



July 23, 2105

Mr. Eliot I. Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434

Mr. Bernstein,

I apologize that you were not able to pick up a copy of the report at the Boca Raton substation yesterday. For your convenience, I have enclosed a copy of the report that you had requested.

I am sorry that the results of our investigations into your numerous claims have not met your expectations. Please be advised that our action does not preclude you from seeking a remedy in any other forum that may be available to you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Paul Harvey".

Sergeant John Paul Harvey
Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office
Financial Crimes Unit
3228 Gun Club Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33406
(561) 688-4136

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 1
 CASE NO. 14029489 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU
 DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES

SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 01/23/14 THURSDAY
 ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER RYAN ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
 OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
 EXCEPTION TYPE:

INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
 CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0

LOCATION: OTHER

NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

** NAME LIST:

ROLE:

OTHER	SIMON BERNSTEIN	DOB: 12/02/1935
	SEX: M RACE: W HT: 506 WT: 180 HR: GRAY	EYE: BROWN
RESIDENTIAL	ADDRESS: 7020 LIONSHEAD LA	BOCA RATON FL 33496
BUSINESS	PHONE: 561 000-0000	HOME PHONE: 561 000-0000
OTHER	SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN	DOB: 06/29/1939
	SEX: F RACE: W HT: 502 WT: 102 HR: BLOND	EYE: BLUE
RESIDENTIAL	ADDRESS: 7020 LIONSHEAD RD	BOCA RATON FL 33496
BUSINESS	PHONE: 561 000-0000	HOME PHONE: 561 000-0000
COMPLAINANT	ROBERT L SPALLINA	DOB: 06/09/1965
	SEX: M RACE: W HT: 511 WT: 175 HR: BLACK	EYE: BROWN
RESIDENTIAL	ADDRESS: 7387 WISTERIA AV	PARKLAND FL 33076
BUSINESS	PHONE: 561 000-0000	HOME PHONE: 561 997-7008
OTHER	ALAN B ROSE	DOB: 10/23/1965
	SEX: M RACE: W HT: 509 WT: 170 HR: BROWN	EYE: BROWN
RESIDENTIAL	ADDRESS: 21145 ORMOND CT	BOCA RATON FL 33433
BUSINESS	ADDRESS: 505 S. FLAGLER DR., STE. 600, WPB, FL 33401	BUSINESS PHONE: 561 355-6991
OTHER	TED BERNSTEIN	DOB: 08/27/1959
	SEX: M RACE: W HT: 0 WT: 0 HR: UNKNOWN	EYE: UNKNOWN
RESIDENTIAL	ADDRESS: 800 BERKELEY ST	BOCA RATON FL 33484
BUSINESS	PHONE: 561 988-8984	HOME PHONE: 561 213-2322
OTHER	KIMBERLY MORAN	DOB: 10/24/1972
	SEX: F RACE: W HT: 505 WT: 135 HR: BROWN	EYE: BROWN
RESIDENTIAL	ADDRESS: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY	BOCA RATON FL 33431
BUSINESS	PHONE: 561 000-0000	HOME PHONE: 561 997-7008
OTHER	PATRICIA FITZMAURICE	DOB: 01/12/1933

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

CASE NO. 14029489

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
OFFENSE REPORT

PAGE 3

CASE NO. 14029489

DISPOSITION: ZULU

ROLE:

OTHER ROLE NO. 2

NAMES LAST

REAL... IANTONI

FIRST JILL

MIDDLE MARLA

J/S R/S DOB
F 10/25/65*ADDRESS* NO. STREET
HOME.... 2101 MAGNOLIASFX DIR APT#
LACITY ST ZIP
HIGHLAND PARK IL 60035*PHONE #S* HOME
(847) 831 4915OTHER
000 0000BUSINESS
(312) 804 2318

SCARS/MARKS/TATOOS: JILLIANTONI@GMAIL.COM

IANTONI_JILL@NE.BAH.COM

ROLE:

OTHER ROLE NO. 1

NAMES LAST

REAL... FRIEDSTEIN

FIRST LISA

MIDDLE SUE

J/S R/S DOB
W F 03/15/65*ADDRESS* NO. STREET
HOME.... 2142 CHURCHILLSFX DIR APT#
LACITY ST ZIP
HIGHLAND PARK IL 60035*PHONE #S* HOME
(847) 877 4633OTHER
000 0000BUSINESS
(312) 000 0000

SCARS/MARKS/TATOOS: LISA@FRIEDSTEINS.COM

LISA@FRIEDSTEIN@GMAIL.COM

ROLE:

OTHER ROLE NO. 4

NAMES LAST

REAL... NACLERIO

FIRST RICHARD

MIDDLE J

J/S R/S DOB

W M

ADDRESS NO. STREET
HOME.... 876 CAMINO REAL
OTHER... 955 ESPLANADESFX DIR APT#
ECITY ST ZIP
BOCA RATON FL 33428
PELHAM NY 10803-----
printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 5
 CASE NO. 14029489 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

ROLE:

OTHER ROLE NO. 18

NAMES LAST

REAL... CUNHA

FIRST

MIDDLE

J/S

R/S

DOB

S

W M

ADDRESS NO. STREET

BUSINESS 250 AUSTRALIAN

SFX DIR APT#

AV S 1402

CITY

ST

ZIP

WEST PALM BEACH FL 33401

PHONE #S

HOME

OTHER

BUSINESS

(818) 000 0000

(561) 000 0000

(561) 429 3924

ON 01/21/14 AT 1:45 PM I MET WITH ROBERT SPALLINA AND HIS ATTORNEY DAVID ROTH. SGT. DAVID GROOVER WAS ALSO PRESENT DURING THE INTERVIEW. WE MET AT THE PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, DISTRICT 1 CONFERENCE ROOM, WHICH IS LOCATED AT 3228 GUN CLUB ROAD, WEST PALM BEACH, FL. ROBERT SPALLINA STATED THAT HE AND HIS PARTNER, DONALD TESCHNER, MET SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN IN 2007. HE SAID THAT IN 2008 THE BERNSTEIN'S CAME TO THE TESCHNER AND SPALLINA FIRM. HE SAID THAT THEY (THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE) CREATED WILLS AND TRUSTS FOR BOTH SIMON AND SHIRLEY IN 2008, AMONG OTHER PLANNING. SPALLINA TOLD US THAT SIMON HAD BEEN IN THE INSURANCE BUSINESS FOR 40 YEARS.

HE SAID THAT THE SUBJECT OF THE FIRST MEETINGS WAS THE SALE OF THE INSURANCE BUSINESS DOWN THE ROAD, AS WELL AS MOVING AROUND SOME STOCKS. SPALLINA STATED THE CONVERSATIONS WITH SIMON AND THE THOUGHT PROCESS WAS THAT ONCE SIMON SOLD THE INSURANCE BUSINESS HE OWNED, ALL THE FAMILY WOULD BENEFIT FROM IT (FINANCIALLY). HE SAID THE BUSINESS WAS NEVER SOLD, BUT A LOT OF PLANNING AND PREPARATION WAS DONE FOR IT, TO INCLUDE SETTING UP A FLORIDA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND A DELAWARE ASSET PROTECTION TRUST. SPALLINA STATED THAT SIMON WAS ALWAYS CONCERNED WITH CREDITOR PROTECTION. HE SAID THAT IS

QUITE COMMON IN THE INSURANCE BUSINESS WORLD.

SPALLINA REITERATED THAT IN 2008, THE LAW FIRM DID THE DOCUMENTS FOR THE

WILLS AND TRUSTS. HE STATED THEY (SIMON & SHIRLEY) HAVE FIVE CHILDREN AND 10 GRANDCHILDREN, AS WELL AS A STEP-GRANDCHILD.

SPALLINA SAID THAT THE ESTATE PLAN WAS SIMILAR TO MOST OTHERS, IT SAID SHOULD ONE SPOUSE DIE FIRST, THE OTHER WILL RECEIVE EVERYTHING (ALL ASSETS). HE SAID THAT UNDER BOTH TRUSTS, THE INITIAL DOCUMENTS READ THAT UPON THE SECOND DEATH, TWO CHILDREN (TED AND PAM) WHERE EXCLUDED. HE TOLD US THIS TOOK PLACE SINCE BOTH TED AND PAM WERE SET UP WITH LIFE INSURANCE BUSINESSES AND THEY WANTED TO MAKE THE REMAINING CHILDREN (ELIOT, LISA, AND JILL) AS WHOLE AS THEY COULD. NOTE: TED WAS WORKING WITH SIMON IN THE INSURANCE BUSINESS

 printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H A R P T O O T H
O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9

THE TRUST.

SPALLINA SAID THAT SIMON FIRST SUGGESTED MAKING BENEFICIARY CHANGES ON THE AFOREMENTIONED LIFE INSURANCE POLICY. SPALLINA SAID THAT HE TOLD SIMON THAT WAS A VERY BAD IDEA. HE TOLD ME THAT THERE WAS SOMETHING CALLED AN EXERCISE OF POWER OF APPOINTMENT, PUT IN BOTH SIMON AND SHIRLEY'S TRUST DOCUMENTS. HE SAID THIS GAVE THE LIVING SPOUSE THE ABILITY TO MAKE CHANGES ON THE DECEASED SPOUSE'S DOCUMENTS. HE SAID THAT HE TOLD SIMON, THAT MAYBE THEY SHOULD EXPLORE OPTIONS WITH THAT. HE SAID SIMON TOLD HIM THAT HE WANTED TO MAKE THE NECESSARY CHANGES TO HAVE BOTH TRUSTS READ THAT THE 10 GRANDCHILDREN WERE THE BENEFICIARIES. HE TOLD ME THAT HE TOLD SIMON (SI AS HE CALLS HIM) THAT HE COULD NOT MAKE THOSE CHANGES TO SHIRLEY'S TRUST BECAUSE SHE HAD WROTE TED AND PAM AND THEIR CHILDREN AS PREDECESSED IN HER TRUST.

SPALLINA REITERATED THAT SIMON CAN DO WHATEVER HE WANTS WITH HIS ESTATE, BUT ALL HE CAN DO WITH SHIRLEY'S TRUST IS GIVE IT TO LISA, JILL, AND ELIOT'S CHILDREN. HE SAID THAT SIMON WAS NOT HAPPY ABOUT THIS. HE SAID THAT SIMON WAS VERY ADAMANT ABOUT LEAVING EVERYTHING IN THE ESTATES TO THE GRANDCHILDREN. HE ALSO SAID THAT HE ADVISED SIMON TO NOT MAKE CHANGES TO THE LIFE INSURANCE POLICY OR THE ESTATES, MAKING PUCCIO A BENEFICIARY. HE STATED THAT THIS WILL ONLY CAUSE PROBLEMS AND CREATE LITIGATION. SPALLINA SAID THE AFOREMENTIONED DISCUSSION AND MEETING TOOK PLACE IN FEBRUARY 2012. HE SAID THE MEETING CONCLUDED WITH SIMON SAYING HE NEEDED TO THINK ABOUT THINGS.

HE TOLD ME THAT THREE MONTHS LATER, SIMON CONTACTED HIM STATING HE KNEW WHAT HE WANTED TO DO. HE SAID THAT SIMON TOLD HIM HE WANTED TO LEAVE HIS INSURANCE POLICY ALONE, BUT THAT HE WANTS BOTH TRUSTS TO GO TO HIS 10 GRANDCHILDREN. SPALLINA SAID THAT HE EXPLAINED TO HIM AGAIN, THAT ONLY HIS TRUST, NOT SHIRLEY'S CAN GO TO BOTH GRANDCHILDREN, UNLESS HE TAKES ALL OF THE ASSETS OUT OF THE SHIRLEY TRUST AND PUTS THEM INTO HIS NAME. HE SAID THE COST OF TAKING THE ASSETS OUT OF SHIRLEY'S TRUST WOULD HAVE BEEN SIGNIFICANT, BECAUSE SHIRLEY'S DEATH OCCURRED BEFORE FEDERAL ESTATE TAX CHANGES TOOK PLACE, SO AS LONG AS IT STAYED IN HER ESTATE IT WOULD BE FREE OF TAX, BUT SHOULD IT GO TO SIMON'S TRUST IT WILL BE TAXED.

THERE WAS ALSO AN ISSUE OF SUBJECTING THE ASSETS FROM SHIRLEY'S ESTATE TO CREDITORS IF IT WENT TO SIMON'S ESTATE. SPALLINA TOLD ME THAT AT THIS TIME, SIMON SAID "GET MY CHILDREN ON THE PHONE". HE SAID THAT SIMON TOLD HIM THAT HE WANTED HIS CHILDREN TO AGREE THAT ALL ASSETS FROM BOTH TRUSTS GO TO THE 10 GRANDCHILDREN. HE SAID THAT SIMON TOLD HIM HE (SIMON) COULD GET THEM TO AGREE. SPALLINA CONFIRMED THAT THIS CONVERSATION OCCURRED ON THE SAME DATE, DURING THE SAME PHONE CALL (CONFERENCE CALL), REGARDING THE WAIVER OF ACCOUNTING FORM FOR SHIRLEY'S ESTATE IN PBSO CASE #13-097087.

FROM A PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION DONE BY ME, I FOUND THAT SIMON SIGNED THE WAIVER OF ACCOUNTING ON 04/09/12, SO IT IS POSSIBLE THAT THE PHONE CALL

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

CASE NO. 14029489

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

PAGE 9

OFFENSE REPORT

CASE NO. 14029489

DISPOSITION: ZULU

SPALLINA STATED THAT ALTHOUGH HE CREATED THE ALTERED FORM AND ATTACHED IT TO THE ORIGINALLY SIGNED/NOTARIZED FORM, HE RECEIVED NO INCOME OR GAIN FROM IT. HE STATED HE SOLELY DID IT TO FULFILL SIMON'S WISHES. HE CONFIRMED THAT THIS ALTERED DOCUMENT DID NOT GET FILED WITH THE COURTS.

SPALLINA STATED THAT AGAINST HIS ADVICE, A DISTRIBUTION WAS MADE FROM ONE OF THE TRUSTS AFTER SIMON'S DEATH. HE STATED THAT HE ADVISED AGAINST THIS AND WHEN SIMON PASSED, A FORMER PARTNER FILED A CLAIM AGAINST THE ESTATE FOR \$2,500,000.

SPALLINA ALSO TOLD ME THAT IN 2006, ALL OF THE GRANDCHILDREN RECEIVED TRUSTS FROM SHIRLEY AND SIMON. HE STATED THAT YATES WAS ACTUALLY THE ATTORNEY FOR ELIOT'S CHILDREN'S TRUSTS. SPALLINA STATED THAT SIMON WANTED ELIOT'S KIDS TO HAVE A HOME, BUT DID NOT WANT THE HOME IN ELIOT'S NAME.

SPALLINA ALSO TOLD ME THAT IN 2009 SIMON CAME TO HIM AND SAID HE IS BUYING A HOUSE FOR ELIOT AND HIS FAMILY TO LIVE IN, BUT HE DOES NOT WANT ELIOT TO OWN THE HOME. HE SAID THAT SIMON TOLD HIM THAT HE WANTED ELIOT'S CHILDREN'S THREE TRUSTS TO OWN THE HOME. HE THEN SET UP A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, WHICH IS BERNSTEIN FAMILY REALTY. HE SAID THAT SIMON SET UP AN ACCOUNT AT LEGACY BANK. HE SAID THAT SIMON FUNDED THE ACCOUNT, TO PAY FOR THE EXPENSES AT THE HOUSE. RACHEL WALKER WAS IN CHARGE OF PAYING THOSE EXPENSES. HE SAID THAT AT SIMON'S DEATH THE ACCOUNT HAD VERY LITTLE MONEY IN IT. HE SAID THIS WAS THE TYPE OF ACCOUNT THAT ONLY ENOUGH MONEY WENT INTO IT EACH MONTH TO COVER THE NECESSARY EXPENSES FOR THE HOME, SUCH AS POWER, WATER, AND MORTGAGE.

SPALLINA STATED THAT PRIOR TO SIMON'S DEATH, HE WAS THE MANAGER OF BFR, BUT AFTER HIS DEATH IT WAS TRANSFERRED TO OPPENHEIMER TRUST COMPANY, BECAUSE NO ONE IN THE FAMILY WANTED TO MANAGE IT. HE STATED THIS WAS BECAUSE NO ONE WANTED TO DEAL WITH ELIOT. HE SAID OTC BECAME THE TRUSTEE AND THE LEGACY BANK ACCOUNT GOT CLOSED OUT SINCE THE ACCOUNT HAD MINIMAL FUNDS IN IT AND SIMON WAS NO LONGER ALIVE TO FUND IT. HE STATED THAT OTC OPENED UP THEIR OWN BFR TRUST ACCOUNT. HE SAID THAT WHEN THIS OCCURRED, THERE WAS APPROXIMATELY \$80,000 IN EACH OF ELIOT'S CHILDREN'S TRUSTS. HE SAID THAT ELIOT STARTED CALLING UP OTC

ASKING FOR THEM TO PAY BILLS.

SPALLINA SAID THE PROBLEM IS THAT SINCE NEITHER ELIOT NOR HIS WIFE WERE WORKING, THEY WERE ALSO ASKING FOR THEIR CREDIT CARD BILLS TO BE PAID, ALONG WITH THE NORMAL LIVING EXPENSES. HE STATED THAT THE CREDIT CARD BILLS SHOWED CHARGES TO HIGH END RESTAURANTS, SUCH AS CAPITAL GRILL. SPALLINA SAID THAT DUE TO THE EXPENSES BEING PAID BY THE THREE CHILDREN'S TRUST, TO INCLUDE PRIVATE SCHOOL, THE TRUSTS WERE DRAINED BY AUGUST 2013.

SPALLINA STATED THAT TED BERNSTEIN IS THE TRUSTEE FOR SHIRLEY'S TRUST. HE SAID THAT SHIRLEY HAD A CONDO THAT WAS SOLD FOR \$1,400,000 AND THAT MONEY WENT INTO THE TRUST. HE SAID THAT TED DISCUSSED WITH HIS SIBLINGS, POSSIBLY

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

CASE NO. 14029489

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
SUPPLEMENT 1 OFFENSE REPORT

PAGE 1

CASE NO. 14029489

DISPOSITION: ZULU

DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES

* * *

SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 01/29/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12, 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13, 0000 HOURS

EXCEPTION TYPE:

INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0

LOCATION: OTHER

NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

ON JAN. 28, 2014 I MET WITH TED BERNSTEIN WHO WAS ACCCOMPANIED BY ATTORNEY ALAN ROSE. ROSE IS A CIVIL ATTORNEY, SPECIALIZING IN PROBATE AND BUSINESS LITIGATION. THIS INTERVIEW TOOK PLACE AT THE PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION'S CONFERENCE ROOM, LOCATED AT 3228 GUN CLUB ROAD, WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33406 AT 11:46 A.M. THE FOLLOWING IS A NON-VERBATIM ACCOUNT OF THE INTERVIEW:

TED STATED THAT HE AND HIS FATHER SIMON HAD AN OFFICE TOGETHER. HE TOLD ME THAT IN 2007 HE HAD NOTICED THAT TESCHER AND SPALLINA STARTED FREQUENTING THE OFFICE AND THEY CONTINUED TO VISIT THE OFFICE QUITE OFTEN INTO 2008. HE SAID THAT HE THEN REALIZED THAT HIS PARENTS WERE CONDUCTING THEIR ESTATE PLANNING. HE SAID THAT HE WAS NOT ASKED TO BE PART OF THE PLANNING, NOR DID HE INQUIRE ABOUT IT. TED TOLD ME THAT HE IS THE ELDEST CHILD OF FIVE, TO INCLUDE JILL, LISA, PAM, AND ELIOT. THE OFFICE FOR THE INSURANCE AGENCY THAT TED AND SIMON WORKED TOGETHER AT IS LOCATED AT 950 PENINSULA CORPORATE CIRCLE, BOCA RATON, FL 33487.

TED STATED THAT HE FOUND OUT UPON HIS FATHER'S DEATH, THAT HE WAS THE TRUSTEE FOR HIS MOTHER'S TRUST. HE TOLD ME THAT THE ATTORNEY'S (TESCHER AND SPALLINA) MADE HIM AWARE OF THIS. HE SAID HE WAS ALSO INFORMED HE WAS A CO-

TRUSTEE FOR SOME OTHER ACCOUNT. HE TOLD ME THAT HE IS NOT GOING TO INHERIT AN INSURANCE AGENCY, BUT THAT HE AND HIS FATHER WERE PARTNERS. HE STATED THAT HE OWNS STOCK IN THE AGENCY WITH NO OPTION FOR HIM TO INHERIT OR PURCHASE HIS FATHER'S INTEREST IN THE COMPANY. HE COMMENTED ON THE FACT THAT THE BUSINESS MAKES LITTLE INCOME THESE DAYS.

TED STATED THAT IN THE FIRST PART OF 2012, HIS FATHER (SIMON) HAD A

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 3
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

DISTRIBUTIONS TO ELIOT'S CHILDREN BECAUSE ELIOT REFUSED TO SET UP ACCOUNTS FOR THE FUNDS TO BE SENT TOO. HE ALSO TOLD ME THAT ELIOT TOLD JUDGE COLIN IN COURT THAT HE DID NOT WANT TO SET UP THE ACCOUNTS FOR HIS CHILDREN TO RECEIVE THE FUNDS, BECAUSE THE FUNDS BELONG TO HIM, NOT HIS CHILDREN. HE STATED THAT ELIOT HAD MENTIONED OTHER REASONS IN E-MAILS FOR NOT TAKING THE MONEY. HE ALSO STATED THAT ELIOT REFERENCED THE MONEY AS CRIME OR BLOOD MONEY.

HE STATED THAT SPALLINA TOLD HIM IT WAS OK TO DISTRIBUTE THE FUNDS. HE STATED THAT TESCHER AND SPALLINA RESPONDED VIA E-MAIL ON HOW TO RECEIVE THE FUNDS, SUCH AS SETTING UP TRUST ACCOUNTS FOR THE FUNDS TO GO INTO. TED TOLD ME THAT THERE WERE CONVERSATIONS, WHERE HE WAS TOLD THAT SIMON'S ASSETS COULD NOT BE DISTRIBUTED DUE TO CREDITORS FILING AGAINST THE ESTATE, BUT HE WAS LEAD TO BELIEVE IT WAS OK TO MAKE A PARTIAL DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FROM SHIRLEY'S ESTATE, BUT THAT THEY WOULD NEED TO BE CAREFUL IN REGARDS TO DISTRIBUTING FUNDS THAT WERE OBTAINED THROUGH LIQUIDATING HER JEWELRY AND PERSONAL PROPERTY. TED ALSO COMMENTED THAT ONE OF THE GOALS OF MAKING THE DISTRIBUTIONS WAS TO ASSIST ELIOT AND HIS FAMILY, BECAUSE THEY WERE RUNNING LOW ON FUNDS. HE STATED THIS DERIVED FROM ELIOT'S POTENTIAL MISUSE OF FUNDS THAT WERE IN HIS CHILDREN'S TRUSTS IN RELATION TO BERNSTEIN FAMILY REALITY (ELIOT'S HOME) AND ELIOT'S SPENDING AND EXPENSES.

TED CONFIRMED THAT HE DID NOT MAKE ANY DECISIONS IN RELATION TO SIMON'S INSURANCE POLICY GENERATED OUT OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. HE STATED THAT HE UNDERSTOOD THE POLICY TO BE OWNED BY SIMON PERSONALLY. HE STATED HE UNDERSTOOD THE POLICY TO READ AS, SHOULD SHIRLEY PASS BEFORE HIM, THE BENEFITS WOULD GO TO THE FIVE CHILDREN.

TED CONFIRMED THAT HE WAS NOT THE TRUSTEE FOR SIMON'S ESTATE, BUT THAT IT WAS EXPLAINED TO HIM, VERBALLY, THAT ALL 10 GRANDCHILDREN WILL RECEIVE THE ASSETS FROM THAT ESTATE IN AN EQUAL DISTRIBUTION AT SOME POINT IN TIME. WE DID DISCUSS THE POWER OF APPOINTMENT PUT IN THE TRUST DOCUMENTS. IT APPEARED AS IF TED WAS NOT AWARE OF ANYTHING CALLED A POWER OF APPOINTMENT, UNTIL THE LAST FEW WEEKS. THAT WAS WHEN SPALLINA NOTIFIED THE COURTS OF HIS WITHDRAW FROM BEING THE ATTORNEY FOR SIMON AND SHIRLEY'S ESTATES. IT APPEARS IT WAS

TED TOLD ME THAT HE AND HIS FATHER HAD A GOOD BUSINESS AND PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP. HE SAID THAT HE HAS A GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH ALL OF HIS SIBLINGS, EXCEPT FOR ELIOT. HE SAID THAT HE GOT ALONG WITH HIS MOTHER, PRIOR TO HER PASSING. HE TOLD ME THAT RACHEL WALKER WAS EMPLOYED BY HIS MOTHER AND FATHER. HE SAID THAT HE GOT ALONG WITH WALKER AND THAT SHE HELPED HIS MOTHER SHIRLEY, PRIOR TO SHIRLEY'S PASSING. TED TOLD ME THAT MARITZA PUCCIO WAS SOMEONE THAT WORKED FOR HIM AND AS WELL AS HIS PARENTS. HE STATED THAT SHE HELPED AROUND THE HOMES, CLEANING AND/OR CARING FOR CHILDREN. HE STATED THAT HE MET HER AROUND 2003 OR 2005. HE SAID THAT HE NO LONGER HAS A RELATIONSHIP

Printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 1
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 2 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
DISPOSITION: ZULU
DIVISION: DETECTIVE

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES * * *
SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 01/31/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS
EXCEPTION TYPE:
INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
LOCATION: OTHER
NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

..

ON 01/29/14 I ATTEMPTED TO MAKE CONTACT WITH LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, AND PAMELA SIMON VIA E-MAIL. THEY ARE THE THREE DAUGHTERS OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN. I USED THE INFORMATION THAT WAS PROVIDED TO ME BY ELIOT ON 09/10/13. I ATTACHED READ RECEIPTS TO THE E-MAIL. I RECEIVED A READ RECEIPT FROM PAMELA 01/30/14 AT 4:59 AM. ON 01/30/14 I PLACED PHONE CALLS TO JILL AND LISA, USING THE PHONE NUMBERS ELIOT HAD PROVIDED ME. I LEFT MESSAGES ASKING THEM TO CALL ME BACK. ON 01/31/14 I BRIEFLY SPOKE WITH LISA, BUT ASKED THAT SHE CALL BACK SO WE CAN FURTHER DISCUSS THIS CASE. TO DATE, I HAVE NOT RECEIVED A CALL OR E-MAIL FROM PAM OR JILL. THIS CONCLUDES MY SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT.

THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN.
DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704
01/31/14 @ 1430 HRS.
TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/04/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 2
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 5 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

AGREEING TO IT.

SHE SAID THAT CONVERSATIONS TOOK PLACE AFTER THE CONFERENCE CALL, WHICH SHED SOME LIGHT ON TO WHY HER FATHER WAS MAKING THE CHANGES. SHE SAID SHE UNDERSTOOD IT MORE THEN. SHE ALSO TOLD ME SHE WAS NEVER UNDER THE THOUGHT PROCESS THAT SHE WAS RECEIVING AN INHERITANCE, SO SHE WAS NOT DEVASTATED ONCE SHE FOUND OUT HER FATHER WAS MAKING CHANGES. SHE DID IMPLY THAT HER FATHER HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH HER SISTER, PAM, WHICH IN TURN INFLUENCED HIS DECISION TO CHANGE HIS TRUST. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE DOES NOT REMEMBER IF SHE WAS TOLD DURING THE CONFERENCE CALL WHAT HER MOTHER'S TRUST SAID, NOR DOES SHE REMEMBER IF THEY TALKED ABOUT A LIFE INSURANCE POLICY DURING THIS CALL.

JILL TOLD ME THAT ONCE HER FATHER PASSED THERE WAS A PHONE CALL(S) WITH SPALLINA WHERE HE AGAIN STATED THAT HE ADVISED SIMON AGAINST WHAT HE DID AND WAS VERY ADAMANT THAT PAM WAS CUT OUT OF AN INHERITANCE. SHE SAID THAT ONCE TIME PASSED, SPALLINA'S STANCE SEEMED TO SOMEWHAT CHANGE. SHE STATED THAT SHE IS NOT SURE WHO REACHED OUT TO REFERENCE THE PARTIAL DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS. SHE TOLD ME SHE DID NOT REALIZE HER MOTHER'S CONDO WAS UP FOR SALE, UNTIL IT SOLD. SHE STATED THAT, ALTHOUGH SHE ASKED FOR PAPERWORK, SHE NEVER RECEIVED IT REFERENCE THE SALE OF THE CONDO.

SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE BELIEVES SHE DID SIGN A PAPER REFERENCE RECEIVING THE PARTIAL DISTRIBUTION, BUT IS NOT REALLY SURE WHAT IT SAID. SHE STATED THAT HER BROTHER-IN-LAW, SKOOTER (PAM'S HUSBAND) DAVID SIMON, TED, AND SPALLINA ALL DISCUSSED TAKING THE PARTIAL DISTRIBUTIONS, DUE TO THE FACT THAT CREDITORS COULD HAVE CLAIM TO IT. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE CANNOT REMEMBER WHAT WAS SAID WORD FOR WORD, BUT THAT DAVID SIMON SEEMED TO BE PUSHING THE ISSUE AND THAT TED MENTIONED NEEDING TO LOOK INTO IT MORE LEGALLY, REFERRING TO STANSBURY AND A CLAIM THEY MAY HAVE OR WAS COMING.

SHE STATED THAT SHE SUPPLIED A STATEMENT TO THE BEST AS SHE COULD REMEMBER IT. SHE SAID, SHE BELIEVES SHE WOULD WANT TO PURSUE CHARGES IF SOMETHING CRIMINAL CAME OF THIS AND SHE WAS THE VICTIM.

THIS CONCLUDED THE INTERVIEW. THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN.

DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704

02/14/14 @ 1120 HRS.
TRANS VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/24/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 1
C A S E N O . 14029489 S U P P L E M E N T 7 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 14029489
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U
D I V I S I O N : D E T E C T I V E

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES

SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/18/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS

OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/01/13 , 0000 HOURS

EXCEPTION TYPE:

INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0

LOCATION: OTHER

NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

ON 02/18/14 I MET WITH PATRICIA FITZMAURICE, WHO WAS SIMON'S THERAPIST. SHE INFORMED ME THAT HER SESSIONS WITH HIM WERE CONFIDENTIAL AND SHE WOULD NOT DISCUSS THOSE. SHE DID STATE TO ME THAT HE HAD TOLD HER OUTSIDE OF A SESSION THAT HIS INTENTIONS WERE TO LEAVE HIS ESTATE TO HIS 10 GRANDCHILDREN.

THIS CONCLUDED MY DISCUSSION WITH HER. THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN.

DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704

DETECTIVE RIAN W. MEYER

02/18/14 @ 1115 HRS.
TRANS. UNIT EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 03/20/2014/MDB/#6405

Printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 2
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 8 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

LISA FIRST TOLD ME THAT SHE DID NOT SIGN ANY OTHER DOCUMENT OTHER THAN THE WAIVER OF ACCOUNTING IN PBSO CASE # 13-097087. THEN SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE REALLY WAS NOT AWARE NOR DID SHE TOTALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT ALL WAS GOING ON. SHE TOLD ME THOUGH THAT SHE REALLY IS NOT CERTAIN EXACTLY WHAT ALL SHE SIGNED AND IT IS POSSIBLE SHE SIGNED BITS AND PIECES OF WHAT WAS SENT TO HER. SHE SAID IT IS VERY POSSIBLE THAT SHE DID NOT SEND BACK ALL THAT WAS SENT TO HER TO SIGN; SHE IS JUST NOT 100% CERTAIN. LISA SAID AFTER HER FATHER PASSED A PHONE CALL TOOK PLACE WITH SPALLINA. SHE SAID THAT SPALLINA STATED WHO WAS THE TRUSTEE OF WHAT ESTATE AND MENTIONED THERE WAS A LIFE INSURANCE POLICY THAT EXISTED BUT THAT THE DOCUMENTS FOR IT WERE MISSING. LISA STATED THAT SHE WAS IN AGREEMENT WITH THE DISTRIBUTIONS OF FUNDS TO THE TEN GRANDKIDS AND FELT SHE HAD NO REASON NOT BE. SHE STATED SHE WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THE DISTRIBUTIONS WERE COMING FROM THE SALE OF HER MOTHER'S CONDO AND HER FATHER WANTED THINGS TO BE DISTRIBUTED TO THE 10 GRANDCHILDREN.

SHE STATED THAT SHE DID SIGN SOME SORT OF DOCUMENT WHEN THE DISTRIBUTION WAS BEING MADE. SHE SAID THAT SHE DOES NOT REMEMBER WHAT THE DOCUMENTS SAID, BUT THAT SHE DID RECEIVE THE DOCUMENT FROM TED. SHE SAID SOMEONE DID STATE THAT IT WAS BEST TO MAKE THE DISTRIBUTIONS SO THAT CREDITORS CANNOT GET TO IT, BUT SHE DID NOT THINK THIS WAS SAID BY TED. SHE WAS NOT REALLY CERTAIN WHO SAID THIS.

LISA SWORE TO HER STATEMENT AND SAID SHE WOULD PURSUE CRIMINAL CHARGES IF I FOUND PROBABLE CAUSE FOR AN ARREST AND SHE WAS THE VICTIM.
DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704

02/18/2014

TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/24/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 0 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U
D I V I S I O N : D E T E C T I V E

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES

SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/20/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 , 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 , 0000 HOURS

EXCEPTION TYPE:

EXCEPTION TYPE: INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0

NO. OFFENSES: 3
LOCATION: OTHER

NO VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

THIS IS A NON-VERBATIM ACCOUNT OF AN INTERVIEW:

ON OR ABOUT 02/13/14 I SPOKE WITH RACHEL WALKER. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE STARTED WORKING FOR SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN IN MAY 2007. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE ORIGINALLY WORKED FOR SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN UNTIL SHIRLEY PASSED IN 2010, THEN SHE WORKED FOR SIMON. SHE SAID THAT SHE STARTED STAYING AT THE BERNSTEIN'S RESIDENCE WHEN SHIRLEY TOOK ILL. SHE STATED THAT ONCE SHIRLEY PASSED SIMON TOLD HER TO JUST MOVE INTO THE HOME FULLY. SHE SAID THAT SIMON TOLD HER SHE COULD THEN SAVE MONEY ON RENT AND SHE COULD JUST TAKE CARE OF THINGS AROUND HIS HOME. SHE SAID THAT MARITZA PUCCIO ENDED UP MOVING INTO THE HOME. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE AND PUCCIO DID NOT GET ALONG VERY WELL.

WALKER TOLD ME THAT SHE REMEMBERS SIGNING SOME DOCUMENTS AS A WITNESS FOR SHIRLEY AND ROBERT SPALLINA. SHE TOLD ME THAT SPALLINA HAD COME OVER TO THE HOME AND THAT SHIRLEY TOLD HER TO SIGN THE DOCUMENTS AS A WITNESS. SHE SAID THAT SHE THINKS IT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH SHIRLEY'S WILL, BUT WAS NOT CERTAIN. SHE STATED THAT SOMEONE ELSE WAS WITH SPALLINA, BUT SHE WAS NOT SURE WHO WAS WITH HIM. SHE STATED THAT SHE IS NOT SURE WHAT DOCUMENT THIS WAS, NOT SURE EXACTLY WHEN THIS WAS, AND SHE IS SURE THAT MORAN WAS NOT AT THE HOUSE DURING THIS TIME. SHE STATED THAT THIS MAY HAVE HAPPENED IN 2009, BUT IS NOT CERTAIN.

I ASKED WALKER IF SHE KNOWS WHAT SHIRLEY'S SIGNATURE LOOKED LIKE. SHE SAID YES, SHE SAID SHE EVEN KIND OF ADOPTED IT. SHE APPEARED TO BE FOND OF SHIRLEY'S SIGNATURE. SHE TOLD ME IT WAS VERY SIMILAR TO A CIRCLE. SHE ADDED HER (SHIRLEY'S) AND SIMON'S WERE BOTH LIKE THAT. SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE DID NOT WITNESS ANY DOCUMENTS (REFERRING TO WILL & TRUST) SIGNED BY SIMON. SHE TOLD

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

CASE NO. 14029489

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E
S U P P L E M E N T 10 O F F E N S E R E P O R T

PAGE 3

CASE NO. 14029489

DISPOSITION: ZULU

OPEN.

DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704

02/20/14 @ 0725 HRS.

TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/24/2014/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 2
 CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
 DISPOSITION: ZULU

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

28. THAT IN FACT, SIMON'S PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH RAPIDLY DECLINED AND HE NEVER RECOVERED FROM THESE NEW MORE SERIOUS SYMPTOMS THAT STARTED ALMOST EXACTLY WHEN HE SUPPOSEDLY SIGNED THESE NEAR DEATHBED CHANGES ON JULY 25, 2012 TO ALLEGEDLY AMEND AND RADICALLY ALTER HIS EARLIER 2008 TRUST ("2008 TRUST") AND CREATE A NEW ALLEGED 2012 TRUST ("AMENDED TRUST"). COPIES OF THAT ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST ARE ATTACHED FURTHER HEREIN AND WILL EVIDENCE THAT THAT THE ALLEGED AMENDED TRUST DOCUMENT WAS NOT NOTARIZED, WITNESSED AND EXECUTED PROPERLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW AND PART OF A LARGER SCHEME INVOLVING ALLEGED FORGED AND FRAUDULENT ESTATES DOCUMENTS, AS EVIDENCED AND EXHIBITED FURTHER HEREIN.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THOUGH SIMON SIGNED THE PAPERWORK TO CHANGE BENEFICIARIES IN JULY, HE HAD MADE THE DECISION TO DO SO WELL BEFORE THE MAY 10, 2012 FAMILY CONFERENCE CALL.

(B 17RP 42)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

42. THAT UPON THIS VISIT, PETITIONER'S SISTERS TOOK NOT ONLY ALL OF SHIRLEY'S CLOTHING AND PERSONAL EFFECTS BUT ALSO TOOK 50 YEARS OF JEWELRY AND OTHER VALUABLES SIMON AND SHIRLEY HAD ACCUMULATED WORTH AN ESTIMATED SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS AND WERE ASSETS OF THE ESTATES.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THE SISTERS DIDN'T JUST "TAKE" SHIRLEY'S BELONGINGS AND JEWELRY. SIMON
ADMINISTERED EACH PIECE TO EACH GIRL AS HE KNEW WERE SHIRLEY'S WISHES AND
FAIR. I WAS THERE AND WITNESSED IT.

(B 17PP 45)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

45. THAT SIMON STATED TO PETITIONER THAT HE HAD NEVER GIFTED, SOLD OR TRANSFERRED THE JEWELRY AND OTHER ITEMS THEY TOOK OUT OF THE ESTATES AND THEREFORE EVERYTHING THEY TOOK THAT WAS PART OF THE ESTATES WOULD ALL STILL BE PART OF THE ESTATES UPON HIS DEATH FOR DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO THE ESTATES PLANS TO THE PROPER BENEFICIARIES. SIMON STATED THAT PETITIONER'S SISTERS HAD INVENTORY LISTS OF THE JEWELRY AND THERE WAS AN INSURANCE POLICY ON THE ITEMS THAT THEY TOOK AND ALL WOULD BE RETURNED WHEN HE PASSED FOR EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION TO THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE ESTATES.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

SIMON MAY HAVE TOLD ELIOT THAT, AFTER HE LEARNED OF THE DIVISION OF SHIRLEY'S BELONGINGS, TO CALM ELIOT AFTER LEARNING THIS UPSET HIM.

(P.17 PP46)

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

CASE NO. 14029489

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

PAGE 4

SUPPLEMENT 11 OFFENSE REPORT

CASE NO. 14029489

DISPOSITION: ZULU

ELIOT OF OF THEIR EXPERIENCES WITH MARITZA, WHICH ELIOT HAD NO CLUE ABOUT SINCE HIS FAMILY WERE NOT IN THE PICTURE DURING THAT TIME.

(P.18.PP.49)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

49. THAT PETITIONER AND CANDICE REFUSED TO PARTICIPATE IN SUCH A HURTFUL SCHEME AGAINST SIMON AND PUCCIO AND TOLD THEODORE'S CHILDREN THAT SIMON AND SHIRLEY WOULD BE ASHAMED OF THEIR BIZARRE AND CRUEL BEHAVIOR AND THAT THEY SHOULD NOTCONTINUE TO BOYCOTT SEEING SIMON AS IT WAS BREAKING HIS HEART AND DEPRESSING HIM AND TO TELL THEODORE AND ANYONE ELSE INVOLVED THAT WE THOUGHT THIS WAS A BAD IDEA. ESPECIALLY DISTURBING IS THAT THEODORE'S CHILDREN WERE PARTIALLY RAISED BY SIMON AND SHIRLEY, EVEN WHENTHEY WERE NOT WELL PHYSICALLY, FOR MANY YEARS AND EVEN MOVING THEODORE AND HIS CHILDREN INTO THEIR HOME FOR SEVERAL YEARS. THEY RAISED THEODORE'S CHILDREN DURING A LENGTHY PERSONAL AND FINANCIAL CRISIS THEODORE WENT THROUGH RESULTING IN HIS DECLARING BANKRUPTCY, DIVORCE, LOSS OF HIS HOME AND EVENTUAL TRAGIC OVERDOSE DEATH OF HIS EX-WIFE AND RESULTINGLOSS TO THE CHILDREN OF A MOTHER.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THE DID NOT "BOYCOTT" SEEING SIMON, THEY REFUSED TO SEE SIMON WHEN HE WAS WITH MARITZA. THEY HAD MANY DATES WITH SIMON WITHOUT MARITZA. SIMON TRIED TO PUSH MARITZA ON EVERYONE IN A VERY UNCOMFORTABLE WAY ESPECIALLY NOT TAKING THEIR PERSONAL FEELINGS INTO ACCOUNT. HE WAS VERY MENTALLY MIXED UP AFTER SHIRLEY PASSED.

(P.18PP.51)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

51. THAT AFTER LEARNING OF THIS EXACT PLOY AGAINST SIMON BY ALL OF PETITIONER'S SIBLINGS, THEIR SPOUSES AND EVEN THEIR CHILDREN, PETITIONER WROTE LETTERS AT SIMON'S REQUEST TO THEODORE, TO HAVE HIM STATE EXACTLY WHAT WAS GOING AND WHY HE WAS NOT ATTENDING THE JEWISH HOLIDAY OF PASSOVER WITH HIS FATHER WHO WAS STILL IN MOURNING AT PETITIONER'S HOUSE. THAT THESE CORRESPONDENCES ARE ATTACHED HEREIN AS, EXHIBIT 1 - EMAIL CORRESPONDENCES THEODORE AND ELIOT, AND WHEREIN THEODORE CL *MS, "MY PRIMARY FAMILY IS DEBORAH AND OUR FOUR CHILDREN. THEY COME FIRST, BEFORE ANYTHING AND ANYONE. THE FAMILY I WAS BORN INTO IS NO LONGER, THAT IS JUST A FACT, IT IS NOT A MATTER OF OPINION, IT JUST IS."

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

IT'S NOT A CRAZY NOTION. THEY ALL TOLD SIMON THAT HE WAS WELCOMED BUT MARITZA IS NOT. SO, ULTIMATELY, IT WAS SIMON'S DECISION TO CHOSE MARITZA OVER HIS FAMILY.

(P.19PP.53)

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

CHILDREN TO PARTICIPATE IN THE BOYCOTT IN CONCERN ND DESIGNED TO MAKE SIMON SUFFER WHOLLY THROUGH THE TOTAL LOSS OF HIS CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN AND ALLEGEDLY TRY TO FORCE HIM TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE ESTATES PLANS OR SUFFER NEVER SEEING OR TALKINGTO ANY OF THEM AGAIN.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THIS IS ONLY SPECULATION OF ELIOT

(P. 20 PP. 58)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

58. THAT IN THE MAY 12, 2012 MEETING, SIMON CLEARLY STATED THAT THE REASON HE WAS MAKING THESE CHANGES WAS TO RESOLVE FAMILY PROBLEMS CAUSED BY THE EXCLUSION OF THEODORE AND PAMELA THAT WERE CAUSING HIM TOO MUCH STRESS. CLEARLY SIMON WAS UNDER UNDUE PRESSURE TO CONTEMPLATE MAKING THESE CHANGES, DESPERATE TO SEE HIS CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN AND PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY BEATEN DOWN. AT THIS MAY 12, 2012 MEETING, PETITIONER LEARNED THAT THIS ASSAULT MAY HAVE BEEN DUE TO THEODORE AND PAMELA'S ANGER OVER THEIR EXCLUSION AND CLAIMING THE BUSINESSES THEY HAD ACQUIRED WERE NOT DOING AS WELL AS WHEN THEY ACQUIRED THEM AND THEY WANTED BACK IN ON THE REMAINING ESTATES ASSETS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)
THE CHANGES WEREN'T MADE BECAUSE HE HADN'T SEEN HIS FAMILY MEMBERS. HE HADN'T SEEN HIS FAMILY MEMBERS BECAUSE HE CHOSE PUCCIO OVER SEEING THEM. HE MADE THE CHANGES BECAUSE EVEN THOUGH HE AND SHIRLEY ALREADY AGREED THEIR PLAN WAS FAIR, HE DECIDED TO SKIP THE CHILDREN DUE TO ARGUMENTS AND FELT IT WAS FAIR FOR THE GRANDCHILDREN WITHOUT ANY FURTHER ARGUMENTS.

TARI FOR THE S

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

71. THAT IN THE EIGHT WEEKS FROM JULY 15, 2012 WHEN SIMON ALLEGEDLY SIGNED THE IMPROPERLY NOTARIZED AND IMPROPERLY WITNESSED ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST AND THE TIME SIMON PASSED ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012, HIS HEALTH WENT WHOLLY DOWNHILL TO HIS SUDDEN AND UNEXPECTED DEATH. IN THE EIGHT WEEKS AFTER HE SUPPOSEDLY SIGNED THE ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST, SIMON.

II. WAS DELIRIOUS, CONFUSED AND SUFFERING FROM HALLUCINATIONS AND
FANTASING SPELLS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)
PAINTING AND DIZZY SPELLS DIDN'T HAPPEN UNTIL LATE AUGUST/EARLY

SEPTEMBER.

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

71. WHILE IN THE EIGHT WEEKS FROM JULY 15, 2012 WHEN SIMON ALLEGEDLY

Printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 8
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 1 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

NOT HANDLE THE CASE DUE TO SOME FORM OF CONFLICT WITH THE HOSPITAL BUT HE WAS TO HAVE SENT HIS MEDICAL RECORDS TO THE HOSPITAL. IN THE END THE HOSPITAL'S CARDIOLOGIST WAS APPOINTED AS ATTENDING CARDIOLOGIST.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

DR. BAUM WAS WEIRDLY UNAVAILABLE FOR SEVERAL HOURS BEFORE LEARNING THAT HE COULDN'T TREAT SIMON AT THAT HOSPITAL. WE CALLED MANY TIMES STATING AN EMERGENCY AND REQUESTING DOCUMENTS AND HE IGNORED. COMPLETELY OUT OF CHARACTER FOR HIM.

CHARACTER E
(B 24BP 86)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

86. THAT THE HOSPITAL STATED THAT WITHOUT PAPERS TO THE CONTRARY, PETITIONER WAS THE DESIGNATED PERSON IN CHARGE OF ANY MEDICAL DECISIONS FOR SIMON AND SOPETITIONER STATED THAT THEY SHOULD CONTINUE TO RESUSCITATE SIMON, AT LEAST UNTIL A DOCTOR COULD ARRIVE TO DETERMINE HIS CONDITION AND MAKE DETERMINATION AS TO WHAT WAS CAUSING THIS SUDDEN AND BIZARRE MELTDOWN OF HIS VITAL ORGANS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

UPON ARRIVAL TO THE HOSPITAL THAT MORNING, ELIOT HAD TAKEN IT UPON HIMSELF TO DESIGNATE HIMSELF AS SIMON'S HEALTH CARE PROXY. IT IS KNOWN TO ALL THE FAMILY THAT SIMON'S LIVING WILL STATES TO NOT RESUSCITATE IF QUALITY OF LIFE DETERIORATES.

LIFE DEPICTED
(B. 34BB 87)

CARTERED FROM DOCUMENT

87. THAT SEVERAL MORE RESUSCITATIONS WERE NECESSARY AND ALL OF THE OTHER SIBLINGS WANTED PETITIONER TO "PULL THE PLUG" INSTANTLY WITH NO FURTHER LIFESAVER EFFORTS AND LET HIM DIE, CLAIMING HE WANTED TO BE WITH SHIRLEY AND SO NO FURTHER EFFORTS SHOULD BE MADE TO SAVE HIS LIFE AND TELLING HIM TO GO BE WITH HER AND MORE

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THE AMOUNT OF RESUSCITATIONS DONE BY STAFF AND DOCTORS WAS BEYOND THEIR EXPERT ADVICE BUT WITHOUT SIMON'S LIVING WILL IN HAND ELIOT KEPT MAKING THE DECISION TO RESUSCITATE UNTIL THE DOCTOR FINALLY CAME OUT AND SAID THAT ITS NEARLY ABUSE TO HIS BODY AT THIS POINT. THOUGH IN ELIOT'S DEFENSE HE WAS IN COMPLETE DESPAIR AND UNABLE TO TAKE IN THE HORRIBLE REALITY CLOUDED HIS DECISION MAKING.

DECISION II

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

89. THAT UNBEKNOWNST TO PETITIONER, DURING THE LIFE SAVING EFFORTS WALKER ALLEGEDLY WAS ORDERED TO GO TO THE HOME AND RETRIEVE WILLS AND TRUSTS OF SIMON BY THEODORE THAT MIGHT HAVE A LIVING WILL AND ADVANCE DIRECTIVES FOR

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 1 0
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 1 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

102. THAT SHORTLY AFTER THE SHERIFFS ARRIVED AT SIMON'S, THEODORE, JILL AND LISA SHOWED UP AT SIMON'S HOUSE WITH WALKER, IN ORDER TO GIVE STATEMENTS REGARDING THE ACCUSATIONS THAT PUCCIO HAD MURDERED SIMON BY POISONING HIM OR OVERDOSING HIM WITH MEDICATIONS. THAT WALKER CLAIMED THAT PUCCIO WAS SWITCHING PAIN PILLS WITH HIS NITRO PILLS WITH INTENT WHILE HE WAS CONFUSED AND THAT TOO MANY PAIN PILLS WERE BEING MIXED WITH OTHER UNKNOWNS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

YES, TRUE AND CANDICE HAD ALSO INFORMED ME THAT MARITZA SNEAKILY GAVE SIMON A BIG WHITE PILL THAT LOOKED LIKE THE VICODIN, THINKING NO ONE WAS WATCHING.

(P. 27 PP. 104)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

104. THAT LATER THAT AFTERNOON ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012, THEODORE STATED THAT HE HAD JUST SPOKEN WITH TESCHER AND SPALLINA AND THAT HE WAS APPOINTED TO ACT AS THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE/EXECUTOR/SUCCESSOR OF THE ESTATES FOR THE REAL ESTATE AND PERSONAL PROPERTIES AND TESCHER AND SPALLINA WERE ALSO PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES. THAT ACCORDING TO THEODORE THE ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST OF SIMON NOW GAVE TS, SPALLINA AND TESCHER, THE AUTHORITY TO ACT AS TRUSTEES AND PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE SOVER THE ESTATES AND HE CLAIMED THEY HAD CHOSEN HIM AS A PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE/EXECUTOR/SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE BECAUSE HE WAS THE OLDEST SURVIVING CHILD.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

TO MY KNOWLEDGE, BEFORE THE PASSING OF SHIRLEY OR SIMON, TED HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE FIDUCIARY OF SHIRLEY'S ESTATE AND THE PROPERTIES THAT WERE IN HER NAME.

(P. 28PP. 114)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

114. THAT UP UNTIL THE DAY OF SIMON'S DEATH, WALKER MAINTAINED KEYS AND ALARM CODES TO HIS HOME, AS SHE HAD DONE FOR SEVERAL YEARS PRIOR, HOWEVER SUDDENLY ON THE DAY SIMON DIED SHE STATED SHE NO LONGER HAD THE HOUSE KEYS, THE ALARM CODES AND DID NOT HAVE THE RIGHT COMBINATION TO OPEN THE PERSONAL SAFE OF SIMON, CLAIMING SIMON MUST HAVE JUST CHANGED THE CODE ON HIS SAFE DAYS BEFORE HIS DEATH AND SHE HAD LOST HER KEYS.

WALKER'S RESPONSE

CORRECT. THE CODE TO THE SAFE HAD BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT MY NOTICE. HOWEVER, I STILL HAD KEYS, COMBINATIONS AND GARAGE DOOR OPENERS UNTIL CHANGED BY THE FAMILY. WHICH VERY WELL COULD'VE BEEN THE NEXT DAY I DON'T REMEMBER.

(P. 28PP. 115)

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

FURTHER THAT WALKER CLAIMED SHE HAD
DISCUSSED THEM WITH HIM AT THE HOSPITAL.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

DIDN'T DISCUSS WITH TED AT THE HOSPITAL

(P. 30PP. 130)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

130. THAT PETITIONER THEN NOTIFIED THEODORE THAT SIMON HAD PERSONALLY INFORMED PETITIONER OF A DOCUMENT AND CHECK FOR PUCCIO IN THE HOSPITAL ON SEPTEMBER 12, 2012 THAT HE WANTED HER TO HAVE IN THE EVENT ANYTHING HAPPENED TO HIM IN THE HOSPITAL.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

THIS PARAGRAPH IS EITHER A BLATENT LIE OR COMPLETELY MISCONSTRUED MEMORY OF ELIOT'S. SIMON NEVER SAID SUCH A THING TO ELIOT NOR DID ELIOT OR CANDICE HAVE ANY IDEA OF SUCH DOCUMENT UNTIL I SHOWED THEM AT DINNER. THEY WERE IN DISAGREEMENT OF THE DOCUMENT THAT NIGHT ALSO SO I DON'T KNOW HOW THIS MEMORY WAS CHANGED IN THEIR HEADS. ALL SIMON SAID TO ALL OF US CONSTANTLY WHILE HE WAS IN THE HOSPITAL BED WAS TO MAKE SURE "THEY" DIDN'T HURT HER. THEY BEING HIS FAMILY MEMBERS.

(P. 31 PP. 135)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

135. THAT IN THE PARKING LOT OF THE HOSPITAL WALKER ALSO EXCHANGED WHAT SHE THOUGHT WAS A GIFT SHE HAD FOR PETITIONER AND WHEN CANDICE OPENED IT ON THE WAY TO SIMON'S IT HAD 5-6 LARGE RED PILLS INSIDE. THAT WHEN THEY CONTACTED WALKER ON THE WAY TO SIMON'S TO FIND OUT WHAT THESE PILLS WERE AND WHO THEY WERE FOR, SHE CLAIMED THAT THEY WERE HER PILLS, NOT SIMON'S AND STATED SHE GAVE PETITIONER THE WRONG PACKAGE AND TO THROW THEM AWAY.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

NOT TRUE. ONLY BIG RED PILLS I HAVE EVER TAKEN WERE DIET PILLS AND IF I DID GIVE SOME TO CANDICE THAT WASN'T OUT OF THE ORDINARY. I NEVER SAID TO FORGET IT AND THAT THOSE PILLS WERE MEANT FOR SOMEONE ELSE THOUGH. ANOTHER MISCONSTRUED MEMORY OF ELIOT'S.

RECONSTRUCTED
(P. 31 RB. 136)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

136. THAT PETITIONER ON SEPTEMBER 13, 2012 UPON TRYING TO LOG IN TO SIMON'S COMPUTER AT HIS HOME TO GET HIS PERSONAL FRIENDS CONTACT INFORMATION TO NOTIFY THEM OF SIMON'S PASSING NOTICED THAT THE HARD DRIVES ON ALL OF SIMON'S COMPUTERS IN HIS HOME WERE MISSING OR SCRUBBED AND PETITIONER FOUND THIS HIGHLY IRREGULAR. THEODORE STATED HE WOULD LOOK INTO WHERE THEY HAD GONE AND QUESTION SEVERAL PEOPLE WHO HANDLED SIMON'S COMPUTERS AT HIS OFFICE AND

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I E F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 1 4
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 1 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

MONIES ALREADY PUT AWAY AND PROTECTED FOR PETITIONER AND HIS FAMILY FOR SCHOOL, HOME AND OTHER ITEMS.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

SIMON WAS PROBABLY EMBELLISHING FOR HIS EGO. HE DID NOT POSSESS THAT MUCH IN ASSETS AT ONCE FOR YEARS. HOWEVER, SHIRLEY AND SIMON HAD ALWAYS TOLD ME THAT THERE IS A SEPARATE, ACCOUNT/TRUST/SOMETHING SET UP TO TAKE CARE OF THEIR GRANDCHILDREN'S SCHOOL AND HOME SHOULD THEY PASS ON.

(P 57PP-279)

CAPTURED FROM DOCUMENT

279. THAT SIMON HAD AN ESTIMATED TENS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN STANFORD GROUP COMPANY INVESTMENT ACCOUNTS HANDLED BY PRIVATE BANKING REPRESENTATIVE, CHRISTOPHER R. PRINDLE WHO IS NOW WITH J.P. MORGAN PRIVATE BANK

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

(WALTER'S RESPONSE)
I DON'T WANT TO COMMENT TOO MUCH ON THE FINANCIALS MANAGED OUTSIDE OF MY EVERYDAY DUTIES BUT TO MY KNOWLEDGE THROUGH CONVERSATIONS WITH BOTH SHIRLEY AND SIMON, THAT STANFORD NO LONGER HOLDS ANY MONEY OF THE BERNSTEINS BECAUSE OF THE LOSSES DUE TO STANFORD'S PONZI SCHEME AROUND 2008/2009.

P 89PP 406

CARTURED FROM DOCUMENT

406. THAT THE FIRST THING THAT MAKES NO SENSE IN THE ACCUSATIONS BY PETITIONER'S SIBLINGS OF MURDER BY PUCCIO IS THAT PUCCIO APPEARED TO HAVE NO BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN THE ESTATES OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY AND THUS NO KNOWN MOTIVE OR BENEFIT FOR MURDER.

(WALKER'S RESPONSE)

(WALKER'S RESPONSE) MARITZA HAD NO ESTATE INTERESTS, HOWEVER THE ESTATE WAS DEPLETING WEEKLY AS, IN LAYMANS TERMS, SHE WAS BEING PAID BY THE ESTATE TO "BE WITH" SIMON. MONEY WAS TRANSFERRED TO SABADELL BANK WHERE SIMON KEPT AN ACCOUNT FOR HER IN HIS NAME. THIS ACCOUNT WAS USED TO FUND HER FAMILY IN VENEZUELA AND HERSELF. SHE ALREADY MADE MANY "AGREEMENTS" FOR LARGE SUMS OF MONEY FOR "DATING" SIMON BERNSTEIN. BUT MONTHS LEADING UP TO SIMON'S DEATH SHE WAS REPULSED BY HIM TO WHERE SHE COULDN'T BE IN THE SAME ROOM AS HIM, DIDN'T SLEEP IN THE SAME ROOM AS HIM ANYMORE AND CONSTANTLY MADE UP EXCUSES TO LEAVE THE HOUSE WITHOUT HIM. SHE CONFIDED IN ME THAT SHE COULDN'T STAND TO BE AROUND HIM ANYMORE AND WANTED TO LEAVE BUT FINANCIALLY COULDN'T DO THAT TO HERSELF OR HER FAMILY SO SHE "PUT UP WITH HIM".

OF WITH HIM
(B 88BB 407)

CARTURED FROM DOCUMENT

407. THAT LATER, AFTER THE SHERIFF HAD LEFT, WALKER TOLD PETITIONER AND CANDICE THAT IN THE ESTATES DOCUMENTS SHE REMOVED FROM THE HOME THERE WAS A

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 1
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 2 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U
D I V I S I O N : D E T E C T I V E

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES

SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 02/27/14 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 7704 NAME: MILLER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13 0000 HOURS

occurred between
incubation time.

EXCEPTION TYPE: INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0

LOCATION: OTHER

NO VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

11

ON 02/11/14 SGT. DAVID GROOVER AND I RESPONDED TO THE PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE WEST BOCA SUB-STATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTERVIEWING ELIOT AND CANDICE BERNSTEIN IN REGARD TO THIS INVESTIGATION. THE INTERVIEW LASTED APPROXIMATELY THREE HOURS AND WAS MEMORIALIZED ON DIGITAL RECORDERS. BELOW IS A BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF THE INTERVIEW. FOR COMPLETE AND ACCURATE DETAILS OF THE STATEMENTS MADE BY ELIOT AND CANDICE DURING THE SWORN INTERVIEW, AUTHORIZED PERSONS MAY REVIEW THE AUDIO CD COPY, WHICH IS MAINTAINED AT THE PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE EVIDENCE FACILITY.

ELIOT STATED HE IS UNDER THE BELIEF THAT DONALD TESCHER AND ROBERT SPALLINA BECAME ACQUAINTED WITH HIS PARENTS IN 2008. HE BASES THIS BELIEF ON COPIES OF DOCUMENTS HE HAS EXAMINED AS WELL AS STATEMENTS MADE TO HIM BY HIS BROTHER THEODORE. ELIOT TOLD ME HE UNDERSTANDS THAT THERE WERE INDIVIDUAL TRUSTS AND WILLS CREATED FOR HIS PARENTS, SHIRLEY AND SIMON, IN 2008 BUT QUESTIONS THE ORIGINS OF MANY OF THE DOCUMENTS. ELIOT STATED HE HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED MANY OF THE ESTATE DOCUMENTS THAT HE BELIEVES HE SHOULD HAVE SEEN BY NOW.

ELIOT STATED THAT SHIRLEY'S ORIGINAL TRUST STATES HE, ALONG WITH HIS SISTERS LISA AND JILL WERE TO HAVE THE ASSETS DISTRIBUTED AMONGST THEM; STATING IT ALSO REFERENCED THEIR (ELIOT, LISA, & JILL'S) LINEAL DESCENDANTS. ELIOT SAID HE HAS RECENTLY LEARNED THROUGH A LETTER FROM DONALD TESCHER THAT THERE WERE POSSIBLY TWO FIRST AMENDMENTS TO HIS MOTHER'S TRUST. HE CLAIMED THAT ACCORDING TO TESCHER'S LETTER ONE OF THE AMENDMENTS OCCURRED IN JANUARY 2013, BUT AFTER VIEWING THE LETTER I FOUND THIS WAS NOT HOW IT READ. I ASKED FOR A COPY OF THE LETTER TO BE E-MAILED TO ME, BUT TO DATE I DON'T FIND THAT

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 3
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 2 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT I RECENTLY PLACED A PHONE CALL TO CHRISTINE YATES, BUT SHE DID NOT CALL ME BACK. IN ADDITION, I BRIEFLY SPOKE WITH PAMELA SIMON, WHO STATED SHE WOULD NEED TO CONTACT ME BACK WITH HER ATTORNEY TO PROVIDE A SWORN STATEMENT. TO DATE SHE HAS NOT CONTACTED ME BACK. I HAVE ALSO SPOKEN WITH DONALD TESCHER OVER THE PHONE. HE ADVISED THAT HE RETAINED COUNSEL BY THE NAME OF IRWIN BLOCK. I SPOKE WITH MR. BLOCK WHO STATED HIS CLIENT WILL NOT BE PROVIDING ME WITH A STATEMENT.

BASED UPON THE TOTALITY OF THE INVESTIGATIVE EFFORT, I DO NOT FIND EVIDENCE OR PROBABLE CAUSE TO SUPPORT ANY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS. THIS CASE REMAINS A NON-CRIMINAL INFORMATIONAL REPORT.

DETECTIVE RYAN W. MILLER #7704

02/27/14 @ 1423 HRS.

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 2
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 3 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

JACOB AND DANIEL BERNSTEIN, I HAVE PREPARED THE REQUESTED STATEMENT OF FACTS AND ATTACHED THE REQUESTED DOCUMENTS TO SUPPORT OUR CLAIMS REGARDING THE FORGED AND FRAUDULENT STANFORD TRUST COMPANY AND OPPENHEIMER TRUST COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY TRUST DOCUMENTS. THE ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT SIMPLY THAT THE DOCUMENTS ARE FORGED AND FRAUDULENT BUT THAT IT AGAIN IS PART OF A LARGER FRAUD ON THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE ESTATES AND TRUSTS OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TO STEAL MILLIONS OF DOLLARS FORM THE TRUE AND PROPER BENEFICIARIES. THESE TRUST DOCUMENTS WERE USED TO SEIZE DOMINION AND CONTROL OF THE THREE MINOR CHILDREN'S TRUSTS. THEN THE MONIES WERE IMPROPERLY AND ILLEGALLY CONVERTED BY IMPROPER PARTIES ACTING AS FIDUCIARIES TO IMPROPER PARTIES. THIS ARTIFICE TO DEFRAUD WAS USED TO FURTHER HIDE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT WERE TO FLOW INTO THESE AND OTHER TRUSTS CREATED SPECIFICALLY FOR OUR FAMILY AND CONVERT THOSE MONIES TO IMPROPER PARTIES AS WELL."

AS I BRIEFLY REVIEWED THE DOCUMENT, I FOUND IT CONTAINED NOT ONLY THE INFORMATION BERNSTEIN RELATED HE HAD FOUND IN THE MEETING OF 12/14/14, BUT ALSO INFORMATION REGARDING MANY OF THE ALREADY INVESTIGATED ISSUES. ON 01/09/15, I SPOKE WITH BERNSTEIN AT LENGTH REGARDING THE EMAIL HE HAD SENT. I ENSURED HE UNDERSTOOD THAT I WAS NOT REDOING DETECTIVE MILLER'S INVESTIGATION, RATHER I WOULD BE LOOKING INTO THE NEW INFORMATION HE RELATED DURING OUR DECEMBER MEETING AND THAT WHICH WAS CONTAINED IN HIS EMAIL. I ADVISED I WOULD NEED SOME TIME TO REVIEW THE CASE IN ITS ENTIRETY AS THE INFORMATION HE JUST PROVIDED DOES REFER BACK IN PARTS TO WHAT DETECTIVE MILLER HAS ALREADY DONE AND I WILL NEED TO FAMILIARIZE MYSELF WITH THE HISTORICAL DATA OF THE CASE. I ENSURED HE HAD ALL OF MY CONTACT INFORMATION AND ADVISED HIM I WOULD CONTACT HIM AS SOON AS I HAD COMPLETED MY REVIEW AND/OR IF I HAD ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS. I ALSO REQUESTED THAT WHEN HE SENDS ME EMAILS REGARDING THIS CASE, THAT HE LIMIT THE PEOPLE COPIED ON THE EMAIL TO SUBJECTS THAT HAVE A DIRECT LINK TO THIS INVESTIGATION. SUBSEQUENT TO MY TWO (2) PHONE CALLS WITH BERNSTEIN, I CONFERRED WITH DETECTIVE MILLER AND HE CONFIRMED THAT THE AGREED SCOPE OF THE REVIEW WAS THE NEW INFORMATION BERNSTEIN STATED HE WOULD PROVIDE IN OUR DECEMBER MEETING. A REVIEW OF THE RECORDS CONTINUES.

THIS CASE IS NOW OPEN AND UNDER INVESTIGATION.
DETECTIVE ANDREW PANZER #6685
01/13/15
TRANS VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 02/02/2015/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 2
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 4 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

TRACI KRATISH, PA AS TRUSTEE. BELOW THIS IS A SIGNATURE, FOLLOWED BY FOR TRACI KRATISH, PA. ON 01/31/15, I SEARCHED TRACI KRATISH, PA THROUGH THE FLORIDA DIVISION OF CORPORATION SUNBIZ WEBSITE AND DISCOVERED THE CORPORATION HAD BEEN DISSOLVED PER THE APPROVAL OF ITS SHAREHOLDERS ON 12/31/13. I WAS ABLE TO LOCATE A POSSIBLE PHONE NUMBER FOR THE ADDRESS LISTED IN THE CORPORATE PAPERWORK AND SUBSEQUENTLY MADE CONTACT WITH KRATISH. IN SPEAKING WITH KRATISH, SHE ADVISED SHE WAS CURRENTLY WORKING FOR ERNST & YOUNG IN BOCA RATON. I EXPLAINED MY INVOLVEMENT WITH BERNSTEIN IN REGARD TO HIS ALLEGATIONS AND ASKED IF SHE WOULD BE WILLING TO MEET WITH ME. KRATISH AGREED AND A MEETING WAS ARRANGED FOR 02/03/15 AT HER OFFICE IN BOCA RATON. ON 02/02/15, I RECEIVED A PHONE MESSAGE FROM KRATISH REQUESTING THE MEETING BE RESCHEDULED. I MADE CONTACT WITH KRATISH AND THE MEETING WAS RESCHEDULED FOR 02/05/15 AT 10 AM, BUT THIS MEETING HAD TO BE CANCELLED AS WELL DUE TO A PRIOR COMMITMENT ON MY PART THAT HAD ALREADY BEEN SCHEDULED.

ON 03/06/15, I MADE CONTACT WITH KRATISH BY PHONE AND ATTEMPTED TO SCHEDULE AN INTERVIEW. KRATISH REQUESTED THE MEETING BE SCHEDULED AFTER 04/15/15, AS THIS WAS A VERY BUSY TIME FOR HER AS SHE WAS ALSO A CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT. I TOLD HER I WOULD CONTACT HER AFTER TAX SEASON BUT ASKED HER TO CONTACT ME IN THE EVENT AN OPENING IN HER SCHEDULE PRESENTED ITSELF PRIOR TO THEN.

ON 03/20/15, I SPOKE AT LENGTH WITH BERNSTEIN AND ADVISED HIM OF MY ATTEMPT TO MEET WITH KRATISH AND WHEN THE MEETING MIGHT TAKE PLACE. I ASKED IF THE DATE ON THE TRUST AGREEMENTS, WHICH HE PROVIDED AS EXHIBITS WERE THE ACTUAL DATES OF WHEN THE FORGERY MAY HAVE OCCURRED AND BERNSTEIN STATED HE DIDN'T KNOW WHEN THESE DOCUMENTS WERE SIGNED OR IF THEY WERE EVEN ACTUAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS. BERNSTEIN HAD SOME QUESTIONS AS TO ISSUES WHICH AROSE DURING THE TIME THIS CASE WAS ASSIGNED TO DETECTIVE MILLER AND I TOLD HIM I WOULD ATTEMPT TO FIND OUT THE ANSWERS TO HIS QUESTIONS. IT SHOULD BE NOTED, BERNSTEIN IS INVOLVED IN A NUMBER OF CIVIL LITIGATIONS IN MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS AND SOME THAT STEM FROM ISSUES HE BELIEVES ARE RELATED TO THIS CASE. AS HE BEGAN TO SPEAK OF SOME OF THESE, I LISTENED TO WHAT HE HAD TO SAY BUT ENSURED HE UNDERSTOOD THE PURPOSE OF MY CALL WAS TO UPDATE HIM ON THE PROGRESS OF THE ATTEMPT TO INTERVIEW KRATISH. BERNSTEIN ADVISED ME HE HAD A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF DOCUMENTS YET TO PROVIDE ME. I ADVISED BERNSTEIN I WOULD CONTACT HIM ONCE I HAD INTERVIEWED KRATISH.

THIS CASE REMAINS OPEN PENDING THE INTERVIEW OF KRATISH.

DETECTIVE ANDREW PANZER #6685

03/20/15

TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 03/23/2015/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 1
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 6 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U
D I V I S I O N : D E T E C T I V E

911:

ECONOMIC CRIMES

SIGNAL CODE: 14 CRIME CODE: NON CRIME CODE: OT CODE: 9546 06/30/15 THURSDAY
ZONE: BR GRID: DEPUTY I.D.: 6685 NAME: PANZER ASSIST: TIME D 1020 A 1020 C 1021
OCCURRED BETWEEN DATE: 12/01/12, 0000 HOURS AND DATE: 01/31/13, 0000 HOURS

EXCEPTION TYPE:

INCIDENT LOCATION: 4855 TECHNOLOGY WY APT. NO.: 700
CITY: BOCA RATON STATE: FL ZIP: 33431

NO. OFFENSES: 00 NO. OFFENDERS: UK NO. VEHICLES STOLEN: 0 NO. PREMISES ENTERED: 0
LOCATION: OTHER

NO. VICTIMS: 00 NO. ARRESTED: 0 FORCED ENTRY: 0

ON 05/21/15, I RESPONDED TO 250 S. AUSTRALIAN AVENUE - #1402, WEST PALM BEACH, FL AND MET WITH TRACI KRATISH IN THE OFFICE OF HER ATTORNEY JAMES CUNHA. I HAD BROUGHT WITH ME COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS BERNSTEIN HAD PROVIDED AS ATTACHMENTS IN HIS 01/18/15 LETTER TO ME. IN SPEAKING WITH KRATISH SHE ADVISED ME SHE BEGAN HER EMPLOYMENT WITH SIMON BERNSTEIN ON 09/10/06 AS THE GENERAL COUNSEL AND CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER FOR HIS FIRM LIC HOLDINGS INC. KRATISH STATED SHE WAS EMPLOYED UNTIL FEBRUARY 2010 AND HER LAST PAYCHECK WAS FOR PAY DATE ENDING 02/18/10. KRATISH PROVIDED ME A CHART DETAILING THAT LIC HOLDINGS INC. (FL S CORP) WAS THE PARENT COMPANY OF THE FOLLOWING ENTITIES; ARBITRAGE INTERNATIONAL MANAGEMENT LLC F/K/A ARBITRAGE INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS LLC (FL), CAMBRIDGE FINANCING COMPANY (FL) AND ITS SUBSIDIARY CFC OF DELAWARE LLC (DE), CAMBRIDGE PREMIUM COMPANY, INC. (NY), ARBITRAGE INTERNATIONAL MARKETING, INC. D/B/A LIFE INSURANCE CONCEPTS (FL S CORP) AND NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION, INC. (FL). SIMON AND TED BERNSTEIN WERE THE MAJORITY SHAREHOLDERS AND WILLIAM STANSBURY WAS AN ADDITIONAL SHAREHOLDER.

I ASKED KRATISH WHEN SHE FIRST MET ELIOT BERNSTEIN. SHE STATED IT WAS IN OCTOBER OF 2014 AND SHE WAS INTRODUCED TO HIM BY WILLIAM STANSBURY, WHO WAS INVOLVED IN SOME LITIGATION REGARDING THE ESTATES OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN. THE MEETING WAS HELD AT THE BOCA MARRIOT. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT BERNSTEIN SAID THIS MEETING WITH KRATISH OCCURRED IMMEDIATELY AFTER A HEARING BEFORE JUDGE COLIN ON 10/02/14, IN WHICH THE TRUST AGREEMENTS WERE FRAUDULENTLY TENDERED TO THE COURT, BY LESSNE WHO IS AN ATTORNEY REPRESENTING OPPENHEIMER.

I SHOWED KRATISH THE DOCUMENTS BERNSTEIN SENT ME IN REGARD TO HIS

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 3

C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 6 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9

SUBJECT: ORIGINAL SIGNED "OPPENHEIMER" TRUSTS
MR. LESSNE AND MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:

I AM WRITING TO ADVISE THAT WE LOCATED SOME FILES IN DRAWERS IN SIMON'S PRIVATE OFFICE IN HIS HOME AT LIONS HEAD, AS WE WERE TRYING TO ASSESS THE COMPLEXITY OF THINGS THAT MUST HAPPEN BETWEEN NOW AND THE CLOSING OF LIONS HEAD. MY PRIMARY REASON WAS TO VISUALLY INSPECT THE THREE CHANDELIERS THAT HAVE BEEN THE SUBJECT OF PR EMAILS IN THE PAST FEW DAYS.

IN ANY EVENT, AND ALTHOUGH THESE FILES LIKELY WERE EXAMINED AND DISCOUNTED AS UNIMPORTANT BY THE PRS AFTER SIMON'S DEATH AND LIKELY MEANT NOTHING IF AND WHEN THEY WERE CATALOGED OR VIEWED DURING THE O'CONNELL AS PR RE-APPRAISAL/RE-INSPECTION, I NOTICED A FOLDER MARKED AS THE JAKE BERNSTEIN TRUST. LOOKING MORE CLOSELY, THERE WERE THREE GREEN FOLDERS LABELED WITH ELIOT'S CHILDRENS NAMES AND INSIDE ARE WHAT APPEAR TO THE ORIGINAL SIGNED IRREVOCABLE TRUST AGREEMENTS FOR THE TRUSTS WHICH OPPENHEIMER FORMAL SERVED. THESE MAY BE RELEVANT OR IMPORTANT TO THE ONGOING OPPENHEIMER CASE, SO I BRING THEM TO YOUR ATTENTION. THERE ARE ALSO WHAT APPEAR TO BE SOME TAX RETURNS AND STANFORD ACCOUNT STATEMENTS. SIMPLY BECAUSE I HAVE ATTENDED SOME OF THE OPPENHEIMER HEARINGS, I UNDERSTAND THAT ELIOT CLAIMS AT LEAST ONE OF THE TRUST DOES NOT EXIST. AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT, AND BECAUSE THEY MAY BE RELEVANT, I HAVE TAKEN TEMPORARY CUSTODY OF THE DOCUMENTS. I WILL HOLD THEM PENDING JOINT INSTRUCTIONS OR A COURT ORDER, BUT WOULD PREFER TO DELIVER THEM TO STEVE LESSNE AS OPPENHEIMER'S COUNSEL. THESE HAVE NO ECONOMIC VALUE AND HAVE NO BEARING ON THE ESTATE, SO I DOUBT BRIAN O'CONNELL WOULD WANT THEM, BUT I DID NOT WANT TO SEE THEM LOST OR DISCARDED IN THE IMPENDING MOVE. TO FACILITATE YOUR REVIEW, I HAVE SCANNED THE FIRST AND LAST PAGE OF EACH TRUST, AND SCANNED THE FIRST PAGE OF THE ANCILLARY DOCUMENTS, AND ATTACH THAT IN .PDF FORMAT.

I AM SURE THAT PEOPLE HAVE LOOKED THROUGH THESE FILES BEFORE, AND THERE DID NOT APPEAR TO BE ANYTHING OF SIGNIFICANCE. (I DID NOTICE A FEW FOLDERS WITH THE OTHER GRANDCHILDRENS NAMES, NOT ELIOT'S KIDS, BUT LEFT THOSE PAPERS IN PLACE BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THAT EVERYONE BUT ELIOT HAS FULLY COOPERATED WITH OPPENHEIMER IN RESOLVING THESE MATTERS.)

I ALSO HAVE HAD OCCASION TO RE-LOOK THROUGH A SMALL BOX OF TRUST DOCUMENTS WHICH I HAVE BEEN HOLDING, WHICH CAME FROM SIMON'S FORMER WORK OFFICE. INSIDE FILE FOLDER IN A DESK DRAWER, SIMON RETAINED DUPLICATE ORIGINALS OF THE TRUST AGREEMENTS RELEVANT TO MY CASES. WHEN I WAS LOOKING TO REEXAMINE THESE DOCUMENTS - DUPLICATE ORIGINALS OF THE 2008 TRUSTS AND THE 2012 TRUST (THE TRUE ORIGINALS REMAIN WITH TESCHER & SPALLINA WHO DRAFTED THE) - I NOTICED A COPY OF THE THREE SEPARATE IRREVOCABLE TRUST DOCUMENTS. AGAIN, THESE WOULD NOT HAVE CAUGHT MY EYE ORIGINALLY BECAUSE I NEVER WOULD HAVE GUESSED THAT ELIOT WOULD CLAIM THE TRUSTS WERE NOT VALID. I ONLY RECENTLY HAD OCCASION TO NOTICE THESE IN LOOKING FOR THE DUPLICATE TRUST ORIGINALS FOR SIMON AND

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

PARENT'S DEATH.

ELIOT AND CANDICE REAPED THE BENEFITS OF OPPENHEIMER'S SERVICES, AND IN ANY EVENT THERE IS NO REASON TO BELIEVE THAT CANDICE AND ELIOT DID NOT SIGN THESE PETITIONS FOR THE BENEFIT OF THEIR CHILDREN. IF ELIOT NOW SUGGESTS THAT HIS AND HIS WIFE'S SIGNATURES DO NOT APPEAR ON THE JUNE 2010 PETITIONS APPOINTING OPPENHEIMER 2010 ALLEGATION, WHICH IS HIGHLY DOUBTFUL JUST LOOKING AT THE THREE SETS OF SIGNATURES, THAT WOULD MEAN ELIOT IS ACCUSING SIMON OF BEING A FORGER. ELIOT IS ALREADY SUPPORTIVE OF BILL STANSBURY, WHO ACCUSES SIMON OF COMMITTING A FRAUD ON STANSBURY. I WOULD BE SHOCKED BY ANY ACCUSATION THAT SIMON DID NOT OBTAIN FROM ELIOT AND CANDICE THEIR GENUINE SIGNATURES ON THE JUNE 2010 PETITIONS, AND PARTICULARLY SHOCKED THAT ELIOT, WHO RECEIVED SO MUCH OF HIS FATHER'S (AND MOTHER'S) LARGESSE DURING THEIR LIFETIMES, WOULD NOW MALIGN SIMON'S NAME IN SUCH A MANNER.

ANYWAY, I'M NOT SURE IF EITHER OF YOU NEEDS THESE ANY LONGER, BUT IF YOU DO, HERE THEY ARE.

ALAN B. ROSE ESO

AROSE@MRACHEK-LAW.COM
561.355.6991
505 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE
SUITE 600
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33401
561.655.2250 PHONE

KRATISH FORWARDED ME THE EMAIL SHE HAD RECEIVED ALONG WITH ALL OF THE ATTACHMENTS. I ADVISED HER I WOULD BE ATTEMPTING TO SPEAK WITH ROSE AND VIEW THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS HE REFERS TO IN HIS LETTER. I TOLD HER I WOULD CONTACT HER IF I NEEDED ANYTHING FURTHER BUT THAT BASED UPON HER ACKNOWLEDGING THE SIGNATURE ON THE PAPERWORK WAS HERS, IT WAS UNLIKELY THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY.

ON 05/22/15, I ATTEMPTED TO REACH ROSE BY PHONE BUT THE CALL WENT TO VOICEMAIL. I LEFT MY CONTACT INFORMATION AND THE REASON FOR MY CALL AND ASKED THAT HE RETURN MY CALL AT HIS CONVENIENCE. LATER THAT DAY, I RECEIVED A CALL FROM ROSE. ROSE INQUIRED AS TO WHY THIS CASE WAS STILL BEING INVESTIGATED BY PBSO AS IT WAS A CIVIL MATTER. I EXPLAINED TO HIM I RECEIVED A COPY OF THE EMAIL HE HAD SENT TO BERNSTEIN AND STEVEN LESSNE. ROSE DESCRIBED THE CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THE DISCOVERY OF THE DOCUMENTS AND IT WAS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WAS IN HIS EMAIL. HE AGAIN STATED THAT AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT, HE FELT BOUND TO MAINTAIN THOSE DOCUMENTS. HE DID NOT SEEM SURPRISED THAT BERNSTEIN HAD MADE THE ALLEGATION AS HE HAS MADE A NUMBER OF OTHER ALLEGATIONS IN REFERENCE TO THE TRUSTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. DURING OUR CONVERSATION, ROSE TOLD ME JUDGE COLIN HAS RECUSED HIMSELF FROM BERNSTEIN'S CASE AND THE CASE WAS CURRENTLY AWAITING REASSIGNMENT.

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E P A G E 7
C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9 S U P P L E M E N T 1 6 O F F E N S E R E P O R T C A S E N O . 1 4 0 2 9 4 8 9
D I S P O S I T I O N : Z U L U

WITH HIM BEING ON A SPEAKER PHONE IN FRONT OF SOMEONE NOT INVOLVED IN THE CASE. DURING THE COURSE OF THE CALL, BERNSTEIN BROUGHT UP OLD ISSUES THAT WERE NOT PART OF MY INVESTIGATION. I TRIED TO EXPLAIN TO HIM AGAIN THAT I WAS NOT LOOKING INTO THE ALREADY INVESTIGATED ISSUES AND HE BECAME UPSET. WHEN ASKED WHAT NEW CRIMES HE WAS REFERRING TO, BERNSTEIN TOLD ME JUDGE COLIN SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED FOR "FRAUD UPON THE COURT". I EXPLAINED I WAS UNAWARE OF THAT PARTICULAR CHARGE AND ASKED IF HE COULD PROVIDE A STATUTE NUMBER SO I COULD LOOK INTO IT. BERNSTEIN THEN STATED IT WAS AN OBSTRUCTION CHARGE. BERNSTEIN SPOKE OF FRAUDULENT ACTS HE BELIEVED JUDGE COLIN HAD BEEN INVOLVED IN DURING THE TIME BERNSTEIN'S CASE WAS ASSIGNED TO HIS DOCKET.

BERNSTEIN MADE REFERENCE TO THE FACT THAT I WAS NOT DOING MY JOB AND ASKED IF HE NEEDED TO SPEAK WITH CAPTAIN GREGG AGAIN. I TOLD HIM BY DOING SO WOULD BYPASS MY ENTIRE CHAIN OF COMMAND AND I COULDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY HE WOULD FEEL THE NEED TO DO THAT. BERNSTEIN THEN ASKED IF HE SHOULD GO TO INTERNAL AFFAIRS. I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT I WAS DOING MY JOB AND THE CASE WOULD PROBABLY COME BACK TO ME EVEN AFTER HE SPOKE WITH WHOMEVER HE WAS GOING TO SPEAK TO. DURING THIS CONVERSATION I COULD HEAR HALL IN THE BACKGROUND SPEAKING TO BERNSTEIN AS IF HE WAS GIVING HIM THINGS TO SAY OR RESPONDING TO THINGS I HAD SAID. IT WAS VERY DIFFICULT TO CONVERSE WITH BERNSTEIN DURING THIS CALL, AS HE WOULD SPEAK OVER ME AS I WAS TRYING TO ANSWER HIS QUESTION OR EXPLAIN THINGS TO HIM. I DID NOT ADDRESS THE EMAIL THAT HAD BEEN SENT TO HIM FROM ATTORNEY ROSE AND HE DID NOT BRING IT UP EITHER. UPON REACHING MY DESTINATION, I ADVISED BERNSTEIN THAT I WOULD HAVE TO END OUR CALL AND I WOULD ATTEMPT TO REACH HIM LATER IN THE DAY. I ATTEMPTED TO REACH BERNSTEIN ON BOTH HIS OFFICE AND CELL NUMBERS LATER IN THE AFTERNOON. BOTH ATTEMPTS WERE MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS.

ON 06/23/15, I RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM BERNSTEIN INQUIRING IF I WOULD HAVE TIME TO SPEAK WITH HIM ON THE 23RD OR 24TH. I REPLIED TO THE EMAIL AND IT WAS AGREED WE WOULD SPEAK ON 06/24/15 BETWEEN 0800-1000 HOURS. LATER THAT AFTERNOON, BERNSTEIN NOTIFIED ME BY EMAIL THAT HE WAS GOING TO HAVE TO RESCHEDULE THE MEETING AS HE WOULD NEED TO BE IN MIAMI TESTIFYING AT ANOTHER COURT CORRUPTION HEARING FOR A PROBATE VICTIM. HE INQUIRED AS TO WHETHER THE FOLLOWING DAY AT THE SAME TIME WOULD BE OK. I ADVISED HIM I WOULD NOT KNOW UNTIL LATE IN THE AFTERNOON. I WAS UNABLE TO CALL BERNSTEIN DUE TO BEING CALLED OUT FOR AN IN PROGRESS CASE.

ON 06/25/15, BERNSTEIN SENT ME AN EMAIL REQUESTING A TIME WHEN WE COULD CONTINUE OUR DISCUSSION REGARDING THE NEW CRIMES AND OLD CRIMES THAT WERE DISCUSSED THE PRIOR WEEK. I RESPONDED TO BERNSTEIN IMMEDIATELY ADVISING HIM THAT I WAS DOING A SEARCH WARRANT THAT MORNING AND I WAS UNSURE HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE. I ADVISED HIM I WOULD GAUGE THE REST OF THE DAY AND GIVE HIM A CALL LATER IN THE AFTERNOON. LATE IN THE AFTERNOON OF 06/25/15, I ATTEMPTED

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM

CASE NO. 14029489 P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 9
SUPPLEMENT 16 O F F E N S E R E P O R T CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

INVESTIGATION. THE EMAIL WAS COPIED TO 13 OTHER RECIPIENTS. THE EMAIL AND ITS ATTACHMENTS AS WELL AS ALL OF THE EMAILS RECEIVED FROM BERNSTEIN DURING MY PORTION OF THIS INVESTIGATION WILL BE TRANSFERRED TO DISK AND PLACED INTO PBSO EVIDENCE.

IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT BERNSTEIN HAS YET TO DISCLOSE TO ME HE WAS IN RECEIPT OF THE EMAIL OF 05/20/15 FROM ATTORNEY ROSE DESCRIBING THE DISCOVERY OF THE ORIGINAL TRUST DOCUMENTS, WHICH WAS THE BASIS FOR THIS ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION AND THAT BERNSTEIN ALLEGED WERE FORGED AND/OR DID NOT EXIST. IT IS NOT KNOWN IF HE IS AWARE THAT I HAVE MET WITH ROSE AND VIEWED THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS OR THAT KRATISH HAS IDENTIFIED THE SIGNATURES ON THE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS SHOWN TO HER AS BEING HER SIGNATURE.

BASED UPON THE TOTALITY OF THE INVESTIGATIVE EFFORT, I DO NOT FIND EVIDENCE OR PROBABLE CAUSE TO SUPPORT ANY CRIMINAL CHARGES. THIS CASE WILL BE CLASSIFIED AS A NON-CRIMINAL INFORMATION REPORT.

DETECTIVE ANDREW PANZER #6685

06/30/15

TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 07/02/2015/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8604 on July 23, 2015 10:01:34AM