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**Re: Your Continued ILLEGAL Handling of Disciplinary Complaints Against Yourself, Alan W. Friedberg & Other Defendants in a Twelve Count, Twelve Trillion Dollar Lawsuit by Myself, which is Legally “RELATED” by Judge Shira Scheindlin to a Whistleblower Lawsuit of Your Former Employee, Court Attorney Christine C. Anderson.**

Dear Mr. Reardon,

I. Response to Your Letter Dated December 07, 2009.

Your continued audacity in handling complaints in Conflict of Interest bemuses me and allow me to retort to your and Mr. Friedberg’s insanity and again put forth some basic realities regarding your misconduct and the criminal acts they constitute.

First, my seventy-eight page complaint against Mr. Friedberg, is also a complaint against you, if you have read it, it is merely a RE-FILED complaint against you and Mr. Friedberg, since you have admitted you CONCEALED the original complaint in your last conflicted correspondence. This letter shall serve as a third and a bit more severe complaint.

Your letter is in response to the earlier complaints against Mr. Friedberg and yourself that you buried since February 2009, until directed by the Senator John Sampson, Chairman of the NEW YORK STATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE at a HEARING REGARDING CORRUPTIONS AT YOUR DEPARTMENT on September 24, 2009 to provide information regarding the missing complaints. Senator Sampson requested that Mr. Friedberg and your Department provide information regarding the CONCEALED complaints directly to the COMMITTEE, not me. Nevertheless, in delusion, you again responded to me regarding your complaints and tried to dismiss complaints against yourself and your partner in crime, Mr. Friedberg, while your department is in a LAWSUIT with me. I remind you that that in my Federal RICO lawsuit your Department is a named Defendant in, your department also has representative, albeit ILLEGALLY retained, counsel, the New York Attorney General. Yet you continue to HARASS me directly, attempting to write me while continuing ILLEGALLY handling your own and Mr. Friedberg’s complaints and trying to avoid the Judiciary Committee.

Let me dissect your letters errors one by one before I begin my further FORMAL COMPLAINT against you in addition to the ones you have buried for months and now try to dismiss:

1. First, you state, “Your 78 page fax dated December 3, 2009 labeled as a complaint against Chief Counsel Alan W. Friedberg has been referred to me.” The problem in this statement is that you fail to see that the 78 Page Fax Complaint, is a re-filing of the complaint you have admitted to concealing since February 2009 against both YOU and Mr. Friedberg. The FORMAL COMPLAINT is actually against you and Mr. Friedberg, so had you really read the Complaint, you would see it would be impossible for it to be referred to you, as you are a COMPLAINED OF PARTY in the Complaint. I resubmitted that complaint due ONLY to your prior CONCEALMENT of OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS. I have attached herein, that same complaint but have individually broke it out for you with your very own cover page. By the by, your conflicted letter that defies ethics has NO FORMAL DOCKETING number for the Friedberg case, so I wonder how to appeal it or if it has been CONCEALED again from formal processes.
2. Next, you claim laughably, “Among other things, the document contains copies of letters from you to State Senator John L. Sampson of the New York Senate Judiciary Committee alleging that the DDC has engaged in conflicts of interest, corruption and other wrongful acts. We find no merit in those accusations.” The silliness of your statement here, although criminal in nature, is very telling of your cognizance of the allegations contained in the FORMAL COMPLAINT that was CONCEALED. Your acknowledgement of the FORMAL COMPLAINT will further stand as ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OF YOUR CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT in trying to dismiss complaints you and your whole department are conflicted with and criminally concealing official State court documents that directly relate to a Federal Lawsuit.

Remember the Whistleblower, Anderson, testifies before a Federal Court under oath and to the Judiciary Committee that there is Whitewashing of Complaints, Document Destruction, “Cleaners”, named Naomi Goldstein, cleaning complaints for US Attorney’s, DA’s, etc., all occurring at the same time as my allegations of same. Yet, you beg the reader of your letter to trust that you have found no wrongdoing after evaluating solely (soullessly) the complaint against yourself and other defendants.

Now it becomes a bit dicier for you, as you’re very own rules you regulate and the RULES AND REGULATIONS OF YOUR DEPARTMENT CLAIM;

§ 605.6 Investigations and Informal Proceedings

(g) Preliminary Screening of Complaints. Any complaint received by the Office of Chief Counsel against a member of the Committee or Staff counsel involving alleged misconduct shall be transmitted forthwith to the Committee Chairperson, who shall assign it either to the Office of Chief Counsel or to special counsel who shall conduct or direct the appropriate investigation, and give a written recommendation as to the disposition of the Complaint to the Committee Chairperson, who shall determine the appropriate disposition of the Complaint. **Any such Complaint which relates to the Committee Chairperson shall, in the first instance, be transmitted to a Hearing Panel Chairperson, who shall conduct the appropriate investigation and determine the appropriate disposition of the Complaint.**

My complaint Mr. Reardon is a twofold problem for you as the FORMAL COMPLAINTS YOU HAVE CONCEALED SINCE FEBRUARY 2009, are against YOU, acting as the Chair of the DDC and your partner in crime, Mr. Friedberg, acting as Chief Counsel. It is clever how in your SELF DISMISSING LETTER which defies ethics, you try and CONCEAL that Friedberg’s complaint has been transferred to you, which according to your own rules would be a violation, as the COMPLAINT is also against YOU. Thus, you are AGAIN violating your OWN rules by trying to hide that you also are knowingly COMPLAINED of FORMALLY in the complaint, and by way of the Sampson Letters you reference which were included with the complaint, you have admitted receipt of the documents and thus have absolute knowledge. Yet, nice try at dodging the bullet, though, I guess you figured nobody would notice that you are handling your own complaint if you deny it and conceal it. The problem now is everyone knows. Ah, “desperate men…”

Your use of the term “we” as in “**We** find no merit in those accusations” Begets the question of if you are also suffering dementia or delirium that has you believing others are involved in your decision. Who is the “WE” Mr. Reardon, as I have repeatedly asked you disclose any other persons involved in allowing you to dismiss your own complaint, in other words, whom are your accomplices so that we may formally file charges against the WE too. Therefore, now that you have identified there is a WE, please properly disclose to the Judiciary Committee who else is involved in these CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES you are conducting, who else finds no merit other than you and Mr. Friedberg who are the people complained about.

1. Amazingly, your letter continues, “In any event, your new "complaint" against Mr. Friedberg provides no basis on which the Committee should pursue action.” First, there is NO NEW COMPLAINT, this is merely a re-filing of the ORIGINAL COMPLAINT you have ILLEGALLY CONCEALED in violation of LAW against both you and Mr. Friedberg. Now your trying to make it as a new complaint which is both ridiculous and further criminal and will so be reported to ALL appropriate oversight authorities and criminal investigators as evidence of your continued illegal activity and further attempt at CRIMINAL CONCEALMENT and more.

Second, since you should not be handling complaints against yourself, or your partner in crime Mr. Friedberg, it defies logic and law how you claim there is no basis in a complaint against yourself. You should have already turned these matters over, per your rules, to a Hearing Panel Chair, which obviously you fail to do in regard to the FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST YOU AND MR. FRIEDBERG. Again, your actions appear criminal as you are attempting to deny proper procedure of your department in handling complaints to deny my due process rights, by concealing the complaints are against you, while admitting receipt of the complaint against yourself, again this further serves as prima facie evidence of your CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT.

1. The next statement truly shows your detachment from both reality and the rules and regulations that define ETHICS. I quote your insanity, “Indeed, you appear to be arguing that anyone associated with the Committee is precluded from considering the merits of your complaint.” Yes indeed Mr. Reardon I do make the claim that NOBODY in your department can handle these complaints and for several factual reasons. First, your department is a DEFENDANT in a 12-COUNT, 12-TRILLION dollar LAWSUIT, which I am certain you are not concealing from state auditors! Well, I am not sure that you are not concealing that but perhaps you could clarify that for me and the Judiciary Committee in writing, as I am sure you are reporting the liability on the states books, liabilities directly related to your CRIMINAL CONDUCT and CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT.

As DEFENDANTS in the ongoing FEDERAL RICO LEGAL ACTION, the whole First Department is factually and legally PRECLUDED from involvement due to CONFLICTS OF INTEREST and THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY this creates. Next, the continued violation of CONFLICT RULES which creates the APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY also act together to create CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION OF OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS. These CRIMINAL acts also will be reported to your oversight, the Judiciary Committee and CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS.

As the First Department DDC is a DEFENDANT in the LAWSUIT, the DDC also has representative, albeit ILLEGALLY retained counsel, the New York Attorney General and Monica Connell and again you contacting me other than through your counsel is HIGHLY SUSPECT and UNETHICAL.

Upon filing the complaints against other DEFENDANTS in the same LAWSUIT, Ms. Connell advised me as YOUR COUNSEL to file the complaints with your offices against the Proskauer Rose and Foley & Lardner attorneys and law firms. However, Connell stated that the complaints would be moved instantly to a NON CONFLICTED THIRD PARTY to avoid the OBVIOUS CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND APPEARANCES OF IMPROPRIETY your departments and any members of the department handling of the complaints creates.

Yet, somehow, you sneaky dog, you and your partner in crime, Mr. Friedberg, interceded those complaints that were to be transferred, in opposition to what your counsel had arranged with me and brazenly or insanely, attempted to DISMISS COMPLAINTS AGAINST OTHER DEFENDANTS IN THE LAWSUIT YOUR DEPARTMENT IS A NAMED DEFENDANT IN. Again, these CONFLICTS act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and will be noted to all oversight of the DDC, the Judiciary Committee and CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS. Your actions earned you and Mr. Friedberg disciplinary complaints for your misconducts, separate and distinct complaints, although you keep lumping them together and failing to provide separate case numbers for each.

Therefore, your question asks if I think anyone in your department can handle these complaints, the answer is NO THEY CANNOT, and I advise YOU, Mr. Friedberg and any other department official that act on these complaints that each act will result in further FORMAL COMPLAINTS to your oversight, the Judiciary Committee investigating your Department and CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS.

1. Your letter continues deeper into insanity, I quote, “On October 7, 2009 I wrote to you advising that your previous complaints against Mr. Friedberg and other attorneys have been closed.” Now here your sneakiness is better than a proverbial “snake in the grass” as you attempt to state that my previous complaints filed against Mr. Friedberg and other DEFENDANTS are closed. This looks like you are attempting to mix apples and oranges while hiding the beef.

Let us keep it straight for the Judiciary Committee whom I am requesting handling this matter going forward and institute oversight over your extremely illegal activities, there are complaints against Proskauer and Foley attorneys and there are complaints against you and Mr. Friedberg which are separate and came much later. On October 07, 2009, you could not be telling me the complaint against Mr. Friedberg was dismissed as your letter contends, for in that letter you claimed that you did not know of complaints against you and Mr. Friedberg, claiming the Formal Complaints did not look like complaints, as the attached exhibits clearly illustrate. Certainly, it becomes apparent that you cannot keep your lies straight at this time but perhaps you can better explain this to the Judiciary Committee.

Your October 07, 2009 attempts to combine the earlier complaints against attorneys from Proskauer Rose and Foley & Lardner with the complaints against you and Mr. Friedberg, while hiding your involvement. Love how you refer to “other attorneys” in a third person voice “other”, versus identifying that YOU Mr. Reardon, are one of the “other” attorneys you reference. Do you often refer to yourself in the third person “other”; this is a very symbolic sign of pathological behavior indicating delusion. Perhaps you could have written more accurately, something like – your complaints against Mr. Friedberg, MYSELF and other attorneys but that would have exposed you so I see your need for further Concealing such a material fact in layers of BS.

Again, you CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS, which creates further CRIMINAL CONDUCT. Finally, I am not sure how the FORMAL COMPLAINTS could now close when they are not yet opened formally through proper procedure. No complaint numbers are issued for SIX complaints for me to even respond to each separately, complaint numbers which I have demanded in each of my complaints since filing and which are supposed to be issued as part of the RULES and REGULATIONS of your department for each complaint. Yes, the very Rules and Regulations that you psychotically continue to ignore that regulate your department.

1. This my favorite quote from your factually illegal letter, I quote, “You may wish to consider consulting with counsel regarding the matters raised in your submissions.” To be clear, I have consulted counsel, YOUR COUNSEL the New York Attorney General and it was determined that you are conflicted and cannot handle complaints against other Defendants, nor yourself and Mr. Friedberg, per your own departmental Rules and Regulation Part 600, per the Attorney Code of Conduct and Law. The result of my talk with YOUR COUNSEL THE NY AG was to have the complaints moved to a NON CONFLICTED THIRD PARTY. I advise you therefore to consider consulting with YOUR COUNSEL regarding the matters raised against you CRIMINALLY and the CIVIL charges against you and your department in the civil complaint and further consult on turning yourself in at this point.

I advise you to consult your counsel as to further pestering me directly, where as you know, I represent myself Pro Se, so it is highly unethical and against the Attorney Conduct Code for you, an attorney, to be contacting me directly when you have representative counsel. I find teaching you ethics at this point to be an utter waste of my time at this point, as you seem not be able to discern realistically what your own ethical obligations are, nor what the Rules you are charged with over sight of state or your procedures and finally are in flagrant violation of the Law. I therefore highly recommend that you discontinue with contacting me directly and have your attorney handle these matters going forward.

Of course, your attorney, the New York Attorney General, is also a DEFENDANT in my LAWSUIT and his representation is therefore suspect as aiding and abetting illegally your department through CONFLICTED representation that VIOLATES the NYAG Rules Public Office Rule 17. This ILLEGAL REPRESENTATION compounds the problems and further causes Obstruction of Justice, as the Conflict precludes the NYAG from doing his public duty of investigating dirty rotten Public Officials, like yourself and Mr. Friedberg. As my LAWSUIT has been marked legally “RELATED” by Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin to that of the First Department DDC Whistleblower case of Christine C. Anderson, we see that Anderson similarly is complaining that the NYAG illegal representation of State Defendants from your offices, is a Violation of the NYAG Rules and Regulations and Law, whereby you can get a gander for her position at the following URL, incorporated by reference in entirety herein @ <http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf>

Yet, while your letter attempts to claim no conflicts and no foul play, almost, you simultaneously failed to sign and return the CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM attached to the COMPLAINT. This failure serves as further evidence of your CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION through CONFLICT OF INTEREST, which now all will be formulated as a FORMAL CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AGAINST YOU. Under the laws of NEW YORK and the UNITED STATES, in the interim until authorities can arrest you for your felonious acts, I place you under immediate CITIZENS ARREST as a witness with evidence of your state and federal felonies. I subsequently advise you not only to seek independent counsel but also to turn yourself in to the proper authorities for processing for FELONY charges both state and federal.

I remind you that I have sent the JUDICIARY COMMITTEE OF NEW YORK and FEDERAL AUTHORITIES information regarding your involvement in RICO related crimes, along with many in your department. If you are so confident in your meritorious good work on behalf of the Good People of New York, you should welcome a NON CONFLICTED THIRD PARTY review of your handling of these cases, as certainly you have nothing to hide but the complaints, etc.

Note that we are attaching copy of a SWORN CRIMINAL COMPLAINT to all of the following, including but not limited to,

* The New York Judiciary Committee
* Attorney General of the United States, Eric Holder
* The Hon. Representative John Conyers, Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee
* The Hon. Senator Dianne Feinstein, Senate Judiciary Committee
* The New York Attorney General in order for their offices to turn the matters over to non conflicted investigators.
* The New York State Inspector Generals

Conclusion

According to Whistleblower Christine Anderson under sworn oath in a Federal Court and many others who have come forth to expose the ongoing Whitewashing of Complaints your offices are alleged to be involved in, exhibiting criminal obstruction of official proceedings, including threatening federal witnesses in Anderson that are also employees of your department, makes your department worthy of investigations. Nice reputation for an ETHICS department but we will get to that in a moment. Not only are your offices accused by Anderson of obstructing state proceedings that now affect Federal proceedings in order to protect “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” which demands investigation by non conflicted parties but further that criminal Whitewashing of Complaints for the US Attorney, District Attorney and Assistant District Attorney by the “Cleaner” also demand immediate investigations of the named parties. The “Cleaner” aka Naomi Goldstein of your offices, so named by your former Staff Attorney, Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson should be under not only internal investigation but external criminal investigations, I presume you are conducting these now that this information has been exposed. Again, solid reasons for you and your entire department’s recusal from further involvement in these matters, other than turning yourself in and getting non-conflicted counsel to represent you.

Finally, and most importantly, your failure to regulate the misconducts of the lawyers in your department according to well established rules and regulations of your department, have led you to have failed the People of the Great State of New York and the People of this Great Nation as a direct result of your criminal disregard for regulations. For example, had you done your job investigating Proskauer Rose when I first complained of them to the First Department, your department could have saved many people’s losses in the Sir Allan Stanford PONZI scheme. Where Proskauer Rose and Partner Thomas Sjoblom ( a former SEC Enforcement Employee ) were involved in teaching employees how to lie to Federal Authorities in a Miami Airport Hanger and have been subsequently sued for the entire amount of the Ponzi in a Global Class Action lawsuit. My recommendation will be for any unrecoverable losses that those VICTIMS suffer, to sue you and your department for failure to regulate and possible conspiratorial roles in the cover up of the crimes.

Further, had you investigated Proskauer when I first informed you of their misconducts and crimes, you also could have prevented many people over those 7 seven years from losing their lives savings in the Bernard Madoff affair and saved the hundreds of charities destroyed by Madoff and lives ruined. Yes, you are the oversight that could have prevented these calamities and are responsible for your failures to the victims. In fact, since Proskauer appears to have senior partners basically running the First Department and the Ethics Departments from Steven C. Krane, a Proskauer partner caught handling his own and his firms complaints while an officer of the First Department DDC and former New York State Bar President, who as a result was ordered for investigation for conflict and the appearance of impropriety in these matters, to recently deceased DDC officer Stephen Rakowe Kaye, a Proskauer partner and married to former Chief Judge Judith Kaye, who had ultimate control over the department with her husband and Krane (her former clerk), no wonder complaints bounced off Proskauer into your trash can.

Yet and personally more tragic, is the fact that had you done your job regulating your “favored law firms and lawyers” most likely a BOMB would not have been placed in my family minivan ( images at [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv) ) allowing someone to ATTEMPT to MURDER my wife and children. It is strange to note how the timing of the bombing coincided with discovery that STEVEN C. KRANE of Proskauer was a First Department Officer and he and Thomas Cahill ( former Chief Counsel of the DDC ) where found violating First Department DDC Rules and Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law. These findings of violations of the rules, confirmed by former Clerk of the Court Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, led to the First Department Court, in unanimous consent, transferring the complaints against Krane of PROSKAUER, Krane’s Proskauer partners he was representing ILLEGALLY in complaints while a DDC OFFICER and even Krane’s representation of himself in the Krane complaint for CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY . Thomas Cahill also was transferred for Special Inquiry at that time and your recent handling of that complaint with Mr. Stephen Lamont and attempting to dismiss that complaint with no authority to do so, again, while clever, will be further evidence of your CRIMINAL ACTS to be filed as further prima facie evidence to CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES.

Let us not forget to remind those judging your actions of the fact that your department is supposed to regulate Wall Street lawyers and we can all see what your lax regulations have produced in that regard. I also remind you that your department appears to be the regulator for several fine attorneys in the Justice Department and Office of Legal Counsel, who are being accused of war crimes for their violations of ethics and law. Violations that have allowed the torture and murder of innocent untried people, quite similar to Nazi Extermination Camps where habeas rights similarly were removed by dirty rotten lawyers, politicians and judges who were actually criminals with legal degrees who attempted to and succeeded at making concentration camps and murder legal for undesirables. I remind you of the Judges Trial, where in the end they got theirs, so that today while you feel elevated above the law, enough so to first conceal and then attempt to dismiss complaints against yourself, in drunken and high delusions of grandeur, that one day you will be tried for these crimes. Justice will again be restored for your tyrannous grip and even the Nazi lawyers and judges had their day in the infamous Judges Trial at the Nuremberg Trials. I wait hearing your defenses from behind the glass.

Truly, and I mean this FIGURATIVELY, not LITERALLY, I hope this letter feels like a bomb in your car and you may live with your family in similar trepidation that one day your world will end, when your scam ends and you are tried in a fair and impartial court, free of conflict. Hopefully, you will then serve the remainder of your life behind bars contemplating the magnanimity of the damage you have done to my family, my shareholders, those you have embroiled in your crimes like Ms. Anderson, those federal witnesses like Nicole Carrado that you threaten as they go to testify, those victims of your crimes that appear lining up at each new Judiciary Committee, all those who have lost homes or 401k’s from lawyers schemes in an unregulated environment, free to commit financial crimes against so many People, as you the REGULATOR, YOU was naught more than a criminal in on the crimes a fox guarding the chicken coop.

Most DisRespectfully Yours,



\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
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