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To Whom It May Concern:

State of Florida

Broward County

ss:

**Re: Criminal Complaint Against Roy Reardon, Chairman and Alan Friedberg, Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee**

I, Eliot I. Bernstein make this sworn criminal complaint under the laws of the State of New York and under the penalties of perjury in the State of New York and the state of Florida.

1. Roy L. Reardon with Alan W. Friedberg concealed FORMAL OFFICIAL complaints against themselves with NO FORMAL DOCKETING numbers provided or formal procedural due process, in official New York State Court Proceedings which are directly related to a Federal RICO lawsuit that is legally “related” by Judge Shira A. Scheindlin to a Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson. In violation of;

a. § 105.00 Conspiracy in the sixth degree,

b. § 110.00 Attempt to commit a crime and

c. § 115.00 Criminal facilitation in the fourth degree. Together Reardon conspired with Alan W. Friedberg to violate;

i. § 215.45 Compounding a crime,

ii. § 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree and

iii. § 195.00 Official misconduct.

2. NY Attorney General Andrew Cuomo’s office, acts as counsel to the First Department and thus to its officers Friedberg and Reardon, as state counsel for defendants in my Federal RICO lawsuit. The NY AG determined the complaints, related to the lawsuit would NOT be handled by members of the Supreme Court of New York Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee, which is conflicted as a defendant in the lawsuit,

3. BUT Friedberg and Reardon disregarded such advice and disposition by counsel and violated the disciplinary rules and law in order to dismiss complaints against other defendants and then against themselves in complaints resulting from their inappropriate handling of other defendants complaints in violation of;

a. § 105.00 Conspiracy in the sixth degree,

b. § 110.00 Attempt to commit a crime,

c. § 115.00 Criminal facilitation in the fourth degree, and,

d. Roy Reardon conspired with Alan W. Friedberg to not transfer the complaints against themselves, which related to the Committee Chairperson to a Hearing Panel Chairperson and thereby violated,

i. § 215.45 Compounding a crime,

ii. § 195.00 Official misconduct, by failing to follow the NY State rules and Laws and in particular,

iii. § 605.6 Investigations and Informal Proceedings.

4. Roy L. Reardon conspired with Alan W. Friedberg by falsely and maliciously claiming there was no basis for the Committee, on the “new” complaints against them, to pursue action which is in violation of;

a. § 105.00 Conspiracy in the sixth degree,

b. § 110.00 Attempt to commit a crime,

c. § 215.45 Compounding a crime,

d. § 115.00 Criminal facilitation in the fourth degree,

i. § 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree and,

ii. § 195.00 Official misconduct.

5. Whereas Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg are required to be licensed NY lawyers and the State of New York is entitled to honest service, which is not in conflict with ethical and Disciplinary rules for lawyers.

BUT Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg as lawyers employed by a DEFENDANT (First Department DDC) in a 12-COUNT, 12-TRILLION dollar RICO FEDERAL LAWSUIT were precluded from handling complaints against themselves and other defendants due to CONFLICTS OF INTEREST and THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY and therefore violated;

a. § 215.45 Compounding a crime,

b. § 105.00 Conspiracy in the sixth degree,

c. § 110.00 Attempt to commit a crime,

d. § 115.00 Criminal facilitation in the fourth degree, and

e. conspired to violate Federal law which require honest service and violated,

i. NY § 195.00 Official misconduct.

6. Roy L. Reardon conspired with Alan W. Friedberg by falsely fraudulently describing themselves as “other attorneys” in dismissing their own complaints, in attempt to cover up their criminal instruments, (their dismissal letters) filed with a NY State body and sent via US Mail, which violated;

a. § 105.00 Conspiracy in the sixth degree,

b. § 110.00 Attempt to commit a crime,

c. § 115.00 Criminal facilitation in the fourth degree,

d. § 215.45 Compounding a crime,

i. § 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree,

ii. § 195.00 Official misconduct, and

e. Other state and federal US Mail Fraud code sections.

7. New York Attorney General's counsel for Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg, Monica Connell, determined that said attorneys are conflicted and cannot handle complaints against other Defendants nor themselves per their own departmental Rules and Regulation Part 600, per the Attorney Code of Conduct and Law and the complaints where therefore to be moved to a NON CONFLICTED THIRD PARTY.

But, Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg in opposition to the directive of their counsel, the NY Attorney General's office, first concealed for months and then dismissed Eliot I. Bernstein’s 78 Page Complaints Against them, resulting from their illegal handling of 6 other defendants’ complaints. The 78 Page Complaint was CONCEALED illegally since Feb 2009 until formally requested by Senator John L. Sampson, Chair of the NY State Judiciary Committee, at a Judiciary Committee hearing regarding corruption at the department headed by Reardon and Friedberg.

Reardon and Friedberg in so acting violated;

a. § 215.45 Compounding a crime,

b. § 105.00 Conspiracy in the sixth degree,

c. § 110.00 Attempt to commit a crime,

d. § 115.00 Criminal facilitation in the fourth degree,

e. Federal laws require honest service and

f. NY § 195.00 Official misconduct.

8. Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg’s acts were part of others’ acts involved in criminal organized crime against Eliot I. Bernstein and met the definitions of § 460.10 Definitions because the "Criminal acts" consisted of the following crimes, conspiracies and attempts to commit the following felonies;

a. 125.25 relating to homicide,

b. section 135.65 relating to coercion,

c. sections 155.42 relating to grand larceny,

d. sections 175.10, 175.25, 175.35, 175.40 and 210.40 relating to false statements,

e. section 190.65 relating to schemes to defraud,

f. sections 205.60 and 205.65 relating to hindering prosecution,

g. section 210.15 relating to perjury and contempt and,

h. section 215.40 relating to tampering with physical evidence.

 And Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg were part of a "Criminal Enterprise, a group of persons, sharing a common purpose of engaging in criminal conduct, associated in an ascertainable structure distinct from a pattern of criminal activity, and with a continuity of existence, structure and criminal purpose beyond the scope of individual criminal incidents.

 And Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg were actors in a "Pattern of Criminal Activity” constituting three or more criminal acts that,

a) were committed within ten years of the commencement of the criminal action

b) are neither isolated incidents, nor so closely related and connected in point of time or circumstance of commission as to constitute a criminal offense or criminal transaction, and were committed, solicited, requested, importuned and intentionally aided by persons acting with the mental culpability required for the commission thereof and associated with or in the criminal enterprise.

9. Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg violated;

a. § 460.20 Enterprise corruption. They were guilty of enterprise corruption when, having knowledge of the existence of a criminal enterprise and the nature of its activities, and being associated with such enterprise,

i. intentionally conducted and participated in the affairs in a pattern of criminal activity and participated in a pattern of criminal activity with intent to advance the affairs of the criminal enterprise, and that at least three of the criminal acts were NY Law and/or federal felonies other than conspiracy two of the acts, one of which is a felony, occurred within five years of the commencement of the criminal action; and each of their acts occurred within three years of a prior act..

The following is a partial description of the criminal enterprise of which Roy L. Reardon and Alan W. Friedberg were part.

Christine C. Anderson under sworn oath in a Federal Court and at a New York State Judiciary Committee Hearing exposed the ongoing Whitewashing of Complaints, criminal obstruction of official proceedings and threatening federal witnesses, by said attorneys and the obstructing of proceedings against “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers”. Further, Anderson exposed that the First Department had a “Cleaner” aka Naomi Goldstein who acted criminally to Whitewash Complaints for the US Attorney, the District Attorney and the Assistant District Attorney in New York.

Anderson stated the attorneys, as part of their criminal enterprise, failed to act to regulate the misconducts of the lawyers in their department. Had said attorneys investigated Proskauer Rose, a central Defendant in the Federal RICO action, for example, when I first complained, many peoples’ losses could have been saved in the Sir Allan Stanford affairs. Where Proskauer Rose and Partner Thomas Sjoblom (a former SEC Enforcement Employee) were subsequently involved in teaching employees how to lie to Federal Authorities in a Miami Airport Hanger and are being now sued in a Global Class Action for the entire Stanford losses by investors. Stanford employees charged with Felonies in the Stanford matters also filed ethics complaints against Proskauer and Proskauer attorneys.

Had said attorneys investigated Proskauer when I first complained to the First Department, many people’s life savings and hundreds of charities could have been saved from ruin in the Bernard Madoff affair, as Proskauer claims to have the most Madoff clients and where clients of Madoff are now under investigation. Proskauer appears to have senior partners basically running the First Department and the Ethics Department throughout the state of New York.

Steven C. Krane, a Proskauer partner was caught handling his own, his partners and his firms’ complaint while an officer of the First Department and was subsequently ordered for investigations which never officially were completed, although ordered by unanimous consent of five justices of the First Department.

Deceased DDC officer Stephen Rakowe Kaye, a Proskauer partner and First Department Officer was also married to former Chief Judge Judith Kaye who had ultimate control over the department and the said attorneys with her husband and Krane her former clerk. This created a block to due process emanating from the top of the New York Courts and the top of the state ethics departments.

Had the said attorneys done their job regulating the attorneys and their “favored law firms and lawyers” most likely a BOMB would not have been placed in my family minivan (images available on the homepage of www.iviewit.tv ) allowing someone to ATTEMPT to MURDER myself, my wife and my three children. It is strange to note how the timing of that event coincided with discovery that STEVEN C. KRANE of Proskauer and Thomas Cahill ( former Chief Counsel of the DDC ) were found violating First Department DDC Rules and Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law leading to the First Department Court, in unanimous consent, transferring complaints against Krane of PROSKAUER and also a FIRST DEPARTMENT OFFICER, Krane’s Proskauer partners he was representing ILLEGALLY in complaints while a DDC OFFICER and even Krane representing himself while an officer.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Eliot I. Bernstein

Sworn to before me this \_\_ day of January 2010

Eliot I. Bernstein came before me this \_\_ day of December 2009 and and swore the contents to be true under the penalties of perjury in the State of New York and in the State of Florida

Respectfully Yours,



\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
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