HOSPITAL SUFFERING FROM PAIN, BLOATING, DIZZINESS AND MENTAL CONFUSION AND DISORIENTATION AND IN SEVERE PAIN. HE SPENT THE DAY DOING TESTS AND MEETING WITH HEART AND INFECTIOUS DISEASE PHYSICIANS. AT FIRST, EARLY IN THE DAY, DOCTORS ADVISED PETITIONER THAT HIS FATHER HAD SUFFERED A HEART ATTACK. PETITIONER IMMEDIATELY CONTACTED HIS SIBLINGS TO NOTIFY THEM OF THE PERIL SIMON WAS IN AND HAVE THEM GET TO THE HOSPITAL ASAP. JILL AND LISA IMMEDIATELY HOPED ON THE NEXT PLANE OUT OF CHICAGO AND ARRIVED SEVERAL HOURS LATER. THEODORE CLAIMED TO HAVE TO ATTEND A MEETING BEFORE COMING AND ARRIVED BOCA SEVERAL HOURS LATER AND BEGAN TO REQUEST A VARIETY OF CARDIOLOGISTS PERSONALLY KNOWN TO HIM TO TREAT SIMON AND NONE OF THEM CAME, DELAYING GETTING ANYTHING DONE FOR A FEW MORE HOURS. SIMON'S NORMAL CARDIOLOGIST, SETH J. BAUM, MD, FACC, FACPM, FAHA, FNLA COULD

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 8
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

NOT HANDLE THE CASE DUE TO SOME FORM OF CONFLICT WITH THE HOSPITAL BUT HE WAS TO HAVE SENT HIS MEDICAL RECORDS TO THE HOSPITAL. IN THE END THE HOSPITAL'S CARDIOLOGIST WAS APPOINTED AS ATTENDING CARDIOLOGIST.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

DR. BAUM WAS WEIRDLY UNAVAILABLE FOR SEVERAL HOURS BEFORE LEARNING THAT HE COULDN'T TREAT SIMON AT THAT HOSPITAL. WE CALLED MANY TIMES STATING AN EMERGENCY AND REQUESTING DOCUMENTS AND HE IGNORED. COMPLETELY OUT OF CHARACTER FOR HIM.
 (P.24PP.86)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

86. THAT THE HOSPITAL STATED THAT WITHOUT PAPERS TO THE CONTRARY, PETITIONER WAS THE DESIGNATED PERSON IN CHARGE OF ANY MEDICAL DECISIONS FOR SIMON AND SOPETITIONER STATED THAT THEY SHOULD CONTINUE TO RESUSCITATE SIMON, AT LEAST UNTIL A DOCTORCOULD ARRIVE TO DETERMINE HIS CONDITION AND MAKE DETERMINATION AS TO WHAT WAS CAUSING THISSUDDEN AND BIZARRE MELTDOWN OF HIS VITAL ORGANS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

UPON ARRIVAL TO THE HOSPITAL THAT MORNING, ELIOT HAD TAKEN IT UPON HIMSELF TO DESIGNATE HIMSELF AS SIMON'S HEALTH CARE PROXY. IT IS KNOWN TO ALL THE FAMILY THAT SIMON'S LIVING WILL STATES TO NOT RESUSCITATE IF QUALITY OF LIFE DETERIORATES.
 (P.24PP.87)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

87. THAT SEVERAL MORE RESUSCITATIONS WERE NECESSARY AND ALL OF THE OTHER SIBLINGS WANTED PETITIONER TO "PULL THE PLUG" INSTANTLY WITH NO FURTHER LIFESAVING EFFORTS AND LET HIM DIE, CLAIMING HE WANTED TO BE WITH SHIRLEY AND SO NO FURTHER EFFORTS SHOULD BE MADE TO SAVE HIS LIFE AND TELLING HIM TO GO BE WITH HER AND MORE.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THE AMOUNT OF RESUSCITATIONS DONE BY STAFF AND DOCTORS WAS BEYOND THEIR EXPERT ADVICE BUT WITHOUT SIMON'S LIVING WILL IN HAND ELIOT KEPT MAKING THE DECISION TO RESUSCITATE UNTIL THE DOCTOR FINALLY CAME OUT AND SAID THAT ITS NEARLY ABUSE TO HIS BODY AT THIS POINT. THOUGH IN ELIOT'S DEFENSE HE WAS IN COMPLETE DESPAIR AND UNABLE TO TAKE IN THE HORRIBLE REALITY CLOUDED HIS DECISION MAKING.
 (P.24PP.89)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

89. THAT UNBEKNOWNST TO PETITIONER, DURING THE LIFE SAVING EFFORTS WALKER ALLEGEDLY WAS ORDERED TO GO TO THE HOME AND RETRIEVE WILLS AND TRUSTS OF SIMON BY THEODORE THAT MIGHT HAVE A LIVING WILL AND ADVANCE DIRECTIVES FOR

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 9
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

MEDICAL DECISIONS, AS THE SIBLINGS FELT THAT PETITIONER WOULD NOT STOP WHEN SIMON WOULD HAVE WANTED THEM TO STOP AND LET HIM DIE WITHOUT FURTHER ATTEMPTS AT RESUSCITATION. THE SITUATION WAS NOT HOWEVER LIKE SIMON WAS IN A VEGETATIVE STATE FOR A PERIOD OF TIME AND WE WERE DECIDING TO DISCONTINUE LIFE SUPPORT AFTER CAREFUL CONSIDERATION. PETITIONER ALSO WAS UNAWARE THAT CANDICE HAD BEEN SENT TO SIMON'S TO ACCOMPANY WALKER.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

TRUE. SIMON WAS IN A VEGETATIVE STATE AS ADVISED BY THE ER DOCTOR.
(P.25PP.92)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

92. THAT WITHIN MINUTES AFTER SIMON'S DEATH, PETITIONER WAS INSTRUCTED BY THEODORE TO GO IMMEDIATELY TO SIMON'S HOUSE TO MAKE SURE THAT HIS COMPANION PUCCIO WAS NOT ROBBING THE HOUSE, WHICH SEEMED STRANGE TO PETITIONER. PETITIONER WONDERED WHY PUCCIO, CANDICE AND WALKER HAD LEFT THE HOSPITAL IN THE FIRST PLACE PRIOR TO SIMON'S PASSING AND THEODORE CLAIMED PUCCIO WAS GOING TO ROB THE SAFE AND HOME AND HAD LEFT SOME TIME AGO AND HE HAD SENT WALKER AND CANDICE TO WATCH HER AND GET SOME PAPERWORK HE NEEDED FROM THE HOME FOR THE HOSPITAL.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

IT WASN'T WEIRD TO GO AND KEEP AN EYE ON MARITZA. EARLIER IN THE DAY I HAD OVERHEARD MARITZA TRY TO MAKE A COUPLE OF STUPID EXCUSES TO LEAVE THE HOSPITAL BEDSIDE OF HER SUPPOSED LOVE/BF AND I CALLED HER OUT ON IT AND SO THEN MADE SURE I WENT TO THE HOUSE BEFORE SHE HAD A CHANCE TO AND GATHERED ALL CHECKS, CHECKBOOKS, AND SIMON'S WALLET FOR SAFEKEEPING.
(P.25PP.95)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

95. THAT IN THE PARKING LOT OF THE HOSPITAL WALKER STATED TO PETITIONER THAT SHE WAS INSTRUCTED TO GET DOCUMENTS TO GIVE THEODORE, ANY DOCUMENTS REGARDING THE WILLS AND TRUSTS SHE WAS TO REMOVE FROM THE ESTATE AND NOW HELD IN HER HANDS. SHE CLAIMED THEODORE NEEDED THEM AS THEY CONTAINED IMPORTANT ESTATE AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FOR THE HOSPITAL. WALKER THEN URGED PETITIONER AND CANDICE TO RETURN TO THE HOME TO WATCH OVER PUCCIO, AS WALKER CLAIMED SHE HAD TO BRING THEODORE THE DOCUMENTS IMMEDIATELY FOR THE HOSPITAL PAPERWORK AND DID NOT TRUST PUCCIO. THAT WALKER WAS CONVINCED AT THAT TIME THAT PUCCIO MAY HAVE MURDERED SIMON THROUGH POISON OR OVERDOSE.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

ABSOLUTELY UNTRUE.
(P.26PP.102)

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 10
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

102. THAT SHORTLY AFTER THE SHERIFFS ARRIVED AT SIMON'S, THEODORE, JILL AND LISA SHOWED UP AT SIMON'S HOUSE WITH WALKER, IN ORDER TO GIVE STATEMENTS REGARDING THE ACCUSATIONS THAT PUCCIO HAD MURDERED SIMON BY POISONING HIM OR OVERDOSING HIM WITH MEDICATIONS. THAT WALKER CLAIMED THAT PUCCIO WAS SWITCHING PAIN PILLS WITH HIS NITRO PILLS WITH INTENT WHILE HE WAS CONFUSED AND THAT TOO MANY PAIN PILLS WERE BEING MIXED WITH OTHER UNKNOWNNS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

YES, TRUE AND CANDICE HAD ALSO INFORMED ME THAT MARITZA SNEAKILY GAVE SIMON A BIG WHITE PILL THAT LOOKED LIKE THE VICODIN, THINKING NO ONE WAS WATCHING.

(P.27PP.104)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

104. THAT LATER THAT AFTERNOON ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012, THEODORE STATED THAT HE HAD JUST SPOKEN WITH TESCHER AND SPALLINA AND THAT HE WAS APPOINTED TO ACT AS THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE/EXECUTOR/SUCCESSOR OF THE ESTATES FOR THE REAL ESTATE AND PERSONAL PROPERTIES AND TESCHER AND SPALLINA WERE ALSO PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES. THAT ACCORDING TO THEODORE THE ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST OF SIMON NOW GAVE TS, SPALLINA AND TESCHER, THE AUTHORITY TO ACT AS TRUSTEES AND PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE SOVER THE ESTATES AND HE CLAIMED THEY HAD CHOSEN HIM AS A PERSONALREPRESENTATIVE/EXECUTOR/SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE BECAUSE HE WAS THE OLDEST SURVIVING CHILD.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

TO MY KNOWLEDGE, BEFORE THE PASSING OF SHIRLEY OR SIMON, TED HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE FIDUCIARY OF SHIRLEY'S ESTATE AND THE PROPERTIES THAT WERE IN HER NAME.

(P.28PP.114)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

114. THAT UP UNTIL THE DAY OF SIMON'S DEATH, WALKER MAINTAINED KEYS AND ALARM CODES TO HIS HOME, AS SHE HAD DONE FOR SEVERAL YEARS PRIOR, HOWEVER SUDDENLY ON THE DAY SIMON DIED SHE STATED SHE NO LONGER HAD THE HOUSE KEYS, THE ALARM CODES AND DID NOT HAVE THE RIGHT COMBINATION TO OPEN THE PERSONAL SAFE OF SIMON, CLAIMING SIMON MUST HAVE JUST CHANGED THE CODE ON HIS SAFE DAYS BEFORE HIS DEATH AND SHE HAD LOST HER KEYS.

WALKER'S RESPONSE

CORRECT. THE CODE TO THE SAFE HAD BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT MY NOTICE. HOWEVER, I STILL HAD KEYS, COMBINATIONS AND GARAGE DOOR OPENERS UNTIL CHANGED BY THE FAMILY, WHICH VERY WELL COULD'VE BEEN THE NEXT DAY I DON'T REMEMBER.

(P.28PP.115)

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 11
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

115. THAT WALKER HAD BEEN RESIDING IN SHIRLEY AND SIMON'S HOME UNTIL SEVERAL WEEKS BEFORE SIMON'S DEATH AND HAD MOVED FROM THE HOME DUE TO PROBLEMS THAT HAD ARISEN WITH HER AND PUCCIO AND SIMON COULD NO LONGER HANDLE THE ADDITIONAL STRESS. WHERE WALKER HAD JOINED WITH SIMON'S OTHER CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN IN HATING ON PUCCIO AND BEGAN CLAIMING SHE WAS AFTER HIS MONEY, ABUSING HIM AND MORE. THAT THIS FEUDING LED TO WALKER AND SIMON ATTENDING THERAPY TOGETHER AND FINALLY WALKER MOVING OUT. SIMON FELT BETRAYED BY WALKER WHO HE HAD CONSIDERED LIKE A DAUGHTER SIDING WITH HIS CHILDREN AND GOING AGAINST PUCCIO WITH SUCH ANGER, YET HE KEPT HER EMPLOYED AND SHE SHOWED UP AT HIS HOME ALMOST DAILY UNTIL HIS DEATH FOR WORK.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

I DID NOT MOVE OUT BECAUSE OF PROBLEMS WITH MARITZA. NOR DID MY RELATIONSHIP PHASE SIMON AT ALL OR CAUSE HIM ANY STRESS. I MOVED OUT BECAUSE SIMON THOUGHT IT WAS TIME FOR ME TO LIVE MY OWN LIFE AND NOT WORRY ABOUT HIM ANY LONGER AND WAS HAVING MARITZA MOVE IN. I DIDN'T JOIN ANY SAID "BOYCOTT". I SAW SIMON'S RELATIONSHIP WITH MARITZA MORE THAN ANYONE ELSE AND HAD GOOD REASON TO DISAPPROVE OF HIM INVESTING SO MUCH INTO HER. SIMON NEVER FELT BETRAYED BY ME. HE KNEW I WANTED WHAT WAS BEST FOR HIM, WHICH EXCLUDED MARITZA, BUT BEING THE STUBBORN PERSON HE WAS HE DID WHAT HE ULTIMATELY WANTED TO DO AND NO ONE COULD INFLUENCE HIM OTHERWISE, RIGHT OR WRONG.
 (P.30PP.127)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

127. THAT PETITIONER LEARNED LATER FROM WALKER THAT SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS SHE REMOVED FROM THE ESTATE INCLUDED A CONTRACT SIMON HAD MADE PERTAINING TO PUCCIO AND A CHECK MADE OUT TO HER.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

IT WASN'T DAYS LATER. THE NIGHT SIMON WAS IN THE HOSPITAL AND WE WERE SENT HOME UNTIL THE NEXT SET OF VISITING HOURS CANDICE, ELIOT AND I WENT TO DINNER AND I SHOWED THEM THE DOCUMENT AND ASKED WHAT TO DO WITH IT. THEY ADVISED ME TO HANG ONTO IT AND THAT IT'S NOT SIGNED AND WAS CREATED WHILE SIMON WAS COMPLETELY PSYCHOTIC SO IT HELD NO WORTH. THE CHECK WAS NOT MADE OUT TO HER, IT WAS COMPLETELY BLACK AND TAKEN FROM THE BACK OF THE CHECKBOOK.
 (P.30PP.128)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

128. THAT LATER UPON QUESTIONING THEODORE AGAIN ABOUT THE CONTENTS OF THE PACKAGE AND IF HE HAD DOCUMENTS FOR PUCCIO, HE INITIALLY DENIED HE HAD ANY PUCCIO DOCUMENTS UNTIL PETITIONER NOTIFIED THEODORE THAT WALKER HAD TOLD HIM OF DOCUMENTS FOR PUCCIO THAT SHE HAD TAKEN FROM THE HOME AND GIVEN TO HIM AND

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 12
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 O F F E N S E R E P O R T CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

FURTHER THAT WALKER CLAIMED SHE HAD
 DISCUSSED THEM WITH HIM AT THE HOSPITAL.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

DIDN'T DISCUSS WITH TED AT THE HOSPITAL

(P.30PP.130)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

130. THAT PETITIONER THEN NOTIFIED THEODORE THAT SIMON HAD PERSONALLY
 INFORMED PETITIONER OF A DOCUMENT AND CHECK FOR PUCCIO IN THE HOSPITAL ON
 SEPTEMBER 12, 2012 THAT HE WANTED HER TO HAVE IN THE EVENT ANYTHING HAPPENED
 TO HIM IN THE HOSPITAL.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THIS PARAGRAPH IS EITHER A BLATENT LIE OR COMPLETELY MISCONSTRUED MEMORY
 OF ELIOT'S. SIMON NEVER SAID SUCH A THING TO ELIOT NOR DID ELIOT OR CANDICE
 HAVE ANY IDEA OF SUCH DOCUMENT UNTIL I SHOWED THEM AT DINNER. THEY WERE IN
 DISAGREEMENT OF THE DOCUMENT THAT NIGHT ALSO SO I DON'T KNOW HOW THIS MEMORY
 WAS CHANGED IN THEIR HEADS. ALL SIMON SAID TO ALL OF US CONSTANTLY WHILE HE
 WAS IN THE HOSPITAL BED WAS TO MAKE SURE "THEY" DIDN'T HURT HER. THEY BEING
 HIS FAMILY MEMBERS.

(P.31PP.135)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

135. THAT IN THE PARKING LOT OF THE HOSPITAL WALKER ALSO EXCHANGED WHAT
 SHE THOUGHT WAS A GIFT SHE HAD FOR PETITIONER AND WHEN CANDICE OPENED IT ON
 THE WAY TO SIMON'S IT HAD 5-6 LARGE RED PILLS INSIDE. THAT WHEN THEY
 CONTACTED WALKER ON THE WAY TO SIMON'S TO FIND OUT WHAT THESE PILLS WERE AND
 WHO THEY WERE FOR, SHE CLAIMED THAT THEY WERE HER PILLS, NOT SIMON'S AND
 STATED SHE GAVE PETITIONER THE WRONG PACKAGE AND TO THROW THEM AWAY.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

NOT TRUE. ONLY BIG RED PILLS I HAVE EVER TAKEN WERE DIET PILLS AND IF I
 DID GIVE SOME TO CANDICE THAT WASN'T OUT OF THE ORDINARY. I NEVER SAID TO
 FORGET IT AND THAT THOSE PILLS WERE MEANT FOR SOMEONE ELSE THOUGH. ANOTHER
 MISCONSTRUED MEMORY OF ELIOT'S.

(P.31PP.136)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

136. THAT PETITIONER ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012 UPON TRYING TO LOG IN TO
 SIMON'S COMPUTER AT HIS HOME TO GET HIS PERSONAL FRIENDS CONTACT INFORMATION
 TO NOTIFY THEM OF SIMON'S PASSING NOTICED THAT THE HARD DRIVES ON ALL OF
 SIMON'S COMPUTERS IN HIS HOME WERE MISSING OR SCRUBBED AND PETITIONER FOUND
 THIS HIGHLY IRREGULAR. THEODORE STATED HE WOULD LOOK INTO WHERE THEY HAD GONE
 AND QUESTION SEVERAL PEOPLE WHO HANDLED SIMON'S COMPUTERS AT HIS OFFICE AND

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 13
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

HOME IF THEY KNEW ANYTHING. TO THIS DATE THOSE ITEMS APPEAR TO HAVE BEEN TAKEN FROM THE ESTATE AND NEVER RECOVERED.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

NOT TRUE. ONLY THE COMPUTER IN THE OFFICE ON THE SECOND FLOOR SEEMED THAT WAY AS IT WAS NEW BECAUSE THE OLD COMPUTER HAD CRASHED. HOWEVER, OUR IT GUY, KEITH RESIG, WAS ABLE TO RETRIEVE MOST OF THE INFORMATION FROM THE OLD COMPUTER AND WAS ON A DROPBOX WHICH JUST NEEDED TO BE DOWNLOADED TO THE NEW COMPUTER.

(P.31PP.139)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

139. THAT ACCORDING TO SPALLINA A HERITAGE UNION LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY INSURANCE POLICY NO. 1009208 ON SIMON ("HERITAGE POLICY") WAS ALSO NOW MISSING FROM THE ESTATES RECORDS. SEE EXHIBIT 6 - EMAILS REGARDING LOST HERITAGE POLICY. THAT THE HERITAGE POLICY IS REINSURED BY REASSURE AMERICAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY ("RALIC"), WHO HAS BECOME INVOLVED IN THE INSURANCE MATTERS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

DON'T KNOW HOW ANY DOCUMENTS FROM HERITAGE UNION LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY WERE MISSING. WE HAD JUST HAD DIANA SEND IN A CHECK TO THEM IN AUGUST BEFORE THE POLICY RAN OUT FOR NON PAYMENT.

(P.55PP.266)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

266. THAT ACCORDING TO PATRICIA FITZMAURICE, L.C.S.W., P.A., ("FITZMAURICE") SIMON'S THERAPIST, IN A SESSION WITH PETITIONER AND CANDICE INFORMED THEM THAT SIMON HAD CONVEYED TO HER THAT HIS NET WORTH WAS APPROXIMATELY US\$30,000,000.00 SHORTLY BEFORE HIS DEATH.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

FITZMAURICE IS MISTAKEN OR ELIOT HEARD HER INCORRECTLY AS SIMON SAYING HE WAS ONCE WORTH THAT MUCH. SINCE THE CRASH OF 2008 THE BERNSTEIN'S HAD TO TAKE OUT A LINE OF CREDIT A COUPLE OF TIMES TO MAKE ENDS MEET AND SINCE THEN HE WAS NEVER WORTH MORE THAN \$10 MILLION. I CAN TELL YOU THAT SIMON AT ALL TIMES HAD ABOUT \$3MILLION INVESTED THROUGH JP MORGAN IN ADDITION TO OTHER ACCOUNTS WITH THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS. THE DAY HE PASSED HE HAD \$70,000.00 SOMETHING IN HIS MAIN CHECKING ACCOUNT (WHICH I PAID BILLS WITH). THEN APPARENTLY AFTER HANDING ALL THE INFO OVER TO THE ESTATE WE WERE TOLD THERE IS NOTHING.

(P.55PP.267)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

267. THAT ACCORDING TO PUCCIO, SIMON HAD TOLD HER THAT THE ESTATE WAS WORTH BETWEEN US\$20,000,000.00 TO \$30,000,000.00 AT VARIOUS TIMES, WITH

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 14
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

MONIES ALREADY PUT AWAY AND PROTECTED FOR PETITIONER AND HIS FAMILY FOR SCHOOL, HOME AND OTHER ITEMS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

SIMON WAS PROBABLY EMBELLISHING FOR HIS EGO. HE DID NOT POSSESS THAT MUCH IN ASSETS AT ONCE FOR YEARS. HOWEVER, SHIRLEY AND SIMON HAD ALWAYS TOLD ME THAT THERE IS A SEPARATE, ACCOUNT/TRUST/SOMETHING SET UP TO TAKE CARE OF THEIR GRANDCHILDREN'S SCHOOL AND HOME SHOULD THEY PASS ON.
(P.57PP.279)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

279. THAT SIMON HAD AN ESTIMATED TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN STANFORD GROUP COMPANY INVESTMENT ACCOUNTS HANDLED BY PRIVATE BANKING REPRESENTATIVE, CHRISTOPHER R. PRINDLE WHO IS NOW WITH J.P. MORGAN PRIVATE BANK

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

I DON'T WANT TO COMMENT TOO MUCH ON THE FINANCIALS MANAGED OUTSIDE OF MY EVERYDAY DUTIES BUT TO MY KNOWLEDGE THROUGH CONVERSATIONS WITH BOTH SHIRLEY AND SIMON, THAT STANFORD NO LONGER HOLDS ANY MONEY OF THE BERNSTEINS BECAUSE OF THE LOSSES DUE TO STANFORD'S PONZI SCHEME AROUND 2008/2009.
P.89PP.406

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

406. THAT THE FIRST THING THAT MAKES NO SENSE IN THE ACCUSATIONS BY PETITIONER'S SIBLINGS OF MURDER BY PUCCIO IS THAT PUCCIO APPEARED TO HAVE NO BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN THE ESTATES OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY AND THUS NO KNOWN MOTIVE OR BENEFIT FOR MURDER.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

MARITZA HAD NO ESTATE INTERESTS, HOWEVER THE ESTATE WAS DEPLETING WEEKLY AS, IN LAYMANS TERMS, SHE WAS BEING PAID BY THE ESTATE TO "BE WITH" SIMON. MONEY WAS TRANSFERRED TO SABADELL BANK WHERE SIMON KEPT AN ACCOUNT FOR HER IN HIS NAME. THIS ACCOUNT WAS USED TO FUND HER FAMILY IN VENEZUELA AND HERSELF. SHE ALREADY MADE MANY "AGREEMENTS" FOR LARGE SUMS OF MONEY FOR "DATING" SIMON BERNSTEIN. BUT MONTHS LEADING UP TO SIMON'S DEATH SHE WAS REPULSED BY HIM TO WHERE SHE COULDN'T BE IN THE SAME ROOM AS HIM, DIDN'T SLEEP IN THE SAME ROOM AS HIM ANYMORE AND CONSTANTLY MADE UP EXCUSES TO LEAVE THE HOUSE WITHOUT HIM. SHE CONFIDED IN ME THAT SHE COULDN'T STAND TO BE AROUND HIM ANYMORE AND WANTED TO LEAVE BUT FINANCIALLY COULDN'T DO THAT TO HERSELF OR HER FAMILY SO SHE "PUT UP WITH HIM".
(P.89PP.407)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

407. THAT LATER, AFTER THE SHERIFF HAD LEFT, WALKER TOLD PETITIONER AND CANDICE THAT IN THE ESTATES DOCUMENTS SHE REMOVED FROM THE HOME THERE WAS A

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 15
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

CHECK AND AN AGREEMENT SIMON HAD EXECUTED FOR PUCCIO, THAT INURED AN ESTIMATED \$100,000.00 TO PUCCIO IF SIMON WERE TO DIE, WHICH WALKER THEN REMOVED BOTH DOCUMENTS FROM THE ESTATES AND TRANSFERRED THEM TO THEODORE THE NIGHT OF SIMON'S DEATH, WHO THEN ALLEGEDLY TRANSFERRED THEM TO SPALLINA A FEW WEEKS LATER, AS ALREADY DISCUSSED HEREIN.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THIS SAID DOCUMENT WAS NOT CREATED IN SOUND MIND BY SIMON. CHECK WAS NEVER FILLED OUT, IT WAS BLANK, AND THE TERMS OF THE CONTRACT WERE NEVER MET SO IT'S NULL AND VOID.
 (P.89PP.408)

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

408. THAT WHEN THE SHERIFF CAME ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012, DESPITE WALKER KNOWING OF THIS DOCUMENT AND THEODORE KNOWINGLY IN POSSESSION OF THE DOCUMENT, NEITHER ONE OF THEM MENTIONS THIS DOCUMENT TO THE SHERIFF'S OR TURNS IT OVER AS EVIDENCE OF A POSSIBLE MOTIVE THAT PUCCIO MURDERED SIMON.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

IT WAS NEVER MENTIONED PROBABLY BECAUSE IT DIDN'T POSSESS ANY REAL QUALITY AND BY THAT MORNING AFTER NO SLEEP FOR DAYS I WAS SOLELY CONCERNED ABOUT THE MISUSE OF DRUGS THAT WAS ADMINISTERED TO SIMON BY MARITZA.
 (P.90PP.413)

 CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

413. THAT INSTEAD OF GIVING THE DOCUMENTS TO INVESTIGATORS, SPALLINA MET WITH PUCCIO AND HER COUNSEL DENYING HER CLAIM AND TELLING HER SHE WOULD GET NOTHING, OPPOSITE OF SIMON'S DESIRES AND ALLEGEDLY THREATENING HER THAT SHE WAS A SUSPECT IN A MURDER INVESTIGATION AND SHOULD GO AWAY OR ELSE, FURTHER FRIGHTENING PUCCIO WHO HAS SINCE APPARENTLY ABANDONED HER CLAIM AGAINST THE ESTATE. NO INFORMATION REGARDING THIS CLAIM AGAINST THE ESTATE HAS BEEN SENT BY TS, SPALLINA AND TESCHER TO THE BENEFICIARIES.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

IT WAS SAID BY SIMON MANY TIMES TO MYSELF AND OTHERS- MARITZA DOES NOT RECEIVE ANYTHING FINANCIALLY OR BY HIS ESTATE AFTER HE PASSES, THAT SHE GETS WHAT IS GIVEN TO HER WHILE HE IS ALIVE AND SHE IS HIS "GIRLFRIEND".

THIS CONCLUDES THE E-MAIL AND SUPPLEMENT. A COPY OF THE ATTACHMENT AND E-MAIL WERE PUT INTO PBSO EVIDENCE. THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN.

DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704
 02/21/14 @ 1451 HRS.

TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/24/2014/MDR/#6405

 printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 1
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 12 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU
DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:
ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/27/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
EXCEPTION TYPE:
INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431
NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
LOCATION: OTHER
NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

..

ON 02/11/14 SGT. DAVID GROOVER AND I RESPONDED TO THE PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE WEST BOCA SUB-STATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERVIEWING ELIOT AND CANDICE BERNSTEIN IN REGARD TO THIS INVESTIGATION. THE INTERVIEW LASTED APPROXIMATELY THREE HOURS AND WAS MEMORIALIZED ON DIGITAL RECORDERS. BELOW IS A BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF THE INTERVIEW. FOR COMPLETE AND ACCURATE DETAILS OF THE STATEMENTS MADE BY ELIOT AND CANDICE DURING THE SWORN INTERVIEW, AUTHORIZED PERSONS MAY REVIEW THE AUDIO CD COPY, WHICH IS MAINTAINED AT THE PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE EVIDENCE FACILITY.

ELIOT STATED HE IS UNDER THE BELIEF THAT DONALD TESCHER AND ROBERT SPALLINA BECAME ACQUAINTED WITH HIS PARENTS IN 2008. HE BASES THIS BELIEF ON COPIES OF DOCUMENTS HE HAS EXAMINED AS WELL AS STATEMENTS MADE TO HIM BY HIS BROTHER THEODORE. ELIOT TOLD ME HE UNDERSTANDS THAT THERE WERE INDIVIDUAL TRUSTS AND WILLS CREATED FOR HIS PARENTS, SHIRLEY AND SIMON, IN 2008 BUT QUESTIONS THE ORIGINS OF MANY OF THE DOCUMENTS. ELIOT STATED HE HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED MANY OF THE ESTATE DOCUMENTS THAT HE BELIEVES HE SHOULD HAVE SEEN BY NOW.

ELIOT STATED THAT SHIRLEY'S ORIGINAL TRUST STATES HE, ALONG WITH HIS SISTERS LISA AND JILL WERE TO HAVE THE ASSETS DISTRIBUTED AMONGST THEM; STATING IT ALSO REFERENCED THEIR (ELIOT, LISA, & JILL'S) LINEAL DESCENDANTS. ELIOT SAID HE HAS RECENTLY LEARNED THROUGH A LETTER FROM DONALD TESCHER THAT THERE WERE POSSIBLY TWO FIRST AMENDMENTS TO HIS MOTHER'S TRUST. HE CLAIMED THAT ACCORDING TO TESCHER'S LETTER ONE OF THE AMENDMENTS OCCURRED IN JANUARY 2013, BUT AFTER VIEWING THE LETTER I FOUND THIS WAS NOT HOW IT READ. I ASKED FOR A COPY OF THE LETTER TO BE E-MAILED TO ME, BUT TO DATE I DON'T FIND THAT

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 2
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 12 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

I HAVE RECEIVED IT. I WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN A COPY OF THE INFORMATION THAT WAS PROVIDED BY SPALLINA TO ELIOT'S CHILDREN'S FORMER ATTORNEY, CHRISTINE YATES. THIS CONFIRMED THAT SPALLINA DID PROVIDE THE ALTERED DOCUMENT TO YATES AS SPALLINA HAD PREVIOUSLY STATED TO ME.

IN FURTHER DISCUSSION OF THIS CASE, ELIOT CONFIRMED HE WAS PRESENT DURING THE MAY 2012 CONFERENCE CALL BETWEEN HIS FATHER, HIS SIBLINGS AND SPALLINA. HIS INTERPRETATION OF THE CALL WAS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT THAN HIS SIBLINGS. HE STATED HE UNDERSTOOD THE CALL AS HIS FATHER STATING HE MAY MAKE CHANGES, BUT IT IS NOT A CERTAINTY. ELIOT CONFIRMED THE PROPOSED CHANGES WERE (IF THEY OCCURRED) THAT THE ASSETS WOULD GO TO THE 10 GRANDCHILDREN AND NO LONGER ANY OF THE CHILDREN. ELIOT IMPLIED HE SUPPORTED HIS FATHER'S DECISION IF IT RELIEVED STRESS FROM HIS FATHER, WHICH HE FELT WAS BEING CREATED BY SOME OF HIS SIBLINGS. ELIOT STATED HE DISPUTES WHETHER THE TRUST AMENDMENT SIGNED BY SIMON IN JULY 2012 WAS ACTUALLY SIGNED BY SIMON. HE SAID HE FEELS ALL DOCUMENTS FILED POST MORTEM MAY BE FRAUDS.

ELIOT CLAIMED THERE ARE SOME DISCREPANCIES WITH A LIFE INSURANCE POLICY WHICH BELONGED TO SIMON. THERE IS CURRENTLY AN ON-GOING FEDERAL COURT CASE IN ILLINOIS REFERENCE THIS POLICY. ELIOT IS NOT CERTAIN WHERE THE POLICY ORIGINATED AND SAID THE POLICY HAS SINCE BEEN LOST. ELIOT INFORMED ME THAT THE INSURANCE COMPANY IS LOCATED IN JACKSONVILLE, IL. HE SAID THAT IN HIS OPINION, DOCUMENTS REFERENCE THE POLICY IN REGARDS TO THE TRUSTEE WERE SIGNED UNLAWFULLY, AND THEN SUBMITTED TO THE INSURANCE COMPANY IN ILLINOIS. HE ALSO SAID HE BELIEVES THE INSURANCE COMPANY IS CONDUCTING AN INVESTIGATION. HE WAS INFORMED A REPORT SHOULD BE FILED WITH JACKSONVILLE, IL POLICE DEPARTMENT AS WELL AS WITH THE INSURANCE COMPANY.

IN CONTINUING TO DISCUSS THIS CASE, ELIOT BROUGHT UP A FORM CALLED A PETITION TO DISCHARGE (FULL WAIVER) REFERENCE HIS MOTHER'S ESTATE WHICH IS DATED APRIL 9, 2012 AND SIGNED BY SIMON. HE ALLEGES DUE TO THE TIMING OF WHEN THIS FORM WAS FILED WITH THE COURTS ON OCT. 24, 2012, WHICH WAS AFTER HIS FATHER'S DEATH, HE BELIEVES HIS FATHER MAY NOT HAVE SIGNED IT. HE ALSO POINTED OUT WHAT HE BELIEVES ARE OTHER DISCREPANCIES IN THE FORM AS WELL AS PROCEDURE VIOLATIONS WITH HOW THE PAPERWORK WAS FILED AND WHO DID AND/OR COULD HAVE FILED IT, IN REFERENCE TO THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE.

ELIOT TOLD ME HE BELIEVES HIS MOTHER'S ESTATE SHOULD GO TO HIM, LISA, AND JILL. HE STATED HE FEELS A CONSPIRACY IS TAKING PLACE, IN ORDER TO HAVE THE ASSETS FROM THE ESTATE GO TO PEOPLE OTHER THAN WHO THE DOCUMENTS STATE THEY SHOULD GO TOO.

ON 02/25/14 DET. PANZER AND I MET WITH ELIOT AND CANDICE AFFORDING THEM THE OPPORTUNITY TO FURTHER THEIR STATEMENT. ELIOT STATED THAT THE COURTS APPOINTED A CURATOR TO THE PROBATE CASE, AS WELL AS, ORDERED A FORENSIC ACCOUNTING TO BE DONE. THEY STATED THEY HAD NO OTHER INFORMATION TO SUPPLY.

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM
