

# 08-4873-CV

---

United States Court of Appeal for the Second Circuit

Justices: Debra Ann Livingston, Richard C. Wesley, Peter W. Hall and Ralph K. Winter, Jr.

(Each Justice named above has been Criminally Complained of to State and Federal Authorities for their Illegal Conduct in this Lawsuit thusfar)

---

**Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Pro Se**

**Plaintiff – Appellant**

**--v--**

**Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee et al.**

**Defendants – Appellees**

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
CASE 07 CIV. 11196 (SHIRA ANNE SCHEINDLIN)**

**LEGALLY RELATED CASE BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN TO:  
(07 CIV. 9599) (SAS-AJP) CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON V. THE STATE OF NEW  
YORK, ET AL.**

**CASES SEEKING OR RELATED TO ANDERSON:**

1. 08-4873-CV UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT DOCKET - BERNSTEIN, ET AL. V APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE, ET AL. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT
2. CAPOGROSSO V NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT, ET AL.

3. ESPOSITO V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  4. MCKEOWN V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  5. RELATED CASES @ US DISTRICT COURT - SOUTHERN DISTRICT NY
  6. 07CV09599 ANDERSON V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT WHICH OTHER CASES HAVE BEEN MARKED LEGALLY "RELATED" TO BY FED. JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN
  7. 07CV11196 BERNSTEIN, ET AL. V APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE, ET AL.
  8. 07CV11612 ESPOSITO V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  9. 08CV00526 CAPOGROSSO V NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT, ET AL.
  10. 08CV02391 MCKEOWN V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  11. 08CV02852 GALISON V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  12. 08CV03305 CARVEL V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  13. 08CV4053 GIZELLA WEISSHAUS V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  14. 08CV4438 SUZANNE MCCORMICK V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
  15. 08 CV 6368 JOHN L. PETREC-TOLINO V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK
  16. 06CV05169 MCNAMARA V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
- 

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO:**

- I. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ( THIS COURT ) WHOM HAVE CURRENTLY ACTED IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ANYWAY WHATSOEVER, FOR THEIR PART IN AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD ON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS AND MORE.**
  
- II. REMAND, HALT AND REHEAR THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S NOW ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, BOTH PAST AND PRESENT, IN ACTING ILLEGALLY AS COUNSEL FOR THEIR OFFICE AND ADDITIONALLY FOR 39 PLUS STATE DEFENDANT/ACTORS IN THIS LAWSUIT AND VIOLATING PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & REGULATIONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE & FEDERAL LAW.**
  
- III. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON'S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE.**

**IV.** HALT THIS LAWSUIT AND THE “LEGALLY RELATED” LAWSUITS, PENDING INVESTIGATIONS OF WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST MEMBERS OF, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, JUSTICES OF THE COURTS, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS AND OTHERS, BASED ON FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. THE FELONY CRIMES ALLEGED BY ANDERSON, DIRECTLY RELATE TO THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, INCLUDING HAVING SEVERAL IDENTICAL NEW YORK STATE PUBLIC OFFICIAL ACTOR/DEFENDANTS AND THE ANDERSON ALLEGATIONS ARE WHOLLY GERMANE TO THE NEXUS OF THE IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT CRIMES ALLEGED. FURTHER THE TWO LAWSUITS ARE “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN.

**V.** REMOVE AND REPORT ALL OTHER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, VIOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES, VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE AND FEDERAL LAW, CURRENTLY IN PLACE IN THIS RICO LAWSUIT AND RELATED CASES, IN ORDER TO IMPART FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.

**VI.** DEMAND THAT ALL PARTIES TO THIS LAWSUIT GOING FORWARD, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COURT JUSTICES & OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, CLERKS, ETC. SIGN AFFIRMED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES, IDENTICAL TO THE ONE ATTACHED HEREIN, ACKNOWLEDGING PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES FOR ANY VIOLATION, PRIOR TO, ANY FURTHER ACTION BY ANYONE IN THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT.

**VII.** DEMAND FOR JUSTICES OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT TO TURN THEMSELVES IN TO STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES TO ANSWER TO FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEM AND SERVED UPON THEM.

**VIII.** ALLEGED CRIMES ONGOING BY P. STEPHEN LAMONT ET AL. BOTH KNOWN AND UNKNOWN AND FRAUD ON THIS COURT, THE US DISTRICT COURT AND NOW OTHER COURTS INCLUDING THE SUPREME COURT AND MORE.

**IX. PLAINTIFF SEEKS LEAVE TO AMEND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT TO ADD NEW DEFENDANTS AND NEW ALLEGED CRIMES NEWLY DISCOVERED.**

---

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE  
2753 N.W. 34TH STREET  
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33434-3459  
(561) 245.8588 (o) / (561) 886.7628 (c) / (561) 245-8644 (f)  
[iviewit@iviewit.tv](mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv) / [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv)

---

## Table of Contents

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (COI) DISCLOSURE FORM .....	7
I. INTRO – WHO ARE THE PATRIOTS AND WHO ARE THE TYRANTS? .....	27
MEET THE COUPSTERS – THE LEADERS OF THE ONCE FREE WORLD .....	31
THE CONTROLLED DEMOLITION OF WORLD MARKETS BY ATTORNEYS AT LAW OPERATING AS A CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE AND INFILTRATING SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICES, INCLUDING WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND THE COURTS .....	46
WHERE IS THE JUSTICE? THE CRIMINAL ROLE OF THIS COURT IN AIDING AND ABETTING THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE – A ROOT OF TYRANNY AND INJUSTICE .....	59
II. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ( THIS COURT ) WHOM HAVE CURRENTLY ACTED IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ANYWAY WHATSOEVER, FOR THEIR PART IN AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD ON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS AND MORE PRIOR TO ACTING ON FURTHER ON THIS MOTION .....	83
III. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL’S NOW ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, BOTH PAST AND PRESENT, IN ACTING ILLEGALLY AS COUNSEL FOR THEIR OFFICE AND ADDITIONALLY FOR 39 PLUS STATE DEFENDANT/ACTORS IN THIS LAWSUIT AND VIOLATING PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & REGULATIONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE & FEDERAL LAW .....	99
IV. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE .....	109
V. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER NICOLE CORRADO’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE AS ALREADY EVIDENCED HEREIN AND IN EXHIBIT .....	112
VI. REMOVE AND REPORT ALL OTHER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, VIOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES, VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE AND FEDERAL LAW, CURRENTLY IN	

PLACE IN THIS RICO LAWSUIT AND RELATED CASES, IN ORDER TO IMPART FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW .....	113
VII. DEMAND THAT ALL PARTIES TO THIS LAWSUIT GOING FORWARD, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COURT JUSTICES & OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, CLERKS, ETC. SIGN AFFIRMED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES, IDENTICAL TO THE ONE ATTACHED HEREIN, ACKNOWLEDGING PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES FOR ANY VIOLATION, PRIOR TO, ANY FURTHER ACTION BY ANYONE IN THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT. ....	113
VIII. DEMAND FOR JUSTICES OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT TO TURN THEMSELVES INTO STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES TO ANSWER TO FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEM AND SERVED UPON THEM	114
IX. ALLEGED CRIMES ONGOING BY P. STEPHEN LAMONT ET AL. BOTH KNOWN AND UNKNOWN AND FRAUD ON THIS COURT, THE US DISTRICT COURT AND NOW OTHER COURTS INCLUDING THE SUPREME COURT AND MORE.....	114
X. PLAINTIFF SEEKS LEAVE TO AMEND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT TO ADD NEW DEFENDANTS AND NEW ALLEGED CRIMES NEWLY DISCOVERED .....	115
XI. RELIEF.....	116
EXHIBIT 1 – CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE PARTIAL LIST OF KNOWN CONFLICTED PARTIES .....	118
EXHIBIT 2- ANDERSON MOTION TO RE-OPEN AND REHEAR AND CORRADO LAWSUIT.....	124
EXHIBIT 3 – FRANKLIN PEREZ INFORMATION .....	149
EXHIBIT 3 – CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS .....	151
EXHIBIT 4 – ETHICS COMPLAINT .....	151

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST (COI) DISCLOSURE FORM



*"Lasciate ogni speranza, voi ch'intrate"*<sup>1</sup>  
whom fail to sign this form.

### **THIS COI MUST BE SIGNED AND RETURNED PRIOR TO ANY ACTION BY YOU IN THESE MATTERS**

Please accept and return signed, the following Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form (COI) before continuing further with adjudication, review or investigation of the attached MOTION to the **United States Second Circuit Court**, titled,

#### **MOTION TO:**

- I.** Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Attorney General's now Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest both past and present, in acting ILLEGALLY as Counsel for 39 plus State Defendant/Actors in this Lawsuit by Violating Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law.
- II.** Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Supreme Court's Attorney Whistleblower, Christine C. Anderson's ("Anderson") Felony Criminal Allegations against SENIOR Court Officials, Public Officials et al.
- III.** HALT THIS LAWSUIT and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits, pending investigations of Whistleblower Anderson's FELONY CRIMINAL Allegations against Members of the New York Attorney General's Office, the US Attorney's Office, the New York District Attorney's Office, New York State Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others. FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS EXPOSED in US Federal District Court, THIS COURT and before the New York Senate

---

<sup>1</sup> il Sommo Poeta ~ Durante degli Alighieri, "Divina Commedia" 1308-1321 Canto III

Judiciary Committee by the HEROIC TESTIMONY and SWORN STATEMENTS of NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON.

- IV. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL Justices and other Members of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals ( this Court ) whom have acted to this point in this Lawsuit in any capacity whatsoever, for Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court, Obstruction of Justice, Denial of Due Process and more.
- V. Remove ALL other Conflicts of Interest currently in place in this Lawsuit in order to implement FAIR & IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.
- VI. DEMAND that ALL parties to this Lawsuit going forward, including but not limited to, Court Justices & Officials, Attorneys at Law, Prosecutors, Clerks, et al. Sign and Affirm Conflict of Interest Disclosures identical to the one attached herein, acknowledging PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES for any violation, prior to, ANY further Action by ANYONE in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.
- VII. Demand for Justices and others named herein of this Court to turn themselves in to the appropriate State and Federal Criminal Authorities to ANSWER to filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against them and served upon them.

**AFTER 10 DAYS, IF THIS FORM HAS NOT BEEN SIGNED OR SUBSEQUENTLY TURNED OVER TO A NON CONFLICTED PARTY, YOUR FAILURE TO COMPLY MAY RESULT IN CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CHARGES FILED AGAINST YOU FOR AIDING AND ABETTING A RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION, FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND MORE, AS NOTED HEREIN.**

The Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form is designed to ensure that the review and any determination from such review of the enclosed materials should not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other conflicting interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this confidential information. Whereby any conflict with any of the main alleged perpetrators of the alleged crimes referenced in these matters herein, or any other perpetrators not known at this time, must be fully disclosed in writing and returned by anyone reviewing these matters prior to making ANY determination.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers, by any party, to any of the following questions, are demanded not to open the remainder of the documents or opine in any manner, until the signed COI is reviewed and approved by the Iviewit companies and Eliot I. Bernstein. If you feel that a Conflict of Interest exists that cannot be eliminated through conflict resolution with the Iviewit Companies or Eliot Bernstein, instantly forward the matters to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict that can sign and complete the requisite disclosure. Please identify conflicts that you have, in writing, upon terminating your involvement in the matters to the address listed at the end of this disclosure form for Iviewit companies or Eliot I. Bernstein. As many of these alleged perpetrators are large law firms, lawyers, members of various state and federal courts, officers of federal, state and local law enforcement and regulatory agencies, careful review and disclosure of any conflict with those named herein is pertinent in your continued handling of these matters objectively.

These matters already involve claims of, including but not limited to, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Offices, Whitewashing of Official Complaints in the Supreme Courts of New York, Florida, Virginia and elsewhere, Threatening a Federal Witness in a "legally related" Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, Document Destruction and Alteration, Obstructions of Justice, RICO, ATTEMPTED MURDER and much more. The need for prescreening for conflict is essential to the administration of due process in these matters and necessary to avoid charges of OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, against you. US Federal District Court Judge, Shira A. Scheindlin, legally related the matters to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson, Esq. who alleges similar claims of public office corruption against Supreme Court of New York Officials, US Attorneys, NY District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys. Therefore, this Conflict Check is a formal request for full disclosure of any conflict on your part, such request conforming with all applicable state and



federal laws, public office rules and regulations, attorney conduct codes and judicial canons or other international law and treatises requiring disclosure of conflicts and disqualification from these matters where conflict precludes involvement.

Failure to comply with all applicable conflict disclosure rules, public office rules and regulations, and, state, federal and international laws, prior to continued action on your part, **shall constitute cause** for the filing of criminal and civil complaints against you for any decisions or actions you make prior to a signed Conflict Of Interest Disclosure Form. Charges will be filed against you for failure to comply. Complaints will be filed with all appropriate authorities, including but not limited to, the appropriate Federal, State, Local and International Law Enforcement Agencies, Public Integrity Officials, Judicial Conduct Officials, State and Federal Bar Associations, Disciplinary Departments and any/all other appropriate agencies.

**I.** Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s) in any entity, or any of the parties listed in [EXHIBIT 1](#) of this document, or any of the named Defendants in these matters contained at the URL, <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer> ? Please review the online index in entirety prior to answering, as there are several thousand persons and entities that are named Defendants in the Iviewit Antitrust Lawsuit for Infringement.

NO  YES

**Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

**II.** Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), in any entity, or any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), to ANY other known, or unknown person, or known or unknown entity, not named herein, which will cause your review of the materials you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest(s) or any other interest(s)?

NO  YES

**Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

**III.** Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any person or entity related in any way to the parties defined in Question I, including but not limited to, campaign contributions whether direct, "in kind" or of any type at all?

NO  YES

**Please describe in detail any interests or conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information regarding the conflicts or considerations. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

**IV.** Have you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, had any prior communication(s), including but not limited to, phone, facsimile, e-mail, mail, verbal, etc., with any person related to the proceedings of Iviewit, Eliot Ivan Bernstein or the related matters in anyway and parties in Question I?

NO  YES

**Please describe in detail any identified communication(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the communication(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the communication(s) in detail, including but not limited to, who was present, what type of communication, the date and time, length, what was discussed, please affirm whether such communication(s) present a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

**V.** I have run a thorough and exhaustive Conflict of Interest check, conforming to any/all, state, federal and local laws, public office rules and regulations, and, any professional association rules and regulations, regarding disclosure of any/all conflicts. I have verified that my spouse, my dependents, and I, in the aggregate, have no conflicts with any parties or entities to the matters referenced herein. I understand that any undisclosed conflicts, relations, relationships and interests, will result in criminal and civil charges filed against me both personally and professionally.

**NO**       **YES**

**VI.** I have notified all parties with any liabilities regarding my continued actions in these matters, including state agencies, shareholders, bondholders, auditors and insurance concerns or any other person with liability that may result from my actions in these matters as required by any laws, regulations and public office rules I am bound by.

**NO**       **YES**

---

## **RELEVANT SECTIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND LAW**

### **Conflict of Interest Laws & Regulations**

**Conflict of interest indicates a situation where a private interest may influence a public decision. Conflict of Interest Laws are Laws and are designed to prevent Conflicts of Interest that deny fair and impartial due process and procedure thereby Obstructing Justice in State and Federal, Civil and Criminal Proceedings. These Laws may contain provisions related to financial or asset disclosure, exploitation of one's official position and privileges, improper relationships, regulation of campaign practices, etc. The Relevant Sections of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law listed herein are merely a benchmark guide and other state, federal and international laws, rules and regulations may be applicable to your particular circumstances in reviewing or acting in these matters. For a more complete list of applicable sections of law relating to these matters, please visit the URL,**

**[http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#\\_Toc107852933](http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#_Toc107852933)**.

### **New York State Consolidated Laws Penal**

#### **ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES**

S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree  
S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree  
S 200.05 Bribery; defense  
S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree  
S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree  
S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree  
S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense  
S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree  
 S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree  
 S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities  
 S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities  
 S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of term  
 S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office  
 S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office  
 ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEMENTS  
 S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree. S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree.  
 S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense  
 S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree  
 S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree  
 S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree  
 S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree  
 NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers  
 Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1  
 ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTICLE 15 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS  
 S 468-b. Clients' security fund of the state of New York  
 S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law  
 S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the law  
 S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general  
 S 487. Misconduct by attorneys  
 S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.  
 Public Officers Law SEC 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and Former State Officers and Employees  
 Public Officers Law SEC 74 Code of Ethics  
 Conflicts of Interest Law, found in Chapter 68 of the New York City Charter, the City's Financial Disclosure Law, set forth in section 12-110 of the New York City Administrative Code, and the Lobbyist Gift Law, found in sections 3-224 through 3-228 of the Administrative Code.

## TITLE 18 FEDERAL CODE & OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAW

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.  
 A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.  
 Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.  
 This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.  
 Fraud upon the court

### FRAUD on the COURT

In the United States, when an officer of the court is found to have fraudulently presented facts to court so that the court is impaired in the impartial performance of its legal task, the act, known as "fraud upon the court", is a crime deemed so severe and fundamentally opposed to the operation of justice that it is not subject to any statute of limitation.  
 Officers of the court include: Lawyers, Judges, Referees, and those appointed; Guardian Ad Litem, Parenting Time Expeditors, Mediators, Rule 114 Neutrals, Evaluators, Administrators, special appointees, and any others whose influence are part of the judicial mechanism.  
 "Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication". Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23

In *Bulloch v. United States*, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

**What effect does an act of "fraud upon the court" have upon the court proceeding? "Fraud upon the court" makes void the orders and judgments of that court.**

### TITLE 18 PART I CH 11

Sec. 201. Bribery of public officials and witnesses

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise  
**BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**  
 Sec. 205. - Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government  
 Sec. 208. - Acts affecting a personal financial interest  
 Sec. 210. - Offer to procure appointive public office  
 Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury or court**  
 Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of another  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law enforcement**  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT Organizations ("RICO")**  
 Section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice),  
 Section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations)  
 Section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement),  
 Section 1952 (relating to racketeering),  
 Section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity),  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) RICO**  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO**  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO**  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 SEC 1962 (D) RICO**  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES**  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity**  
**TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States**

## Judicial Cannons

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistreri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." *Balistreri*, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge. Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Canon 1. A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

[1.1] Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

Canon 2. A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Activities

(A) A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

[2.2][2A] The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(B) Adjudicative responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

(E) Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned

[3.11][3B(6)(e)] A judge may delegate the responsibilities of the judge under Canon 3B(6) to a member of the judge's staff. A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(6) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge's staff. This provision does not prohibit the judge or the judge's law clerk from informing all parties individually of scheduling or administrative decisions.

[3.21][3E(1)] Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

[3.22][3E(1)] A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

Canon 4. A Judge May Engage in Extra-Judicial Activities To Improve the Law, the Legal System, and the Administration of Justice

Canon 5. A Judge Should Regulate Extra-Judicial Activities To Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Duties

### **On Absolute Immunity for Judges**

A complaint is actionable against Judges under Title 42 U.S.C. 1985 (3), whose immunity does not extend to conspiracy under color of law. Section 1985(3) reaches both conspiracies under color of law and conspiracies effectuated through purely private conduct.

### **On Judicial Immunity**

Civil Rights Vol 4, US Supreme Court Digest

Page 555 Judges not totally Immune

87 SCT 1213 Pierson v. Ray

94 SCT 1683 Scheur v. Rhodes

96 SCT 984 Imbler v. Pathtman

98 SCT 2018 Monell v. Social SVS

98 SCT 2894 Butz v. Economov

On Absolute Immunity for Judges

A complaint is actionable against Judges under Title 42 U.S.C. 1985 (3), whose immunity does not extend to conspiracy under color of law. Section 1985(3) reaches both conspiracies under color of law and conspiracies effectuated through purely private conduct.

### **Federal Judicial Oaths**

In the United States, federal judges are required to take two oaths. The first oath is this:

I, (name), do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as (office) under the Constitution and laws of the United States. So help me God.[52]

The second is the same oath that members of Congress take:

I, (name), do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God.[51]

Federal statute specifically says that the latter oath "does not affect other oaths required by law."

### **On Judges violation of oath of office**

Many judges have a total disregard for their oath of office under Title 28 Section 453, All judges take this oath of office swearing to uphold the U.S. Constitution.

### **Due Process of Law**

#### **Key Definitions:**

Crime: when one of the People with criminal intent damages one or more of the people and/their property.

1. A Citizen who believes they have been allegedly harmed or damaged by the actions of another alleged Citizen in a criminal manner, specifically in violation of their Constitutionally guaranteed Rights, must prepare a signed affidavit stating the alleged circumstances and damages so attesting under the pains and penalties of perjury.
2. This affidavit must be submitted to the prosecuting attorney.
3. The prosecuting attorney pursuant to his Oath, in this instant matter must ensure that the Rights of the accused, as well as the accuser, are not violated at anytime.
4. In criminal situations, the prosecuting counsel, must review the affidavit from the allegedly damaged citizen and assess whether the claim is Constitutionally valid and compliant specific to the Bill of Rights.
5. If the prosecuting counsel through lawful evaluation and investigation assesses that the claim does not present sufficient foundation for the commencement of prosecution, the prosecuting counsel is to notify the Citizen issuing the affidavit that lawful foundation for the criminal charge or complaint is lacking.
6. If the prosecuting counsel through evaluation and initial investigation assesses that the claim by the Citizen issuing the affidavit for harm or damage may contain criminal foundation, the prosecuting counsel is to lawfully notify the alleged damaging, accused, Citizen.
7. The accused Citizen, within a reasonable time period, if there is any matter in controversy with the complainant's affidavit, must then rebut the affidavit with his own affidavit, and return this to the prosecuting counsel.
8. If, after reviewing the affidavit of the accused Citizen, the prosecuting counsel through evaluation assesses that the claim does not sufficiently present foundation for the commencement of prosecution, the prosecuting counsel is to notify the complaining Citizen and accused Citizen that the matter lacks sufficient lawful substance and foundation for a criminal claim, noticing that the matter is withdrawn.
9. If, after reviewing the affidavit of the accused Citizen in conjunction with the other information presented and discovered, the prosecuting counsel through evaluation and investigation, assesses that the claim does sufficiently present foundation for a criminal complaint, he or she will initiate the commencement of a lawful prosecution by:
  - a. Determining what Rights have been violated of the complaining Citizen.
  - b. Determine the type and cost of damages.
  - b. Prepare his own signed and notarized affidavit certifying that the charges alleged against the accused are Constitutionally valid and compliant specific to due process and the Bill of Rights, so attesting under the pains and penalties of perjury of the United States of America.
  - c. The prosecuting attorney will then prepare a lawful Summons and Complaint which:
    - i. establishes jurisdiction
    - ii. identifies the Plaintiff and alleged defendant
    - iii. presents the actions of the accused which effected alleged harm and damage to the injured party and what harm and damage was inflicted.
    - iv. presents the prayer for lawful punishment and relief.
  - d. Lawfully file in the court the Summons and Complaint and serves a copy of the same upon the accused noticing the date of arraignment within proper time lines.
8. The accused appears AT A PROPER COURT VENUE, as mandated in the Constitution of the United States, an Article III venue, to enter a plea. (If the venue is not an Article III court then the accused cannot enter a plea, because the court has no jurisdiction over the accused. "I cannot enter a plea because this court does not have jurisdiction over me.")
9. The Court, if a proper venue, sets a Pretrial Hearing date. This is done so that the prosecuting attorney and the accused have ample opportunity to discover more information and evidence and communicate, to further prepare their arguments, as well as to determine if the matter can be resolved out of court. In this fashion, the parties save the time of the court.
10. The Pretrial Hearing is where the judge determines if both parties have resolved the matter or if they are prepared to go to Trial.
  - a. If the matter is resolved then the resolution is lawfully implemented.
  - b. If the parties are unresolved, a Trial date is scheduled and the date for jury selection is scheduled.

## **Public Office Conduct Codes New York**

PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW Laws 1909, Chap. 51.

CHAPTER 47 OF THE CONSOLIDATED LAWS PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW

Sec. 17. Defense and indemnification of state officers and employees. 2 (b)

Sec. 18. Defense and indemnification of officers and employees of public entities.3 (b)  
Sec. 74. Code of ethics.(2)(3)(4)  
§ 73. Business or professional activities by state officers and employees and party officers.

## NY Attorney Conduct Code

(a) "Differing interests" include every interest that will adversely affect either the judgment or the loyalty of a lawyer to a client, whether it be a conflicting, inconsistent, diverse, or other interest.

CANON 5. A Lawyer Should Exercise Independent Professional Judgment on Behalf of a Client

DR 5-101 [1200.20] Conflicts of Interest - Lawyer's Own Interests.

DR 5-102 [1200.21] Lawyers as Witnesses.

DR 5-103 [1200.22] Avoiding Acquisition of Interest in Litigation.

DR 5-104 [1200.23] Transactions Between Lawyer and Client.

DR 5-105 [1200.24] Conflict of Interest; Simultaneous Representation.

DR 5-108 [1200.27] Conflict of Interest - Former Client.

CANON 6. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Competently

CANON 7. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law

DR 7-102 [1200.33] Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law.

DR 7-110 [1200.41] Contact with Officials.

DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.

DR 8-103 [1200.44] Lawyer Candidate for Judicial Office.

A. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with section 100.5 of the Chief Administrator's Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR) and Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

CANON 9. A Lawyer Should Avoid Even the Appearance of Professional Impropriety

DR 9-101 [1200.45] Avoiding Even the Appearance of Impropriety.

---

I declare under penalty of perjury and more that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims will subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in RICO related crimes, including one of the predicate acts of the RICO, Attempted Murder, for an attempted murder on Eliot Bernstein, his wife and children, in a terrorist styled car bombing.



**NOTE- THE CAR BOMBING SO POWERFUL AS TO DESTROY OTHER VEHICLES IS NOT A SCENE OUT OF A WAR ZONE BUT INSTEAD TOOK PLACE IN DEL RAY BEACH FLORIDA**

I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM prior to review. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document **with** undisclosed conflict, relations, relationships or interests. In the event that I continue to represent these matters in any way, without signing and the returning the COI first, will act as a formal admission of conflicts and/or undisclosed relations, relationships or interests, and will serve as Prima Facie evidence in the event criminal or civil charges are brought against me for any action on my part.

Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

Print FULL Name and Title  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_

If you are unable to sign this COI and are therefore unable to continue further to pursue these matters, please attach a statement of whom we may contact as your replacement, in writing, within 10 business days to preclude legal actions against you for Obstruction of Justice and more. Please also attach the reasons why you cannot perform your duties in these matters. A copy can be sent to [iviewit@iviewit.tv](mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv) and the original sent to the mailing address below:

- Eliot I. Bernstein
- Inventor
- Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
- Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL (yes, two identically named)
- Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL
- Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL
- Uviewit Holdings, Inc. - DL
- Uview.com, Inc. – DL
- Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL
- Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL



I.C., Inc. – FL  
Iviewit.com LLC – DL  
Iviewit LLC – DL  
Iviewit Corporation – FL  
Iviewit, Inc. – FL  
Iviewit, Inc. – DL  
Iviewit Corporation  
2753 N.W. 34th St.  
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459  
(561) 245.8588 (o)  
(561) 886.7628 (c)  
(561) 245-8644 (f)  
[iviewit@iviewit.tv](mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv)  
<http://www.iviewit.tv>  
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress>  
<http://www.facebook.com/#!/iviewit>  
<http://www.myspace.com/iviewit>  
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpresseliot>  
<http://www.youtube.com/user/eliotbernstein?feature=mhum>  
<http://www.TheDivineConstitution.com>

Also, check out

Eliot's Testimony at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings Part 1  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Cw0gogF4Fs&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Cw0gogF4Fs&feature=player_embedded)  
and Part 2 @

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Apc\\_Zc\\_YNik&feature=related](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Apc_Zc_YNik&feature=related)  
and

Christine Anderson Whistleblower Testimony @  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6BIK73p4Ueo>  
and

Eliot Part 1 - The Iviewit Inventions @  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LOn4hwemqW0>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 1 with No Top Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DulHQDcwQfM>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 2 with No Top OR Bottom Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jbOP3U1q6mM>

Thought that was crazy, try

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3mfWAwzpNIE&feature=results\\_main&playnext=1&list=PL2ADE052D9122F5AD](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3mfWAwzpNIE&feature=results_main&playnext=1&list=PL2ADE052D9122F5AD)

Other Websites I like:

<http://www.deniedpatent.com>

<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com>

<http://www.judgewatch.org/index.html>

<http://www.enddiscriminationnow.com>

<http://www.corruptcourts.org>

<http://www.makeourofficialsaccountable.com>

<http://www.parentadvocates.org>

<http://www.newyorkcourtcorruption.blogspot.com>

<http://cuomotarp.blogspot.com>

<http://www.disbarthefloridabar.com>

<http://www.trusteeffraud.com/trusteeffraud-blog>

<http://www.constitutionalguardian.com>

<http://www.americans4legalreform.com>

<http://www.judicialaccountability.org>  
[www.electpollack.us](http://www.electpollack.us)  
<http://www.ruthmpollackesq.com>  
<http://www.VoteForGreg.us> Greg Fischer  
<http://www.liberty-candidates.org/greg-fischer/>  
<http://www.facebook.com/pages/Vote-For-Greg/111952178833067>  
<http://www.killallthelawyers.ws/law> (The Shakespearean Solution, The Butcher)

---

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, [18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521](#).

This e-mail, fax or mailed message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail, fax or mail and destroy all copies of the original message and call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through an electronic medium, please so advise the sender immediately in a formal written request.

\*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch.

\*Wireless Copyright Notice\*. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message in any way. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, [iviewit@iviewit.tv](mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv) and [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv). All Rights Reserved.

**NOTICE: Due to Presidential Executive Orders, the National Security Agency and other Government Agencies may have read this email/fax/message/mailling without warning, warrant, or notice. They may do this without any judicial or legislative oversight and it can happen to ordinary Americans like you and me. You have no recourse nor protection save to vote against any incumbent endorsing such unlawful acts. If that fails, see your Article II Rights under the Constitution of the United States of America.**

## EXHIBIT 1 – PARTIAL LIST OF DEFENDANTS and OTHER CONFLICTED PARTIES

- Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye ("J. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zamas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.; Lewis Melzter - ("Melzter"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe ("Grebe"); Christopher Kise ("Kise"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H. Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not

known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- INTEL Corporation;
- Silicon Graphics Inc.;
- Lockheed Martin Corporation;
- Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
- Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FORMER IVIEWIT MANAGEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. ("Miller"), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein – Board Director ("S. Bernstein"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");
- THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit [www.mpegla.com](http://www.mpegla.com) for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.;

Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit [www.mpegla.com](http://www.mpegla.com) for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. ("Molyneaux"); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kearsse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Jeffrey Friedstein ("Friedstein"); Sheldon Friedstein (S. Friedstein"), Donald G. Kane ("Kane"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- David B. Simon, Esq. ("D. Simon");
- Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Davis Polk & Wardell;
- Ropes & Gray LLP;
- Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
- Eliot I. Bernstein, ("Bernstein") a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;
- P. Stephen Lamont, ("Lamont") a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
- SKULL AND BONES; The Russell Trust Co.; Yale Law School;
- Council on Foreign Relations;
- The Bilderberg Group;
- The Federalist Society;

- The Bradley Foundation;

Please include in the COI check the defendants and any other parties in the legally related cases in New York District Court Southern District of New York to Docket No 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT, including but not limited to;

A. United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit 08-4873-cv

B. (07cv11196) Bernstein et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. -

TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT Defendants, in addition to those already listed herein, include but are not limited to;

- STATE OF NEW YORK;
- THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
- STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
- ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
- JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
- DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
- GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
- TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
- STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
- FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
- HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
- THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
- DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
- DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
- CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
- ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
- ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
- PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
- MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
- CATHERINE O'HAGIEN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;

- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;
- HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
- STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
- ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;
- LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
- ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
- Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff to Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
- Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
- COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
- VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
- ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- NOEL SENDEL in her official and individual capacities;
- MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
- LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
- MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
- LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp;
- EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
- ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
- WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
- LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
- DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
- ROYAL O'BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBER, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
- UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
- I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;

- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
- IBM CORPORATION;

**To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:**

- **Andrew Cuomo, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Steven M. Cohen, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Emily Cuomo Cole, in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,**
- **P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont's filings on behalf of others and more already filed with criminal authorities and this Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)**
- **Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ropes & Gray,**
- **Stanford Financial Group. (This Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Stanford Financial Group relating directly to Defendants in this Lawsuit)**
- **Bernard L. Madoff et al. (This Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Bernard L. Madoff et al. relating directly to Defendants in this Lawsuit)**
- **Marc S. Dreier, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed. This Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Marc S. Dreier relating directly to Defendants in this Lawsuit Bernard L. Madoff et al.)**
- **Sony Corporation, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **AT&T Corp. (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ernst & Young, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Arthur Andersen, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Enron et al. (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**

C. Other Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY - Legally Related to Christine C. Anderson

- 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT;
- 07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.;
- 07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.;
- 08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04053 Gizella Weissshaus v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.
- 08cv06368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York



D. All parties list at the URL <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer>

**CAUTION! IF YOU HAVE NOT SIGNED THE ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM AND RETURNED IT AS INSTRUCTED AND YOU CONTINUE TO ACT IN ANY MANNER WHATSOEVER IN THESE MATTERS, CRIMINAL CHARGES WILL BE BROUGHT AGAINST YOU, FOR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, AIDING & ABETTING A CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION AND MORE. SEE THE ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING YOUR PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES.**

Non-Lawyer pro se litigants are not to be held to the same standards as a practicing lawyer. Pleadings in this case are being filed by Plaintiff In Propria Persona, herein pleadings are to be considered without regard to technicalities. Propria, pleadings are not to be held to the same high standards of perfection as practicing lawyers. See Haines v. Kerner 92 Sct 594, also See Power 914 F2d 1459 (11th Cir1990), also See Hulsey v. Ownes 63 F3d 354 (5th Cir 1995). also See In Re: HALL v. BELLMON 935 F.2d 1106 (10th Cir. 1991)."

In Puckett v. Cox, it was held that a pro-se pleading requires less stringent reading than one drafted by a lawyer (456 F2d 233 (1972 Sixth Circuit USCA). Justice Black in Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41 at 48 (1957) "The Federal Rules rejects the approach that pleading is a game of skill in which one misstep by counsel may be decisive to the outcome and accept the principle that the purpose of pleading is to facilitate a proper decision on the merits." According to Rule 8(f) FRCP and the State Court rule which holds that all pleadings shall be construed to do substantial justice.

**“WE THE PEOPLE ARE THE RIGHTFUL MASTER OF BOTH CONGRESS AND THE COURTS - NOT TO OVERTHROW THE CONSTITUTION, BUT TO OVERTHROW THE MEN WHO PERVERT THE CONSTITUTION.”**

**ABRAHAM LINCOLN**

---

**“WHAT COUNTRY BEFORE EVER EXISTED A CENTURY AND A HALF WITHOUT A REBELLION? AND WHAT COUNTRY CAN PRESERVE ITS LIBERTIES IF THEIR RULERS ARE NOT WARNED FROM TIME TO TIME THAT THEIR PEOPLE PRESERVE THE SPIRIT OF RESISTANCE? LET THEM TAKE ARMS. THE REMEDY IS TO SET THEM RIGHT AS TO FACTS, PARDON & PACIFY THEM. WHAT SIGNIFY A FEW LIVES LOST IN A CENTURY OR TWO? THE TREE OF LIBERTY MUST BE REFRESHED FROM TIME TO TIME WITH THE BLOOD OF PATRIOTS & TYRANTS. IT IS ITS NATURAL MANURE.”**

**THE "TREE OF LIBERTY" LETTER FROM THOMAS JEFFERSON TO WILLIAM SMITH**

## **I. INTRO – WHO ARE THE PATRIOTS AND WHO ARE THE TYRANTS?**

Christine C. Anderson, Esquire, (“Anderson”)<sup>2and3</sup>, a New York Supreme Court Attorney at Law Employee and Whistleblower, testifies before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee and in Federal Court, Revealing a Criminal RICO Cartel Coup D’état on government, overtaking the highest outposts of law and regulation. ALLEGATIONS LEVIED AGAINST SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS OF THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW

---

<sup>2</sup> “NY Legal Ethics Scandal Whistleblower Back in Federal Court - Witness Tampering Brings NY Attorney Christine Anderson Back to Federal Court” An Ethics Rouser EXCLUSIVE by Abe King - June 27, 2012

Widespread 'Ethics' Corruption Now Includes Threat on Witness in a Federal Proceeding. The Corruption at Manhattan's so-called 'Ethics' Oversight Committee is again before Federal District Court Judge Shira A. Scheindlin. Christine Anderson, a New York attorney for nearly 30 years, filed her latest papers on June 25, 2012. Anderson has requested that Judge Scheindlin reopen her case as details recently revealed in another federal proceeding showed that an Anderson witness was threatened. It was a federal crime to engage in witness tampering or to threaten a witness in a federal proceeding.

<http://ethicsrouser.blogspot.com/2012/06/ny-legal-ethics-scandal-whistleblower.html>

<sup>3</sup> [Exhibit 2 – 2012 Anderson Motion for Rehearing](#)

YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, “FAVORED LAWYERS AND LAW FIRMS” and FINALLY names a “CLEANER” of Attorney at Law Criminal and Ethical Complaints, a one Naomi Goldstein<sup>4</sup>. Anderson’s allegation now demand immediate reporting by this Court and the US District Court to the proper CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES and demand immediate INVESTIGATION TO IDENTIFY AND PROSECUTE THOSE PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND COURT OFFICIALS FINGERED BY WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON and other Whistleblowers from inside the courts cited herein.

From Anderson’s Sworn Statement to the New York Senate Judiciary Committee,

**“Specifically, I discovered and reported that employees of the DDC [New York Supreme Court Departmental Disciplinary Committee] had engaged in, inter alia, the “whitewashing” [of] complaints of misconduct leveled against certain “select” attorneys and law firms. This “whitewashing” sometimes involved burying cases or destroying evidence, so that certain complaints were inevitably, unavoidably, dismissed. I witnessed this destruction of evidence myself. Other reported misconduct involves victimizing attorneys lacking privileged positions or connections.”**

Entire statement @ the

URL <http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=365>

The “Legally Related” Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit of New York Supreme Court Senior Disciplinary Department Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower Christine Anderson, Esq. by Federal Judge Shira Anne Scheindlin to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, exposes from the inside out, a legal conspiracy of corruption involving public officials at the highest levels of State and Federal Public Offices. Heroism is a word earned only through heroic acts and Anderson risks her life and the lives of

---

<sup>4</sup> “Legal Document: Request for Discovery” Posted on July 22, 2011 by Fred Celani  
<http://fredcelani.wordpress.com/2011/07/22/request-for-discovery/>

“The defendant names Naomi Goldstein [Anderson in Federal Court named Ms. Goldstein “The Cleaner”] Ms. Goldstein is a senior investigating attorney with the First Department Disciplinary Committee of the New York State Supreme Court. It is anticipated that the government will claim Ms. Goldstein is a state actor and not part of a federal intelligence agency. The defendant contends that Ms. Goldstein acted on behalf of federal authorities in allowing the defendant, an unlicensed attorney, to operate a law firm for nearly a decade. **The defendant additionally contends that Ms. Goldstein was authorized by the FBI/SEC/DOJ to allow other persons to continue the practice of law, even though they were unfit to do so.** When a State Actor operates in conjunction with a federal law enforcement or intelligence agency, that state actor is operating under federal authority. [An example would be the New York City Police acting as federal deputies while working with the Joint Terrorism Task Force. [JTTF]

others to bring this information to light. The Whistleblowing Efforts of Anderson, another New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower and Hero, Nicole Corrado, Esq.<sup>5</sup>, and, a Sitting New York Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Duane A. Hart, Esq., all cited herein, should be the Moniker of HEROISM for others in the legal profession to follow, ridding the scum (criminals) depicted herein that have infected the legal profession.

The Whistleblowers Expose Corruption at the Top of Government, including members of, this Court, the New York Supreme Courts, the Department of Justice, the New York Attorney General and other public officials further defined herein. These heroic Whistleblowers further provide the World with an insight and understanding of how the American Financial System and World Markets have melted top down in a Lawless America. A legal system that has wholly corrupted, allowing for a rigged economic breakdown and controlled demolition of world markets to profit a few through fraud. No Regulators or Prosecutors or Courts to stop the crimes, in fact, all of those charged with upholding law are instead found Aiding and Abetting the crimes, failing to prosecute felony crimes, failing to prosecute a single criminal involved, as if Justice were asleep at the wheel. Protestors and victims of their crimes arrested instead, the Criminal Cartel using the government further to abuse their victims.

SUSPICIOUSLY, no law enforcement agencies are attempting to RECOVER the FULL AMOUNT OF STOLEN FUNDS back to the PEOPLE as many of the Top Government Officials charged with enforcement appear on the take and planted to aid and abet the crimes, as evidenced by the Whistleblowers herein. The Whistleblowing efforts expose how and why no one on Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street has been charged with Felony Criminal Acts and Sentenced to Prison. Retribution then made by SEIZING ALL PERSONAL AND CORPORATE ASSETS, and yet, despite massive and overwhelming evidence of CRIMINAL ACTS and FRAUD as evidenced herein, the criminals walk with the majority of the loot and if caught here or there, must pay a paltry fine, crime pays in America for the few. Had you stolen a thousand dollars from a bank however, you would be looking at 10-20 years in Prison on Federal Bank fraud charges and forced to pay restitution over the amount stolen. Unveiled from these Senior Court Official Whistleblowers is a COUP D'ÉTAT on the HIGHEST OUTPOSTS OF LAW & REGULATION in the United States and yet not a single story in the Mainstream Media aka US Pravda Press, regarding these shocking allegations by Supreme Court of New York Whistleblowers has been printed.

---

<sup>5</sup> The Court will note here that Corrado has recently filed a Lawsuit, which is included in Anderson's Motion to this Court to Reopen and Rehear her case, already exhibited in [Exhibit 2](#). Corrado's Lawsuit contains allegations of SEXUAL HARASSMENTS and THREATS ON A FEDERAL WITNESS, committed by TOP OFFICIALS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY AND ETHICS DEPARTMENTS, yes, you heard that right, crimes committed by members of the highest outpost of law in New York, the Supreme Court Ethics Departments.

Exposed by these heroic Whistleblowing efforts is a REVOLVING DOOR between a LICENTIOUS GROUP OF LAW FIRMS, GOVERNMENT ATTORNEYS and PRIVATE LAW FIRMS, acting in both PRIVATE PRACTICE and PUBLIC OFFICE CAPACITIES, working together in CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY in order to form a RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION. Through these criminals disguised as attorneys, the RICO CRIMINAL CARTEL now has tentacles embedded at the highest outposts of the US Government, created in order to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE for the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE. Anderson, Corrado and Other Public Office Whistleblowers cited herein, also provide explanation for why select Judges and Attorneys at Law are now desperately trying to grant themselves immunity for felony crimes, attempting to use the State Attorney General Offices and other Government officials as accomplice in the cover-ups of their crimes. We find our prosecutors exchanging felony charges for small insignificant fines and failing to prosecute the crimes as mandated by procedural law.

Court Officials, Regulators and Prosecutors now seek Immunity for ATTORNEYS AT LAW, for their direct involvement in TORTURE CRIMES, WAR CRIMES and ECONOMIC CRIMES. One heinous example of this Get of Jail scenario for Attorneys at Law is the FAILURE TO PROSECUTE THOSE WHO CREATED and SIGNED “ROBOSIGNED” DOCUMENTS. Where “Robosigned” is not a word, more a misnomer, nor does it carry any prosecutable felonies but when seen for what it really is, Felony Document Forgery, Federal Bank Fraud and Fraud on the Courts, one sees that these FELONIES carry stiff sentences. This Robosigning/Felony Document Forgery/Federal Bank Fraud/Fraud on the Courts is partially what led to the RIGGED HOUSING and MARKET COLLAPSES, which led to MILLIONS OF VERY ILLEGAL HOME FORECLOSURES and caused US Consumers to lose up to 50% of their home values directly due to these crimes that a few, less than 1%, profited off.

Yet, all the criminals disguised as Judges and Lawyers who participated in these legal document and court scams, creating and rubber stamping the illegal documents for the frauds have evaded prosecution for their crimes, while those whose homes were stolen sit homeless on the streets. Seeking immunity for their crimes, as a legal defense, is both futile and an obvious admission of guilt or else one would not need any immunity if no crimes were committed. The defense that Public Officials committed the crimes and therefore since they were Public Officials when they committed their crimes they are immune from prosecution will never hold in a fair and impartial court of law, once of course, the criminals have been run out of controlling the courts and government. The attempts to gain immunity for FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS shows culpability in the crimes as well, as it exposes fear by the guilty Attorneys at Law of retribution on “Judgment Day” when the “Long Arm of Law” swings back to prosecute them. The criminals cloaked in legal degrees now seek immunity fearing that they will SOON hang for their Crimes against Humanity, including but not limited to, War Crimes committed through Illegal Undeclared Wars of Aggression based on LIES, Human Rights Torture Violations, World Economic Terrorism, Eugenics and more. Therefore, the Criminal Cartel must hope they can

illegally control the courts and prosecutors offices forever, including this Court, to keep themselves from criminal prosecution. Note that most financial crimes are committed in New York, the court cases and the criminal complaints all filter through the US District Court Southern District of New York and this Court on appeal. Repeatedly, we find this Court central in the subterfuge of law, as exemplified in this lawsuit and the related whistleblower cases, all the crimes exposed by these Whistleblowers directly relate to the same individuals and government agencies charged with regulating Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street.

However, Whistleblowing comes at a price to Whistleblowers in this new environment of a CRIMINAL CARTEL CONTROLLED GOVERNMENT. Whistleblowers, Christine Anderson, Corrado, Hart and others have been through hell to bring this INFORMATION TO LIGHT FOR THE PUBLIC and where this Court should acknowledge Anderson, Corrado and the others who have come forth for their HEROISM, suspiciously, they do not. We instead find this Court currently attempting to ILLEGALLY DISMISS Anderson's WHISTLEBLOWER Lawsuit and the "Legally Related" cases prior to investigations and prior to necessary hearings and trials for the criminal acts exposed by HEROIC GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS against other Senior Ranking TYRANNICAL Government Officials. These Whistleblowers are TRUE AMERICAN PATRIOTS, HEROES and ROLE MODELS OF ETHICS, yet shunned, harangued, harassed and threatened by those in control of the legal system they work in and persecuted for their PATRIOTIC attempts to expose internal government corruption at the highest levels.

We find THIS COURT attempting instead to BURY THE FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES AND INDIVIDUALS EXPOSED BY THESE CREDIBLE WITNESSES in FEDERAL COURT by ILLEGALLY "SWEEPING THEM UNDER THE RUG," PRIOR TO INVESTIGATIONS REQUIRED BY LAW.

## **MEET THE COUPSTERS – THE LEADERS OF THE ONCE FREE WORLD**

**"I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized world no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men."**

**President Woodrow Wilson, after signing the Federal Reserve into existence.**

Anderson and the other Whistleblower testimonies cited herein, reveal a **MASSIVE GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION** that exposes a **NETWORK OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES/ATROCITIES** operated by a **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION/CRIMINAL CARTEL**. The Criminal Cartel having coupéd major seats of power inside the three branches of Government and the Media, effectively creating a subterfuge to law and order, in other words, a **TREASON ON THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT** committed mainly by Attorneys at Law acting in a variety of Private and Public roles. Note that,

1. most of our current Congress is composed of lawyers, flowing through the Revolving Door between private and government legal occupations as necessary,
2. the President, Barack Hussein Obama II, is a lawyer that worked at Defendant in this RICO, the **REPUBLICAN LAW FIRM** of **Foley & Lardner/Hopkins Sutter**, at the time of the alleged RICO crimes. **Foley and Lardner** was headed at the time by **REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE CHIEF COUNSEL**, **Michael Grebe**, the CEO of **Foley & Lardner/Hopkins Sutter**? Yes, Obama would have been one of one of the Democrats at this highly **REPUBLICAN FIRM**,
3. the Judges are almost all Attorneys at Law,
4. the CEO's of leading Fortune 500 companies that are being bankrupted are being run mainly by Attorneys at Law who are running off with Billions in **STOLEN Bonuses and Legal Fees**,
5. the Prosecutors are Attorneys at Law, flowing through the Revolving Door between private and government legal occupations as necessary,
6. the Government Regulators and Oversight are Attorneys at Law, flowing through the Revolving Door between private and government legal occupations as necessary, and
7. the Regulators of the Attorneys at Law are Attorneys at Law, flowing through the Revolving Door between private and government legal occupations as necessary.

Once these “privileged” criminals disguised as trusted Attorneys at Law operating out of major U.S. and Global Law Firms seized and disabled key government outposts of law and regulation, as described by the Whistleblowers, one can see how this Country rapidly became a Lawless Republic. Criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law found at the center of each crime and controlling the cover up with operatives **DEEP INSIDE GOVERNMENT**. According to Anderson, operatives of the **CRIMINAL CARTEL**, include but are not limited to, **SENIOR STATE and FEDERAL PUBLIC OFFICIALS**, many who are both Public and Private Attorneys at Law. Almost all of these pseudo “Officials” aka Criminals have legal degrees and licenses, allowing them to access and operate inside US Government Agencies, including the courts and prosecutorial offices, working at **DISABLING JUSTICE and REGULATION** to open the door for the **TREASONOUS AND TRAITOROUS RICO ENTERPRISE TO COMMIT THESE COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES**.



Crimes committed on a massive scale now victimizing hundreds of millions of people worldwide, leaving the crooks unscathed by Justice and with the Stolen Booty, leaving world markets in ruins. Without FULL RECOVERY of the Stolen Monies, the world will remain in bankruptcy. Like the rotten kid who steals all the money acting as banker in Monopoly, the game will only be played until the other players realize the game is rigged and the money was stolen and beat up that rotten kid until the ill-gotten gains are returned and redistributed to all players, so everyone can again play fairly. Everyone but the rotten kid, who goes directly to Jail, Does Not Collect \$200 and cannot buy a Get Out of Jail Free card and instead is wiped out of everything gained in crime. This redistribution of wealth from the CRIMINALS back to the PEOPLE is the only way economies and the players in Capitalism can ever economically recover. Without recovery of the stolen monies from the crimes, the People will travel the Monopoly Board endlessly penniless paying rent and in debt to the Criminals.

Examples of these complex legal crimes and frauds that can only be committed with a legal degree, include but are not limited to,

1. FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES,
2. FRAUDULENT COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATIONS (CDOs),
3. FRAUDULENT DERIVATIVES,
4. FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS,
5. FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS<sup>6and7</sup> and

---

<sup>6</sup> “The Wall Street Pentagon Papers: Biggest Scam In World History Exposed: Are The Federal Reserve’s Crimes Too Big To Comprehend?” by David DeGraw, Fourwinds10.com, December 02, 2011  
[http://www.fourwinds10.net/siterun\\_data/government/banking\\_and\\_taxation\\_irs\\_and\\_insurance/news.php?q=1322928892](http://www.fourwinds10.net/siterun_data/government/banking_and_taxation_irs_and_insurance/news.php?q=1322928892)

and

“Federal Reserve Secretly Loaned Gaddafi & Others \$1.2 Trillion Say Monetary Experts - We've given the Fed the power to create paper money, secretly distribute it to political favorites, and thereby sway our stock market and elections,” says Craig R. Smith, founder and Chairman of Swiss America Trading Corporation. In Re-Making Money: Ways to Restore America's Optimistic Golden Age, a new White Paper published August 15 on the 40th Anniversary of Nixon's action, Smith and Ponte call for new ways to again anchor the U.S. Dollar to prevent politicians and the Fed from simply creating trillions out of thin air and using this money for political purposes. The politicizing of paper money, Smith says, is a major cause of today's economic problems: unemployment, near-zero economic growth, inflation, collapsed bubbles such as the housing market, and investor insecurity among them.”  
PR Web, August 22, 2011  
<http://www.prweb.com/releases/2011/8/prweb8737214.htm>

and

“The Wall Street Pentagon Papers: Biggest Scam In World History Exposed: Are The Federal Reserve’s Crimes Too Big To Comprehend?” by David DeGraw, Fourwinds10.com, December 02, 2011  
[http://www.fourwinds10.net/siterun\\_data/government/banking\\_and\\_taxation\\_irs\\_and\\_insurance/news.php?q=1322928892](http://www.fourwinds10.net/siterun_data/government/banking_and_taxation_irs_and_insurance/news.php?q=1322928892)

## 6. MASSIVE VIOLATIONS OF ANTITRUST LAWS.

All these crimes to the profit to the Criminal Cartel composed mainly of Attorneys at Law and Law Firms, all committed at the expense of the PEOPLE, all of them committed and covered up by those who legally are obligated to protect the People. ALL of these COMPLEX FRAUDS require superior knowledge of Law, the type only LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW posses. The Operatives are now deeply embedded in the United States Government and have coupéd the three branches, pushing out or firing anyone trying to uphold law and order or expose their crimes over the last 10 years, as exemplified in the Anderson Lawsuit. Here comes a political scandal on an international scale, exposed here in this Court by the “related lawsuits” to Anderson, exposing an illegal TRAITOROUS AND TREASONOUS coup d’état on the United States and Foreign Nations, a power grab unparalleled since the Nazi party. A crime syndicate operating inside government that makes the Boss Tweed Tammany Hall criminal operation pale in comparison.



and

“16 TRILLION Reasons Why Everyone In Washington, Including The President, Should Be Heading To Prison A Time For Choosing” by Gary Jackson, FreeRepublic, LLC, July 22, 2011  
<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/2752675/posts>

<sup>7</sup> “TARP 18x: the Unknown Bailout that Requires a Supreme Court Ruling for Full Disclosure” by Steadfast Finances, January 30, 2012  
<http://steadfastfinances.com/blog/2010/09/07/tarp-18x-the-unknown-bailout-that-requires-a-supreme-court-ruling-for-full-disclosure/>

and

“The true cost of the bank bailout” | Need to Know | PBS Video, January 30, 2012  
<http://video.pbs.org/video/1581037108>

**Boss Tweed and the Tammany Ring, caricatured by Thomas Nast.**  
Source: 1870s cartoon by Thomas Nast. Date c.1870s Author Thomas Nast. Cropped by Beyond My Ken  
URL = [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William\\_M.\\_Tweed](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_M._Tweed)

**EXCERPTS FROM ANDERSON'S TESTIMONY BEFORE  
THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE,  
SENATOR JOHN SAMPSON, CHAIRMAN**

MS. ANDERSON: I alleged that upon learning of the DDC's [New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department – Departmental Disciplinary Committee's] pattern and practice of whitewashing and routinely dismissing complaints leveled against certain select attorneys --- to the detriment of the public that the DDC is duty-bound to serve --- I reported this wrongdoing pursuant to my rights under the First Amendment to the United States constitution and, importantly, my own ethical obligations under the New York State Code of Professional Responsibility.

In response, however, rather than attempting to address and rectify the problem, my supervisors embarked upon a campaign of abuse and harassment of myself, including a physical assault on myself by the first deputy, Sherry Cohen.

My one recommendation that I would like to make, however, is on the last page, which is I think that the Policy Committee should be disbanded, for the simple reason that it is rife with conflict...

SENATOR PERKINS.: Can I ask a question? Just so I'm clear, because (a) you're saying that preferential treatment in this decision-making, in this process, that there are those who, because of their stature or their connections, are not prosecuted or investigated or whatever the appropriate terminology is?

MS. ANDERSON: Or handled lightly.

SENATOR PERKINS: Or handled lightly. I just want to be clear that that's what you're saying.

MS. ANDERSON: Yes.

Further from Anderson's Sworn Statement to the NY Senate Judiciary Committee<sup>8</sup> and leading Justice Officials, quote,

Christine C. Anderson Letter

---

<sup>8</sup> The Anderson statement can be found online @ <http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=114> and has already been submitted to this Court by both Anderson and Plaintiff, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein in case the documents have been destroyed as depicted by Anderson.

Monday, September 21, 2009

**“Re: Request for Federal Investigation Into Allegations of Corruption and Witness Intimidation and Appointment of Federal Monitor”** [The Statement Addressed directly to all of the following parties]

The Hon. Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General of the United States Office of the Attorney General

The Hon. Preet Bharara, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York United States Department of Justice

The Hon. William M. Welch II, Chief, Public Integrity Unit United States Department of Justice

The Hon. John L. Sampson, Chairman, New York State Senate Judiciary Committee

Gentlemen:

My name is Christine C. Anderson. For six and one-half years, I was a Principal Attorney of the New York State Appellate Division, First Department’s Departmental Disciplinary Committee (the “DDC”). The DDC is responsible for investigating and disciplining attorneys found guilty of misconduct in representing the public in the Bronx and **Manhattan [regulating Wall Street Attorneys, Prosecutors, Judges, Regulators or any other Attorney at Law on Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street]**. After discovering and reporting of acts of misconduct and corruption at the DDC, which acts constituted an abuse of power and a fraud upon the public, my employment was summarily terminated in June, 2007...

Specifically, I discovered and reported that employees of the DDC had engaged in, inter alia, the “whitewashing” complaints of misconduct leveled against certain “select” attorneys and law firms. **This “whitewashing” sometimes involved burying cases or destroying evidence, so that certain complaints were inevitably, unavoidably, dismissed. I witnessed this destruction of evidence myself. Other reported misconduct involves victimizing attorneys lacking privileged positions or connections.**

Although the then Chief Counsel of the DDC, Thomas Cahill [**Defendant in the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit**], stepped down in 2007, evidence clearly establishes that under the leadership of Alan Friedberg [**Iviewit filed Criminal Complaints against Friedberg**], the current Chief Counsel, the same practice of corruption and whitewashing of complaints continues. **Such practice robs the public of any hope at justice; it also**

**works to the detriment of the very public the DDC is duty-bound to serve.**

During the course of my litigation against the DDC, a former colleague of mine, who still works as a Principal Attorney at the DDC, agreed to testify on my behalf at a deposition. This former colleague, Nicole Corrado, has been employed by the DDC for approximately eight years, prior to which she worked as a prosecutor for New York State. On the morning of her deposition, however, while en route to her deposition, Ms. Corrado was approached on the street by a supervisor at the DDC, who threatened and intimidated her with respect to her upcoming deposition testimony. Although terribly shaken, Ms. Corrado nonetheless sat for her deposition and testified truthfully. Following her deposition, however, Ms. Corrado has been subjected to further harassment and intimidation at the hands of the DDC. She has been forced to take a leave of absence as a result.

On June 8, 2009, I testified at a hearing convened by John L. Sampson, New York State Senator and Chairman of the New York State Standing Committee On The Judiciary. (A copy of my affidavit submitted to the Committee is attached hereto as Exhibit B.)...

The detailed testimony presented by innumerable witnesses at the June 8th Senate hearing reveals the manifold reports of corruption and abuse by the State's Disciplinary Committees. (A copy of the transcript of this hearing is attached hereto as Exhibit C.) **Charges included concealment of evidence, obstruction of justice, extortionate sexual threats by attorneys, pilfering of estates by attorneys, abuse of power, fraud, conspiracy and repeated violations of state and federal constitutional rights.**

In light of the foregoing, it is plain that the enduring practice of allowing attorneys in this state to police themselves is fundamentally flawed. With the numerous reports of abuse by both attorneys and state officials, the corruption in the court system has reached a critical stage. Accordingly, I respectfully request that you authorize the appointment of a Special Prosecutor to investigate the epidemic of honest services fraud in the New York state court system, and the appointment of a Federal Monitor, to oversee the lawful operation of the same.

**[Anderson's Letter Was Copied to the Following Parties notifying them of these Felonious Acts. Any party that then failed to take legally required actions to report and investigate a "credible" witnesses allegations of Major Felonies by Government Officials, are all subject to charges of Misprision of Felony, Felony Obstruction in a Federal Lawsuit, Aiding and Abetting a Criminal Cartel and more.]**

The Hon. David A. Paterson  
New York State Governor

Office of the Governor of New York State

The Hon. Boyd M. Johnson III  
Deputy United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York  
Public Corruption Unit  
United States Department of Justice


The Hon. Loretta A. Preska  
Chief U.S. District Judge  
United States Courthouse - Southern District of New York

The Hon. Andrew M. Cuomo  
New York State Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General of New York State

The Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez  
Presiding Justice, New York State Appellate Division, 1st Department

The Hon. Joseph M. Demarest, Jr.  
Assistant Director in Charge, New York Division

ILLEGAL and COMPLEX FINANCIAL CRIMES perpetrated by those in seats of power, used to steal TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS from World Markets and citizens, using the Courts, Regulators and Prosecutorial Offices, in conjunction with Congressional Lawmakers/Lawbreakers, to Aid and Abet CRIMES and misuse public offices to EVADE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, for the time being.



Anderson's Whistleblowing exposes further, why and how NOT A SINGLE PROSECUTION OR ARREST of the Criminals has occurred for any of the crimes, evidencing that behind ALL of these COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES are Law Firms and Attorneys at Law acting in a criminal capacity to defeat Law and Justice for their "Partners in

Crime.” Anderson fingers these very Attorneys at Law, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judicial Officials, including those who regulate Attorneys at Law licensed on WALL STREET, who have partaken in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES and FELONY CRIMINAL COVER-UPS, as further defined herein and in prior Motions submitted to this Court, all violating a mass of felony criminal laws. GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION STATEWIDE AND FEDERALLY on a scale never before seen, leading to ECONOMIC RUINS upon this Country and the World, for the profit of a few. This Court perhaps the center of the criminal nexus inside the court system that protects, and further, aids and abets the Wall Street Criminals and the Dirty Rotten Law Firms and Lawyers involved in the crimes.

Anderson reveals that these CRIMINAL Attorneys at Law have infiltrated and planted deep into Justice, at every level, acting purely to disable Justice and suppress any Whistleblowers. With JUSTICE DISABLED, a free for all of criminal activity and havoc has since occurred in the United States Government with a Criminal Cartel flooding out good government employees and replacing them with family members and other members of the criminal cartel. Effectively, these criminals have **PLOTTED AND EXECUTED A TREASONOUS & TRAITOROUS COUP D’ÉTAT TO DISABLE OUR SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES AND SYSTEM OF JURISPRUDENCE THAT WAS DESIGNED TO PREVENT THESE MOST SERIOUS HIGH CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS. OUR FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC DISABLED AND IN DANGER FROM THOSE IN POSITIONS OF POWER, THOSE ENTRUSTED AND IN CHARGE OF UPHOLDING LAW AND OUR CONSTITUTION, ALMOST ALL LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW.**

Sounds like a no brainer, a Treason almost too big to believe, a coup committed by falsely elected leaders of the US Government to commit crimes, recruits coming from Law Firms, sustainable only if you can disable Justice forever. If Justice however is restored and trials of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRATORS are had, the ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, REGULATORS, JUSTICES and CONGRESSIONAL LAWMAKERS BELONGING TO BOTH PARTIES (Republican and Democratic) involved in the CRIMINAL CARTEL, if found guilty, may very well hang for TREASON, ECONOMIC WAR CRIMES, WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, MURDER and TORTURE<sup>9</sup>. The

---

<sup>9</sup> NOTE THAT MANY ATTORNEYS AT LAW ARE DIRECTLY CHARGED WITH WAR/TORTURE CRIMES & JUDGES ARE DOING THE COVERING UP.

“Getting Away with Torture - The Bush Administration and Mistreatment of Detainees” Human Rights Watch July 2011

<http://www.hrw.org/reports/2005/04/23/getting-away-torture>

and

“US judge rules to protect CIA over torture” Press TV August 2, 2011

punishment for many of these crimes, when **PROVEN IN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL COURT OF LAW, ONE FREE OF CONFLICTS AND CRIMINAL CONTROL**, is the **DEATH PENALTY**. These criminals know that their crimes are now exposed and they are fighting for their lives to hold off justice and keep the stolen booty and one needs only remind oneself of the atrocities of historically “desperate men,” to know that Diseased Minds like these, infected with Delusions of Grandeur, must be put down like rabid animals. Put down through a hanging rope at the end of their Treason and War Crime Trials before they become further afflicted with delusions of grandeur, which can lead to the killings of millions of innocents.

In order to understand how the **US AND WORLD ECONOMIC COLLAPSES** are not the result of organic economic factors, such as a Recession or Depression but are instead occurring due directly to **CONSPIRATORIAL MARKET RIGGING, PRICE GOUGING, ANTITRUST ACTIVITIES, COMPLEX FINANCIAL FRAUDS and MORE**, one must fully grasp the riveting **FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS** leveled by Anderson and other inside Whistleblowers. **ALLEGATIONS BY INSIDERS AGAINST VIRTUALLY THE ENTIRE FRAMEWORK OF JUSTICE AND REGULATION, BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL**, exposing the underbelly of a **RICO CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE** composed mainly of **LAW FIRMS and ATTORNEYS AT LAW**, acting in both **PUBLIC and PRIVATE SECTORS**. Exposed from the inside out and revealing a “Good Ole Boy” **CRIMINAL CARTEL** throughout **KEY GOVERNMENT POSTS, PERVERTING THE HIGHEST OUTPOSTS OF JUSTICE AND LAW TO COMMIT THE LARGEST CRIMES IN HISTORY**.

Additionally exposed are Attorneys at Law working in Private Practices operating inside **CORPORATIONS NOW CONTROLLED BY THE CARTEL**, again the profits of the crimes filtering to benefit the **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION**, the “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” Anderson refers to in her Trial Testimony as receiving preferential treatment on criminal and ethical complaints filed against them. These Private Practice Attorneys at Law, act as Corporate Counsel to Companies they have interests in, who are the business benefactors of the Crimes.<sup>10</sup> One can spot some of them walking off with **HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS** of

---

<http://www.presstv.ir/usdetail/192015.html>

<sup>10</sup> “Insight: Top Justice officials connected to mortgage banks - (Reuters) - U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder and Lanny Breuer, head of the Justice Department's criminal division, were partners for years at a Washington law firm that represented a Who's Who of big banks and other companies at the center of alleged foreclosure fraud, a Reuters inquiry shows.” By Scot J. Paltrow Fri Jan 20, 2012, Thomson Reuters.

<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/01/20/us-usa-holder-mortgage-idUSTRE80J0PH20120120>

“**CRIMINALLY CORRUPT - Eric Holder, Top DOJ Lawyers Were Partners With Big Banks**” (Reuters Investigation)” DailyBail

<http://dailybail.com/home/criminally-corrupt-eric-holder-top-doj-lawyers-were-partners.html>



BOGUS BONUSES earned by BANKRUPTING FORTUNE 1000 COMPANIES, bedrocks of American Business, all the while stripping shareholder values.

These Private Criminal Attorneys at Law acting on behalf of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE have infiltrated and infested the banking, financial, insurance and rating firms<sup>11and12</sup>, all combining in coordinated conspiracy to Demolish World Markets. The criminals inside the corporations ILLEGALLY fixing their bets to the market rigging, timed to profit through the resulting Fraudulent Controlled Demolitions of Economies and Companies, poaching from the carcasses of those destroyed. These corporate criminals work to Illegally Quash Competitors as seen in the Banking, Insurance and Auto industries and then take them over for pennies on the dollar or let them dissolve entirely and pick the bones at auction, the shareholders of the companies wiped out.

---

“The corporate bandits who stole your money while you slept” by Robinson, Matthew B. (2005). Justice Blind? Ideals and Realities of American Criminal Justice (2nd Edition). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.  
<http://www.justiceblind.com/new/bandits.htm> .

and

Wall Street Isn't Winning – It's Cheating, POSTED: By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher, October 25, 2011  
<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/blogs/taibblog/owss-beef-wall-street-isnt-winning-its-cheating-20111025>

and

“Greed is good: maximization and elite deviance in America” By Matthew Robinson, Daniel Murphy”  
[http://books.google.com/books?id=e0A5qn32-EC&pg=PA78&lpg=PA78&dq=j.p.+morgan+enron+probation&source=bl&ots=792GxQNVKn&sig=sv\\_IJ558nFmYp8czAzXe4S26aEg&hl=en&ei=XxxWTsn6K5S3tft5K2pDA&sa=X&oi=book\\_result&ct=result&resnum=6&ved=0CEQQ6AEwBQ#](http://books.google.com/books?id=e0A5qn32-EC&pg=PA78&lpg=PA78&dq=j.p.+morgan+enron+probation&source=bl&ots=792GxQNVKn&sig=sv_IJ558nFmYp8czAzXe4S26aEg&hl=en&ei=XxxWTsn6K5S3tft5K2pDA&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=6&ved=0CEQQ6AEwBQ#)

\*\*\*\*\*Special Note should be given to how many of these companies directly relate to Plaintiff's RICO & Antitrust Lawsuit as DEFENDANTS.

<sup>11</sup> “Are Ratings Agencies Taking Bribes? By Emily Knapp, Wall St. Cheat Sheet, October 31 2011  
<http://wallstcheatsheet.com/economy/are-ratings-agencies-taking-bribes.html>

<sup>12</sup> “MOODY'S ANALYST BREAKS SILENCE: Says Ratings Agency Rotten To Core With Conflicts” by Henry Blodget at Business Insider, Inc. August 19, 2011  
<http://www.businessinsider.com/moodys-analyst-conflicts-corruption-and-greed-2011-8> Read more:  
<http://www.businessinsider.com/moodys-analyst-conflicts-corruption-and-greed-2011-8#ixzz1VhH7113r>

and

“Comment on SEC Proposed Rules for Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations – File No. S7-18-11 by William J. Harrington”  
<http://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-18-11/s71811-33.pdf>

This type of Conspiratorial Attack on Financial Markets and World Markets through CRIMINAL ACTIVITY that has now directly victimized Hundreds of Millions of People Worldwide constituting FINANCIAL TERRORISM. Financial Terrorism is defined as,

**“AMERICAN AUTOGENOCIDE IS THE DELIBERATE, SYSTEMATIC AND LEGAL MURDER OF AMERICAN CITIZENS BY SOCIALLY-ENGINEERING THE DIE-OFF OF POPULATIONS THAT ARE ‘PROBLEMATIC’ FOR THE INTERESTS OF WEALTH AND POWER. MOST VICTIMS PREMATURELY DIE FROM SOCIAL FORCES TARGETED AT THEM TO CAUSE THEM TO WEAR OUT BY STRESS. THIS PROCESS IS CALLED ‘WEATHERING AWAY’ OR ‘ATTRITION BY STRESS.’”<sup>13</sup>**

Financial Terrorism is an illegal form of Warfare/Eugenics<sup>14and15and16and17</sup> listed as a War Crime in the Geneva Convention, the US Criminal Code, International Law and International Treatises,

---

<sup>13</sup>“THE NINE STAGES OF AMERICAN AUTOGENOCIDE” by Martha Rose Crow, M.S.  
<http://blacktalkradionetwork.com/profiles/blogs/americas-secret-updated-for>

<sup>14</sup> Nuremberg Principle VI states,

“The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law:

(a) Crimes against peace:

**(i) Planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances;**

**(ii) Participation in a common plan or conspiracy** for the accomplishment of any of the acts mentioned under (i).

(b) War crimes:

Violations of the laws or customs of war which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation of slave labor or for any other purpose of the civilian population of or in occupied territory; murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war or persons on the Seas, killing of hostages, **plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity.**

(c) Crimes against humanity: Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhumane acts done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial, or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime.”

<sup>15</sup> “Analysis of Financial Terrorism in America: Over 1 Million Deaths Annually, 62 Million People With Zero Net Worth, As the Economic Elite Make Off With \$46 Trillion” by David DeGraw, founder and editor of AmpedStatus.com. The following report includes adapted excerpts from David DeGraw’s book, “The Road Through 2012: Revolution or World War III.” Release Date: 9.28.11 August 10, 2011  
<http://ampedstatus.org/exclusive-analysis-of-financial-terrorism-in-america-over-1-million-deaths-annually-62-million-people-with-zero-net-worth-as-the-economic-elite-make-off-with-46-trillion>

<sup>16</sup>Eugenics is a form of Mass Genocide and Precluded in the Genocide Treaty signed by President Ronald Reagan. “Article II: In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in

again, crimes that carry life sentences and the DEATH PENALTY for punishment upon conviction. From footnote 13 “THE NINE STAGES OF AMERICAN AUTOGENOCIDE” by Martha Rose Crow, quote,

**“TO CALL WHAT IS HAPPENING A ‘FINANCIAL TERRORIST ATTACK’ ON THE UNITED STATES, IS NOT USING HYPERBOLE, IT IS THE TECHNICAL TERM FOR WHAT IS CURRENTLY OCCURRING.”**

Here we do not have Osama Bin Laden terrorizing the United States but had Osama stolen off with TRILLIONS IN LOOT in a terrorist attack on our financial markets, certainly Americans would want a TRIAL and would want to RECOVER EVERY LAST PENNY OF THE STOLEN BOOTY. So what makes these US TERRORISTS disguised in suits and ties and licensed as Attorneys at Law special? The answer, nothing. These criminals have simply committed their crimes under the Cloak of Public Offices, inside the US Government, using the Government as cover and violating the TRUST of the PEOPLE, violating their oaths as Attorneys at Law and their Oath of Office as Public Servants. By violating the Public Trust to commit crimes and evade prosecution they have committed Domestic Terrorism, which they are duty bound to guard against,<sup>18</sup> yet what we see instead is that the Fox is in the Henhouse laughing at any attempts of Justice.

The Anderson sworn testimony of a massive government corruption gains further substantiation from corroborating evidence already presented to this Court, from yet another HEROIC New York Supreme Court Veteran Sr. Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal and Ethical Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower, Nicole Corrado Esq., (“Corrado”). Corrado also works for the NEW YORK SUPREME COURT in the ATTORNEY CRIMINAL/ETHICAL MISCONDUCT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENT where Anderson worked. Again, this REGULATORY DEPARTMENT regulates Attorneys at Law on WALL STREET/GREED STREET/FRAUD STREET. In fact, the NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY

---

whole or in part, **a national**, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such: ...(c) Deliberately inflicting on the group **conditions of life calculated to bring about** its physical destruction in whole or in part;  
<http://www.preventgenocide.org/law/convention/text.htm>

<sup>17</sup>“Middle Class Death Watch -- 33 Frightening Economic Developments Downward mobility, homelessness spreading to the middle class, 200,000 public employees laid off? Here are some frightening trends to keep an eye on.” by David DeGraw, AlterNet, September 18, 2011  
[http://www.alternet.org/story/152457/middle\\_class\\_death\\_watch\\_--\\_33\\_frightening\\_economic\\_developments?page=entire](http://www.alternet.org/story/152457/middle_class_death_watch_--_33_frightening_economic_developments?page=entire)

<sup>18</sup> Federal Oath of Office – “I, [name], do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States **against all enemies, foreign and domestic**; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation **or purpose of evasion**; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God. 5 U.S.C. §3331

DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENT that both Anderson and Corrado worked for is one of the highest outposts of legal regulation in New York and perhaps the nation. According to Anderson and Corrado, SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS of the New York Supreme Court Attorney Disciplinary and Regulatory Departments, intentionally and in conspiracy with other Senior Public Officials, worked to disable a number of State & Federal Agencies by “WHITEWASHING” CRIMINAL and ETHICAL complaints filed against other Attorneys at Law, including those filed against their friends in high places.

The “WHITEWASHING” of CRIMINAL AND ETHICAL complaints against these Attorneys at Law is achieved by Obstructing Justice, Destroying Evidence in Federal and State Proceedings, Threatening Federal Witnesses and more, all of these crimes committed by our “trusted” PUBLIC OFFICIALS. Further cover-up corruption in the Anderson Lawsuit, in classic Racketeering behavior occurs when Andral Bratton, Esq., a Senior Official of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department<sup>19</sup> working under Allen Friedberg, THREATENS Federal Witness Corrado to silence her from testifying at Anderson’s trial. Corrado’s Lawsuit exposes mob like behavior inside the Ethics Department, detailing sexual misconduct, threats and a work environment based on performing criminal acts, or else.

Another Heroic Whistleblower that testified at the New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings with Anderson and Plaintiff about the widespread corruption in the courts came from a Sitting New York State Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Duane A. Hart, Esquire.<sup>20</sup> In his Testimony, Honorable Justice Hart refers to the heads of the ETHIC Departments in New York, the highest outpost of Attorney at Law Criminal and Ethical Misconduct Complaints, in the following demeanor, quote,

SENATOR PERKINS: Yeah, thank you so much. I have to run, but I just want to ask one quick question. So what's the solution?

JUSTICE HART: Well, firstly, you have to fire Tembeckjian [Robert H. Tembeckjian Administrator and Counsel, New York

---

<sup>19</sup> Andral Bratton, Principal Attorney, Appellate Division, First Judicial Department Appellate Division, New York, NY.

<sup>20</sup> New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing Transcripts – June 08, 2009 & September 24, 2009 – Hart Testimony p. 83-102, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein @ <http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/NY%20Senate%20Judiciary%20Committee%20TRANSCRIPTS%20Hearings%201%20and%202%20Sampson%20Searchable%20Index.pdf>

and

September 24, 2009 Judiciary Committee Hearing Transcript [September 24, 2009 Judiciary Committee Hearing Transcript](http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/****%20092409HEARINGpgs1-247.pdf)  
[http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents\\_files/\\*\\*\\*\\*%20092409HEARINGpgs1-247.pdf](http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/****%20092409HEARINGpgs1-247.pdf)

State Commission on Judicial Conduct] and Friedberg [Alan W. Friedberg, Chief Counsel, New York Supreme Court First Department Appellate Division - Departmental Disciplinary Committee].<sup>21and22</sup> I mean, I've got to tell you, I've been a trial attorney or a judge, again, pushing 30 years. **The only reason that Robert Tembeckjian, in my opinion -- so I don't get sued -- isn't the sleaziest attorney I've ever met is because I've met Alan Friedberg. (Laughter)**

...

JUSTICE HART: Well the system -- if the system works properly, it's fair. But anyone, any system that doesn't have the goodwill of the people who are running it behind it is going to fail no matter what you do.

So while I agree with my friend Senator Perkins that this isn't about character assassination, it's about getting a fair, equitable system -- and frankly, in the hands of people like Mr. Tembeckjian and Mr. Friedberg, you'll never have it. You could put whatever --- you could change the system however you want, you've got to have people in there who are fair, who are ethical.

I mean, again, my -- Mr. Tembeckjian -- and again, I believe I submitted it to you on an earlier day, when my brother told Mr. Tembeckjian that he had to follow certain a rule of ethics [a certain rule of ethics], **Mr. Tembeckjian actually wrote back to my brother saying that there are no ethics that he has to follow. And -- am I correct?**

---

<sup>21</sup> “Corrupt Ethics Lawyers Friedberg and Cohen Forced To Retire - Much To Be Thankful For: Alan W. Friedberg and Sherry K. Cohen Forced To Retire...Both to be gone for Thanksgiving!! 3 High-Level Officials At First Department to Retire” The New York Law Journal by Noeleen G. Walder - News In Brief - September 22, 2010 <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2010/11/corrupt-ethics-lawyers-friedberg-and.html>

<sup>22</sup> When Plaintiff later filed CRIMINAL and ETHICAL COMPLAINTS against Friedberg and others, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department lost them. In Plaintiff's testimony to the NY Senate Judiciary Committee, Chairman Sampson confronts Friedberg as to where Plaintiff's complaints against he and his Cronies are, Friedberg states he will get back to the Committee with what has happened to the missing complaints. Instead, Friedberg sends Plaintiff a letter dismissing the complaints against himself and his Cronies. Yes, Friedberg literally dismissed his own complaint, violating just about every Ethical Rule and NY State Law regarding Conflict of Interest, Obstruction of Justice and Fair and Impartial Due Process.

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON:

I hear your point, Your Honor.



TEMBECKJIAN

FRIEDBERG

A Shakespearean Legal Comedy/Tragedy of Government Corruption Gone Wild now exposed by these WHISTLEBLOWERS, a TOTAL DESECRATION OF LAW TOP DOWN, very similar to the current situation in this Court, with JUSTICES OF THIS COURT ILLEGALLY HANDLING THIS RICO AND ANTITRUST LAWSUIT WHILE BEING CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF REGARDING THEIR INVOLVEMENT IN THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE EXPOSED. The Testimonies from these **THREE CREDIBLE EYE WITNESS EXPERTS**, who work within the LEGAL SYSTEM, exposes what is happening in this Court and the Prosecutorial Offices where INJUSTICE is served, creating a situation analogous to what happened to Concentration Camp Victims in Nazi Germany. Victims who pleaded to the NAZI Courts and the Gestapo for Justice, complaining of the Abuses and Torture by the Camp Guards were executed on the way out the door, justice served Nazi Style. All those “government officials” the victims pleaded to for help were part of the NAZI COUP, the Victims therefore stood no chance of Justice wherever they turned, completely denied Due Process or Procedure, just as in this Court and the Government Agencies fingered by Anderson, including Members of the Department of Justice.

**THE CONTROLLED DEMOLITION OF WORLD MARKETS BY ATTORNEYS AT LAW OPERATING AS A CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE AND INFILTRATING SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICES, INCLUDING WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND THE COURTS**

**YOU ARE A DEN OF VIPERS AND THIEVES**

“Gentlemen, I have had men watching you for a long time and I am convinced that you have used the funds of the bank to speculate in the breadstuffs of the country.

When you won, you divided the profits amongst you, and when you lost, you charged it to the bank.

You tell me that if I take the deposits from the bank and annul its charter, I shall ruin ten thousand families. That may be true, gentlemen, but that is your sin! Should I let you go on, you will ruin fifty thousand families, and that would be my sin!”

“You are a den of vipers and thieves.”— Andrew Jackson (7th US President, when forcing the closure of the Second Bank of the US in 1836 by revoking its charter)

One now sees clearly that behind the Mass of Crimes plaguing our nation are RICO Criminal Operatives with LEGAL DEGREES acting in a variety of legal roles necessary to commit crimes and evade prosecution. There are Private Sector Law Firms and Attorneys at Law, creating the underlying documentation and contracts necessary for the FRAUDS and Public Office Attorneys at Law, such as in this Court, rubberstamping the Fraudulent Documents and Contracts. The Private Law Firms have other Criminal Attorneys at Law who travel through a revolving door through Government Regulatory Agencies with a mission to DE-REGULATE and TURN THE OTHER WAY if Red Flags or complaints arise.<sup>23 and 24</sup> Still yet, other criminal

---

<sup>23</sup> “In Aftermath of Financial Crisis, Who's Being Held Responsible?” PBS News Hour by Ray Suarez  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=qmO7W8iC5LE&noredirect=1](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=qmO7W8iC5LE&noredirect=1)

<sup>24</sup> “Insight: Top Justice officials connected to mortgage banks - - U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder and Lanny Breuer, head of the Justice Department's criminal division, were partners for years at a Washington law firm [Covington & Burling] that represented a Who's Who of big banks and other companies at the center of alleged foreclosure fraud, a Reuters inquiry shows.” By Scot J. Paltrow, Reuters, Fri Jan 20, 2012  
<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/01/20/us-usa-holder-mortgage-idUSTRE80JOPH20120120>

and

“50 STATES FILE NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTERVENE IN MORTGAGE FRAUD SETTLEMENT”

**In The United States District Court  
District Of Columbia, Washington DC  
Judge Colliers Court Unit**

**The United States Of America Civil Case No 12-361  
50 States Attorney Generals**

**VS.**

**Wellsfargo Bank, JP Morgan Chase, Ally/GMAC, Citi Bank, ET AL  
Bank of America: 1-877-488-7814  
Citi: 1-866-272-4749  
Chase: 1-866-372-6901  
Ally (formerly GMAC): 1-800-766-4622  
Wells Fargo: 1-800-288-3212**

**Notice of Intent To Intervene as a Matter Of Right Federal Rule Civil Procedure 24 Victims Intervention of Right**

operatives are disguised as Lawmakers (Congressional Criminals from both sides of the aisle) who push for legislation designed to lower regulations, simultaneously watering down prosecutorial legislation so as they may operate with the false perception that the crimes are legal or non-prosecutable. This subversion of the Regulatory Agencies and Law paves the way for the COMPLEX ILLEGAL FINANCIAL FRAUDS to take place without the public noticing until too late and where a sense of Justice is given if they are exposed, perhaps a small fine but no real Justice ever occurs. Other CRIMINAL RICO Operatives revolve through the Courts doors in various roles, including dressed as Justices, to bury any legal actions against the Criminal Organization, endlessly denying due process to the victims. One example of this comes from the illegally foreclosed homes foreclosed on using fraudulent documents submitted by Attorneys at Law and rubberstamped by Justices, who came out smelling rosy, while their victims remain homeless and destitute.

Examples of these COMPLEX CRIMES CONCOCTED by these DIRTY ROTTEN UNETHICAL ATTORNEYS AT LAW, directly causing the ONGOING MAN MADE DEPRESSION THE NATION and WORLD, include but are not limited to;

1. FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS - Contracts produced by Attorneys at Law from the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS, whereby AIG for example then sets the stage for a CONTROLLED ECONOMIC CALAMITY in World Markets through FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS, rigging the market to collapse, betting on the collapse and then a few profiting off the human suffering and monetary losses caused,
2. FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES and PREDATORY LENDING – Subprime Contracts, again prepared by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS designed steeped in FRAUD and then sold by the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION to unsuspecting home owners and then bundled up and resold to hundreds of millions of victims worldwide. Again, knowing the contracts would fail bets were fixed for a few to profit off the human suffering and monetary losses caused,
3. FRAUDULENT COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATIONS (CDOs) – Legal contracts again created by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS working with Cartel Bankers to cause controlled market demolitions and worldwide economic calamity and again a few profiting from the downfall of markets and off the human suffering and monetary losses caused,

---

**To The Honorable Judge of Said Court ... Judge Collier**

<https://sites.google.com/site/thecatbirdsnest13/home/50-states-file-notice-of-intent-to-intervene-in-mortgage-fraud-settlement>



4. FRAUDULENT WARS OF AGGRESSION – Undeclared Wars are War Crimes and the current illegal “wars” are based on LIE after LIE for War Profiteering, again profiting a few in the Military Industrial Complex to the detriment of the U.S. citizens and decimating those nations we have illegally invaded, murdering their citizens and killing our soldiers, all costing the American taxpayers TRILLIONS,
5. ILLEGAL OIL PRICE FIXING and PROFITEERING - achieved through ILLEGAL UNDECLARED ACTS OF AGGRESSION, benefiting a few slimy oil empires to the detriment of citizens worldwide, artificially inflating prices that affect every citizens ability to purchase their basic needs, i.e. electricity, gas, food, etc. and virtually forcing Middle Class Americans into poverty,
6. STOCK MARKET RIGGING, that has decreased the Middle Class Portfolios to Poverty, to
7. ANTITRUST and ILLEGAL MONOPOLISTIC COMBINATIONS - that have wiped out Small Businesses, i.e. Walmart, Microsoft, BrandsMart, Home Depot, Costco, etc. These illegally monopolized businesses sectors have forced millions of American’s their companies and sent unemployment SKY ROCKETING to levels higher than the Great Depression, if assessed using the same calculations and not today’s rigged unemployment rates used to hide the real facts, and finally, last but not least, in fact, in total losses monetarily probably the most costly crime,
8. LIBOR INTEREST RATE FIXING CRIMES – This recently exposed crime where market rates were fixed adversely effects over **EIGHT HUNDRED TRILLION DOLLARS (US \$800,000,000,000,000.00)** OF CONTRACTS, including but not limited to, PENSIONS, INSURANCE, CREDIT CARDS, BUSINESS LOANS and virtually every form of business loan contract that LIBOR is directly tied to. This felony global rate fixing crime truly affects every person on the planet earth adversely and may further decrease net worth of citizens from 40% losses to over 60-70% losses in their investments, etc. Again, a crime designed to the benefit of a few who rigged the markets at the expense of all. Again, bets fixed to profit off the human suffering and monetary losses caused.

From FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURES, RIGGING THE HOME REAL ESTATE MARKETS, RIGGING WORLD MARKETS, etc., PERSONAL HOME VALUES HAVE PLUMMETED, with LOSSES of 50% or more and PERSONAL INVESTMENTS HAVE VANISHED with LOSSES of over 40% of NET WORTH<sup>25</sup> to people worldwide whom the

---

<sup>25</sup> “Family net worth plummets nearly 40% -- The average American family's net worth dropped almost 40% between 2007 and 2010, according to a triennial study released Monday by the Federal Reserve.” by Charles Riley @ CNNMoney June 12, 2012: 12:12 PM ET  
<http://money.cnn.com/2012/06/11/news/economy/fed-family-net-worth/index.ht>

frauds were committed upon in mass. ALL OF THE LOSSES DIRECTLY DUE TO FRAUD and damaging HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF HOMEOWNERS and INVESTORS WORLDWIDE who have been burned by these Frauds and Schemes. Millions foreclosed upon illegally, millions more impoverished and whereby all of the Legal Contracts behind each scheme were produced by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS and executed with their Corporate Partners and Public Office Partners.

Every American who owns a home has been burned by these crimes, as their home values plummeted due directly to these crimes, losing 40% or more on their net worth, their life savings, their pensions, etc., in just a few years, instantly thrusting them into economic hardship, again, this Economic Warfare Crime is a War Crime and already is leading to a mass of suicides worldwide. Each homeowner in America should sue the banks, law firms, lawyers, regulators and judges who are responsible for the crimes that led to their losses, demanding our Justice Department PROSECUTE or PROSECUTE THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS WHO FAIL TO PROSECUTE AND THEREBY VIOLATE THEIR OATH OF OFFICE AND DUTIES TO THE PEOPLE THEY SERVE. Criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law are further disguised as Judges found rubber-stamping all of these FRAUDULENT CONCOCTIONS and SCHEMES. Other Criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law are further disguised as Regulators whom feign to be “Asleep at the Wheel” leaving the victims homeless and with massive losses of personal assets from the CRIMES and with NO RECOURSE in the Courts and Prosecutorial Offices, as they are now run by the Criminals.<sup>26</sup>

---

<sup>26</sup> “Foreclosure fraud investigators forced out at attorney general's office” By Kimberly Miller, Palm Beach Post Staff Writer Tuesday, July 12, 2011  
<http://www.palmbeachpost.com/money/foreclosures/foreclosure-fraud-investigators-forced-out-at-attorney-generals-1603854.html?page=2>

and

Office of the Attorney General Economic Crimes Division – “UNFAIR, DECEPTIVE AND UNCONSCIONABLE ACTS IN FORECLOSURE CASES” Prepared by: June M. Clarkson, Theresa B. Edwards and Rene D. Harrod of the Florida Attorney General Office  
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/46278738/Florida-Attorney-General-Fraudclosure-Report-Unfair-Deceptive-and-Unconscionable-Acts-in-Foreclosure-Cases>

and

“Revealed: How Countrywide and Angelo Mozilo Crashed the Housing Market without Punishment” By Damien Hoffman, December 05 2011  
<http://wallstcheatsheet.com/stocks/revealed-how-countrywide-and-angelo-mozilo-crashed-the-housing-market-without-punishment.html/>

Video 1 - <http://www.cbsnews.com/video/watch/?id=7390540n> – CBS NEWS

Video 2 - <http://www.cbsnews.com/video/watch/?id=7390542n> – CBS NEWS

The crimes led to the Criminals then perpetrating another massive fraud on the People through the creation of FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS, whereby the losses for the CRIMINAL SCHEMES described above were then portrayed to the WORLD as ORGANIC ECONOMIC CALAMITIES NEEDING “BAILOUTS” from the PUBLIC. In essence, TARP made the American Public pay the criminals for losses incurred from their criminal activities to the criminals (yes, hard to believe) and then the criminals stole off with these monies too, again, further causing ECONOMIC WARFARE upon the citizens. Companies were then cited as “TOO BIG TO FAIL” by our Government Criminals who intentionally and with scienter rigged them to fail and whereby “TOO BIG TO FAIL” is a term that by definition is a call for a BREAKUP OF THESE COMPANIES THROUGH ANTITRUST LAWS and not a reason to make them bigger with bogus bailouts. Bailouts that they then used to bonus their fat greed soaked porker faces, stealing the TARP funds as well, again a crime against the American people and people worldwide in the tune of TRILLIONS. The adage should have been “NEVER TOO BIG TO JAIL” and the public should have RECOVERED the stolen funds, seizing all of their assets and REDISTRIBUTING THE WEALTH BACK TO THE PEOPLE THE MONEY WAS STOLEN FROM in the first place. As a side note, it is funny to see how the criminals attempt to spin reality and claim that REDISTRIBUTING THE WEALTH BACK TO THE PEOPLE from the CRIMINALS who made their trillions/billions robbing the people is somehow a form of socialism and unfair wealth distribution. Factually, this form of retribution through redistribution would actually be pure Justice and the right and only course to fixing the economy for the People, to the benefit of everyone at the expense of the few who committed the crimes.

ECONOMIC CALAMITIES caused directly through CONSPIRATORIAL FRAUD. Whereby LAWMAKERS, more aptly, LAWBREAKERS in CONGRESS, almost all Attorneys at Law, are bought or placed in their roles through FRAUDULENT ELECTIONS by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE. These LAWBREAKER/CONGRESSIONAL CRIMINALS travel through a REVOLVING DOOR from CONGRESS to the “FAVORED LAW FIRMS” or vice versa. THEY HAVE ROBBED US CITIZENS further by SHIFTING THE LOSS FOR THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISES CRIMES TO THE PEOPLE and now onto the BACKS OF THEIR CHILDREN’S FUTURE, as their children become debt slaves to the criminals.

---

“Lawyers Investigating SEC Madoff Frauds Provide Help to Fellow Lawyers Eight SEC employees disciplined over failures in Madoff fraud case; none are fired”, The Washington Post by David S. Hilzenrath - November 11, 2011 <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2011/11/lawyers-investigating-sec-madoff-frauds.html>

and

[http://www.washingtonpost.com/business/economy/seven-sec-employees-disciplined-on-failure-to-stop-madoff-fraud/2011/11/10/gIQA3kYYCN\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/business/economy/seven-sec-employees-disciplined-on-failure-to-stop-madoff-fraud/2011/11/10/gIQA3kYYCN_story.html)

The very same Congressional Criminals that destroyed the ECONOMIES of the WORLD then claim the People need to tighten their belts and cut their “entitlements,”<sup>27</sup> cuts directly due

---

<sup>27</sup> The word Entitlements needs further clarification here, as there are two distinct confusing meanings. The first meaning “is a guarantee of access to benefits based on established rights or by legislation. A “right” is itself an entitlement associated with a moral or social principle, such that an “entitlement” is a provision made in accordance with legal framework of a society”. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entitlement> In this usage, an Entitlement is akin to a worker paying for and buying, with REAL HARD EARNED MONEY through REAL HARD WORK, benefits such as Social Security, Medicare/Medicaid and Unemployment Insurance. For these future promises to pay REAL FUNDS ARE DEDUCTED OUT OF EVERY REAL PAYCHECK OF THE WORKER THROUGHOUT LIFE, used to BUY GUARANTEED and FUNDED BENEFITS LATER. Here we have Workers PURCHASING an Government Insurance PRODUCT via Contract, not some sort of freeloading of benefits in any gifted or undeserved manner and factually workers are legally entitled to those PAID FOR FUTURE BENEFITS.

The Second Definition of Entitlement, “In a casual sense, the term ‘entitlement’ refers to a notion or belief that one (or oneself) is deserving of some particular reward or benefit—if given without deeper legal or principled cause, the term is often given with pejorative connotation (e.g. a ‘sense of entitlement’)”. This second usage is strikingly different from the first in that here there is NO LEGAL Entitlement to a Future Purchased Benefit, just a “sense”, which would be akin to say an unearned “TRUST FUND BABIES TRUST FUND”, where the benefactors inherit, not earn or pay for, the “entitled by birth” benefits. The only Entitlements necessary to cut in society are these type of UNEARNED or UNPAID FOR ENTITLEMENTS and BENEFITS but that would leave most of Congress needing a second job and purchasing the crap insurance of “Obama UnCare” versus their “Entitled” platinum plated Congressional Insurance Policies. Of course, our politicians and their families should have Platinum Plans for they earned it by bankrupting the Nation and World Economies? In other words, the only ones who appear to live off UNEARNED ENTITLEMENTS are those ROBBING the AMERICAN WORKERS of their HARD EARNED BENEFITS THEY PAID FOR AND ARE LEGALLY ENTITLED TO.

Another “entitlement” to cut would be “Trust Funds” as with estates currently passing 100% free of Death Taxes through further ILLEGAL DEREGULATIONS, we factually have a Monarchy. As if a Kings were passing the Kingdom, the United States, to their “entitled” children, who then pass it to theirs, further skewing the money supply, spoiling each successive generation until they are “rotten to the core” and let the GOOD PEOPLE who worked hard to get them their “entitled” positions, STARVE. Instead, as this is America and not the English Monarchy we freed ourselves from yesteryear, when someone who has “made it” dies with a billion, a small portion should pass to their next generation through a 90% estate tax, which in this instance would leave a whopping 100 Million Dollars to their heirs. Even this amount is not enough for these greedy ROBBER BABY BARONS where they currently have rigged the money supply further by passing all 1 BILLION free of tax. In the instance of a 90% estate tax, the remainder of the taxed monies, \$900 Million, would return to the common money pool for the next big earners/inventors to earn and to pay for the PAID ENTITLEMENTS of the PEOPLE who WORKED HARD and are LEGALLY ENTITLED TO THEM.

Plaintiff fears however that like with the Good King, the People will have to have a real “Tea Party” again. Not to be confused with the Unpatriotic Tea Party of today’s politics but a true REVOLUTION and REVOLT against these “ENTITLED” SPOILED ROTTEN ELITIST TYRANTS WITH HARVARD AND YALE BOUGHT LEGAL DEGREES, whose legacy is to have decimated and destroyed our Great Nation, her People and her Honor. In Revolt the PEOPLE should carefully dissect those ELITISTS who inherited their wealth or gained it from CRIMINAL ACTS from those who WORKED HARD in legitimate positions to EARN such Wealth when TAKING BACK THE ILL GOTTEN GAINS.

The Entitlement SCAM appears yet another CRIME perpetrated against the PEOPLE by our “Elite” Congressional Criminals (elite only in the entitled “sense”) to rob funds set aside by workers for PAID FOR SOCIAL BENEFITS and now claim that the workers were not “entitled” to these PAID FOR BENEFITS, as if these were social welfare claims like their trust funds. Whereby the monies paid for by WORKERS throughout their LIFETIMES with LEGAL PROMISE TO PAY at RETIREMENT or if they become UNEMPLOYED are being kiped and pilfered by

to the losses from their crimes, whilst their own belts burst in Greed. Instead of allowing these crimes to continue the People must simply RESTORE JUSTICE, tighten the NOOSES around the CRIMINALS necks, despite their elitist family names and simply RECOVER the STOLEN LOOT from their swaying carcasses, which again, would instantly put the Country back in black overnight.

What do the PEOPLE have from all of these FRAUDS?

1. INTENTIONALLY WRECKED WORLD ECONOMIES,
2. DECREASED HOME EQUITY BY 40% OR MORE,
3. 8 MILLION-20 MILLION HOMELESS AMERICAN'S WIPED OUT FROM WHOLLY FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURES,
4. 30-75 MILLION PERMANENTLY UNEMPLOYED FROM THE WHOLLY ILLEGAL MARKET RIGGING, ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS AND COMPANY/INDUSTRY COLLAPSES,
5. 401K'S AND STOCK PORTFOLIO'S HAMMERED TO NOTHING,
6. PENSIONS LEFT IN SHAMBLES<sup>28</sup>,
7. CITY, STATES, COUNTIES AND COUNTRIES WRECKED BY FRAUDULENT LEGAL INSTRUMENTS AND ILLEGAL LEGAL SCAMS AND
8. FOOD AND OIL PRICES FIXED TO STARVE THE PEOPLE AND WIPE OUT THE MIDDLE CLASS.

---

those "TRUST FUND BABIES" from Harvard and Yale, mostly who are running or more aptly ruining and robbing the Country, in delusions of grandeur, perhaps from too many "entitlements". Those who have hardly worked a day in their lives and who do not pay any taxes, again we find robbing hard working Americans while making huge gains for themselves and the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION.

<sup>28</sup> "Madoff Whistleblower: Big Banks Are Ripping Off Pension Funds." By Peter Gorenstein | Daily Ticker August 19, 2001

<http://finance.yahoo.com/blogs/daily-ticker/madoff-whistleblower-big-banks-ripping-off-pension-funds-152836936.html>

and

"Governor [Andrew Cuomo] ignores crooks, targets retirees" by Francis A. Gentile, Gannet, Mar. 2, 2012

<http://www.lohud.com/article/20120304/OPINION/303040049/1016/OPINION01/Gov.%20ignores%20crooks+,%20targets%20retirees>

and

"Will the Attorneys General Sell Out the Pension Funds?" by Abigail Caplovitz Field, TheHuffingtonPost.com, Inc.

<http://www.huffingtonpost.com/abigail-caplovitz-field>

All of these Economic Hardships the result of Economic Terrorism, a War Crime, and directly resulting from Conspiratorial Criminal Controlled Demolitions and again all UNPROSECUTED and again the monies still not recovered. Again, all of the crimes are achievable only with a Misused Degree in Law aka a license to steal. Look no further for proof of the crimes and who committed them, than by analyzing the SKYROCKETING Net Worth increases of our Government's So-Called Elite, our Pork Filled Politicians<sup>29</sup> and their Corporate PIG partners, their net worth increases in wealth, correspond almost identically to the amount of monies stolen from the PEOPLE. This uneven distribution/skewing of the money supply between "the haves and the have nots" is due entirely to CRIMINAL ACTS and has become worse than any other point in history.<sup>30</sup>

The CRIMINALS in the RICO Enterprise cloaked as ATTORNEYS AT LAW, act behind the scenes, further profiting from the controlled demolition of the US and Foreign Markets and Fortune 1000 Companies by betting against the PEOPLE and having INSIDE INFORMATION that the markets will collapse from each controlled demolition. From the wreckage however, one finds new instant BILLIONAIRES and RECORD CORPORATE PROFITS of a select few prevail, about 1/10 of 1% of the Population, an increase in wealth that almost directly correlates to the TRILLIONS of DOLLARS LOOTED by their CRIMES.

Sky-Rocketing Net Worth Increases, include but are not limited to,

1. Lawmakers from all Political Parties, mainly Attorneys at Law,
2. Judges, again almost all Attorneys at Law,
3. Public Officials, again almost all Attorneys at Law, collecting their compensation upon exiting Public Office in Lucrative Instant Partnerships with the "Favored Law Firms" and,
4. CEO's of the RICO Enterprise's Controlled Companies, again almost all Attorneys at Law, here taking bonuses for the Criminal RICO Organization while wiping out shareholders, pensions, laying off US employees, wiping out unions, etc.

---

<sup>29</sup> "The 50 Richest Members of Congress (2011)" "To determine the richest lawmakers, Roll Call adds up the **minimum value** of total assets reported by each Member on their annual financial disclosures and subtracts the minimum liabilities. Percent change refers to the change since last year's disclosure forms. An asset valued at \$5 million to \$25 million is counted at the lesser amount, as is a liability valued at \$1 million to \$5 million." August 22, 2011

<http://www.rollcall.com/50richest/the-50-richest-members-of-congress-112th.html>

<sup>30</sup> "The Shocking, Graphic Data That Shows Exactly What Motivates the Occupy Movement  
The corporate media may obsess about what Occupy Wall Street is all about, but these images should make it clear."  
AlterNet / By Les Leopold, October 23, 2011  
[http://www.alternet.org/story/152811/the\\_shocking%2C\\_graphic\\_data\\_that\\_shows\\_exactly\\_what\\_motivates\\_the\\_occupy\\_movement?page=entire](http://www.alternet.org/story/152811/the_shocking%2C_graphic_data_that_shows_exactly_what_motivates_the_occupy_movement?page=entire)

All of these CRIMINALS stealing for the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE hundreds of Billions/TRILLIONS by Robbing, Raping and Destroying America's "Apple Pie" institutions and running them into the ground. Why, one may ask, have these PIGS given themselves Bonuses at all instead of being FIRED? For all of the following reasons the Criminal Cartel actors in these corporate frauds should have been FIRED and IMPRISONED, including but not limited to,

1. bankrupting Fortune 1000 companies,
2. rigging and destroying world mortgage markets,
3. rigging and destroying world stock markets,
4. rigging global economies to collapse<sup>31</sup> and

---

<sup>31</sup> Further, supporting evidence of this CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE committing MASS FRAUD can be found in the April 13, 2011, Report by the United States Senate, PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. The Committee is Chaired by Hon. Carl Levin and assisted in bipartisan fashion by Tom Coburn, Ranking Minority Member and is titled WALL STREET AND THE FINANCIAL CRISIS: ANATOMY OF A FINANCIAL COLLAPSE. The Report is located at the following URL, hereby fully incorporated in entirety by reference herein, [http://hsgac.senate.gov/public/files/Financial\\_Crisis/FinancialCrisisReport.pdf](http://hsgac.senate.gov/public/files/Financial_Crisis/FinancialCrisisReport.pdf) .

This detailed stinging report alleges fraud over 200 times in 650 pages, yet still NOT A SINGLE ARREST???. While most of this Criminal Activity defined in the report continues to take place in New York, and why not, when the "Fox" and "Fix" is in the Henhouse with this Court? Crime Pays when no one is protecting the People and Justice is complicit in the crimes. One must ask where are the US Attorneys, the New York Attorney General and the Governor of New York, the self-proclaimed "Sheriffs of Wall Street", whom instead look more like Criminal Accomplices disguised as Sheriffs. Who are these "Barney Fife" Sheriffs? Again, we find more ATTORNEYS AT LAW, all with interests in the CONTROLLED DEMOLITION of the markets, betting against the People in rigged market collapses, fearing no Justice as they have disabled Justice.

and

"Is the SEC Covering Up Wall Street Crimes? A whistleblower claims that over the past two decades, the agency has destroyed records of thousands of investigations, whitewashing the files of some of the nation's worst financial criminals." By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher August 17, 2011 <http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/is-the-sec-covering-up-wall-street-crimes-20110817>

and

"SEC may have destroyed documents, says senator Grassley: Agency may have got rid of Goldman, Madoff documents" "The Securities and Exchange Commission may have destroyed documents and compromised enforcement cases involving activity at large banks and hedge funds during the height of the financial crisis in 2008, according to allegations made by a lawmaker on Wednesday." By Ronald D. Orol, (MarketWatch) August 18, 2011 <http://www.marketwatch.com/story/sec-may-have-destroyed-documents-senator-says-2011-08-17>

"Why Isn't Wall Street in Jail? Financial crooks brought down the world's economy — but the feds are doing more to protect them than to prosecute them." By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher <http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/why-isnt-wall-street-in-jail-20110216>

---

and

“Why Do Dangerous Financial Criminals Roam Free? Prosecutors like Eric Schneiderman need cops on the beat to put financial crooks behind bars. But thanks to Bush, these cops are missing in action.” By June Carbone, Alternet, February 4, 2012

[http://www.alternet.org/economy/153997/why\\_do\\_dangerous\\_financial\\_criminals\\_roam\\_free](http://www.alternet.org/economy/153997/why_do_dangerous_financial_criminals_roam_free)

and

“William Black: Why Nobody Went to Jail During the Credit Crisis --- The FBI is no longer chasing white collar criminals” by James J Puplava CFP with William K Black PhD at Financial Sense®

<http://www.financialsense.com/financial-sense-newshour/guest-expert/2011/09/14/william-k-black-phd/why-nobody-went-to-jail-during-the-credit-crisis#.Toz2nH9u1OU.email>

and

“If Mortgage Fraud Was Rampant, Why Aren't [there] Criminal Charges?” By Matt Egan, Published May 06, 2011, FOXBusiness

[http://www.foxbusiness.com/industries/2011/05/05/fraud-claims-grow-feds-forgo-criminal-charges/?cmpid=cmt\\_email\\_Gigya\\_If\\_Mortgage\\_Fraud\\_Was\\_Rampant%2C\\_Why\\_Aren't\\_Criminal\\_Charges%3F](http://www.foxbusiness.com/industries/2011/05/05/fraud-claims-grow-feds-forgo-criminal-charges/?cmpid=cmt_email_Gigya_If_Mortgage_Fraud_Was_Rampant%2C_Why_Aren't_Criminal_Charges%3F)

and

“WW3 is on as Wall St. banks plunder economy.” Max Keiser, YOUTUBE (or aptly ELIOTUBE)

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tZindTx0YDA&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tZindTx0YDA&feature=player_embedded)

and

“Obama: Banks broke no laws broken...More shameless than Bush” by Max Keiser

<http://www.realecontv.com/videos/government-corruption/obama-banks-broke-no-laws-broken.html>

and

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ks-sc4LYqck&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ks-sc4LYqck&feature=player_embedded)

[Obama tries to Cover-Up for Economic Terrorism committed by his Republican Wall Street backers, keep in mind he has raised more money for the 2012 election from Republican Wall Streeters than all of the Republican Candidates in toto] see,

“Wall Street Still Gives More Cash to Obama Than to Republicans” by Noreen Malone, New York Media LLC. October 20, 2011

[http://nymag.com/daily/intel/2011/10/wall\\_street\\_still\\_gives\\_more\\_c.html](http://nymag.com/daily/intel/2011/10/wall_street_still_gives_more_c.html)

and

“Meltdown - The men who crashed the world” Part 1-4

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6zZ\\_JfROhOE&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6zZ_JfROhOE&feature=player_embedded)

and

“The Failure to Prosecute Bank Crimes Creates a Disease at the Heart of Our Politics” By: David Dayen, Firedoglake, Monday February 6, 2012

<http://news.firedoglake.com/2012/02/06/the-failure-to-prosecute-bank-crimes-creates-a-disease-at-the-heart-of-our-politics>

“Deficiencies Found in Oversight of Seized Assets, U.S. Says” By Seth Stern - Sep 13, 2011, BLOOMBERG L.P.



5. rigging illegal wars of aggression for war and oil profiteering.

All crimes and cover-ups done with scienter against the American People and People Worldwide, all done in criminal conspiracy, all done with the aid of insiders inside the Wheels of Justice and Government. These controlled demolitions of world economies created through FRAUD, have intentionally BANKRUPTED the US and World markets and caused FRAUDULENT ECONOMIC DEPRESSIONS on Citizens worldwide. Again, all of this CRIMINAL HAVOC to the benefit of a CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE, all further caused by MASS CRIMINAL ACTS committed by mostly ATTORNEYS AT LAW and their CORPORATE CLIENTELE, ending with Attorneys at Law behind the TORTURING OF HUMAN BEINGS and WAGING ILLEGAL UNDECLARED WARS OF AGGRESSION.<sup>32</sup>

---

<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-09-13/deficiencies-found-in-oversight-of-seized-assets-u-s-says.html>

<sup>32</sup> **“Bush, Blair found guilty of war crimes - A War Crimes Tribunal in Malaysia has found former US President George W. Bush and former British Prime Minister Tony Blair guilty of war crimes for their roles in the Iraq war.”** November 23, 2011 by PressTV  
<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/211590.html>

and

“F\*\*k Habeas Corpus - Supreme Court Decision” Published on You Tube Jun 12, 2012 by TheYoungTurks (Ana Kasparian and Cenk Uygur)  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q6XZVgSLLh0&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q6XZVgSLLh0&feature=player_embedded)

and

“Did the Supreme Court Just Gut Habeas Rights?” by Adam Serwer @ Mother Jones, Mon Jun. 11, 2012 10:30 AM PDT  
<http://www.motherjones.com/mojo/2012/06/did-supreme-court-just-gut-habeas>

and

“The Torture Memos: just following orders, just following [LEGAL] advice?” Posted on July 12, 2011 by Richard Moorhead Law Professor at Cardiff University, LAWYERS WATCH  
<http://lawyerwatch.wordpress.com/2011/07/12/the-torture-memos-just-following-orders-just-following-advice/>

and

“United States: Investigate Bush, Other Top Officials for Torture - Inquiry Into 2 Deaths in CIA Custody Insufficient” by Human Rights Watch July 11, 2011  
<http://www.hrw.org/en/news/2011/07/11/united-states-investigate-bush-other-top-officials-torture>

and

“John F. Kennedy 2 - The George H. W. Bush Connection-Full Length Documentary - The sequel to Oliver Stone's JFK, you won't see in the cinema. A thoroughly documented criminal indictment establishing beyond a reasonable doubt the guilt of George H.W. Bush as a supervisor in the conspiracy to assassinate John Kennedy.”  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DAQ5mFkriDs&feature=autoshare>

Tens of Thousands of human beings are being Tortured Worldwide whom are not

---

and

“Bush Family, C.I.A., Nazi Connection” October 28, 2007 -  
<http://www.myspace.com/270351075/blog/323241558>

and

In 1939, Harriman and Prescott hired the Dulles brothers [law firm of Sullivan & Cromwell -  
<http://www.enter.net/~torve/trogholm/secret/rightroots/dulles.html> ] to hide Nazi involvement with U.B.C. [Union Banking Corporation] because they knew the things they had done were not in the best interest of America. But it didn't work and U.B.C. was seized by the federal government on Nov. 17, 1942, under the Trading with the Enemy Act. The bank was a money laundering operation for Hitler. Prescott [Bush] was also forced to give up support to his favorite political ally Hitler. Prescott went to a Congress Hearing to try to get his Bank back. **J. Edgar Hoover told him [Prescott Bush, Father to George HW Bush and GrandNaziFather to George W. (WarCriminal) Bush], "That's right your a Nazi, and you run a Nazi Bank."** Prescott was denied request to keep the bank and the bank was

seized. There were many other American & British Elite that funded Hitler & the Nazi's rise to power. Henry Ford of Ford Motor Company, The Rockefeller family of Standard Oil, Thomas Watson of IBM, J. P. Morgan, Coca Cola, General Motors, The Rothschild Banking Family of England , etc... etc...etc... How do you think Germany built a Military big enough to take on the world in about a 10 year period?

[Note that many of these same UnAmerican, UnPatriotic, Fascist, Nazi Fry Loving, Spoiled Rotten SellOut's who attempted the Current Coup on the United States are these same UNPATRIOTIC families. See Business Plot I for more information @ <http://www.huppi.com/kangaroo/Coup.htm> ]

and

Jason Bermas presents “Invisible Empire: A New World Order Defined” produced by Alex Jones,  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NO24XmP1c5E&feature=bf\\_play&list=FLtle4CeXy9TI&index=1](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NO24XmP1c5E&feature=bf_play&list=FLtle4CeXy9TI&index=1)

and

"The High Priests of Globalisation" Will Hutton  
<http://www.bilderberg.org/>

and

“Crisis to Suicide: How Many Have to Die Before We Kill the False Religion of Austerity? The rate of people taking their own lives is soaring in Europe at such a clip that the trend has given birth to a new media term: **"Suicide by economic crisis."** By Lynn Parramore, AlterNet  
[http://www.alternet.org/story/155012/crisis\\_to\\_suicide%3A\\_how\\_many\\_have\\_to\\_die\\_before\\_we\\_kill\\_the\\_false\\_religion\\_of\\_austerity?akid=8599.203523.WDb0Fu&rd=1&t=8](http://www.alternet.org/story/155012/crisis_to_suicide%3A_how_many_have_to_die_before_we_kill_the_false_religion_of_austerity?akid=8599.203523.WDb0Fu&rd=1&t=8)

Agenda 21, EUGENICS "TAKING LIVES CONSIDERED NOT WORTHY TO BE LIVED"  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5cu\\_5uoQ18](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5cu_5uoQ18)

“Transcript: Interview with U.N. torture official Manfred Novak” By Glenn Greenwald, Salon Media Group, Inc. Saturday, Apr 25, 2009  
[http://www.salon.com/news/opinion/glenn\\_greenwald/2009/04/25/nowak](http://www.salon.com/news/opinion/glenn_greenwald/2009/04/25/nowak)

TERRORISTS. Had they been terrorists the US would have tried them proudly in our once Just System of Jurisprudence but instead mostly these tortured souls are foreign citizens of countries we have illegally invaded and these are the people of those countries who oppose the UNDECLARED and ILLEGAL WARS OF AGGRESSIONS in their Countries. Most of these so-called terrorists are really foreigners whose PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THEIR COUNTRIES HAVE BEEN STOLEN or they are dissenters of the US invasion of their country, who are then sent to Camp Gitmoschwitz or Abu GraHell or some other Black site to keep them quite forever, with NO JUSTICE AT ALL.

Some of those being TORTURED currently are AMERICAN CITIZENS and SOLDIERS tortured for their HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING ATTEMPTS, such as, PFC Bradley Manning, Julian Assange, Christine C. Anderson, Nicole Corrado, Hon. Judge Hart, etc., whom through PATRIOTIC DISSENT HAVE EXPOSED THE CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATIONS CRIMES FROM INSIDE. Yet, we know live in a United States where Dissent is no longer a form of Patriotism but rather a Criminal Act.



**WHERE IS THE JUSTICE? THE CRIMINAL ROLE OF THIS COURT IN AIDING AND ABETTING THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE – A ROOT OF TYRANNY AND INJUSTICE**

**SINGLE ACTS OF TYRANNY MAY BE ASCRIBED TO THE ACCIDENTAL OPINION OF A DAY; BUT A SERIES OF OPPRESSIONS, BEGUN AT A DISTINGUISHED PERIOD AND PURSUED UNALTERABLY THROUGH EVERY CHANGE OF MINISTERS, TOO PLAINLY PROVE A DELIBERATE, SYSTEMATIC PLAN OF REDUCING [A PEOPLE] TO SLAVERY. --- Thomas Jefferson**

Let's face it, the economy failing is not due to the **LONGEST RUNNING RECESSION<sup>33</sup>/DEPRESSION<sup>34</sup> IN HISTORY**, as the economic numbers would appear to reflect, in fact, there is very little organic economic downturn at all. Instead, what we have here is a **DEPRESSION** caused by **CRIMINAL ACTS LEADING DIRECTLY TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE UNITED STATES AND FOREIGN NATIONS FOR THE BENEFIT OF 1/10 OF 1% OF THE POPULATION**. These subversive government officials are composed of Lawmakers, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judges, all acting like the Three Wise Monkeys who see, hear and speak no evil, pick-pocketing world markets for the Criminal RICO Enterprise aka **CRIME INC.**, which has subverted the United States Government and certain **FOREIGN NATIONS**. A **TREASONOUS NEW WORLD DISORDER CONSPIRATORIAL COUP D'ÉTAT** where Justice Officials intentionally look the other way to disable justice, or attempt to find ways to let their Criminal Brethren off the hook through further **CRIMINAL ACTS** and **COVER-UPS**. One finds our lawmakers and enforcers instead of upholding law, busy issuing "Get Out of Jail Free" cards for friends and family caught along the way, under the guise of "Immunity" or "Executive Privileges," allowing them and helping them cart off **TRILLIONS** in stolen monies. Finally, we now find the Criminal Cartel attempting to rewrite Laws and Regulation in effort to make their Crimes legal.<sup>35and36and37</sup> This rewriting of law to make crime legal resembles exactly what the Nazi Attorneys at Law and Justice Officials did

---

<sup>33</sup> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recession>

In the United States, the Business Cycle Dating Committee of the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) is generally seen as the authority for dating US recessions. The NBER defines an economic recession as: "a significant decline in economic activity spread across the economy, lasting more than a few months, normally visible in real GDP, real income, employment, industrial production, and wholesale-retail sales." [5] Almost universally, academics, economists, policy makers, and businesses defer to the determination by the NBER for the precise dating of a recession's onset and end.

<sup>34</sup> There is no agreed definition for the term depression, though some have been proposed. In the United States the National Bureau of Economic Research determines contractions and expansions in the business cycle, but does not declare depressions. [1] Generally, periods labeled depressions are marked by a substantial and sustained shortfall of the ability to purchase goods relative to the amount that could be produced using current resources and technology (potential output). [2] Another proposed definition of depression includes two general rules: (1) a decline in real GDP exceeding 10%, or (2) a recession lasting 2 or more years. [3][4]

There are also differences in the duration of depression across definitions. Some economists refer only to the period when economic activity is declining. The more common use, however, also encompasses the time until economic activity has returned close to normal levels. [1]

<sup>35</sup> "AG [New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman] booted from key mtge. Panel" By MARK DECAMBRE, The New York Post, August 24, 2011

[http://www.nypost.com/p/news/business/ag\\_booted\\_from\\_key\\_mtge\\_panel\\_naRSXbrZRtdscevTnoYeKJ](http://www.nypost.com/p/news/business/ag_booted_from_key_mtge_panel_naRSXbrZRtdscevTnoYeKJ)

<sup>36</sup> "Obama Admin Blocks Bank Investigations?" Uploaded by TheYoungTurks on Aug 22, 2011, YOUTUBE (Should be ELIOTTUBE as YOUTUBE is one of the largest infringers of Plaintiff Bernstein's Video Inventions) [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZL63bki4kzk&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZL63bki4kzk&feature=player_embedded)

<sup>37</sup> "Obama Goes All Out For Dirty Banker Deal" by Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, editor and publisher, August 24, 2011 | 11:17am <http://m.rollingstone.com/entry/view/id/16196/pn/all/p/0/?KSID=bcdc270d2877e6d6e53699d382c34a8c>

when they knew the end was near and these were exactly the crimes they were tried for at the “Nuremberg Judges Trial.”<sup>38</sup> All the while, until they were tried and convicted, they lined their pockets in stolen funds and ECONOMICALLY TERRORIZING PEOPLE WORLDWIDE THROUGH ECONOMIC WARFARE WAGED UPON THEM, ignoring or harassing any Whistleblowers or Do-gooders seeking honest Justice.<sup>39</sup>

Yet, the Crimes and Country are Fixable with a simple return to TRUTH, JUSTICE and the AMERICAN WAY of Law and Order, “NO ONE ABOVE THE LAW”, which Plaintiff believes is soon upon us, where the office no longer attempts to Sanctify the Holder<sup>40</sup> for name or title and the monies looted will be RECOVERED for the PEOPLE. For example, with a RICO CRIMINAL SUIT against those who organized and participated in the TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS COUP D’ÉTAT, including CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY and ECONOMIC WARFARE, acting both within and outside government, the UNITED STATES would **RECOVER BACK TO THE PEOPLE TRILLIONS of LOOT** stolen via these TRAITOROUS and TREASONOUS ECONOMIC and WAR CRIMES. A clean sweep of all of the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATIONS ASSETS and INDIVIDUAL ASSETS as RICO

---

<sup>38</sup> “The Nuremberg Trials: The Justice Trial” United States of America v. Alstötter et al. (“The Justice Case”) 3 T.W.C. 1 (1948), 6 L.R.T.W.C. 1 (1948), 14 Ann. Dig. 278 (1948).

The Justice Trial is one of the most interesting of the Nuremberg trials. The trial of sixteen defendants, members of the Reich Ministry of Justice or People’s and Special Courts, raised the issue of what responsibility judges might have for enforcing grossly unjust--but arguably binding--laws. The trial was the inspiration for the movie Judgment at Nuremberg. The movie presented a somewhat fictionalized view of the trial.  
<http://law2.umkc.edu/faculty/projects/ftrials/nuremberg/Alstoetter.htm>

<sup>39</sup> “Florida attorney general, two fired lawyers in public dispute” July 21, 2011|By Kathleen Haughney, Sun Sentinel  
[http://articles.sun-sentinel.com/2011-07-21/business/fl-bondi-fired-attorneys-react-20110721\\_1\\_foreclosure-fraud-clarkson-division-director](http://articles.sun-sentinel.com/2011-07-21/business/fl-bondi-fired-attorneys-react-20110721_1_foreclosure-fraud-clarkson-division-director)

<sup>40</sup>John Emerich Edward Dalberg-Acton, 1st Baron Acton, KCVO, DL aka John Dalberg-Acton, 8th Bt aka Lord Acton

“No doubt the responsibility in such a case is shared by those who ask for a thing. But if the thing is criminal, if, for instance, it is a licence to commit adultery, the person who authorises the act shares the guilt of the person who commits it. Here again what I have said is not in any way mysterious or esoteric. It appeals to no hidden code. It aims at no secret moral. It supposes nothing, and implies nothing but what is universally current and familiar. It is the common, even the vulgar, code I appeal to.

I cannot accept your canon that we are to judge Pope and King [or US Presidents, Judges, Regulators, etc.] unlike other men, with a favourable presumption that they did no wrong. If there is any presumption it is the other way, against the holders of power, increasing as the power increases. Historic responsibility has to make up for the want of legal responsibility. Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely. Great men are almost always bad men, even when they exercise influence and not authority, still more when you superadd the tendency or the certainty of corruption by authority. **There is no worse heresy than that the office sanctifies the holder of it.** Here are the greatest names coupled with the greatest crimes; you would spare those criminals, for some mysterious reason. I would hang them higher than Haman, for reasons of quite obvious justice, still more, still higher for the sake of historical science.

[http://oll.libertyfund.org/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=1407&Itemid=283](http://oll.libertyfund.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1407&Itemid=283)

permits, just as the Justice Department did in the Gotti RICO Criminal Enterprise, leaving Gotti to die penniless in prison and his La Famiglia Criminal Empire extinct and broke. Yet, where is Justice now despite the mounds of evidence against this Criminal Cartel operating inside government, at the helm of our country, who have stolen more money from the People than the Mob ever has and this lack of JUSTICE has lead to the true legal, ethical and moral decay of our country?

How has Justice been derailed and by whom? Whistleblower Anderson et al., expose the tip of the Iceberg of the underbelly of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE operating inside Government. Revealing a select group of CRIMINALS, again disguised as Attorneys at Law, operating as, State and Federal Justices, United States Department of Justice Officials, New York State Attorney General Officials, District Attorney Officials, New York State Supreme Court Officials, “Favored Law Firms & Lawyers” and both Federal & State Regulators. This elaborate network of Government Operatives FINGERED BY ANDERSON AND CORRADO, act in conspiracy to SUBTERFUGE ALL Criminal Complaints or Lawsuits that arise against the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION from any victims.

The Criminal Operatives with legal degrees, upon entering and exiting public offices, swing through a “Revolving Door” of “Favored Law Firms” as described by Anderson. Big payouts are waiting for them in INSTANT PARTNERSHIPS with the “Favored Law Firms” for their time in public DISSERVICE and for their work Aiding and Abetting the facilitation of the Crimes by INTENTIONALLY FAILING TO REGULATE or PROSECUTE. Many of these Criminal Operatives leave lucrative multi-million dollar legal jobs at Major US Law Firms to enter low paying public service jobs. Leaving with the intent of derailing complaints or disabling regulations, in order to facilitate the illegal legal schemes and collect their payouts later through lucrative Partnership deals with the RICO Law Firms the crimes benefited.

Anderson’s FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS demand immediate investigations of ALL those responsible for the disabling and perversion of the Judicial System and Regulatory Agencies and Oversight Agencies that are designed to protect US Citizens from Crimes committed by Public Officials, Justices, Prosecutors, Law Firms and Attorneys at Law. Anderson and the other Whistleblowers allegations’ reveal there is nowhere to turn for victims at the State or Federal level. Nowhere that Whistleblowers or Victims can pursue claims against these “Protected” Government Officials or Corporate Bandits, where criminals disguised as Senior Public Officials are not already in place to block the complaints at every turn through continued Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST remain “the glue that binds” these crimes from receiving prosecution in the courts and prosecutorial offices. Victims and Whistleblowers passed back and forth for years between Members of the Cartel inside the various Government Agencies that intentionally deny and

dismiss complaints without fair and impartial due process of law through FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION described by Anderson and the other Whistleblowers.

The Criminals, again disguised as Public Officials with Law Degrees, are not lazy, lackadaisical, ignorant, or “asleep at the wheel,” they instead appear this way in order to subterfuge and derail, prosecutions, lawsuits and regulatory discipline, while holding the door open for their criminal legal brethren as they loot the country and world markets.

**ANDERSON’S ALLEGATIONS EXPLAIN WHY THERE HAVE BEEN NO SUBSTANTIVE PROSECUTIONS, ARRESTS OR TRIALS, OF ANY OF THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS, LAW FIRMS, LAWYERS, JUSTICES, PROSECUTORS and CORPORATE CRIMINALS, WHO WITH SCIENTER, AIDED AND ABETTED THE CRIMES COMMITTED ON WALL STREET/FRAUD STREET/GREED STREET, ALL CRIMINALLY FAILING TO UPHOLD THEIR PUBLIC OFFICE DUTIES AND LAW. NO JUSTICE WHATSOEVER, DESPITE ABSOLUTE AND OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE OF THE CRIMES.**

**JUSTICE IS DEAD AND THIS COURT IS A CENTRAL PARTY TO THE COUP D’ÉTAT ON THE COURTS** having a DIRECT and heavy hand in Aiding and Abetting the crimes and COVER-UP of the criminal illegal legal schemes on Wall Street/Fraud Street/Greed Street that have destroyed Main Street and streets throughout the World.<sup>41</sup> What Just Court could allow all these Crimes to continue under its very nose, allowing violations of Constitutional and Human Rights, covering up ILLEGAL TORTURE CRIMES and ECONOMIC WARFARE CRIMES, softening the blow for Ponzi Schemers and Wall Street Banksters brought before the court? The Public need look no further than **THIS DIRTY COURT**, which has jurisdiction over Wall Street/Fraud Street/Greed Street Attorneys at Law where the crimes appear to both begin and end. OFFICIALS OF THIS COURT have become a central component of the RICO Criminal Cartel’s success in both committing these TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS crimes and aiding evading prosecution. The Criminal Acts committed by Officials of THIS COURT by intentionally and with scienter attempting to conceal the evidence of crimes exposed by CREDIBLE WITNESSES in VIOLATION OF LAW, acts as further PRIMA FACIE evidence of this Court’s Felony Criminal Activities.

The failure to CALL IN THE GUARDS after learning of the Anderson, Corrado and Hart FELONY WHISTLEBLOWING CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS, including many of those handling this RICO AND ANTITRUST Lawsuit in Conflict, who are Violating, Public Offices, Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and State

---

<sup>41</sup> “How the Corporate Right Hijacked America's Courts to Enrich the Top 1 Percent America's political-economy is caught in a vicious cycle, with concentrated wealth at the top leading to outsized political power.” by Joshua Holland, AlterNet, May 10, 2012 [http://www.alternet.org/story/155379/how\\_the\\_corporate\\_right\\_hijacked\\_america%27s\\_courts\\_to\\_enrich\\_the\\_top\\_1\\_percent?page=entire](http://www.alternet.org/story/155379/how_the_corporate_right_hijacked_america%27s_courts_to_enrich_the_top_1_percent?page=entire)

& Federal Law, constitutes further FELONY MISPRISION OF FELONIES, OBSTRUCTION, RICO and more. Members of THIS COURT, instead of following Law are instead found VIOLATING LAW by attempting to bury the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS they are fully cognizant of, intentionally and with scienter, through attempted dismissal of the Anderson and “Related Cases” prior to LEGALLY REQUIRED INVESTIGATIONS, acting in a hurried effort to hide the crimes and their culpability in them.

## **HISTORY OF THE COUP**

**“I AM CONCERNED FOR THE SECURITY OF OUR GREAT NATION; NOT SO MUCH BECAUSE OF ANY THREAT FROM WITHOUT, BUT BECAUSE OF THE INSIDIOUS FORCES WORKING FROM WITHIN.”**

**--- GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY  
DOUGLAS MACARTHUR**

-----

**“HYLAN'S MOST FAMOUS WORDS AGAINST ‘THE INTERESTS’ WAS THE FOLLOWING SPEECH, MADE IN 1922, WHILE HE WAS THE SITTING MAYOR OF NEW YORK CITY (1917–25)**

**‘THE REAL MENACE OF OUR REPUBLIC IS THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT, WHICH LIKE A GIANT OCTOPUS SPRAWLS ITS SLIMY LEGS OVER OUR CITIES, STATES AND NATION. TO DEPART FROM MERE GENERALIZATIONS, LET ME SAY THAT AT THE HEAD OF THIS OCTOPUS ARE THE ROCKEFELLER-STANDARD OIL INTERESTS AND A SMALL GROUP OF POWERFUL BANKING HOUSES GENERALLY REFERRED TO AS THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS. THE LITTLE COTERIE OF POWERFUL INTERNATIONAL BANKERS VIRTUALLY RUN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FOR THEIR OWN SELFISH PURPOSES. ‘THEY PRACTICALLY CONTROL BOTH PARTIES, WRITE POLITICAL PLATFORMS, MAKE CATSPAWS OF PARTY LEADERS, USE THE LEADING MEN OF PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS, AND RESORT TO EVERY DEVICE TO PLACE IN NOMINATION FOR HIGH PUBLIC OFFICE ONLY SUCH**



CANDIDATES AS WILL BE AMENABLE TO THE DICTATES OF CORRUPT BIG BUSINESS. 'THESE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS AND ROCKEFELLER-STANDARD OIL INTERESTS CONTROL THE MAJORITY OF THE NEWSPAPERS AND MAGAZINES IN THIS COUNTRY. THEY USE THE COLUMNS OF THESE PAPERS TO CLUB INTO SUBMISSION OR DRIVE OUT OF OFFICE PUBLIC OFFICIALS WHO REFUSE TO DO THE BIDDING OF THE POWERFUL CORRUPT CLIQUES WHICH COMPOSE THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT. IT OPERATES UNDER COVER OF A SELF-CREATED SCREEN [AND] SEIZES OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, LEGISLATIVE BODIES, SCHOOLS, COURTS, NEWSPAPERS AND EVERY AGENCY CREATED FOR THE PUBLIC PROTECTION.'"

---THIS 'INVISIBLE' GOVERNMENT' HYLAN AND OTHERS - WILLIAM JENNINGS BRYAN, CHARLES LINDBERGH SR. (R-MN) - ARGUED, EXERCISED ITS CONTROL OF THE US GOVERNMENT THROUGH THE FEDERAL RESERVE.

-----

**JOHN F. KENNEDY ON SECRET SOCIETIES, THE  
SPEECH MANY CLAIM GOT HIM EXECUTED**

"THE VERY WORD 'SECRECY' IS REPUGNANT IN A FREE AND OPEN SOCIETY; AND WE ARE AS A PEOPLE INHERENTLY AND HISTORICALLY OPPOSED TO SECRET SOCIETIES, TO SECRET OATHS AND SECRET PROCEEDINGS. WE DECIDED LONG AGO THAT THE DANGERS OF EXCESSIVE AND UNWARRANTED CONCEALMENT OF PERTINENT FACTS FAR OUTWEIGHED THE DANGERS WHICH ARE CITED TO JUSTIFY IT. EVEN TODAY, THERE IS LITTLE VALUE IN OPPOSING THE THREAT OF A CLOSED SOCIETY BY IMITATING ITS ARBITRARY RESTRICTIONS. EVEN TODAY, THERE IS LITTLE VALUE IN INSURING THE SURVIVAL OF OUR NATION IF OUR TRADITIONS DO NOT SURVIVE WITH IT. AND THERE IS VERY GRAVE

**DANGER THAT AN ANNOUNCED NEED FOR INCREASED SECURITY WILL BE SEIZED UPON THOSE ANXIOUS TO EXPAND ITS MEANING TO THE VERY LIMITS OF OFFICIAL CENSORSHIP AND CONCEALMENT. THAT I DO NOT INTEND TO PERMIT TO THE EXTENT THAT IT IS IN MY CONTROL. AND NO OFFICIAL OF MY ADMINISTRATION, WHETHER HIS RANK IS HIGH OR LOW, CIVILIAN OR MILITARY, SHOULD INTERPRET MY WORDS HERE TONIGHT AS AN EXCUSE TO CENSOR THE NEWS, TO STIFLE DISSENT, TO COVER UP OUR MISTAKES OR TO WITHHOLD FROM THE PRESS AND THE PUBLIC THE FACTS THEY DESERVE TO KNOW."**

**--- PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY WALDORF-ASTORIA HOTEL ON APRIL 27, 1961. "THE PRESIDENT AND THE PRESS" BEFORE THE AMERICAN NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION**

[http://www.thepowerhour.com/news3/jfk\\_speech\\_transcript.htm](http://www.thepowerhour.com/news3/jfk_speech_transcript.htm)

As eloquently stated in her Dismissal Order<sup>42</sup> of this RICO & Antitrust Lawsuit, Shira Scheindlin stated,

### **07 CIV. 11196 (SAS)**

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

“This action presents a dramatic story of intrigue, car bombing, conspiracy, video technology, and murder. In short, plaintiffs allege that hundreds of defendants engaged in a massive conspiracy to violate their civil rights and, in the process, contributed to the Enron bankruptcy and the presidency of George W. Bush. In plaintiffs' words:

---

<sup>42</sup>Shira Scheindlin Dismissal Order August 08, 2008  
<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080808%20Scheindlin%20Dismissal%20of%20Complaint%20no%20comments.pdf>

and

Shira Scheindlin Dismissal Order August 08, 2008 of the other “legally related” lawsuits  
<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080808%20Scheindlin%20Dismissal%20of%20related%20complaints.pdf>

Plaintiffs depict a conspiratorial pattern of fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation, that runs so wide and so deep, that it tears at the very fabric, and becomes the litmus test, of what has come to be known as free commerce through inventors' rights and due process in this country, and in that the circumstances involve inventors' rights tears at the very fabric of the Democracy protected under the Constitution of the United States.”<sup>43</sup>

Defendants characterize the events quite differently:

For many years, pro se Plaintiffs Eliot I. Bernstein and Plaintiff Stephen Lamont have engaged in a defamatory and harassing campaign ... alleging an immense global conspiracy ... Although largely unintelligible, the [Amended Complaint] purports to describe a fantastic conspiracy among members of the legal profession, judges and government officials and private individuals and businesses to deprive plaintiffs of what they describe as their "holy grail" technologies... **While I cannot determine which of these descriptions is more accurate...**<sup>44</sup>”

If Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin cannot determine which account is more accurate, certainly this leaves the question of if she should have dismissed the case prematurely and prior to investigation of Anderson’s criminal allegations against several of the same Defendants as in this RICO. More importantly, Scheindlin should not have dismissed the case prior to determining if the Presidency of George W. Bush was in fact related to the theft of the Iviewit Intellectual Properties or prior to completion of the Ongoing State, Federal and International Investigations into the matters. One must then analyze the relevant facts and evidence surrounding Plaintiff’s assertion that a TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS Coup D’état exists. A coup thrust in motion by the Criminal Law Firms and Lawyers involved in the Iviewit Intellectual Properties theft, mostly Attorneys at Law from large U.S. Law Firms, when caught red-handed stealing **TRILLION DOLLAR INVENTIONS.** The coup spurred on by the subsequent need to block CRIMINAL and CIVIL LEGAL ACTIONS filed against them in federal and state venues. Plaintiff initially thought the Coup was planned directly because of his inventions. Starting at the point in time when the crimes were first uncovered, complaints filed

---

<sup>43</sup>RICO & ANTITRUST Amended Complaint  
<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080509%20FINAL%20AMENDED%20COMPLAINT%20AND%20RICO%20SIGNED%20COPY%20MED.pdf>

<sup>44</sup> Scheindlin Order Footnote = Memorandum of Law in Support of the Defendants' Proskauer Motion to Dismiss, at 1.

and the Defendant Law Firms needed to cover them up. What has since been discovered, in over a decade of research, is that the Coupsters were slowly plotting and planning UN-AMERICAN activities for several decades and had made inroads into the three branches of government for years, with great success but had not achieved total control of the US Government. Iviewit would provide the necessary spark to initiate the Power Grab in Toto, as all bases had to be covered and the only way to achieve this was to seize control of government top down. Many of the Coupsters were already in place in various government posts and were ready to move with the illegal presidency of George W. Bush. It should be noted here that Defendant Michael Grebe of Defendant Foley & Lardner, former Republican National Committee General Counsel, former employer to Illegal President Barack Hussein Obama II, was also the largest backer of George W. Bush's presidential campaign. Foley & Lardner at the center of the Iviewit Intellectual Property thefts, Foley & Lardner having a hand in the last two ILLEGAL PRESIDENTS despite them being from different parties, makes one wonder.

The Coup had been evolving and nesting slowly in government with a plan to take over the world through what has been described as a New World Order plot, which was executed with the total takeover of the United States Government. The total takeover began with the ILLEGAL and TYRANNOUS Bush v. Gore Election Fraud, a form of Treason, enacted by the Supreme Court Jesters, in the 5-4 Vote by the Supreme Court that ILLEGALLY USURPED the Vote of the PEOPLE.

The Supreme Court majority's argument, that a Florida recount would cause Bush "irreparable harm"—that is, loss of the White House—struck many observers as a blatant payoff for the life appointments bestowed by prior Republican presidents. Justice Antonin Scalia<sup>45</sup> proved that suspicion correct when he wrote, in approving Bush's plea for a ban on recounts, that "the issuance of the stay suggests that a majority of the Court, while not deciding the issues presented, believe that the petitioner [Bush] has a substantial probability of success." Furthermore, the Court's majority declared that its "logic" in supporting Bush would have no future application to any other case but was strictly "limited to

---

<sup>45</sup> Wikipedia Entry on Foley & Lardner @ [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foley\\_%26\\_Lardner](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foley_%26_Lardner)

Note here that InJustice Antonin Scalia worked at Defendant Foley & Lardner Law Firm. Also note here that Falsely Anointed President Barack Hussein Obama II is also a former Alumni of the Foley & Lardner Law Firm, a mainly Republican Law Firm, who worked under Defendant Michael Grebe, former CEO of Foley & Lardner Law Firm and also former Republican National Committee Chief Counsel during the Bush Election Fraud and Treason. Grebe is rumored to be the largest MoneyBag for both the Bush and Obama Campaigns???

"Notable current and former employees Barack Obama, President of the United States, summer associate in the Chicago office of Hopkins & Sutter, which was acquired by Foley & Lardner in 2001  
Antonin Scalia, United States Supreme Court Justice, was a summer associate in the Milwaukee office"

the present circumstances, for the problem of equal protection in election processes generally presents many complexities.” As author Vincent Bugliosi noted, “This point . . . all alone and by itself, clearly and unequivocally shows that the Court knew its decision was not based on the merits or the law, and was solely a decision to appoint George Bush President.” Justice John Stevens III affirmed that view in his dissenting opinion when he wrote, “Although we may never know with complete certainty the identity of the winner of this year’s presidential election, the identity of the loser is perfectly clear. It is the nation’s confidence in [this Court] as an impartial guardian of the rule of law.” Unmentioned in that opinion were the blatant conflicts of interest that should have caused two pro-Bush justices to abstain from hearing the case: A son of Justice Antonin Scalia was employed by the law firm that filed suit on Bush’s behalf<sup>46</sup>, while the wife of Justice Clarence Thomas worked for the Heritage Foundation, busily vetting future Bush appointees at the time her husband helped appoint Bush to the Oval Office.<sup>47</sup>

Supreme Court Justice Breyer states,

**THE GOOD NEWS, ACCORDING BREYER, WAS THAT DESPITE THE COURT’S IRREGULAR ACTION, THERE WERE NO RIOTS IN THE STREETS OF AMERICA**, such as those that are part of the Arab spring we have been hearing so much about. He added: At least 20 percent of you, when I’m saying there was no violence in the streets, you’re thinking, ‘And too bad there wasn’t.’ But I want those of you who are thinking that to turn on your television sets

---

<sup>46</sup> “THE STOLEN ELECTION OF 2000”

<http://www.angelfire.com/ca3/jphuck/Book10Ch.3.html>

Second, two sons of Justice Scalia worked for law firms involved with Bush’s legal team. One son, Eugene Scalia, was a partner in the Washington office of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. Another partner, Theodore Olson, argued Bush’s case before the Supreme Court. The young Scalia served as Special Assistant to Attorney General of the United States William Barr. The other son, John Scalia, accepted a position with the Miami-based firm [Defendant in the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit] Greenberg Traurig on November 7. The next day, Barry Richard, a partner in the firm, said he was called about representing Bush in Florida.

<sup>47</sup> “THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF CONSPIRACIES AND CONSPIRACY THEORIES” by Michael Newton, Facts On File, Inc., 2006

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/25045356/The-Encyclopedia-of-Conspiracies-and-Conspiracy-Theories>

and look at what happens in countries who decide to resolve their most serious problems (with violence).

It's a valid point. But it goes only so far. The decision led to plenty of violence elsewhere. Before *Bush v. Gore* is allowed to compost into history, let's reflect on some of its consequences.<sup>48</sup>

Breyer further states in his dissent in *Bush v. Gore*,

At the same time, as I have said, the Court is not acting to vindicate a fundamental constitutional principle, such as the need to protect a basic human liberty. No other strong reason to act is present. Congressional statutes tend to obviate the need. And, above all, in this highly politicized matter, the appearance of a split decision runs the risk of undermining the public's confidence in the Court itself. That confidence is a public treasure. It has been built slowly over many years, some of which were marked by a Civil War and the tragedy of segregation. It is a vitally necessary ingredient of any successful effort to protect basic liberty and, indeed, the rule of law itself. We run no risk of returning to the days when a President (responding to this Court's efforts to protect the Cherokee Indians) might have said, "John Marshall has made his decision; now let him enforce it!" Loth, Chief Justice John Marshall and *The Growth of the American Republic* 365 (1948). **But we do risk a self-inflicted wound – a wound that may harm not just the Court, but the Nation.**

I fear that in order to bring this agonizingly long election process to a definitive conclusion, we have not adequately attended to that necessary "check upon our own exercise of power," "our own sense of self-restraint." *United States v. Butler*, 297 U.S. 1, 79 (1936) (Stone, J., dissenting). Justice Brandeis once said of the Court, "The most important thing we do is not doing." Bickel, *supra*, at 71. What it does today, the Court should have left undone.

---

<sup>48</sup> "The Supreme Court decision in *Bush v. Gore* still resonates" Editorial SentinelSource.com, Posted: Tuesday, May 31, 2011  
[http://www.sentinelsource.com/opinion/editorial/the-supreme-court-decision-in-bush-v-gore-still-resonates/article\\_62dd2598-e32a-5554-a884-7e8f94c71abb.html](http://www.sentinelsource.com/opinion/editorial/the-supreme-court-decision-in-bush-v-gore-still-resonates/article_62dd2598-e32a-5554-a884-7e8f94c71abb.html)

I would repair the damage done as best we now can, by permitting the Florida recount to continue under uniform standards.<sup>49</sup>

The Supreme Court Members that partook in that TREASONOUS ELECTION FRAUD are the ROOTS of the Coupsters total control of Government from that moment forward. From that moment forward, FALSELY ILLEGALLY ANOINTED PRESIDENTS have issued all illegal, appointments, legislative actions and executive orders. Once the Executive Branch and SUPREME COURT were ILLEGALLY secured in a CONSPIRATORIAL TREASON and a FALSE PRESIDENT BUSH ANOINTED by the SUPREME COURT CRIMINALS, the remaining branches of Government, Legislative & Judicial, quickly crumbled along with the once Free Press.

With the Politicization/Witch-hunt of the Justice Department by Defendant Former US Attorney General Alberto Gonzales<sup>50</sup> who resigned in humiliation, Justice in America died and has been dead ever since. In filing this RICO Lawsuit, as with all LEGAL CONSPIRACIES where two or more conspire to commit crime, not all relevant facts and players were, or are fully known and this remains true today as new evidence surfaces daily regarding the tentacles and history of the TRAITORS and their TREASONOUS CRIMES defined herein.

Plaintiff, in researching the Legal Conspiracy to steal the Iviewit inventions<sup>51</sup> has since unearthed a more massive Global Power Grab by these same Coupsters, who have since the

---

49

Breyer, J., dissenting  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

-----  
No. 00—949  
-----

GEORGE W. BUSH, et al., PETITIONERS v.  
ALBERT GORE, Jr., et al.  
ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT  
[December 12, 2000]

<http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-949.ZD3.html>

<sup>50</sup> “Dismissal of U.S. attorneys controversy” From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dismissal\\_of\\_U.S.\\_attorneys\\_controversy](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dismissal_of_U.S._attorneys_controversy)

<sup>51</sup> The Iviewit technologies have been valued in the TRILLIONS of dollars, valued by leading engineers as “PRICELESS” and the “HOLY GRAIL” inventions of the digital imaging and video worlds, affecting virtually every form of digital communication. In fact, almost 99.99% of users of digital imaging and video products use the Iviewit Technologies in some form or other, the remaining .01% is most likely statistical aberration. Therefore, the Iviewit RICO exposes one of the largest crimes against an individual in World History, certainly the largest against any US Citizen/Inventor. Where again we find Attorneys at Law, trusted Patent and Corporate Counsel, charged with the crime of theft of their RETAINED CLIENT’S Intellectual Property Rights and Royalties. As the Amended Complaint alleges, the royalties owed the Inventors have been ILLEGALLY CONVERTED by their former Intellectual Property and Corporate Counsel for their own gains. One of the Intellectual Property Attorneys accused, Defendant Raymond Anthony Joao of Defendants Proskauer Rose/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel put 90+ patents in his very own name. Joao acted as lackey for the

FALSE PRESIDENT BUSH ANOINTMENT and TOTAL TAKEOVER OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, gone on a MASSIVE CRIME SPREE, as already described herein. Apparently this time the United States is the host nation to the Fascist/Nazi infection, aided by a TYRANNOUS GROUP OF UN-PATRIOTIC, UN-AMERICAN, NAZI FRY LOVING, FASCIST ELITIST PIG, CRIMINAL, NEW WORLD DISORDER, **SELLOUTS** OF OUR COUNTRY AND CONSTITUTION. Let us then begin exposing the Coup in stages, from their NAZI PASTS and then linking them to the Players currently involved in today's, TREASON, ECONOMIC WARFARE and this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, with special focus on the Bush Family Secrets that have come to light recently. Keep in mind that the following items are Not Conspiracy Theories but are Legal Conspiracies steeped in FACTUAL EVIDENCE and TRIABLE as TREASON with a DEATH PENALTY SENTENCE FOR MANY OF THE CRIMES, if those involved are found guilty.

---

main Iviewit Retained Attorney at Law, Patent Hack, Defendant Kenneth Rubenstein of Defendant Proskauer Rose/Defendant Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel and the same Rubenstein who is the sole (soulless) patent Reviewer for DEFENDANT MPEGLA, LLC. MPEGLA one of the largest infringers and chief conspirators in the Iviewit Intellectual Properties theft, where Rubenstein represented Iviewit and then stole the Patent Pending/Suspending IP off to his Patent Pools at MPEGLA, LLC, then through a pattern of Antitrust and Racketeering Activity precluded the Inventors of their rights. We find that Joao after being accused by Iviewit Management of Falsifying Patent Oaths went to work with the recently imprisoned Marc S. Dreier at the law firm of Dreier & Baritz, information regarding how the Dreier affair is directly related to Iviewit has already been submitted to this court.

The Attorneys at Law then used the courts to facilitate their crime by disabling the inventors' Intellectual Property rights to their inventions through Conflict after Conflict in the Courts and Prosecutorial Offices to block Plaintiff/Inventor's legal rights, all as explained in detail in the Iviewit Amended Complaint and RICO Statement. Finally, in order to LAUNDER the ILL GOTTEN ROYALTIES over the past DECADE, the lawyers have created further frauds, allegedly including the Ponzis aka Criminal RICO Money Laundering Operations such as, Madoff, Dreier, Stanford and others. Evidence has been presented to this Court and the US District Court regarding the relation of these Ponzis to the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST, showing their direct ties to Defendants in this Lawsuit, the Ponzis used as vehicles to wash hundreds of billions of converted stolen royalties, while making it appear to be from Ponzi losses or other financial fraud schemes, again more COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES USING THE COURTS TO AID & ABET the Crimes, all again, only committable with a LEGAL DEGREE MISUSED.





Was our 41<sup>st</sup> President, George H.W. Bush actually a Nazi from Germany named George Scherff, as depicted here in the photograph<sup>52</sup> released by Hitler's bodyguard, which shows George H.W. Bush/Scherff with leading Nazis Josef Mengele and Martin Bormann? Did the Bush family belong to the fraternity Skull and Bones, an offshoot of a German cult claiming Hitler as a member, later imported to the Yale campus? Prescott Bush, grandfather to George HW Bush and great grandfather to George W. Bush was a founding member of this sick and demented cult, as was his son and grandson. Skull and Bones rituals include Sexually Deviate acts and are steeped in Fascist & Nazi Un-American activities.<sup>53</sup> Many members of this Fascist group back in the 30's and 40's were central in the "The Business Plot"<sup>54</sup> an attempt to overthrow the United States and murder FDR to align this Country with the Fascists and Nazis. Many of these UnPatriotic Pigs were investigated by The House Committee on Un-American Activities (HCUA) or House Un-American Activities Committee (HUAC).<sup>55</sup>

From a 1950's speech, by Robert Welch,

---

<sup>52</sup> "Deathbed confessions, photos support claims that George H. Scherf(f), Jr., was the 41st U.S. president" Idaho Observer April 2007  
<http://proliberty.com/observer/20070405.htm>

<sup>53</sup> "Prescott Bush, From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia"  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prescott\\_Bush](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prescott_Bush)

<sup>54</sup> "THE PLOT TO SEIZE THE WHITE HOUSE" by Jules Archer, HAWTHORN BOOKS, INC. PUBLISHERS / New York, 1973  
<http://www.wanttoknow.info/plottoseizethewhitehouse>

<sup>55</sup> Wikipedia on Un-American Activities Committee  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House\\_Un-American\\_Activities\\_Committee](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House_Un-American_Activities_Committee)

“A part of that plan, of course, is to induce the gradual surrender of American sovereignty [TREASON] piece-by-piece and step-by-step to various international organizations of which the United Nations is the outstanding but far from the only example....Here are the aims for the United States:

- 1) Greatly expanded government spending for every conceivable means for getting rid of ever larger sums of American money as wastefully as possible;
- 2) Higher and then much higher taxes;
- 3) An increasingly unbalanced budget despite the higher taxes;
- 4) Wild inflation of our currency;
- 5) Government controls of prices, wages and materials supposedly to combat inflation;
- 6) Greatly increased socialistic controls over every operation of our economy and every activity of our daily lives. This is to be accompanied naturally and automatically by a correspondingly huge increase in the size of our bureaucracy, and in both the costs and reach of our domestic government;
- 7) Far more centralization of power in Washington and the practical elimination of our State lines. There is a many faceted drive at work to have our State lines eventually mean no more within the nation than our county lines do now within the States;
- 8) A steady advance of federal aid to and control over our educational system leading to complete federalization of our public education;
- 9) A constant hammering into the American consciousness of the horror of modern warfare...the absolute necessity of peace, peace always, on communist terms of course; and
- 10) The consequent willingness of the American people to allow the steps of appeasement by our government which amount to a piece meal surrender of the rest of the free world and of the United States itself.”<sup>56</sup>

From a speech by Libertarian Candidate Stan Jones, in a Senatorial Campaign speech,

Stan Jones: I wish to thank the sponsors for inviting me; I don't often get invited. This was an important debate. I had planned another closing message, but I feel compelled to say what I'm about to say.

---

<sup>56</sup> “Right Before Our Eyes! There Should Be No Doubt After You Watch This Shocking Video! Mind blowing speech by Robert Welch in 1958 predicting Insiders plans to destroy America” Posted Knowing on April 27, 2011 <http://www.foreclosurehamlet.org/profiles/blogs/right-before-our-eyes-there>

Now, I risk sounding like a conspiracy theorist, but it's no longer a theory. What I'm about to say is fact.

The secret organizations of the world power elite are no longer secret. They have planned and are now leading us into a one world communist government...

The combining of national governments started with the European Union. That union started with trade agreements, then a common currency- the euro....

Now it's North America's turn. Building on the North American Free Trade Agreement, the NAFTA section of the commerce department is busy drafting laws and regulations for a North American Union-- a union of Canada, America and Mexico. The president has attended secret meetings and signed at least two agreements under the Security and Prosperity Partnership program...

Information leaked out about the meetings and now it is all out in the open. No treaty has been signed, so Congress has not become involved. However, money from our treasury is now being spent for this effort. We will have a new currency-- the Amero-- and a new constitution modeled on the Soviet Union's constitution.

Our rights will not be inalienable, but will be granted by government who can also take them away. One sign that this is our future is the plans for the superhighways from southern Mexico through America and into Canada. These plans are not secret any longer.

Huge amounts of property will be taken in the name of "free trade", "peace" and "security"...

You will not be able to move about freely. This is terrorism of the worst kind-- brought on you by our own government. The strongest, freest nation in the history of mankind will be averaged into world communism. Is that what you want? Are we, the people, still in control of this nation? We must begin to act like we are!<sup>57</sup>

---

<sup>57</sup> "Libertarian candidate Stan Jones points out American Union, Plans for Chips, Trackers and Control by Gov't"  
Jones Report | October 10, 2006

Stan Jones is running for Senate in Montana as a Libertarian. He lays out the extent to which Clinton, through NAFTA, and George W. Bush, through the expansion of NAFTA and the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), have sold out our country to world governance.

The candidate expressed being compelled to change in his planned remarks to point out the secret plan to lead us into One World Government through a North American Union with a common currency (the Amero), as well as the

The Bush Family Ties to Nazis and their ties to Nazi sympathetic Law Firms led to charges of Treason & Trading with the Enemy (the Nazis) against Prescott Bush and Seizure of his Properties under Trading with the Enemy Act by J. Edgar Hoover.<sup>58and59and60</sup>

"Some Americans were just bigots and made their connections to Germany through Allen Dulles's firm of Sullivan and Cromwell because they supported Fascism. The Dulles brothers, who were in it for profit more than ideology, arranged American investments in Nazi Germany in the 1930s to ensure that their clients did well out of the German economic recovery. . . . "Once the government had its hands on Bush's books, the whole story of the intricate web of Nazi front corporations began to unravel. A few days later two of Union Banking's subsidiaries -- the Holland American Trading Corporation and the Seamless Steel Equipment Corporation -- also

---

related plan to build a superhighway throughout the continent. This is linked with a compulsory National ID tracked by a radio-frequency chip.

[http://www.jonesreport.com/articles/111006\\_stan\\_jones.html](http://www.jonesreport.com/articles/111006_stan_jones.html)

and

“Truth! Stan Jones' Speech About New World Order & North American Union”

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O9-FuCyl588>

and

Iviewit Letter “Re: Senate Cult Bill for Your Consideration” to Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton addressing Treasonous Cults in the US Government and Proposed Legislation to Stop it. Iviewit has yet to receive a response from Hillary Clinton, who is a cult member in several of the Un-American Cults complained about, ie Bilderbergs, CFR and more. October 17, 2007 -

[www.iviewit.tv/senatecultbill.htm](http://www.iviewit.tv/senatecultbill.htm) and

<http://iviewit.tv/bodyold20080402.htm>

and

“Want your mind BLOWN? Watch this video! OBAMA ANSWERS to the VATICAN!” Uploaded by TruthTVMichigan on Apr 14, 2011, YOUTUBE (truly ELIOTTUBE)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rd1Twnoq-Dw> (Grab some Popcorn and the kids & educate yourself)

<sup>58</sup> “Documents: Bush's Grandfather Directed Bank Tied to Man Who Funded Hitler - President Bush's grandfather was a director of a bank seized by the federal government because of its ties to a German industrialist who helped bankroll Adolf Hitler's rise to power, government documents show.” Friday, October 17, 2003

<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,100474,00.html>

<sup>59</sup> [www.tenc.net](http://www.tenc.net) [Emperor's Clothes] “Nazis in the Attic” Part 6 By Randy Davis

<http://emperors-clothes.com/articles/andy/swas5.htm>

60

were seized. Then the government went after the Harriman Fifteen Holding Company, which Bush shared with his father-in-law, Bert Walker, the Hamburg-Amerika Line, and the Silesian-American Corporation. The U.S. government found that huge sections of Prescott Bush's empire had been operated on behalf of Nazi Germany and had greatly assisted the German war effort." (1)

Well, there goes my education and texts, where were the texts on the Bush Family Fascist Nazi UnAmerican Trading with the Enemy Hitler connections or Kennedy Assassination connections with the Bush Criminal Cartel Syndicate? All buried history rewritten with brute force and replaced with bullshit about these criminals, history as my generation was taught, a complete lie. I want a refund from my Colleges or I will sue when law and order returns. There goes the history that America was not involved in World War II prior to Pearl Harbor, in fact, we find these Fascist Nazi Sympathizers may be the true funding source leading to Hitler's rise. When uncovering the truth of our history we instead find a group of AMERICANS THAT REALLY ARE, UN-AMERICAN, FASCIST, NAZI FRY LOVERS and TRADERS WITH THE ENEMY HITLER, the real FUNDERS OF THE NAZIS. These men, including Bush family members, many now inside today's government their families dark and nefarious pasts cleverly hidden, were instead LAPEL PIN PATRIOTS, SELLOUTS OF THE CONSTITUTION, TREASONOUS TRAITORS whose ASSETS were SEIZED for directly FUNDING HITLER with CASH and SUPPLIES and other HORRORS. Horrors including the studies done by the horripilating Josef Rudolf Mengele, also known as the Angel of Death, Mengele, pre-Hitler, funded by the Rockefellers and Carnegies and others, prior to Hitler's Coup D'Etat on the Good People and Nation of Germany.

Mr. Black is the author of IBM and the Holocaust and the just released War Against the Weak: Eugenics and America's Campaign to Create a Master Race, from which the following article is drawn.

Hitler and his henchmen victimized an entire continent and exterminated millions in his quest for a co-called "Master Race."

But the concept of a white, blond-haired, blue-eyed master Nordic race didn't originate with Hitler. The idea was created in the United States, and cultivated in California, decades before Hitler came to power. California eugenicists played an important, although little

known, role in the American eugenics movement's campaign for ethnic cleansing.<sup>61</sup>

So was it a group of Fascist Un-American's that J. Edgar Hoover uncovered that truly financed Hitler's rise and World War II, this definitely was skipped in history class. A group of Sellout Fascist Nazi Sympathizers, some, including Prescott Bush actually were tried and convicted for alliances with the worst of the Nazis, alliances that funded the Nazi War effort at the expense and death of our PATRIOTIC SOLDIERS and over one hundred million other victims. These Fascist faux Americans were comprised of a large group of Fascist Businessman who evaded trial in the United States for the business plot and somehow derailed investigations into their UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES, a big mistake for our Country. The failure to prosecute then has led to them to reform deep in secret cults and slowly begin a Coup on the United States and certain foreign nations, until we have the Fourth Reich here in America today?

What we do know with certainty now is there is a certain set of families that for several generations in the United States have operated against the PEOPLE to destroy our Democratic Republic, working within secretive and subversive cults to destroy our sovereignty. These Traitorous families from WWII and even before, appear to have been operating since WWII in secret to subvert our nation in the halls of our Ivy League campuses, yet recently their cults and secrets are exposed and their hidden crimes are emerging and their current crimes and plan for world domination of a few are now in full swing. What we find from the exposure of their pasts is that behind today's ECONOMIC CRIMES and WAR CRIMES are these very same bloodlines from the "Business Plot" that should have been exterminated for Treason back then, not allowed to continue with business as usual, gaining ever-increasing power over our government. There is a major difference this time around, as now the Criminal Cartel is CAUGHT red-handed in a plethora of WAR and ECONOMIC CRIMES, with absolute evidence against them of their crimes and calls for their TRIALS are worldwide. Now that the Genie is out of the bottle and their dirty secrets and crimes are exposed, our leaders look like the Emperor in "The Emperor's New Clothes." Their actions and attitudes are steeped in delusions of Grandeur, blind to the PEOPLE'S RAGE, those 99%'ers who are beating down the walls of Justice demanding the CRIMINALS be tried for their TREASON, WAR CRIMES AND ECONOMIC TERRORISM and who WANT BACK EVERY LAST CENT STOLEN, with a hanging following.

The Business Plot and Un-American Activity Coupsters relevant to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, include but are not limited to, Joseph Proskauer (was JP Morgan's stooge in the Business Plot),<sup>62</sup> JP Morgan and Deutsche Bank / Bankers Trust. We find that after the

---

<sup>61</sup> "The Horrifying American Roots of Nazi Eugenics" By Edwin Black  
<http://hnn.us/articles/1796.html>

<sup>62</sup> "The Nazi Hydra in America: Suppressed History of a Century" By Glen Yeadon, John Hawkins

Business Plot, the Bush family and their Fascist friends had a hand in, the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy (George HW Bush was head of the CIA at the time), the Attempted Assassination of Ronald Reagan, The Saving & Loan Crimes (Bush Associations<sup>63</sup>) and the overthrow of the United States Government in the TREASONOUS Bush v. Gore decision, all in attempts to establish a New World DisOrder.<sup>64</sup>

Today's crimes by these families and their efforts to again overthrow our government can be referred to as "The Business Plot II<sup>®</sup>", which started after the failed "Business Plot" and culminated with the Treasonous Anointment of the George W. Bush Administration through ELECTION FRAUD. In this act of TREASON, the country and the will of the PEOPLE were lost, the Peoples rights being chipped away daily since. The motive, a Coup D'état on the United States Government to hold off investigations and arrests of leading law firms caught in the Iviewit Trillion Dollar technology theft, tentacles to Enron Broadband and the collapse of Enron, to Election Fraud and the Politicization of the Department of Justice by the Gonzales regime. As stated in Scheindlin's August 08, 2008 Order,

This action presents a dramatic story of intrigue, car bombing, conspiracy, video technology, and murder. In short, plaintiffs allege that hundreds of defendants engaged in a massive conspiracy to violate their civil rights and, in the process, contributed to the Enron bankruptcy and the presidency of George W. Bush.

---

This book is about the culpability of those justices who hijacked Election 2000 by distorting the law, violating their own expressed

---

[http://books.google.com/books?id=vh7sx2xtjGEC&pg=PA131&lpg=PA131&dq=business+plot+joseph+proskauer&source=bl&ots=DOWUFCfomn&sig=O3o8RZRtW\\_VJveQWXsb9I0kejNU&hl=en&ei=paJTTpq0D8WWtweSh4HFBO&sa=X&oi=book\\_result&ct=result&resnum=1&ved=0CBkQ6AEwADgK#v=onepage&q=business%20plot%20joseph%20proskauer&f=false](http://books.google.com/books?id=vh7sx2xtjGEC&pg=PA131&lpg=PA131&dq=business+plot+joseph+proskauer&source=bl&ots=DOWUFCfomn&sig=O3o8RZRtW_VJveQWXsb9I0kejNU&hl=en&ei=paJTTpq0D8WWtweSh4HFBO&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=1&ved=0CBkQ6AEwADgK#v=onepage&q=business%20plot%20joseph%20proskauer&f=false) Page 131

<sup>63</sup>“The Bush family and the S&L Scandal”, rationalrevolution.net  
[http://rationalrevolution.net/war/bush\\_family\\_and\\_the\\_s.htm](http://rationalrevolution.net/war/bush_family_and_the_s.htm) and <http://rationalrevolution.net/war/index.htm>

<sup>64</sup> “Welcome To The New World Order (FULL LENGTH FILM)”  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gty42YkcSeQ&feature=related>

and

“The CIA revealed as the Gestapo of the Vatican’s Fourth Reich.” By Paul W. Kincaid, PRESS Core.ca.  
<http://presscore.ca/2011/?p=4871>

and

THRIVE – The Movie, by Foster and Kimberly Gamble, Clear Compass Media  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oI2LGmZ\\_EP4](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oI2LGmZ_EP4)  
<http://thrivemovement.com>

principles, and using their own robes to bring about a partisan result. I accuse them of failing what I call the shoe-on-the-other-foot test: I believe that they would not have stopped a hand recount if George W. Bush had been seeking it. This is an extremely serious charge, because deciding a case on the basis of the identity of the litigants is a fundamental violation of the judicial oath, to “administer justice without respect to persons”...In this book, I marshal the evidence in support of this charge...

and

Vincent Bugliosi, the generally moderate former prosecutor known for securing the conviction of the Charles Manson gang, used even stronger language, accusing the Supreme Court's 'brazen, shameless majority' of being a knowing surrogate for the Republican Party instead of being an impartial arbiter of the law.' He characterized these justices as 'criminals in the truest sense of the word' and described their opinion as 'fraudulent.' [Thus a fraudulent President and successors and all appointees.] My Harvard Law School colleague Randall Kennedy called the Supreme Court's intervention 'a scandal' and its decision outrageous. He accused the Court of acting 'in bad faith and with partisan prejudice' and concluded that the high court is 'unworthy of deference.' Scott Turow said the decision was 'the most overtly politicized action by a court that I have seen in 22 years of practicing law' and labeled it 'an act of judicial lawlessness.' Professor Bruce Ackerman of Yale Law School accuse the majority of 'vulgar partisanship.'" [Dershwoitz pg 175]"<sup>65</sup>

The Election Fraud was aided and abetted by Bush's First Cousin at Fox News, John Prescott Ellis,

The individual responsible for recommending that Fox call Florida for Bush was John Ellis, who led the network's decision desk. Ellis was not a disinterested party in the presidential election, but the first cousin of the Republican candidate and his brother, Florida Governor Jeb Bush. Details emerging since Election Day

---

<sup>65</sup> “Supreme Injustice, how the High Court Hijacked Election 2000” by Alan Dershowitz – Oxford University Press 2001.

<http://books.google.com/books?id=eVqdJks5Op0C&lpg=PP1&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false>



concerning Ellis's role in the network's decision to call Florida for Bush raise serious questions as to whether his actions and Fox News's complicity constituted not only a violation of the democratic rights of the electorate, but a criminal conspiracy.<sup>66and67</sup>

The Presidency of George W. Bush was illegally decided through ELECTION RIGGING constituting TREASON against the PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES. If proven TRUE when tried in a fair and impartial court, all ANOINTMENTS & APPOINTMENTS in Government from that point forward, from the SUPREME COURT'S TREASONOUS ELECTION FRAUD would be invalidated and all Court Jesters, Prosecutors, Regulators, etc. who were ILLEGALLY anointed by Bush and now Falsely Elected President Barrack Hussein Obama II would be invalidated instantly. Plaintiff wonders how many Bush Anointed Justices in this Court are handling this Lawsuit, despite knowing the claims of Plaintiff against Bush as outlined in Scheindlin's August 08, 2008 Dismissal. Obama is merely another Coupster with ties to the Bush/Cheney clan of Criminals, as quoted in the Washington Post,

**Obama's Eight Degrees of Dick Cheney - Vice President  
Cheney is related to Sen. Barack Obama**

---

<sup>66</sup> "Elements of a conspiracy - How Bush's man at Fox News worked to shape the outcome of the US election" By Kate Randall 17 November 2000  
<http://www.wsws.org/articles/2000/nov2000/fox-n17.shtml> and [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John\\_Prescott\\_Ellis](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Prescott_Ellis)

<sup>67</sup> "REINING IN THE IMPERIAL PRESIDENCY - Lessons and Recommendations Relating to the Presidency of George W. Bush" by United States House of Representatives ~ House Committee on the Judiciary Majority Staff Report to Chairman John Conyers, Jr., January 13, 2009  
<http://judiciary.house.gov/hearings/printers/110th/IPres090113.pdf>

and

"Articles of Impeachment – President George W. Bush - H. Res. 1258, 110th Cong. (2008). Congressmen Dennis Kucinich and Robert Wexler June 10, 2008  
<http://chun.afterdowningstreet.org/amomentoftruth.pdf>

and

"Dennis Kucinich Documents Grounds for Impeachment of Bush & Cheney"  
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6265058101839429571#> - Part 1  
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1857978401494382897#> - Part 2  
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-785946969577220461#> - Part 3  
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=442901163793389423#> - Part 4

Dennis Kucinich on War Crimes in 2011 ILLEGAL WAR OF AGGRESSION LIBYA  
"Kucinich, Interview, Obama Libya War Violates Constitution and UN Resolution, Libya"  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bji4XY6GtzA>

At least that was the stunning announcement made yesterday by Lynne Cheney, who said that the very white vice president from Wyoming is in fact the eighth cousin of Obama, the Senate's only African American [more correctly 50% African American & 50% Caucasian] member. She said she discovered the link, traced back to a Huguenot who figured prominently in Maryland history, while researching her latest book.

But his campaign made light of the tie, without confirming it. "Obviously, Dick Cheney is the black sheep of the family," Obama spokesman Bill Burton said.<sup>68and69</sup>

After the Bush v. Gore ELECTION FRAUD, upon leaving the Supreme Court, Sandra Day O'Connor suddenly took early retirement from the bench for the unheard of reason of taking care of a spouse, normally Justices are removed after death. The consenting vote of O'Connor in Bush v. Gore ELECTION FRAUD remains part of the TREASON, yet at her first public speech off the bench at Georgetown University she warned of Corruption perverting the Judicial branch and courts. From the Houston Chronicle,

**“SUCH JUDICIAL BULLYING, O'CONNOR POINTED OUT, IS HOW DICTATORS THRIVE IN FORMER COMMUNIST AND THIRD WORLD COUNTRIES. SHE REPORTEDLY ADDED, "IT TAKES A LOT OF DEGENERATION BEFORE A COUNTRY FALLS INTO DICTATORSHIP, BUT WE SHOULD AVOID THESE ENDS BY AVOIDING THESE BEGINNINGS.”<sup>70</sup>**

Understanding the Coup D'état in our country is the key to understanding why Plaintiffs have not been able to enjoy the royalties from their world changing inventions and why others, their formerly “trusted” Attorneys at Law, instead are found lavishing themselves in the stolen money free of prosecution. Plaintiff appears to have no legal rights to pursue stolen property rights in either the courts or with criminal prosecutors as the Coupsters overtook both and have

---

<sup>68</sup> “Obama's Eight Degrees of Dick Cheney - Vice President Cheney is related to Sen. Barack Obama.” By Anne E. Kornblut, The Washington Post Company, October 16, 2007  
[http://voices.washingtonpost.com/44/2007/10/16/obamas\\_eight\\_degrees\\_of\\_dick\\_c.html](http://voices.washingtonpost.com/44/2007/10/16/obamas_eight_degrees_of_dick_c.html)

<sup>69</sup> “THIS JUST IN . . .Obama and Cheney, Making Connections” by Anne E. Kornblut - The Washington Post, Wednesday, October 17, 2007  
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/10/16/AR2007101602362.html>

<sup>70</sup> “Judicious temperament: Retired Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor speaks up against political attacks on courts.” Houston Chronicle, Published Friday, March 17, 2006  
<http://www.chron.com/opinion/editorials/article/Judicious-temperament-Retired-Supreme-Court-1525680.php>

completely denied a single ounce of due process. For over a decade Plaintiff has provided more than ample evidence of the theft of the properties to authorities, has caught numerous leading PUBLIC OFFICIALS breaking laws, has provided the information and evidence to the proper authorities, including this Court, and yet NOTHING is done. Plaintiff has not had a single day in court, in violation of basic CONSTITUTIONAL rights of due process.

Plaintiff reminds the Members of THIS COURT OF INJUSTICE that **THERE IS NO IMMUNITY FOR CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY JUSTICES OR MEMBERS OF THE COURTS OR ANY PERSON OR ENTITY. NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW, DESPITE YOUR CONTINUED EFFORTS TO SHIELD YOUR FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS USING RIDICULOUS IMMUNITY CLAIMS OR TRYING TO REWRITE LAWS, INCLUDING MAKING JUS COGENS LEGAL IN ORDER TO LEGALIZE YOUR CRIMES, YET AS THE WORLD LOOKS ON AWAKE TO YOUR TREASONS, KNOW THAT JUSTICE SOON AWAITS YOU.**

In light of the information above, Plaintiff starts this Motion in **HONOR, A TIP OF THE HAT TO THE TRUE PATRIOTS NAMED HEREIN AND THEIR HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING EFFORTS TO BLOW THE LID OFF ONE OF THE LARGEST CORRUPTION STORIES OF ALL TIME. A CORRUPTION STORY THAT PLACES MEMBERS OF THIS COURT SMACK-DAB IN THE CENTER OF WORLD MARKET RIGGING SCANDALS, ECONOMIC TERRORISM AND MORE, A ROOT OF THE PROBLEM.**

- II. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ( THIS COURT ) WHOM HAVE CURRENTLY ACTED IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ANYWAY WHATSOEVER, FOR THEIR PART IN AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD ON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS AND MORE PRIOR TO ACTING ON FURTHER ON THIS MOTION**

This Court and the members of this Court who have acted illegally in this Lawsuit thus far, are violating, Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Federal and State Law and are already reported to Federal and State Criminal Authorities for Felony Criminal Acts relating to their actions/inactions in this RICO Lawsuit. Plaintiff now patiently awaits the results and conclusions of ALL ongoing Federal and State investigations, prior to recognizing any authority of this Court, including any past or future opinions, orders, etc., until a Conflict Free Forum can be instituted, which again imparts fair and impartial due process of law to Plaintiff's Brief<sup>71</sup> and

---

<sup>71</sup> February 27, 2009 Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Brief filed with this Court.

filings in this RICO Lawsuit. In the Plaintiff's prior motions and again herein, Plaintiff has requested appointment of a special prosecutor, also known as an independent counsel to oversight the illegal activities of this Court. Under the Ethics in Government Act the defendant may ask for the appointment of an Independent Counsel (See: 28 USC §§591-594 and see also: Fernandez, supra., 913 F.2d 148).

Plaintiff thanks the Justices and other Members of this Court, who have again FINGERPRINTED themselves committing continued crimes in this Lawsuit through further Orders and Rulings, each cause for filing further CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS. This Motion, upon filing with this Court, will simultaneously be filed with both Federal and State Agencies and serve as basis for additional investigation of this Court, based on the wholly new and relevant evidence cited herein. Again, the Court acts ILLEGALLY to dismiss this Lawsuit through CONTINUED FELONY CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and FRAUD ON THE COURT in VIOLATION of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law. The court officials from this Court and the US District Court who have participated in the crimes by illegally obstructing Plaintiff's rights in virtually every single filing, include but are not limited to, the less than honorable judges; Ralph K. Winter, Jr. Esq., Debra Ann Livingston, Esq., Peter W. Hall, Esq., and Richard C. Wesley, Esq. Additional Members of the courts who have already acted illegally in these matters, include but are not limited to, Defendant/Witness Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe (Clerk of this Court) whom quite conflicted remains handling this RICO Lawsuit and the legally related lawsuits while a Defendant in Anderson's Lawsuit and this RICO Lawsuit. Wolfe acts in this conflicted capacity despite the fact that Wolfe is BOTH a DEFENDANT AND WITNESS in this RICO & ANTITRUST lawsuit and the legally related Anderson WHISTLEBLOWER lawsuit. Other Members of the courts who have participated in the criminal activities, include but are not limited to, Joy Fallek (Administrative Attorney), Catherine J. Minuse (Supervisory Staff Attorney), Atasha Joseph (Deputy Clerk), Deborah Holmes (Deputy Clerk), Judy Pisanant (Motions Staff Attorney), and Franklin Perez, (Title Not Known).

Each of the courts officials named above can take this Motion as additional Official Notice that each of YOU will be added to the list of Defendants in any Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Amended Complaint of this RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit and included in all future Criminal and Civil actions filed. Your names will be included as Defendants in all future Lawsuits filed by Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein, including but not limited to, patent, trademark and copyright lawsuits, and each of those named will be added to all ONGOING and FUTURE CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS regarding the Iviewit nexus of events. To each named Court Official, please

---

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090227%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20BRIEF%20USCA%202nd%20Circ%2013988.doc%20ll.pdf>

take this Motion as simultaneous NOTICE and SERVICE of the Criminal and Civil Complaints against you.

Criminal charges already have been filed against Justices and Officials of this Court and it will be shown further herein that those Criminal Complaints filed with the New York Attorney General's Office have not even been investigated at this time due to ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND NEW CRIMINAL ACTS. No investigations into the complaints against the Members of this Court by DEFENDANT and OPPOSING COUNSEL in this Lawsuit, the New York Attorney General have begun, quite outside of Procedural Law, due to an ADMITTED DIZZYING ARRAY OF VIOLATIONS OF LAW by the Attorney General's Office. With the administration change from the New York Attorney General Andrew Cuomo to the current Eric T. Schneiderman's Administration, major Conflicts of Interest were discovered and ADMITTED TO by the new Schneiderman Administration and by members of the Cuomo Administration. The New York Attorney General both Admitted and Acknowledged Conflict of Interests in ALL Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein matters both Civil and Criminal and then requested time to obtain NON CONFLICTED OUTSIDE COUNSEL to represent themselves in this RICO Lawsuit and the Criminal Complaints filed against members of the AG office.

THESE NEW AND SHOCKING ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST and DISQUALIFICATION/RECUSAL OF INVOLVEMENT AS COUNSEL in this RICO Lawsuit and in handling the Criminal Complaints filed at the Attorney General's offices, including but not limited to, those lodged against members of this Court, is a game changer in this RICO Lawsuit. The Admission of the Conflicts, Withdrawal from Representation in this Lawsuit and Withdrawal from handling CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS by the new Schneiderman Administration invalidates all prior filings by ALL Defendants in this Lawsuit whom the AG represented illegally. Prior filings in this Court by Defendant the New York Attorney General on behalf of their State Defendant clients serve as Prima Facie evidence for Criminal Investigators of, Fraud on the Court by Officials of the Court, Obstruction of Justice, Violations of Public Office Rules and Regulations and State and Federal Law. The Admission of Conflicts and other illegal activities demand IMMEDIATE rehearing of this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit and ALL OF THE LEGALLY RELATED LAWSUITS, free of the plethora of Ongoing Conflicts of Interest, free of the continued Fraud on the Courts and free of Criminal Misconduct in the Court by those in charge of the courts.

The new Attorney General Schneiderman has now withdrawn as counsel to the New York State Defendants in these matters, including their office and members of their office who are Defendants in this Lawsuit, as indicated in the taped telephone conversations exhibited herein and included in entirety by reference herein. The New York Attorney General's representation

has been illegal from the start due to the Conflicts of Interest that Obstructed Fair and Impartial Due Process and thus Plaintiff demands a rehearing FREE OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST.<sup>72</sup>

The ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED conflicts forced the ATTORNEY GENERAL to declare that due to the PAST and ONGOING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST with both the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS and this RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, their offices are currently SEEKING INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED OUTSIDE COUNSEL to represent their office and the members of their offices sued as Defendants in this Lawsuit. The AG stated that they need to turn over all CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS, naming their offices and members of this Court, to NON-CONFLICTED parties for investigations as they are conflicted out. Therefore, the STATE DEFENDANTS REPRESENTED ILLEGALLY THROUGHOUT

---

<sup>72</sup> “**ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL IN HANDLING CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST ANDREW CUOMO AND STEVEN M. COHEN ET AL. / PHONE CALL ON APRIL 14, 2011 WITH JAMES ROGERS ON BEHALF OF HARLAN LEVY REFERRED BY STEVEN MICHAEL COHEN, CHIEF OF STAFF TO GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO, REGARDING FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, FORMER ATTORNEY GENERAL ANDREW CUOMO, STEVEN MICHAEL COHEN, SECRETARY TO GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO AND MONICA CONNELL OF THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ET AL.**” FILED MAY 20, 2011

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20110520%20FINAL%20NY%20AG%20ADMITTED%20CONFLICT%20OF%20INTEREST%20and%20CRIMINAL%20COMPLAINTS%20CUOMO%20and%20COHEN.pdf> incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

and

**IVIEWIT CALLS TO NY GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO, EMILY COLE, STEPHEN M COHEN, RE: CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS. NY ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC SCHNEIDERMAN ADMISSION OF CONFLICTS AND NEED FOR NY AG TO SEEK INDEPENDENT COUNSEL IN ALL IVIEWIT MATTERS.**

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFIEIp6E> incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

and

New York Governor Andrew Cuomo's "Right Hand Man" Steven M. Cohen Flees Sinking Ship Amidst Iviewit's Rico & Antitrust Lawsuit, AG Eric Schneiderman Office Admits Conflict of Interest with Iviewit, September 24, 2011. Cohen Returns to Private Sector in wake of Iviewit Criminal Complaints against Cuomo and himself. <http://www.free-press-release.com/news-new-york-governor-andrew-cuomo-s-right-hand-man-steven-m-cohen-flees-sinking-ship-amidst-iviewit-s-rico-antitrust-lawsuit-ag-eric-schneiderman-o-1316880094.html> incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

and

June 13, 2009 Letter to NYAG Chief of Staff Steven Cohen Regarding Conflict of Interest <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090613%20FINAL%20NYAG%20Steven%20Cohen%20Letter%20signed%20low.pdf>

THIS LAWSUIT BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL IN BOTH A PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL CAPACITY, ALL NOW NEED TO SEEK INDEPENDENT COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THEM FURTHER in this Lawsuit, one for personal representation and separate and distinct counsel to represent them in their official capacity.

Plaintiff demands that all past submissions tendered illegally and in conflict by the Attorney General's office on behalf of any parties they illegally represented in these matters, including on behalf of their office as Defendant in these matters, now are stricken from the record. Plaintiff demands that this court turn over all such prima facie evidence and admissions to the appropriate Federal and State Authorities for investigation of the Attorney General officials who have acted in these matters prior to the Schneiderman administration, as these acts impart a host of federal and state criminal activity. Similarly, this Court, having full knowledge of these Conflicts and Illegal legal representations has aided and abetted this farce, constituting Fraud on this Court by all who allowed knowingly such illegal legal representations and Fraud on the Court. The new NON CONFLICTED COURT OFFICIALS HEARING THIS MOTION, must now report to the proper authorities, the PRIOR COURT OFFICIALS and STATE OFFICIALS, including but not limited to the New York Attorney General and the State Defendants that benefited from the illegal legal representations and whom all misused Public Funds for personal legal defense fees. Plaintiff demands that this Court turn these matters over for IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION of the new Criminal violations caused by the Conflicts, including but not limited to, Felony Aiding and Abetting, Fraud on the Court, Federal Obstruction of Justice, Misuse of State Funds for illegal legal representations, RICO crimes as defined in the Amended Complaint and more.

THE FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST MEMBERS OF THIS COURT pending at the New York Attorney General's Office REMAIN PENDING AND AWAIT AN INDEPENDENT NON-CONFLICTED PROSECUTORS TO INVESTIGATE THE MEMBERS OF THIS COURT who acted to subterfuge the complaints through violations of Public Office Rules and Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and Federal and State law. Plaintiff awaits responses from the Attorney General and other investigatory agencies notified, with how and who will handle the criminal complaints against Members of this Court and others involved in the crimes in this Court, now that the NY Attorney General has conflicted out.

ANY PAST and FUTURE ORDERS, RULINGS, etc. made by members of the courts while these investigations are pending are PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE of further criminal misconduct, especially by parties who acted without first signing the Conflict of Interest Disclosure which has been requested over and over and over again. The Attorney General obviously and admittedly has derailed investigations, including those against Members of this Court, through Conflicts of Interest that OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and DENY DUE PROCESS to this Lawsuit and the Criminal Complaints. The Members of this Court accused of these crimes have benefited from such VIOLATIONS OF LAW AND ETHIC RULES and therefore ALL

PRIOR MEMBERS of this COURT must IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY THEMSELVES and the NEW JUSTICES must first off REPORT the PRIOR MEMBERS for investigation for their alleged crimes as legally obligated, before continuing further.

Members of this Court who have previously handled this Lawsuit without full disclosure of all Conflicts of Interest and have acted criminally to aid and abet the Fraud on the Courts and are now legally obligated to **IMMEDIATELY REPORT YOUR PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES RESULTING FROM INCLUSION IN THIS LAWSUIT AND THE CRIMINAL AND ETHICAL COMPLAINTS FILED AGAINST YOU TO ANY PARTY WITH LIABILITIES FROM YOUR ACTIONS. REPORTING THE LIABILITIES TO ALL PERSONAL & PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY CARRIERS, STATE AUDITORS, BOND HOLDERS AND ANY OTHER PARTY YOU ARE LEGALLY OBLIGATED TO REPORT LAWSUIT LIABILITIES AND LIABILITIES FROM CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED AGAINST YOU TO. ADDITIONALLY YOU MUST NOTICE EACH PARTY WITH LIABILITY FROM YOUR ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES THAT OFFICIAL NOTICE HAS BEEN SERVED UPON YOU AND DOCKETED IN THE COURT RECORD OF THIS LAWSUIT, REPEATEDLY.**

Member of this Court, Franklin Perez, deserves special attention in these matters regarding Fraud on the Court, as he appears an alleged illegal signor on ILLEGAL AND FRAUDULENT COURT ORDERS,<sup>73</sup> possibly including those tendered in this Lawsuit, including the FRAUDULENT & ILLEGAL DISMISSAL of this Lawsuit by this Court, which he signed. On information and belief, Mr. Perez was a NON-ATTORNEY Operations Analyst ([EXHIBIT 3](#)), at the time he signed an alleged FRAUDULENT ORDER in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Further, on information and belief, the ILLEGAL ORDER issued by Perez was issued on a date when this Lawsuit was neither on the docket for that date and the signing Justices were not in Court. All arguments and assertions in Exhibit 3 that are applicable to this Lawsuit regarding Mr. Perez's illegal actions in signing FALSIFIED AND FRAUDULENT DISMISSAL ORDERS, are hereby incorporated in entirety by reference herein.

Additional PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE of Members of this Court's illegal activities exists as well, as it relates to Members of THIS COURT failing to act according to well-established MISPRISION OF FELONY laws. Members of this Court and the US District Court are now fully cognizant of the CREDIBLE CLAIMS OF FELONY CRIMES, by CREDIBLE WITNESSES, including WHISTLEBLOWER testimony from members of the Court System, including but not limited to, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON, ESQ., NICOLE CORRADO, ESQ., and JUSTICE DUANE HART, ESQ. Allegations from the Whistleblower include, AIDING & ABETTING a Criminal RICO Organization inside State and Federal Offices through Obstruction

---

<sup>73</sup> Insert Link to Order Dated January 05, 2010.



of Justice, Threats on a Federal Witnesses in a Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, State and Federal Evidence Tampering, Felony Sexual Misconduct in the Supreme Court of New York's Ethics Department, Fraud on the Court and more. Once each Member of the Court possessed knowledge of felony criminal activity, they instantly had legal obligations to report the matters for immediate investigations to all proper authorities. Based on Anderson's FELONY allegations against State and Federal Agencies, the authorities that would need to be noticed of the crimes based on her testimony, include but are not limited to, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice, the United States Attorney General, the Inspector General for the New York Attorney General Office, the Inspector General for the District Attorney Office and State and Federal Law Enforcement. Instead, the COVER-UP instantly began by MEMBERS OF THIS COURT levied against Senior Ranking Public Officials and Court Officials. Crime after crime ad nauseum has occurred illegally to derail and dismiss the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit, this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, Criminal Complaints and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits to Anderson, prior to obligatory investigations.

The Cover-Up acts provide further PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF FELONY MISCONDUCT evidenced in the failure of THIS COURT to,

1. REPORT THE ALLEGATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE CORRUPTION & DEMAND IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATIONS OF ALL FELONY CRIMES,
2. IMMEDIATELY REMOVE ALL THOSE IDENTIFIED ACTING IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR VIOLATING LAW who have participated in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit in Violation of Law,
3. CEASE FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY MEMBERS OF THE COURT AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW IN THESE MATTERS,
4. CEASE ALL ONGOING OBSTRUCTIONS OF JUSTICE, and,
5. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES and COURT OFFICERS WHO HAVE ACTED UNLAWFULLY THUS FAR IN THESE LAWSUITS, as required by Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law and allow FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS in a CONFLICT FREE COURT by PRE-SCREENED CONFLICT FREE OFFICIALS.

The ILLEGAL and OBSTRUCTIONARY ruling to DISMISS this Lawsuit with absolutely no due process, allegedly signed illegally by Franklin Perez and Defendant Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe as Clerk, prior to allowing Plaintiff discovery in Anderson's "Legally Related" Lawsuit, prior to any investigations of the Public Officials fingered by Anderson and prior to allowing Plaintiff a single day in Court, act as further attempts to illegally subterfuge the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. This Court ruling prior to removing any of the germane Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law identified by Anderson and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits throughout the hearings and contained in the filings of each suit is both

criminal and unethical. This FRAUD ON THE COURT by failure of this Court to follow both Procedural and Substantive Law, including MISPRISION OF FELONIES BY MEMBERS OF THE COURT and OBSTRUCTION, irrefutably Aids and Abets the Criminal RICO Organization.

The Court has hurried rulings to dismiss all of the Appeals of the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, which stands as a further illegal attempt to cover-up the crimes exposed by Anderson and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits against SENIOR NEW YORK AND FEDERAL PUBLIC OFFICIALS, including Senior Ranking Members of the New York and Federal Courts. These acts all further combine to deny Plaintiff’s rights to Discovery in the Anderson case, to find out for example whom the “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” are that Anderson references in Criminal Obstruction charges in her Whistleblower Lawsuit. This Court’s failure to Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit as demanded by Plaintiff in the Motion to Compel filed with the Court,<sup>74</sup> until summoned investigators can investigate Anderson’s Felony Criminal Allegations against Members of the, US Attorney General’s Office, Members of the District Attorney Offices, Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office (under the leadership of Spitzer and Cuomo), Members of the New York Courts, unidentified “Favored Lawyers and Law Firms,” the “Cleaner” and others, stands as clear and irrefutable evidence of continued Obstruction of Justice and more. Of course, Plaintiff does not anticipate that this Court can rule in favor of Plaintiff or follow any PROCEDURAL OR SUBSTANTIVE LAW, as it would result in Members of the Court ruling against themselves and forcing themselves to serve very lengthy FEDERAL PRISON sentences for their part in the RICO, a slight CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

The Obstruction of Justice by Members of this Court acts as a phenomenon similar to a Concentration Camp Victim appealing to the Gestapo for Justice against the Camp Guards responsible for killing and torturing Camp Victims or for Justice against Hitler for his crimes, the odds of success and fair and impartial due process, nil. Therefore, this Court acts like a Nazi Court, not a UNITED STATES COURT, an accomplice to the continued crimes. Until such time that Members of this Court follow all Court Procedures, Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law, confirming they have ABSOLUTELY NO CONFLICT WITH THESE MATTERS PRIOR TO ADJUDICATING AND HAVE REMOVED ALL ELEMENTS OF FRAUD ON THE COURT, the Court has NO LEGAL VALIDITY. In fact, a truly conflict free court must be created and may now only be possible in a court represented by NON-ATTORNEYS AT LAW, a CITIZENS COURT, to hear the crimes of TREASON,

---

<sup>74</sup> “EMERGENCY MOTION TO COMPEL - HALT PROCEEDING PENDING CONFLICT RESOLUTION AND OVERSIGHT. REMOVE THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN THIS COURT THROUGH CESSATION OF VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES, PUBLIC OFFICE RULES AND REGULATIONS AND LAW. RESTORE ORDER TO THIS COURT!” September 08, 2009 Filed with United States Court of Appeals 2<sup>nd</sup> Circuit (CIRCUS).  
<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090908%20FINAL%20Emergency%20Motion%20to%20Compel%20SIGNED44948.pdf>

OBSTRUCTION, FRAUD ON THE COURT and more, which are levied herein against PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND JUSTICES OF THIS COURT. Again, I remind this Court to review the Nuremberg Judges Trial<sup>75</sup> and wherefore I have no respect for this Court that follows neither its own rules or law and again spit upon those who have so desecrated this Court and the American System of Jurisprudence and await your Judgment Day in the next Judges Trial.

This Court now has Prima Facie evidence, from **CREDIBLE EXPERT EYEWITNESS WHISTLEBLOWERS** and Knowledge of **THREATS ON FEDERAL WITNESSES** and other **FELONY CRIMES EXPOSED** and therefore has **LEGAL OBLIGATION** to the report these **FELONY CRIMES** and all the other alleged crimes exposed by Anderson and Corrado. Reporting the **FELONIES** to all proper authorities or becoming further **CULPABLE** of **FELONY CRIMES**, including but not limited to, **MISPRISION OF FELONIES, AIDING & ABETTING, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, RICO** and more. Plaintiff quotes the following from Anderson's Motion,

[http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents\\_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf](http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf)

**NOVEMBER 16, 2011 ANDERSON MOTION – US  
DISTRICT COURT**

**V. WITNESS TAMPERING – THREAT ON WITNESS IN A  
FEDERAL PROCEEDING**

“42. The Attorney General and the trial court were aware that in August of 2008, one of the plaintiff's witnesses, DDC staff attorney Nicole Corrado, was threatened.

Two days prior to her deposition testimony, state employee, and [NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE] DDC Deputy Chief Counsel, Andral N. Bratton, and who had been her immediate supervisor for approximately 5 years, confronted Corrado.

---

<sup>75</sup> The Nuremberg Trials: The Justice Trial, United States of America v. Alstötter et al. ("The Justice Case") 3 T.W.C. 1 (1948), 6 L.R.T.W.C. 1 (1948), 14 Ann. Dig. 278 (1948).

“The Justice Trial is one of the most interesting of the Nuremberg trials. The trial of sixteen defendants, members of the Reich Ministry of Justice or People's and Special Courts, raised the issue of what responsibility judges might have for enforcing grossly unjust--but arguably binding--laws.”

<http://law2.umkc.edu/faculty/projects/ftrials/nuremberg/alstoetter.htm>

43. Following Corrado's deposition testimony on August 21, 2008, Bratton's behavior toward Corrado became more harassing, troubling, frightening and threatening as he began to follow her inside and outside of the state office where they both worked. Corrado subsequently reported these serious issues to DDC chief counsel Allan Friedberg, Deputy chief Counsel Sherry Cohen, a defendant in the current proceeding, and DDC Chief Investigator Vincent Raniere - all of whom who took no required action.

#### Other Iviewit News

"Another One Bites the Dust! Defendant in Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson's Federal Lawsuit, Sherry K. Cohen of the New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee joins Thomas Cahill in early retirement."<sup>76</sup>

Further, this Court has absolute knowledge and further Prima Facie evidence from Anderson and Corrado of these CRIMES through depositions under oath submitted in the Anderson Lawsuit, including but not limited to Testimony and Sworn Statements to the NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE and on record at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee.<sup>77</sup> The Conflicts and Violations of Law further compel this Court, presumed now to be composed of new non-conflicted Justices and Court Administrators hearing this Motion with signed Conflict of Interest Disclosures returned prior to any action, to now Act according to both

---

<sup>76</sup> <http://www.ethicscomplaint.com/2011/02/new-york-supreme-court-whistleblower.html>

"New York Supreme Court Whistleblower. Corruption in New Your Courts - Enough is Enough." Ethics Complaint - Industry Whistleblower Blog by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox Tuesday, February 15, 2011

and

<http://www.suppressthe truth.com/2010/09/andrew-cuomo-new-york-attorney-general.html>

and

[http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents\\_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf](http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf)

<sup>77</sup> Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein Any/All records from the "Legally Related" Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit, the "Legally Related" Lawsuits and any/all other legal records relating to Nicole Corrado, in any legal matters in the US District Court, this Court or any other court and any/all other Regulatory and Investigate Entities acting in these matters. Records, including but are not limited to, all "SEALED" and "IMPOUNDED RECORDS" that relate to these matters. PLAINTIFF DEMANDS that these records be instantly made part of this Lawsuit and incorporated in this MOTION, CERTIFIED and CATALOGUED and ENTERED IN THE DOCKET, due to the ALLEGATIONS by Anderson of DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION IN OFFICIAL COURT PROCEEDINGS, including matters now before this Court and the District Court, as further defined herein.

Substantive and Procedural Law and remove and REPORT all FELONY Obstructions and other crimes. The first step to a fair and impartial Court would be in having an exhaustive conflict checks done by anyone attempting to respond to this Motion to save Plaintiff from filing additional CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against those that fail.

INTENTIONAL FAILURE TO REPORT THE CRIMES EXPOSED constitutes further crimes that act to AID & ABET the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION and shield it from prosecution. MEMBERS OF THIS COURT adjudicating this Lawsuit thus far, have committed<sup>78</sup>, including but not limited to, Misprision(s) of Felony(ies) for failure to report

---

<sup>78</sup> [http://www.defraudingamerica.com/title\\_18\\_usc\\_4.html](http://www.defraudingamerica.com/title_18_usc_4.html)

### **Federal Crime Reporting Statutes**

The federal offense of failure to disclose a felony, if coupled with some act concealing the felony, such as suppression of evidence, harboring or protecting the person performing the felony, intimidation or harming a witness, or any other act designed to conceal from authorities the fact that a crime has been committed.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

---

#### Misprision of a Felony

Misprision of a felony is the offense of failure to inform government authorities of a felony that a person knows about. A person commits the crime of misprision of a felony if that person:

Knows of a federal crime that the person has witnessed or that has come to the person's attention, or failed to prevent.

Fails to report it to a federal judge or other federal official (who is not themselves involved in the crime).

---

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

### **Obstructing Justice Statutes**

---

Title 18 U.S.C. § 2. Principals. (a) Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal. (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal.

Note: The legislative intent to punish as a principal not only one who directly commits an offense and one who "aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures" another to commit an offense, but also anyone who causes the doing of an act which if done by him directly would render him guilty of an offense against the United States. Case law decisions: *Rothenburg v. United States*, 1918, 38 S.Ct. 18, 245 U.S. 480, 62 L.Ed. 414, and *United States v. Giles*, 1937, 57 S.Ct. 340, 300 U.S. 41, 81 L.Ed. 493.

-----  
Title 18 U.S.C. § 3. Accessory after the fact. Whoever, knowing that an offense against the United States had been committed, receives, relieves, comforts or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an accessory after the fact.

-----  
Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined not more than \$500 or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

-----  
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1505. Whoever corruptly ... influences, obstructs, or impedes or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede the due the proper administration of the law under which any pending proceeding is being had before any department or agency of the United States ... shall be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

-----  
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1510. Obstruction of criminal investigation.

(a) Whoever willfully endeavors by means of bribery to obstruct, delay, or prevent the communication of information relating to a violation of any criminal statute of the United States by any person to a criminal investigator shall be fined not more than \$5,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

-----  
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant

(b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or physical force, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to—

(1) influence, delay, or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding;

(2) cause or induce any person to—

(A) withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding;

(3) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

(c) Whoever intentionally harasses another person and thereby hinders, delays, prevents, or dissuades any person from—

(1) attending or testifying in an official proceeding;

(2) reporting to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... (3) arresting or seeking the arrest of another person in connection with a Federal offense; or

(4) causing a criminal prosecution, or a parole or probation revocation proceeding, to be sought or instituted, or assisting in such prosecution or proceeding;

or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

(e) For the purposes of this section—

(1) an official proceeding need not be pending or about to be instituted at the time of the offense; and

(2) the testimony, or the record, document, or other object need not be admissible in evidence or free of a claim of privilege.

-----  
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513. Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant.

FELONY THREATS ON A FEDERAL WITNESS, FELONY OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS by PUBLIC OFFICIALS and more.

Therefore, due to the ENORMOUS CONFLICTS and VIOLATIONS OF LAW in this Court currently, Plaintiff demands IMMEDIATE DISQUALIFICATION of ALL Justices and other Members of the Second Circuit Court who have already acted in Violation of Law in these matters and whom have been reported to authorities for their CRIMINAL ACTS. Further, this Court must IMMEDIATELY CALL IN A FEDERAL MONITOR TO OVERSIGHT THIS COURT. In seeking DISQUALIFICATION of the current JUSTICES OF THIS COURT, PLAINTIFF DEMANDS REMOVAL OF ALL PRIOR ILLEGALLY TENDERED RULINGS, ORDERS and ANY PLEADINGS SUBMITTED BY ANY ATTORNEY AT LAW IN THESE MATTERS, as all of them were tendered in Conflict and thus violate Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law.

---

(a) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or threatens to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for (1) the attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding; or (2) any information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ..."

-----  
Title 18 U.S.C. § 111. Impeding certain officers or employees. Whoever ... intimidates, or interferes with any person ... while engaged in ... the performance of his official duties shall be fined ... or imprisoned ...  
-----

Racketeering Enterprise Statutes and Criteria

Title 42 USC § 1961. Definition. As used in this chapter-(1) "racketeering activity" means:

(A) any act or threat involving ... relating to 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice), section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations), section 1511 (relating to obstruction of State or local law enforcement), section 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery or extortion), section 1952 (relating to racketeering, ...

Title 42 USC § 1962. Prohibited Activities.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern or racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.

(d) It shall be unlawful for any person to conspire to violate any of the provisions of subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this section. ...

**JUDICIAL Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently**

**(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.**

**(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.**

**(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.**

**(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.**

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?" Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances AND THESE CIRCUMSTANCES DESCRIBED HEREIN MORE THAN QUALIFY.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

**Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality.** *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistrieri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself *sua sponte* under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).



Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." Balistreri, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. **Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge.** Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

**Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is in violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. United States v. Sciuto, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").**

**Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce".** The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on

this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

**The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution.** If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

**COURTS HAVE REPEATEDLY RULED THAT JUDGES HAVE NO IMMUNITY FOR THEIR CRIMINAL ACTS.** Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Failure by ALL Parties to this Lawsuit, including the Justices of this Court, to Affirm or Deny Conflict to Opposing Counsel, Pro Se Bernstein, as repeatedly requested by Plaintiff since day one of the Lawsuit, in order to assure fair and impartial Due Process, constitutes further FRAUD ON THE COURT and CAUSE FOR DISQUALIFICATION and RECUSAL. Especially, where there is overwhelming evidence of FRAUD and OBSTRUCTION through MULTIPLE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST and VIOLATIONS OF LAW, including eyewitness insider sworn testimony of crimes committed by Court and Public Officials. After Anderson's claims of UNIDENTIFIED "Favored Law Firms and Lawyers" operating in the Court System to Obstruct Cases through FEDERAL & STATE FELONY ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION, etc., this Court is now obligated to PROVE to PLAINTIFF that NO CONFLICT EXISTS going forward with any person representing these matters in any way, including but not limited to, lawyers, judges and prosecutors. This Court must instantly remove ALL Conflicts and ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS currently at play and complained of already to this Court, the District Court and State and Federal Law Enforcement. The denial of Discovery to Plaintiff by the Court to obtain evidence from Anderson's case prior to investigating the CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST PUBLIC OFFICIALS, creates a lack of ability to identify at this time whom Anderson is referring to as the "Favored Law Firms and Lawyers." The lack of knowing the names of these corrupt Public Officials, Attorneys at Law and Law Firms that Anderson and others refer to, makes all Attorneys at Law and Law Firms suspect. This absolutely necessitates that ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW handling these matters forward, including but not limited to, Judges, Prosecutors, Court Personnel, Opposing Counsel and LAW FIRMS, now be SCREENED to determine if they are acting in CONFLICT and VIOLATION OF LAW and if they are one of the unidentified

parties Anderson refers to. This Court is legally obligated to report the misconduct and failure to report, as is this case at this point, is yet another felony crime.

Where Plaintiff has requested Conflict of Interest Disclosures similar to the one attached herein be signed by all Parties prior to adjudicating this matter, Plaintiff's requests have been REPEATEDLY ignored. There can be no reason not to sign a Conflict of Interest Disclosure at this point, as each Attorney at Law in any legal capacity is obligated to act without Conflict, so if no Conflict exists the form should be a no brainer to sign and return as requested by all those involved in these matters forward. Conflict checks cannot be ignored any longer, especially with the Anderson allegations exposing UNIDENTIFIED ATTORNEYS AT LAW VIOLATING THE LAW ACTING IN CONFLICT IN A MULTITUDE OF PUBLIC OFFICES and OTHER MORE SERIOUS FELONY CRIMES and additionally due to the RIVETING new Admission and Acknowledgement of Conflicts by the New York Attorney General Schneiderman's office.

Plaintiff presumes that at this point in this Motion, NEW Non-Conflicted Justices of this Court, Non-Conflicted Counsel for Defendants and Non-conflicted State Officials, are now reading this Motion and have already signed a Conflict of Interest Disclosure as attached, assuming both PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES if discovery of Conflict is later found. Plaintiff presumes a timely response to this Motion but disregards any ILLEGALLY TENDERED Orders or Edicts proffered by the Members of this Court handling these matters illegally and without a conflict free forum first instituted and DEMANDS all new parties going forward sign and affirm the attached Conflict of Interest Disclosure. Assurance of NO CONFLICTS in the form of a signed and notarized Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form, as the one attached herein will suffice. Again, this COI must now be signed by ALL Law Firms, Prosecutors, Regulators, Justices, Attorneys at Law and Court Personnel representing the Government or any Defendants, as required by law, returned by Certified Mail to Plaintiffs Address at 2753 NW 34<sup>th</sup> St. Boca Raton, FL 33434, **PRIOR TO ANY ACTION**.

**III. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S NOW ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, BOTH PAST AND PRESENT, IN ACTING ILLEGALLY AS COUNSEL FOR THEIR OFFICE AND ADDITIONALLY FOR 39 PLUS STATE DEFENDANT/ACTORS IN THIS LAWSUIT AND VIOLATING PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & REGULATIONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE & FEDERAL LAW**

The CONFLICTS of the Attorney General and other violations of Public Office, which have caused Obstruction and Denial of Due Process in the Lawsuit and the related Criminal Complaints since day one, now INVALIDATE ALL prior representations made by the New

York Attorney General. All representations on behalf of their office, members of their office and in defense of their client STATE ACTOR Defendant Clients in this Lawsuit have been illegal and tendered in conflict since the outset. Anderson has also called for the **ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ILLEGAL USE OF PUBLIC FUNDS FOR PRIVATE LEGAL REPRESENTATIONS**, estimated to amount to several hundred million dollars of legal costs to date, **IMMEDIATELY CEASE**.

At this time, over one year after the admission and acknowledgement of the need for independent counsel and investigators to intervene, the New York Attorney General's Office should have already noticed this Court and other Criminal Authorities of their Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest. The New York Attorney General should already noticed this court of their voluntary **DISQUALIFICATION AND RECUSAL** from this RICO Lawsuit and the Criminal Complaints filed with their offices, including criminal complaints against Members of this Court. The NY AG now admittedly needs **INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE AG'S OFFICE** and their **CLIENTS/DEFENDANTS THEY REPRESENT IN THIS LAWSUIT FORWARD NOW ALSO NEED SEPARATE COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THEM IN BOTH THEIR PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL CAPACITIES IN THIS LAWSUIT**. If the Members of the New York Attorney General handling this Lawsuit have not already filed for Disqualification and Recusal from this Lawsuit as promised and submitted to represent themselves Pro Se (as Attorneys at Law have shocking already done in this Lawsuit) or secured new legal counsel as they stated they were doing in the taped telephone conversation already referenced herein, this failure would provide basis for further **CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS** to be filed, this time against Members of the new AG Schneiderman's office. Additional **CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS** will also be filed against the New York Attorney General's **CLIENTS/DEFENDANTS** for further attempting to cover these matters up in collusion with Public Officials, if they too do not seek immediate legal representation. Inaction to secure legal legal counsel, as opposed to illegal legal counsel as is presently the case with almost every Defendants counsel, will constitute further cause for further **FELONY STATE & FEDERAL** charges of Obstruction of Justice, Misprision of Felonies, Fraud on the Courts, Violation of Public Office and Violations of State and Federal Law.

To summarize the AG call, on April 14, 2011, James Rogers, Esq. Special Counsel and Senior Advisor to New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman, **ADMITTED** and **ACKNOWLEDGED** Conflicts of Interest for both himself personally and the New York Attorney General's Office, relating to **CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED WITH THEIR OFFICES AND THEIR ILLEGAL and UNETHICAL REPRESENTATIONS IN THIS**

LAWSUIT.<sup>79</sup> THESE ADMISSIONS preclude the NY AG from further direct action in any legal capacity in any matter relating to Plaintiff Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein in this Lawsuit and the Criminal Complaints filed with their offices. Conflicts of Interest that Rogers admitted preclude both Rogers and the AG's office from handling or even speaking further with Plaintiff about any matters related to Iviewit and Eliot Bernstein's Criminal Complaints and this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, without INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL REPRESENTING THEM. These ADMITTED & ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest that preclude the AG from acting in any other capacity than as Defendant, have existed in this Lawsuit for the New York Attorney General since the initiation of the Lawsuit, even prior to becoming counsel for Defendants.

With the Admission of Conflict and the Anderson allegations, the time has come to investigate defendants for the now Admitted and Acknowledged prior conflicts, obstructions and more, looking backward fix the problems. First off, again, this Court must remove the Conflicted ILLEGAL LEGAL REPRESENTATIONS of the New York Attorney General that were designed from the start to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and perpetrate FRAUD ON THE COURT, a rehearing free of conflicts and violations of law. The taped phone calls between Eliot Bernstein and Governor Cuomo's office with Emily Cole, Steven Michael Cohen<sup>80</sup> and the New York Attorney General's office, culminating in Rogers ultimate ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of Conflicts of Interest precluding further involvement are located at

---

<sup>79</sup>Taped Conversations with New York Governor Andrew Cuomo office, Steven M. Cohen (Chief of Staff), James Rogers, Esq., and Emily Cole.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFIEIp6E>

<sup>80</sup>As of July 11, 2011, Cohen has been relieved of service to Andrew Cuomo.

“NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OF ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY JAMES ROGERS, ESQ. IN HANDLING IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES & ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST ANDREW CUOMO AND STEVEN M. COHEN. DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION OF ANDREW CUOMO AND ELIOT SPITZER FOR VIOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & FELONY RICO CRIMES. CALL FOR NY ATTORNEY GENERAL TO CEASE ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF STATE SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS, INCLUDING FORMER CHIEF JUDGE OF NEW YORK JUDITH KAYE IN THE IVIEWIT 12 TRILLION DOLLAR FEDERAL RICO AND ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN TO A WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT OF CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON A NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY. Proskauer Rose and Foley & Lardner Main Suspects in Patent Theft Worth Trillions.”

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=588>

and

“Steven Michael Cohen, Andrew Cuomo Sr. Adviser Flees Sinking Cuomo Ship Over Iviewit Inventor Eliot Bernstein's Criminal Complaints against Cohen and Cuomo. Gotham Corruption at the Top Heating Up”

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=591>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFIEIp6E> and hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

In the TAPED CALLS TO GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO'S office, Cohen ironically responds to the statement by Plaintiff regarding Cohen's conflicts that preclude him from handling Criminal Complaints filed against himself and Cuomo. Plaintiff Bernstein notified Cohen, an old childhood friend that the complaints filed were attempting to "Put him in Prison," as he and Cuomo were named in the criminal complaints. Plaintiff notifies Cohen that he could no longer handle and bury the complaints naming him in RICO CRIMINAL activity, due to the obvious inherent conflicts. Whereby, Cohen retorts, "Some would say I already am in Prison!" At which point Plaintiff responded, "I agree!" Cohen then gives up control of the complaints and refers Plaintiff, acting still in conflict, to Schneiderman's Chief of Staff to handle. However, the complaints against Cuomo and Cohen were filed at both the NY Attorney General Office and the Governor's office and so Governor Cuomo must turn over the complaints filed with his offices to a Non Conflicted party to respond to them, as Cohen had blocked them in conflict for now several years from having any due process.

Yet, Cohen continued to act further in Conflict in his Official Capacity, now referring Plaintiff back to the AG Chief of Staff, despite the acknowledged conflict? Additionally, Emily Cole, Cohen's assistant, stated in the taped call that she had turned the complaints over to Cohen directly, whereby she was specifically requested by Plaintiff to not give the complaints to either Cuomo or Cohen upon filing them, further evidencing the INTENTIONAL Obstruction through Conflicts.

On May 20, 2011, a formal letter titled,

**RE: / PHONE CALL ON APRIL 14, 2011 WITH JAMES ROGERS ON BEHALF OF HARLAN LEVY REFERRED BY STEVEN MICHAEL COHEN, CHIEF OF STAFF TO GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO. RE: FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, FORMER ATTORNEY GENERAL ANDREW CUOMO, STEVEN MICHAEL COHEN, SECRETARY TO GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO, AND, MONICA CONNELL OF THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ET AL.**

was sent by Plaintiff memorializing the calls with the New York Attorney General Office and Governor Andrew Cuomo's Office. The Letter also contains additional Criminal Complaints against new participants in the RICO, including Cuomo's alleged niece, Emily Cuomo Cole who denied any relationship to Cuomo in the calls, yet on information and belief, Emily is the

daughter of Maria Cuomo Cole. The Letter can be found at the following URL's, both hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein,

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=588>

and

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20110520%20FINAL%20NY%20AG%20ADMITTED%20CONFLICT%20OF%20INTEREST%20and%20CRIMINAL%20COMPLAINTS%20CUOMO%20and%20COHEN.pdf>.

From the Letter, quote,

Dear Mssrs. Levy and Rogers,

Please let this letter serve as formal commemoration of our April 14, 2011 phone conversation between James Rogers, Esq., Special Counsel and Senior Advisor to Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman and myself. A witnessing party on the phone call was Patrick Hanley. The following summarizes the salient points of the call with James Rogers, Esq., acting on behalf of Harlan Levy referred by Steven Michael Cohen, Chief of Staff to Governor Andrew Cuomo and prior calls with the Governor's office.

Notably, Rogers acknowledged and admitted that he was precluded from handling the matters related to Iviewit's Criminal Complaints and RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, as the Attorney General was Conflicted in the matters, as further defined herein. Admissions by Rogers of existing Conflicts of Interest now require IMMEDIATE corrective actions in ongoing State, Federal and International Criminal and Civil Proceedings going forward. The multiple Conflicts of Interest identified, caused Rogers to assert that the inherent Conflicts for himself, the Attorney General's Office and other members of the Attorney General's Office, now demanded that the Attorney General's office was required forthwith, to seek Outside Non Conflicted Independent Counsel in any related matters...

... The Conflict Swamp further thickens, when taking into account Conflicts created by the Attorney General's additional role as Legal Counsel for State Actors/Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Attorney General's Office is not only representing their own offices and employees in conflict, but also,

illegally representing **39 PLUS** State Actors/Defendants as counsel of record, in further Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, and yet, still directly handle Criminal Complaints naming them as central Criminal RICO Actors. Additional Conflicts of Interest are further created by the illegal twofold representation by the Attorney General of the State Actors/Defendants in both a Professional and Personal capacity. The Attorney General may represent State Actors/Defendants in Lawsuits in a PROFESSIONAL capacity only on the State of New York's funds and the Individual representations are illegal and further Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, further defined herein. This entire bizarre and convoluted myriad of ILLEGAL Conflicts of Interest and Obstructions create further massive Frauds on the Courts and Frauds on a Multiplicity of Government Agencies, all combining to further illegally deny Due Process and Obstruct Justice...

...Anderson further complains to the Federal Court in a Motion to Remove the Attorney General[13] from illegal legal representations that **CUOMO IS ILLEGALLY REPRESENTING STATE ACTORS/DEFENDANTS** in both the US District Court for the Southern District of New York and the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, in her case and the "legally related" cases. Anderson filed to remove the Attorney General from her Whistleblower Lawsuit for ILLEGAL Conflicts of Interest and other Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, illustrating a further Pattern and Practice of Public Corruption designed to evade prosecution.

—

Footnote From the Letter <sup>[13]</sup>

[Anderson's Motion to Remove the Attorney General](#) can be found at the following URL's and Anderson's arguments for removing the Attorney General in that Motion and her Lawsuit are hereby fully incorporated by reference as my own arguments in this Motion, where they are applicable to our "legally related" lawsuits.

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=391>

["Wednesday, September 15, 2010 "Anderson Moves to Disqualify NY Attorney General"](#)



[http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents\\_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf](http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf) ...

**ACTIONS TO REMOVE ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST FROM ALL PROCEEDINGS AND CEASE AND DESIST ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF STATE ACTORS/DEFENDANTS BY THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL**

As Anderson's Motion to Disqualify the Attorney General's Office shows, there are Conflicts of Interest inherent in the ILLEGAL legal representations of the Public Officers both personally and professionally by the New York Attorney General's office, which preclude such representations. Therefore, since the conflicted representations are in Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, all instances of these illegal representations must instantly Cease and Desist, and proper remedial actions taken.

First, all State Actors/Defendants illegally represented currently by the Attorney General, now must be replaced with Non-Conflicted Independent Counsel, separate counsel for both their Professional and Individual Legal Defenses where they are sued in both capacities. In particular, Anderson claims, quote,

**“Ongoing Conflict of Interest”**

Representation by the New York Attorney General's office in the pending appeal continues the improper prejudice against plaintiff. Furthermore, not only did the Attorney General's representation of the defendants unduly prejudice the plaintiff, but it also raised serious conflict of interest issues with respect to the defendants themselves. To protect their own rights, each of the defendants had to have their own attorneys in order to permit them to cross claim or make admissions, including their own right to protect their own individual rights in this appeal. Under New York State and federal conflict of interest rules, each of the defendants must be free to undertake these independent actions. To do so, they must have their own counsel. (See NYS Code of Professional Conduct Cannon 5 Conflict of Interest Rules. [15]) The Attorney General as a state attorney is bound by these rules as well. [16]

This constitutes New York State law, and the attorney who violates these safeguards must be immediately removed from the case. Further, should the defendants seek to waive the conflicts they would have to submit an affidavit to that effect to the court.

Notwithstanding a defendant's attempt to waive his right to independent counsel, the court can deny the waiver, based on a finding that ultimately this conflict cannot properly be waived.

The trial [sic trial] court improperly ignored the obligation to address the inherent conflict up to and including the trial. This court, however, must now disqualify the Attorney General from any representation of the defendants.

As a result of these conflict of interest issues, the Attorney General cannot properly represent the defendants, either as a group or individually, in these appellate proceedings. Each defendant must have the right to advance his or her own position on appeal, to cross claim against the others, and to bring a counterclaim against the State.

These actions most certainly could not be undertaken in a case where the Attorney General represents all the named defendants. All defendants clearly are in conflict with each other, especially in their individual capacities. Without question, the Attorney General violated its ethical rules and the public trust in undertaking to represent all of the defendants. The Attorney General continues to violate its ethical rules by appearing before this appellate body.

This would be the case, even were it established that the defendants had sought to consent to such representation...

The conflict here is particularly acute given the nature of the claims brought by plaintiff Anderson. Plaintiff's charges warranted an independent investigation by the New York State Attorney General's office to review the basic claims given that Anderson was formerly a Departmental Disciplinary Committee staff attorney with considerable experience and over the years received excellent evaluations. The fact is that these are not allegations from a lay person.

While at the DDC, Plaintiff Anderson was charged with investigating cases involving possible criminal and civil misconduct by attorneys. She carried out her duties as a duly authorized officer of the Court. The New York State Attorney General's Office was therefore obligated to protect her and to investigate her claims of serious misconduct against the named parties. To the Contrary, the New York State Attorney General's Office failed to do so.

The Attorney General is a publicly funded arm of the State. It was conflicted from the outset of this case because it could not possibly defend any of the defendants, while simultaneously investigating plaintiff's claims of serious ongoing misconduct by the defendants. Indeed, no explanation has ever been provided as to why the Attorney General did not represent plaintiff Anderson against any of the original defendants. This was itself a misappropriation of public funds by a state investigative agency with prosecution powers.

Federal law mandates that a special prosecutor be substituted into the case, and this was not done.”

Footnotes from Anderson filing

—

Footnote <sup>[15]</sup>

[Conflict of Interest Disciplinary Rule 5](#)

—

Footnote <sup>[16]</sup>[As head of the Department of Law, the Attorney General is both the “People’s Lawyer” and the State’s chief legal officer.](#) As the “People’s Lawyer,” the Attorney General serves as the guardian of the legal rights of the citizens of New York, its organizations and its natural resources. In his role as the State’s chief legal counsel, the Attorney General not only advises the Executive Branch of State government, but also defends actions and proceedings on behalf of the State. —...

...Similar to Anderson, in my RICO & ANTITRUST lawsuit, the Attorney General not only represents 39 plus State Actors/Defendants ILLEGALLY, both personally and professionally, but also acts as in further conflict as Counsel for their own offices and former employees, in both the US District Court and Second Circuit Court of Appeals. Evidence of such representations can be found in the Attorney General’s response to the Amended Complaint in US District Court, which was GRANTED & DOCKETED by Judge Scheindlin in the following Order, included by reference in entirety herein, [SCHEINDLIN ORDER GRANTING THE AMENDED COMPLAINT](#)

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080414%20Order%20Granting%20Filing%20of%20Amended%20Complaint.pdf>

The Amended Complaint was responded to ILLEGALLY by the Attorney General's Office, whom was wearing a number of conflicting hats, acting as both a State Actor/Defendant and Defense Counsel to other State Actor/Defendants, all represented ILLEGALLY both Professionally and in their Individual capacities. Once again, a further bizarre and illegal myriad of Conflicts of Interest exposed, again in Violation of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Offices Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, combining to further Block Due Process & Procedure of the victims through Obstruction Justice to both the Criminal Complaints and the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.

The admission of Conflicts of Interest in these matters has now forced the NY Attorney General's office to refuse to further handle or even speak to Plaintiff regarding the Criminal Complaints filed with their offices or this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, disqualify their offices from further illegal legal representations and seek independent NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL AND INVESTIGATORS. The Attorney General stating they are seeking INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL to represent their offices forward in this RICO and INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED PROSECUTORS to investigate the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS they have Obstructed for several years, including CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS naming Members of this Court as central conspirators in the Cover-Up crimes.

**KUDOS!!!** to the integrity of Scheinderman's Attorney General Office and Mr. Rogers, for admitting that the New York Attorney General's Office is ABSOLUTELY CONFLICTED in this Lawsuit and the Criminal Complaints and taking the right steps to absolve such continued violations of law and ethics. Further, for seeking INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED PARTIES to now represent and investigate these matters forward for their office and officials of their office named in this Lawsuit, Anderson's Lawsuit and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits. The ADMISSION AND DISQUALIFICATION of the AG breaks down one of main conflicts in the WALL OF FELONY OBSTRUCTIONS perverting this Lawsuit from day one and wholly denying lawful due process and procedure. Prior to the Admission by Rogers of conflicts precluding the AG from representing Defendants in these matters, both New York Attorney Generals Spitzer and Cuomo, flagrantly and with SCIENTER violated Conflict of Interest Rules, Public Office Rules and Law to deny Plaintiff due process. These OBSTRUCTIONS occurred with the blessing and APPROVAL FROM MEMBERS OF THE COURTS who allowed the AG to operate in the Courts in conflict, knowing of the illegality, all in Violation after Violation of Law. These Violations denied PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS AND PROCEDURE THROUGH MULTIPLE ACTS OF FELONY FRAUD ON THE COURTS achieved through the

**VIOLATION OF ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES, VIOLATION OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES AND REGULATIONS AND VIOLATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAW.**

The Admission and Acknowledgement of Conflicts of Interest now are reason for this Court to IMMEDIATELY REMAND this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits back to the US District Court for rehearings or moved to a wholly non-conflicted new venue with a Federal Monitor brought in to oversight.

**IV. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE.**

Anderson has recently filed with the U.S. District Court further corroborating evidence of a Threat on a Federal Witness, Corrado, who was so fearful of the threat as to NOT testify at Anderson’s trial and causing an entire mistrial and need for rehearing in light of this most serious Obstruction of Justice by Public Officials. As already exhibited in Exhibit 2, Anderson’s motion awaits decision by Federal Judge Scheindlin and for similarly for this reason Plaintiff’s lawsuit must be remanded for rehearing immediately, as well as, all other causes for rehearing defined herein.

Whistleblower Anderson, again, a seasoned Supreme Court of New York Attorney expert in ATTORNEY MISCONDUCT COMPLAINTS, presented corroborating evidence to this Court of Plaintiff’s allegations of Criminal Public Office conduct. Criminal misconduct, including but not limited to, violations of, Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law by the offices of the New York Attorney General, the US Attorney, the District Attorney, the New York Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others. Anderson’s “Notice of Motion to Disqualifying the Office of the New York State Attorney General from Representation of Defendants” is located at the following URL’s, both fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein,

[http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents\\_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf](http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf)

and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=391> .

ALL APPLICABLE and RELEVANT ARGUMENTS regarding the Misconduct and ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL contained within the Anderson Motion to DISQUALIFY the ATTORNEY GENERAL from the Anderson

Lawsuit, are wholly incorporated herein in entirety for consideration in this Motion to DISQUALIFY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FROM THIS PROCEEDING. The New York Attorney General's Office and current and former members of the office already Defendants in these matters should remain in the case however, as Defendants only, and need now seek INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED Attorneys at Law to represent them in their PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL capacities in the crimes alleged herein.

Anderson's Motion to DISQUALIFY the Attorney General for ILLEGAL REPRESENTATION in her Whistleblower Lawsuit and similar arguments contained in Plaintiff's Motion to Compel, show that New York State Funds and Resources are being used to ILLEGALLY to represent PUBLIC OFFICIALS in both their PERSONAL and Professional capacities. Clearly, STATE FUNDS used for personal legal representation violates Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations, and State and Federal Law. Again, attempts by THIS COURT to continue to allow these ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS and THEFT of PUBLIC RESOURCES by STATE OFFICIALS, by failure to end the crime being committed in THIS COURT or failure to report the CRIMES as mandated by Law to Criminal Authorities, will result in ADDITIONAL CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST MEMBERS OF THIS COURT.

The Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson exposes a multitude of CRIMINAL FELONY ACTIVITIES of PUBLIC OFFICIALS and COURT OFFICIALS directly related to the adjudication of this Lawsuit and several of the FINGERED PUBLIC OFFICIALS ARE SIMILARLY DEFENDANTS IN THIS LAWSUIT. Anderson's claims, include but are not limited to, allegations against Members of Prosecutorial State and Federal Agencies and the COURTS, who have been directly involved in alleged CRIMINAL ACTIVITY and are also DEFENDANTS in this RICO Lawsuit. Therefore, Plaintiff Demands this Lawsuit be REMANDED back to the US District Court for REHEARING, in conjunction with FULL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING AGENCIES whereby Anderson claimed Members of these State and Federal Agencies were part of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE;<sup>81</sup>

---

<sup>81</sup> <http://exposecorruptessex.com/CourtInspectorGeneral.html>

November 1, 2009 To: Inspector General for NY Unified Court System at [jg@courts.state.ny.us](mailto:jg@courts.state.ny.us)  
Re: Intolerable corruption and criminal conduct in our Appellate Court Discipline by Terence Finnan  
and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=205>

Tuesday, October 27, 2009 Letter to Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan  
United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: IVIEWIT LETTER TO US FED JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN RE CRIMINAL "WHISTLEBLOWER" ALLEGATIONS in Christine C. Anderson v. New York State et al. Docket 07cv09599 alleging Disciplinary Complaint Fixing by the "CLEANER" for US Attorneys, New York District Attorneys and ADA's; Code of Conduct for US Judges Canon 3B(5), Protecting the People. Eliot I. Bernstein.

1. The Department of Justice – Office of the US Attorney General
2. The New York Attorney General Office
3. The District Attorney Office
4. The Assistant DA Office
5. Thomas Cahill, former Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
6. Sherry Cohen, Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
7. Naomi Goldstein, aka “The Cleaner,” (as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court) Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
8. David Spokony, Deputy Clerk - New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
9. Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Former Clerk of the New York Supreme Court and now CLERK of this COURT, Defendant in this Lawsuit and former Defendant in Anderson’s Whistleblower Lawsuit and now material witness for Anderson
10. Justice Angela M. Mazzarel of the Appellate Division, New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
11. “Favored Law Firms” as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court yet left unidentified, and,
12. “Favored Lawyers”, as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court yet left unidentified

Anderson’s ALLEGATIONS OF FELONY MISCONDUCT by MEMBERS and representatives of these PUBLIC AGENCIES and the COURTS, whom are directly involved in Plaintiff’s RICO & ANTITRUST, constitute absolute cause to REMAND this Lawsuit back to the US District Court, to be IMMEDIATELY HEARD BY NON CONFLICTED PARTIES. Plaintiff also calls, as did Anderson, for the IMMEDIATE APPOINTMENT OF A FEDERAL MONITOR AS REQUIRED BY LAW, to oversight the day-to-day operations of the courts and those court actors named in this Lawsuit and the related lawsuits whom have been alleged accomplice to the crimes. This COURT’S failure to provide such GUARANTEE OF A CONFLICT FREE COURT and further attempts to ILLEGALLY BURY this Lawsuit will result in FURTHER CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION and other FELONY CHARGES.

---

Both footnote references incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

**V. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER NICOLE CORRADO'S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE AS ALREADY EVIDENCED HEREIN AND IN EXHIBIT**

Remand for hearing this RICO Lawsuit based on new and damning evidence from Nicole Corrado, Esq., again, a expert in attorney Criminal and Ethical Misconduct Complaints who worked alongside Anderson in the New York Supreme Court, inside the Departmental Disciplinary Committee. In Corrado's Lawsuit, attached herein as Exhibit 2, again we find the Ethic Department at the New York Supreme Court involved in now in a Sexual Harassment case against the heads of the Ethics Department, yes this is the group responsible for Disciplining rogue Attorneys at Law and all of the ones licensed on Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street. The highest outpost in legal regulation run by rapist and sexual predators and this is not the first time sex scandals have been alleged over the last several years.<sup>82</sup> Further, the lawsuit shows that similar to Anderson, ethical and honest employees who attempt to blow the whistle on the corruption are harassed, harangued and are fired or forced to take leave of the abuse, as is this case with Corrado. Corrado's Lawsuit shows a pattern and practice of abuse of anyone trying to expose the crimes of these so-called REGULATORS OF ATTORNEYS AT LAW, which act more as a mob style Attorney at Law Protection Racket, protecting any member of the bars which they control, who are on the "Favored Lawyers and Law Firm" list Anderson and Corrado both describe. There is not much more Plaintiff can say as to the disgusting UNETHICAL and ILLEGAL behavior of the New York Supreme Court Senior Officials named in Corrado's suit but that since many of the same people are Defendants in this case and the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and their actions have perverted the related case of Anderson through THREATS ON A FEDERAL WITNESS and more that this Court must now remand for rehearing this Lawsuit due to the FEDERAL AND STATE FELONY OBSTRUCTIONS that blocked Due Process in all of these cases.

---

<sup>82</sup> "Sex Scandal at the Attorney Committee on Character & Fitness... The lid is off the cover-up of the recent sex scandal rocking the Committee on Character & Fitness at The New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department on Madison Avenue." by Corrupt Courts Administrator, EXPOSE CORRUPT COURTS Thursday, June 28, 2007  
<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2007/06/sex-scandal-at-attorney-committee-on.html>



**VI. REMOVE AND REPORT ALL OTHER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, VIOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES, VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE AND FEDERAL LAW, CURRENTLY IN PLACE IN THIS RICO LAWSUIT AND RELATED CASES, IN ORDER TO IMPART FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW**

The Rehearings must be free from the start of ALL, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law of which there are many more violations currently in play with ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW involved in this RICO Lawsuit, especially those that are represented by the New York Attorneys General. All these New York State Defendants illegal representation by the NY AG must instantly cease and further be reported to the proper CRIMINAL authorities. Conflicts and Violations of law have infected and poisoned these hearings from the start. Every Attorney at Law making representation in the Lawsuit have all acted in coordinated conspiracy to, Obstruct Justice, Deny Due Process and perpetrate never ending FRAUD ON THE COURTS, whereby once these Conflicts and Violations are removed, the FRAUD ON THE COURT will crumble and due process will be restored. The only sane course of action forward in this Lawsuit, as unraveling the Web of Conflicts currently at play is now impossible and further as Anderson has left a mystery for discovery of who these “FAVORED LAW FIRMS AND LAWYERS” are, is to now DISQUALIFY and REPLACE ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW currently acting in ANY Capacity in this Lawsuit.

PRESCREENING and VETTING all new ATTORNEYS AT LAW, JUDGES, REGULATORS and any other Public Official for conflict, PRIOR to allowing any representations in this RICO Lawsuit on behalf of any parties, which is required notwithstanding all the evidence of Conflicts that exist already in their roles both as OFFICERS OF THIS COURT and as ATTORNEYS AT LAW.

**VII. DEMAND THAT ALL PARTIES TO THIS LAWSUIT GOING FORWARD, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COURT JUSTICES & OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, CLERKS, ETC. SIGN AFFIRMED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES, IDENTICAL TO THE ONE ATTACHED HEREIN, ACKNOWLEDGING PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES FOR ANY VIOLATION, PRIOR TO, ANY FURTHER ACTION BY ANYONE IN THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT.**

Plaintiff is suing the New York State Supreme Courts, Members of the New York State Supreme Courts, Members of the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Bar

Association and therefore ANY MEMBER of these organizations is conflicted from hearing or representing this Lawsuit without conflict. Therefore, the Lawsuit should be free of any lawyers registered or members of the New York Courts or any other Agency that is a Defendant in these matters, as again, this would be further ILLEGAL CONFLICTS and Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes that act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and ILLEGALLY DENY PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS.

**VIII. DEMAND FOR JUSTICES OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT TO TURN THEMSELVES INTO STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES TO ANSWER TO FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEM AND SERVED UPON THEM**

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS THIS COURT REPORT THESE FELONY STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMES against, the Judges adjudicating this Lawsuit and all other Attorneys at Law named in this Lawsuit acting in conflict and violating law, including crimes committed and evidenced in the “related case” Lawsuits and Corrado’s Lawsuit. The crimes to be reported, include but are not limited to, FRAUD ON THE COURT, FEDERAL and STATE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, THREATS ON A FEDERAL WITNESS, RICO and more to all proper CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES for IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION or face further Obstruction Charges by YOUR continued MISPRISION OF FELONY Offences and more. Justices and others named herein that are Members of the Court are obligated to turn themselves in for criminal investigation and prosecution regarding the Criminal Complaints filed against them for prosecution, in a fair and impartial court free of conflict.

**IX. ALLEGED CRIMES ONGOING BY P. STEPHEN LAMONT ET AL. BOTH KNOWN AND UNKNOWN AND FRAUD ON THIS COURT, THE US DISTRICT COURT AND NOW OTHER COURTS INCLUDING THE SUPREME COURT AND MORE.**

P. Stephen Lamont has no legal standing or basis in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, as he failed to file individually and instead chose to file on Behalf of others, including Iviewit Shareholders. Where Lamont is not a licensed ATTORNEY AT LAW, as he failed to pass the Bar Exam, these acts are in Violation of Attorney Conduct Codes and Law, including fraudulently representing others and companies without ANY consent from the individuals or the companies, as already evidenced in multiple prior filings with this Court and the US District Court. This Court, the US District Court, the New York Attorney General and others formally notified of the continued crimes by Lamont ILLEGALLY ACTING AS AN ATTORNEY AT LAW IN THIS LAWSUIT, whereby Plaintiff awaits investigation results and where further Plaintiff is aware that those complaints filed at the New York Attorney General office have been

illegally derailed as described herein. Yet again, there is a failure of the courts and prosecutorial offices to follow law and ethics rules and report and/or investigate the felony crimes they have been notified of regarding Lamont, further constituting additional FRAUD ON THE COURTS, MISPRISION OF FELONIES, AIDING AND ABETTING, RICO AND MORE.

The following URL's regarding the CRIMINAL ACTIVITY of P. Stephen Lamont are incorporated entirely by reference herein,

June 18, 2009 Letter to New York Attorney General Andrew Cuomo and Steven Michael Cohen titled, "First Department Obstruction"

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090618%20FINAL%20NYAG%20Steven%20Cohen%20Letter%20Re%20Lamont%20Signed.pdf>

and

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel filed with this Court,

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=78#comment-24>

#### **X. PLAINTIFF SEEKS LEAVE TO AMEND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT TO ADD NEW DEFENDANTS AND NEW ALLEGED CRIMES NEWLY DISCOVERED**

Plaintiff will be seeking leave to amend the Amended Complaint to add all of the following New Crimes discovered against the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION:

1. War Crimes – The Coup/RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION has plotted Illegal Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People in order to Profit from such Un-American, Un-Patriotic and Illegal Activities, including but not limited to, War Profiteering, Controlled Market Demolitions and Oil Price Fixing,
2. Crimes Against Humanity - The Coup/RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION in Illegally Waging Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People that have illegally DETAINED, DENIED JURISPRUDENCE and TORTURED, tens of thousands of individuals in violation of State, Federal and International Law and Treatise, including but not limited to, the Geneva Conventions and Title 18 USC. The Coup/RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION in Illegally Waging Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People and have illegally MURDERED, MAIMED AND DISPLACED

MILLIONS of individuals in Foreign Nations and the United States, including EVERY SOLDIER MURDERED IN THESE ILLEGAL WARS, in violation of State, Federal and International Law and Treatise. Further, tens of thousands of those MURDERED and MAIMED in these ILLEGAL WARS of AGGRESSION are the United States and Foreign Nations FALLEN SOLDIERS who have been fighting these ILLEGAL WARS.

3. Economic Terrorism – Already discussed and evidenced herein.
4. Treason and Sedition– Already discussed and evidenced herein.

Plaintiff will also seek leave to amend this RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit to include new Defendants recently discovered and reported to State and Federal Law Enforcement in matters relating to this RICO.

## **XI. RELIEF**

No relief is requested from the “so-called” Justices and Court Official currently handling this Lawsuit in violation of Law, other than to turn themselves in to the proper authorities for the multiple felonies identified herein that they have partaken in, including but not limited to, **TREASON, VIOLATIONS OF JUS COGENS, WAR CRIMES, ECONOMIC CRIMES, EUGENICS CRIMES, VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, VIOLATIONS OF ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND VIOLATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL, FEDERAL AND STATE LAW.** Then PRAY for a lenient sentence in exchange, as I, Eliot Ivan Bernstein, will do for you.

From any new participants who wish to enter this Lawsuit going forward, the only relief requested prior to ANY other action is a signed Conflict of Interest Disclosure. In parting, to all of those who have acted in an ILLEGAL legal capacity as part of the RICO Criminal Cartel, violating law and ethics in this DIRTY COURT, desecrating the very words law and order, desecrating the country and all those who have died to give us our Liberty and Freedom, robbing, murdering and plundering hundreds of millions of PEOPLE worldwide through your WAR AND ECONOMIC CRIMES, beware, the gates of hell await you. As the 99% 'ers see your crimes for what they are and that you have aided and abetted the criminals by failure to uphold the law and prosecute, they will demand Justice against you. When that Justice fails, as it has in this Lawsuit, you will next hear them march upon your dirty courts and prosecutorial offices. You will next hear the trumpet of the PEOPLE, chanting that they want back every red cent you have stolen from them with your criminal friends on WallStreet/GreedStreet/FraudStreet, pitchforks in hand, seeking Justice and recovery of the estimated 14-46 Trillion you have stolen from World

Markets. Know as you hear their boots upon your steps that Plaintiff fears no pity for your souls will they have, stripping you and yours of all earthly possessions and then your life, hopefully after fair and impartial trials in clean courts but either way your time comes. A black hole awaits you.

## **EXHIBIT 1 – CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE PARTIAL LIST OF KNOWN CONFLICTED PARTIES**

- Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye ("J. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zamas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.; Lewis Melzter - ("Melzter"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe ("Grebe"); Christopher Kise ("Kise"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H.

Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- INTEL Corporation;
- Silicon Graphics Inc.;
- Lockheed Martin Corporation;
- Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
- Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FORMER IVIEWIT MANAttorney GeneralEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. ("Miller"), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein - Board Director ("S. Bernstein"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");
- THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;

- MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit [www.mpegla.com](http://www.mpegla.com) for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit [www.mpegla.com](http://www.mpegla.com) for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. ("Molyneaux"); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kearse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Jeffrey Friedstein ("Friedstein"); Sheldon Friedstein (S. Friedstein"), Donald G. Kane ("Kane"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- David B. Simon, Esq. ("D. Simon");
- Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Davis Polk & Wardell;
- Ropes & Gray LLP;
- Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
- Eliot I. Bernstein, ("Bernstein") a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;
- P. Stephen Lamont, ("Lamont") a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
- SKULL AND BONES; The Russell Trust Co.; Yale Law School;
- Council on Foreign Relations;



- The Bilderberg Group;
- The Federalist Society;
- The Bradley Foundation;

Please include in the COI check the defendants and any other parties in the legally related cases in New York District Court Southern District of New York to Docket No 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT, including but not limited to;

E. United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit 08-4873-cv

F. (07cv11196) Bernstein et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. -

TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT Defendants, in addition to those already listed herein, include but are not limited to;

- STATE OF NEW YORK;
- THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
- STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
- ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
- JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
- DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
- GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
- TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
- STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
- FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
- HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
- THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
- DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
- DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
- CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
- ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
- ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
- PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
- MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
- CATHERINE O'HAAttorney GeneralEN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;

- HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;
- HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
- STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
- ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;
- LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
- ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
- Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff fo Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
- Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
- COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
- VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
- ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- NOEL SENDEL in her official and individual capacities;
- MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
- LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
- MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
- LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp;
- EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
- ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
- WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
- LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
- DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
- ROYAL O'BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBERG, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
- UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;

- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
- I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
- IBM CORPORATION;

**To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:**

- **Andrew Cuomo, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Steven M. Cohen, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,**
- **P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont's filings on behalf of others and more filed with criminal authorities and this Court notified of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)**
- **Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ropes & Gray,**
- **Stanford Financial Group,**
- **Bernard L. Madoff et al.**
- **Marc S. Dreier, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Sony Corporation, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ernst & Young, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Arthur Andersen, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Enron, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**

**G. Other Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY Related to Christine C. Anderson**

- **07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT;**
- **07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.;**
- **07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.;**
- **08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv04053 Gizella Weissshaus v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv04438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.**
- **08cv06368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York**

**EXHIBIT 2- ANDERSON MOTION TO RE-OPEN AND REHEAR AND  
CORRADO LAWSUIT**

CAA  
copy

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

07-cv- 09599 (SAS)

Christine C. Anderson,  
Plaintiff,

Amended  
**Notice of Motion**

against -

THOMAS J. CAHILL, SHERRY K. COHEN,  
and DAVID SPOKONY,  
Defendants.



**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that upon the annexed affirmation of Christine C. Anderson, affirmed on June 25, 2012, and upon the exhibits attached thereto, and all the pleadings herein, plaintiff will move this Court, before the Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin, United States District Judge, for an order pursuant to Rule 40 60 (b) and (d)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, *inter alia*, reopening the herein case, appointing a federal monitor, scheduling further proceedings including a new trial, and for a fair and impartial jury trial as the law may deem just and proper.

**I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.**

**Dated:** June 25, 2012  
New York, New York

Christine C. Anderson, *pro se*  
227 Riverside Drive  
New York, New York 10025  
917-817-7170 tel

**TO:** The Office of the NYS Attorney General  
120 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, New York 10271

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

Christine C. Anderson

Plaintiff,

- against -

Doc. No. 07-cv- 09599 (SAS)

THOMAS J. CAHILL, SHERRY K. COHEN,  
and DAVID SPOKONY

Amended  
**Affirmation  
in Support of Motion  
To Reopen**

Defendants.

---

I, Christine C. Anderson, make the following affirmation under penalties of perjury:

I, Christine C. Anderson, am the plaintiff in the above entitled action, and respectfully move this court to issue an order granting a new trial pursuant to F.R.C.P. 60 (b) and (d)(3), *inter alia*.

The reasons why I am entitled to the relief I seek are the following:

1. This Court should be brought to the realization, in its full entirety, of the knowing cruelty meted out to myself, when the state court consented to terminate my employment of six and one-half years. This Court should be cognizant of the fact of my employment record lauded with glowing evaluations over that time.

2. This injustice has left me blacklisted by the legal profession. Unable to obtain employment in my field, I, a two-time cancer survivor, am essentially destitute.

3. I was unjustly deprived of health and other benefits and forward pension and social security sums, since my termination in June of 2007, thus further aggravating the state of penury to which I have been reduced.

4. Plaintiff moves for the herein relief on the extraordinary and newly discovered basis of the fact that a witness to plaintiff's herein district court case, a defendant-employed attorney Nicole Corrado, has filed a federal lawsuit in the Eastern District of New York, *Corrado v. The New York State Unified Court System (EXHIBIT "A" - EDNY 12cv1748)* now corroborating the fact that she was threatened as a witness in plaintiff's trial. (See attached *Corrado* complaint at paragraphs 27-31) Ms. Corrado was so chilled by the deliberate witness tampering that she did not testify in plaintiff's district court trial. In the interest of justice, this illegal atrocity must be corrected.

5. The confirmation of witness tampering by defendants in this matter, and as supported by the recent Corrado filing, is such a miscarriage of justice so to require this Honorable Court to reopen the case and schedule a new trial, *inter alia*.

6. This Court must insure that any plaintiff such as myself can have a fair trial without witness tampering or such threats upon witnesses so as to prevent their testimony for the court or jury. Corrado's recent filing in the Eastern District fully supports the fact that the defendants acted improperly so to defraud the Honorable Court and plaintiff.

7. The "interests of justice" clearly requires a new trial. See e.g., *Fort Howard Paper Co. v. Standard Havens, Inc.*, 901 F.2d 1373, 1379 (7th Cir. 1990) (affirming grant of new trial after a three-week jury trial).

8. This newly-discovered evidence from the *Corrado case*, only filed April 10, 2012, clearly shows that plaintiff's witness, attorney Nicole Corrado, was threatened and chilled into not testifying at plaintiff's trial- a manifest attack on our system of law and a clear denial of plaintiff's right to a fair trial.

#### **The Clear Need For a New Trial**

9. Witness tampering cannot be condoned or left uncorrected. The *Corrado* filing now shows plaintiff's denial of due process and equal protection guarantees, and right to a fair and impartial trial. See *Snyder v. Massachusetts*, 291 U.S. 97, 105 (1934) ("if a practice or rule offends some principle of justice so rooted in the traditions and conscience of our people as to be ranked as fundamental") and *Eldridge v. Williams*, 424 U.S. 319 335 (1974).

10. In fact, federal law again mandates that a special prosecutor be substituted into this case over defendants' "ethics" entities.

11. Plaintiff's allegations of systemic discrimination and retaliation, now supported by the newly filed *Corrado case*, have substantial impact on the public, the bench and bar, and can no longer be ignored, or left unaddressed by this District Court.

12. Plaintiff's trial, it is now revealed by the *Corrado* filing, left plaintiff with a lawless burden that could never be overcome- that a witness had been threatened, and to an extent to insure that no trial testimony would be given. This, at a minimum, warrants the reopening of the herein case and the scheduling of a new trial.

13. The unfair burden of witness tampering and threats on witnesses in plaintiff's federal proceeding is made even more outrageous by the fact that the threat was made by an attorney-supervisor of the defendant-state's "ethics" committee.



14. A court is under a continuing obligation to supervise the members of its Bar. E.g., *In re Taylor*, 567 F.2d at 1191; see *Musicus v. Westinghouse Electric Corp.*, 621 F.2d 742, 744 (5th Cir.1980) (*per curiam*) (district court obligated to take measures against unethical conduct occurring in proceedings before it). Trial courts have a duty "to exercise that degree of control required by the facts and circumstances of each case to assure the litigants of a fair trial." *Koufakis v. Carvel*, 425 F.2d 892, 900-01 (2d Cir.1970); see ABA Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3(A)(4).

15. Attorneys are officers of the court, *Clark v. United States*, 289 U.S. 1, 12, 53 S.Ct. 465, 468, 77 L.Ed. 993 (1933), and are obligated to adhere to all applicable disciplinary rules, ABA Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 1-102(A), 1-103(A); see *In re Walker*, 87 A.D.2d 555, 560, 448 N.Y.S.2d 474, 479 (1st Dep't 1982).

16. Importantly, Courts have an obligation to report and order investigation into official and at times criminal misconduct. This is a duty of a Court.

17. This Honorable Court is now obligated to report allegations in plaintiff's case involving threats on a witness in a federal proceeding, and as now supported by Corrado, to federal law enforcement.

18. The new *Corrado* evidence further established that in the view of the District Court, Defendant Cahill, the head officer of the DDC and the supervisor of the other defendants, had full knowledge of the practice of whitewashing as alleged by plaintiff, and that led to the parallel conclusion that whitewashing was accepted as a common practice by the defendants, and presumably other staff members of the DDC. It is now fully revealed by *Corrado* that the unlawful acts also include physical threats on witnesses in federal proceedings.

19. A further source of concern to this Court should be that Corrado supported plaintiff's charges of harassment and retaliation. The plaintiff charged that she was singled out for disparate treatment and ultimately illegally terminated after internally reporting the practice of whitewashing of cases to defendants Cahill and Cohen. Plaintiff was physically assaulted in her office by defendant Cohen, a fact admitted by Cohen. That physical abuse by Cohen never resulted in her demotion or transfer. However she was ordered by the New York State Office of Court Administration ("OCA") to attend an anger management course. That failure to discipline served only to embolden Cohen's daily harassment of plaintiff.

20. By preempting Corrado's testimony at trial, she was effectively silenced in attesting to the harassment and retaliation meted out to myself, a fate that she also later was subjected to.

**The Witness Tampering – Threat on Witness - in a Plaintiff's Federal Proceeding**

**MUST BE ADDRESSED**

21. It is now established that in August of 2008, one of the plaintiff's witnesses, DDC staff attorney Nicole Corrado, was threatened. Two days prior to her deposition testimony, state employee, and DDC Deputy Chief Counsel, Andral N. Bratton, and who had been her immediate supervisor for approximately 5 years, confronted Corrado. Bratton advised Corrado that in 2007 he had admitted himself into a psychiatric hospital for serious emotional problems, that he had "suicidal tendencies," and that he was "warning" her accordingly. When Corrado asked Bratton why he was warning her, Bratton simply repeated several times in a very serious and stern tone by saying, "I'm just warning you."

22. Following Corrado's deposition testimony on August 21, 2008, Bratton's behavior toward Corrado became more harassing, troubling, frightening and threatening as he began to follow her inside and outside of the state office where they both worked. Corrado subsequently reported these serious issues to DDC chief counsel Allan Friedberg, who took no requisite action.

23. Plaintiff's former counsel, John Beranbaum, was also chilled by intimidation. He advised the court, and by copy, the Attorney General, of this incident in a letter to the court dated October 24, 2008. In the Beranbaum submission, it was made clear to the court and the Attorney General that Ms. Corrado was given a "'warning' about the testimony she was to give at the deposition[.]" and further advised that "Ms. Corrado is very upset about the entire experience." But Ms. Corrado was so chilled by the threat upon her as a witness in this proceeding that she did not personally come forward until recently by her Eastern District filing.

24. As a result of the threat made upon her in plaintiff's case, Nicole Corrado could only come forward, and the full facts were to be known to plaintiff by her federal filing on April 10, 2012. Corrado could only come forward after the three defendants had left the DDC and thus no longer a daily source of harm to Corrado.

25. Plaintiff's former attorney was also chilled by the threat upon Corrado but could not rely on Corrado's testimony of the threat upon her as a witness because she was so frightened. Mr. Beranbaum was barely able to again raise the issue on the record four days later on October 30, 2008. (See **Exhibit, "B"** - Transcript of October 30, 2008 hearing, Page 26 (lines 17-25), and page 27 (lines 1-8). The court, in responding to the letter advising of the alleged

threat on plaintiff's witness, commented, "You [Mr. Beranbaum] seem to want to tell me something or report it to me. Okay. You report it to me." Mr. Beranbaum was so chilled that he was left speechless, unable to demand the Ms. Corrado be summoned before the court for a hearing on the matter.

26. It is plaintiff's belief that the court had an obligation to report the matter to federal agents and, further, to interview Ms. Corrado concerning the incident. In addition, the Attorney General also had such an obligation as the state's top enforcer of the law.

27. Plaintiff believes she has been severely prejudiced by the threat upon her witness, Ms. Corrado, and, as the court and Attorney General were aware, Ms. Corrado did not appear as a witness in this proceeding. Only now, through Corrado's EDNY filing on April 10, 2012, are the full details known.

**Physical Threats on a Witness, Then Offers of  
Reimbursement to Involved Counsel**

28. Plaintiff is aware that counsel within the Office of the New York Attorney General's office offered to "fully" compensate Mr. Beranbaum for A.J. of his legal fees, expenses, etc., if plaintiff settled her case. While plaintiff is unaware of the exact timing of when the compensation offer, believed to be between \$120,000.00 and \$150,000.00, was actually made, there was no offer of any compensation to plaintiff.

29. Plaintiff is, and always has been, deserving of a constitutionally protected right to a fair and impartial trial. This denial of basic rights must now meet correction, in the interest of justice.

**CONCLUSION**


**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court **reopen the herein case**, appoint a federal monitor, schedule further proceedings including a new trial, and for a fair and impartial jury trial as the law may deem just and proper. **Justice demands no less.**

**DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY**

*The undersigned declares under penalty of perjury that she is the plaintiff in the above action, that she has read the above and that the information contained herein is true and correct. 28 U.S.C. § 1746; 18 U.S.C § 1621.*

**Dated:** New York, New York  
June 25, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

  
Christine C. Anderson, *plaintiff, pro se*  
227 Riverside Drive  
New York, New York 10025  
917-817-7170 tel

**TO:** The Office of the NYS Attorney General  
120 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> floor  
New York, New York 10271

**AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE**

*A* I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to defendants this 25<sup>*th*</sup> day of June, 2012, by U.S. Priority Mail # **0300 6000 0002 1518 9674** to: The Office of the NYS Attorney General, 120 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> floor, New York, New York 10271.

  
Christine C. Anderson, *plaintiff, pro se*

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
NICOLE CORRADO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM,

Defendants.  
-----X

FILED  
IN CLERK'S OFFICE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D. N.Y.

★ APR 10 2012 ★

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

COMPLAINT

Docket No.:

SUMMONS ISSUED

CV 12-1748

NICOLE CORRADO ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, The Law Office of BORRELLI & ASSOCIATES, P.L.L.C., alleges upon knowledge as to herself and her own actions and upon information and belief as to all other matters as follows:

NATURE OF CASE

This is a civil action based upon violations committed by Defendant, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM ("Defendant"), of Plaintiff's rights guaranteed by: (i) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("Title VII") and (ii) any other cause(s) of action that can be inferred from the facts set forth herein.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Plaintiff is an attorney and an employee of the State of New York Unified Court System, since November 2001. Between the years of 2003 through 2009, Defendant subjected Plaintiff to discrimination and harassment on the basis of her gender. Specifically, Defendant repeatedly made unwanted sexual advances, inappropriate sexual comments and sexual overtures to Plaintiff, as well as subject Plaintiff to an unwelcome and toxic work environment by exposing her to continued unlawful behavior of a sexual nature from two males in positions of authority

IRIZARRY, J.  
2012

1      

and supervision over the Plaintiff. When Plaintiff complained to Defendant, her claims were referred to the Office of the Inspector General for the Unified Court System ("OIG"). Once the investigation was completed, Defendant engaged in a pattern of retaliation against the Plaintiff by assigning her an unrealistic work load, unfair evaluations and subjecting Plaintiff to constant scrutiny, criticism and ridicule, forcing Plaintiff to take an unplanned and unwanted two year leave of absence at the height of her professional career, foregoing opportunities for career advancement.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. The supplemental jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 over all state and local law causes of action.
2. Venue is appropriate in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) (1), as one or more of the defendants resides within this judicial district.

#### **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES**

3. Plaintiff filed a "Charge of Discrimination" against Defendant with the Equal Employment Commission ("EEOC"), EEOC Charge No. 526-2009-03816, on May 29, 2009, based on sexual harassment discrimination, religious discrimination and retaliation. On January 11, 2012, EEOC issued Plaintiff a "Dismissal and Notice of Suit Rights." Plaintiff timely filed the instant matter within 90 days of receiving that letter.

#### **PARTIES**

4. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Queens.
5. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff is female.

6. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff is an attorney, employee and a qualified person to work under the definition of Title VII.
7. At all relevant times herein Unified Court System ("Defendant") is the official name of the judicial system of New York in the United States, with offices and Court houses all over the state of New York in each and every county. Defendant functions under the Chief Judge of the New York Court of Appeals and Defendant oversees all legal actions brought in the state of New York.
8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant appointed an independent Committee, known as the Departmental Disciplinary Committee ("DDC") comprised of lawyers and non-lawyers to handle complaints of a disciplinary nature against lawyers whose offices are in Manhattan or the Bronx. DDC's office and place of business is located at First Judicial Department 61 Broadway, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor New York, New York.
9. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff worked at the Defendant's office within the DDC located at 61 Broadway, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor New York, New York 10006.
10. At all relevant times herein, Defendant was an "employer" that "employs" at least 15 "employees" within the meaning of Title VII.

#### **BACKGROUND FACTS**

11. Plaintiff commenced her employment with Defendant on November 8, 2001.
12. Plaintiff was initially hired as an Associate Attorney and then as a result of her hard work and dedication to her cases was promoted to the Role of Principal Attorney in 2006.



13. As Principal Attorney, Plaintiff's responsibilities remained the same as those of an Associate attorney in that she investigated and litigated disciplinary matters involving attorneys with offices in Manhattan and the Bronx.
14. In or around 2002, Andral Bratton became Plaintiff's immediate Supervisor.
15. From 2003 until 2008, while supervising Plaintiff, Bratton admitted to developing a strong sexual attraction for Plaintiff resulting in frequent comments about his desire to have an intimate relationship with her, and later admitted during a subsequent investigation conducted by the OIG that he wanted to be in a relationship with Plaintiff and that he was "foolish as hell for crossing an emotional boundary with Plaintiff."
16. From 2003 until 2008, Bratton continuously subjected Plaintiff to a hostile work environment by engaging in the activity including but not limited to: making numerous inappropriate and unwelcomed comments filled with sexual innuendos to Plaintiff; frequently calling her at home in the evening and on week-ends subtly expressing his sexual desire for her and threatening her job if she did not return his affections.
17. Each comment Bratton made as stated above was sexual in nature and uttered for the purpose of either requesting sexual favors or for personal sexual gratification.
18. Specifically, during the course of his supervision of Plaintiff, Bratton would make statements such as "I feel like someone had ripped into my chest and ripped my heart out and stomped it to the floor" because he was married and wanted to have an extra-marital affair with Plaintiff.
19. On numerous occasions Bratton would scan Plaintiff up and down with lust in his eyes. On one occasion Plaintiff was wearing a loose sweater that slightly exposed her shoulder, Bratton remarked, "With you Nicole a little skin showing goes a long way."

20. On another occasion, in response to Plaintiff objecting to Bratton's conduct and asking him to conduct himself in an appropriate manner, because Plaintiff was uncomfortable with his numerous advances, Bratton responded in sum and substance that he felt like a "loaded pistol" in describing his compelling attraction to the Plaintiff.
21. On numerous occasions when Plaintiff discouraged him from making sexually charged remarks, Bratton, aware of the power he held as her supervisor would state, "You *need* to be nice to me."
22. Bratton would also repeatedly call Plaintiff on the phone on random nights expressing his desire for her, in that he wanted her attention and needed to be close to her. In distressed tones he would often state, "I have no one else to turn to" further demonstrating his constant need to be in contact with Plaintiff.
23. At no time did Plaintiff ever share or return any of Bratton's feelings and frequently expressed to him that his comments, sexual innuendos and lustful gazes were inappropriate and made her exceedingly uncomfortable.
24. In or about June of 2007 as a result of Bratton's, at times daily comments, continued demand for attention from Plaintiff and numerous phone calls during and after work hours and on week-ends. Plaintiff requested to be transferred to another supervisor.
25. Shortly after Plaintiff's transfer request, Bratton took a leave of absence from Defendant's employ for several months, returning in August 2007.
26. Upon Bratton's return to the office Plaintiff kept her distance and avoided contact with him.

27. In or around June of 2008, Defendant learned Plaintiff would be testifying as a non-party witness in a civil action against Defendant which alleged racial discrimination and other improper conduct on the part of Defendant and its supervisors.
28. In or around June of 2008, in retaliation for Plaintiff agreeing to provide corroborating testimony in the aforementioned discrimination suit, Alan Friedberg, the Division Chief, began closely monitoring Plaintiff's conduct and writing memos reflecting negative comments concerning Plaintiff's productivity and work practices in her file, while not disclosing said memos to Plaintiff.
29. In or around August 2008, approximately two days prior to Plaintiff testifying in the discrimination case against Defendant, Bratton approached Plaintiff in her office and informed her that in 2007, as a result of her rejecting him, he admitted himself into the psychiatric ward at St. Vincent's hospital for "severe depression and suicidal tendencies" and that he was warning her accordingly. When Plaintiff asked Bratton what he meant, Bratton stated in response, "I am just warning you" while staring intensely at the Plaintiff.
30. On or around August 21, 2008, Plaintiff gave testimony against Defendant in the discrimination lawsuit.
31. On or about September 17, 2008, in response to Bratton's warning, and in fear for her safety, Plaintiff reported Bratton's long pattern of sexual harassment and now threatening behavior to Friedberg. Plaintiff also reported Vincent Ranieri's pattern of sexual harassment against her that she had experienced from 2003 to 2008.

32. During 2003-2008, Defendant employed Ranieri as the Chief Investigator at DDC, having supervisory authority over cases being investigated by Defendant and the internal office operations.
33. From 2004 through 2008, Ranieri would repeatedly make statements to Plaintiff such as "I can force you to be with me if I want to" and "I can take care of you in other ways, even if I can't take care of you sexually."
34. Ranieri also made statements like you don't need anyone but me, as well as commenting on Plaintiff's clothes and appearance and would often state how good she looked in her clothes and how well she wore them.
35. Ranieri would also state that he dreamed of Plaintiff at night, and that he would awake at night thinking of Plaintiff.
36. Ranieri repeatedly called Plaintiff to say "I love you" and "I miss you."
37. Each comment Ranieri made was sexual in nature and uttered for the purpose of either requesting sexual favors or for personal sexual gratification.
38. Ranieri also forcibly and repeatedly kissed Plaintiff on several occasions on the mouth without her consent. Ranieri also frequently touched Plaintiff's hair and face, while expressing a desire to be in an intimate relationship with Plaintiff.
39. At no time did Plaintiff ever share or return any of Ranieri's feelings and frequently expressed to him that his sexual comments, inappropriate touching and kissing made her extremely uncomfortable.
40. In spite of Plaintiff reporting both Bratton and Ranieri's sexual harassment of her, Friedberg only selectively documented Plaintiff's allegations of sexual harassment involving Mr. Bratton to the OIG.

41. From September 2008 thru October 2008, the OIG conducted an investigation into Plaintiff's allegations solely in relation to Bratton.
42. During the investigation Bratton admitted to making comments where he expressed his desire and attraction to the Plaintiff and described himself as "crossing an emotional boundary with Plaintiff," and that he had become "smitten" with Plaintiff.
43. Coincidentally, during the OIG investigation, Friedberg, made few if any notations and/or wrote any adverse memos to Plaintiff's personnel file.
44. Once the OIG investigation ended, in or about October of 2008, Friedberg in retaliation to Plaintiff's complaint significantly intensified his monitoring of Plaintiff, at times making daily adverse notations about the Plaintiff in her personnel file.
45. Although a seven year veteran of Defendant's office, and a former prosecutor and criminal defense attorney, Friedberg began ridiculing Plaintiff, criticizing Plaintiff's investigative and litigation skills and techniques.
46. Upon the conclusion of OIG's investigation and in spite of Bratton's admissions, and Defendant's conclusion that Bratton "engaged in inappropriate conduct as Plaintiff's Supervisor" with the Plaintiff, they decided to merely transfer him to another unit with the same salary and benefits.
47. On or about that same time, Friedberg also informed Plaintiff that Bratton would still be permitted unrestricted access to her department and that she should just "avoid" him.
48. Subsequent to the OIG's finding of impropriety, Bratton appeared, without reprimand, at Plaintiff's office on several occasions without prior notice to the Plaintiff, not withstanding her request for such notice.

49. From October of 2008 thru August of 2009, Plaintiff became increasingly anxious and distressed and feared for her safety and the safety of her child, as a result of the contact she was subjected to from Bratton, Raniere and the relentless, scrutiny and ridicule she received from Friedberg.
50. In May of 2009, Plaintiff filed EEOC charges against Defendant and included years of sexual harassment that she also experienced from Raniere.
51. In or around October of 2008, during the OIG investigation, Friedberg admitted to being aware of Raniere's inappropriate comments to other females in the office; however Defendant never did anything to reprimand Raniere or take any type of disciplinary action against him.
52. In or around July of 2009, in spite of Plaintiff's pending allegations against Raniere, Defendant instructed Plaintiff that all investigations must go through Raniere and thus mandated that Plaintiff have continued contact with Raniere.
53. In or around July 2009, Friedberg further increased his monitoring of Plaintiff's activities and repeatedly ordered her to attend a work related counseling session threatening her with job termination if she failed to comply.
54. From January of 2009 through July 2009, Defendant also assigned Plaintiff unreasonable workloads and constantly criticized the manner in which she handled her cases.
55. From January 2008 thru July of 2009, Plaintiff became increasingly anxious, distressed and suffered extreme emotional pain, loss of appetite and numerous bouts of insomnia as a result of Defendant's acts of sexual harassment and then subsequent retaliation.

56. On August 24, of 2009, as a result of the anxiety and emotional distress Plaintiff experienced as a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff took an unpaid leave of absence during the height of her career, which lasted two years and resulted in Plaintiff losing the opportunities to apply for administrative positions commensurate with her experience.
57. In or around August 2011, Plaintiff, returned to work for the Defendant, once all of the above named individuals had either resigned or retired.
58. In 2008, Plaintiff retained the services of an attorney with offices in New York City to represent her in a Supreme Court civil action involving a property issue (*Corrado v. East End Pool & Hot tub, James King et al* Index # 22430/2005).
59. While Plaintiff's civil matter was pending and subsequent to Plaintiff's EEOC charge of sexual harassment and retaliation, in August 2009, Defendant initiated an investigation unrelated to her underlying civil action against her attorney involving serious ethical charges of bribery and forgery.
60. In May of 2010, Plaintiff's attorney in the underlying civil action abruptly withdrew as Plaintiff's counsel and her case of five years was subsequently dismissed and she was ultimately forced to settle her case for a fraction of its value.
61. In May 2010, all of the serious ethical charges against Plaintiff's attorney initiated by Defendant that would normally result in formal disciplinary action were also dismissed.
62. At no time during the disciplinary action against Plaintiff's attorney or any time thereafter did Plaintiff's attorney disclose to plaintiff that he was the subject of a disciplinary investigation by Defendant.

63. At no time during the disciplinary action against Plaintiff's attorney or any time thereafter did Defendant disclose to Plaintiff any of Defendant's investigation of her attorney's disciplinary action, violating the rules of professional conduct.

**FIRST CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT**  
*(Sexual Harassment Discrimination and Retaliation under Title VII)*

64. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth above with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

65. Title VII prohibits discrimination in the terms, conditions, and privileges of employment on the basis of an individual's gender and sex also prohibits retaliation against individuals who in good faith complain about discriminatory practices to which they have been subjected.

66. Defendant, as described above, discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of Title VII by taking adverse employment actions against Plaintiff because of her gender.

67. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff in violation of Title VII for Plaintiff having in good faith opposed Defendant's discriminatory practices by taking the various adverse employment actions described above against her.

68. As a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial losses, including loss of past and future earnings and other employment benefits, and has suffered other monetary damages and compensatory damages for, inter alia, mental anguish, emotional distress, humiliation, and loss of reputation.

69. Defendant acted intentionally and with malice and reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights under Title VII and is thereby liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages under Title VII.



**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, demands judgment against Defendant is as follows:

65. Enter a judgment declaring that Defendant's patterns, practices and omissions, as described above, violate the law;
66. Enter a judgment and award in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for reasonable monetary damages, including back pay (plus interest or an appropriate inflation factor and enhancement to offset adverse tax consequences associated with lump sum receipt of back pay), front pay, benefits and all other damages owed to Plaintiff in an amount proven at trial, resulting from Defendant's unlawful and discriminatory acts or omissions;
67. Enter a judgment and award in favor of Plaintiff for the compensatory, punitive, exemplary and liquidated damages available under all applicable Federal, State, and Local laws;
68. Enter a judgment and award in favor of the Plaintiff for costs, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, experts' fees, and other costs and expenses of this litigation;
69. Enter a judgment and award in favor of Plaintiff for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
70. Award such other and further legal and equitable relief as may be found appropriate and as this Court may deem just and proper; and
71. Retain jurisdiction over this action until such time as it is satisfied that Defendant has remedied the practices complained of and is determined to be in full compliance with the law.


**DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.

Dated: Great Neck, NY  
April 9, 2012

Respectfully submitted,  
The Law Office of  
BORRELLI & ASSOCIATES, P.L.L.C.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
1010 Northern Blvd., Suite 328  
Great Neck, New York 11021  
Tel. (516) 248 - 5359  
Fax. (516) 248 - 6027

By:



BERNITTA L. JOSEPH (BLJ1064)  
MICHAEL J. BORRELLI (MB8533)

-----  
 CATHEDRAL STA.  
 NEW YORK, New York  
 100260298  
 9558251033-0088  
 06/25/2012 (800)275-8777 11:43:39 AM  
 -----

----- Sales Receipt -----

Product Description	Qty	Rate Unit Price	Final Price
NEW YORK NY 10271			\$5.15
Zone-1 Priority Mail			
Flat Rate Env			
9.40 oz.			
Expected Delivery: Tue 06/26/12			
Delivery Confirmation			\$0.75
Label #:		9300600000215169674	
Customer Postage			\$5.65
Subtotal:			\$0.25
Issue P&C:			\$0.25
-----			
Total:			\$0.25
Paid by:			
Cash			\$0.25

Order stamps at [usps.com/shop](http://usps.com/shop) or  
 call 1-800-Stamp24. Go to  
[usps.com/clickship](http://usps.com/clickship) to print  
 shipping labels with postage. For  
 address and postage rates

**U.S. Postal Service Delivery Confirmation Receipt**

Postage and Delivery Confirmation fees must be paid before mailing.

Article Title (To be completed by mailer)  
*Attorney General's Office*  
*120 Broadway, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor*  
*New York, NY 10271*

DELIVERY CONFIRMATION NUMBER: 0300 6000 0002 1516 9674

POSTAL CUSTOMER:  
 Keep this receipt. For info, see Access  
 Internet web site at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)  
 or call 1-800-275-8777

NEW YORK NY 10271  
 JUN 25 2012

CHECK ONE (POSTAL USE ONLY)  
 Priority Mail  
 Standard Mail (B)

PS Form 3822, March 2009 (See Reverse)

Exhibits and Attachments Contained in this Filing Can be found online @  
<https://docs.google.com/file/d/0B2uaBj3kU8urYWNtaHc0QmVTMG8/edit?pli=1>

## EXHIBIT 3 – FRANKLIN PEREZ INFORMATION

January 05, 2010 Order Signed by, Franklin Perez, may be part of a much larger FRAUD on the COURTS being committed in the US Second Circuit with the aid of Members of this Court, as revealed in a Lawsuit filed and represented by Attorney Ruth M. Pollack, Esquire, titled,

**“IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES - KEVIN G. CHESNEY AND LORRAINE CHESNEY, PETITIONERS V. VALLEY STREAM UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 24, ET AL., RESPONDENTS”**

-----  
**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT**

-----  
**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

filed with the US Supreme Court and found online at [http://www.scribd.com/doc/58592324/Ruth-Pollack-SCOTUS-Petition-for-Certiorari-on-2nd-Circuit-Court-Fraud?secret\\_password=&autodown=pdf](http://www.scribd.com/doc/58592324/Ruth-Pollack-SCOTUS-Petition-for-Certiorari-on-2nd-Circuit-Court-Fraud?secret_password=&autodown=pdf) and the filed case at the US Supreme Court and the attached URL are hereby incorporated by reference in ENTIRETY herein.

All arguments contained within the Chesney’s Lawsuit regarding Fraudulent Court Orders pertaining to Franklin Perez and Defendant Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, are hereby further included in this Motion as further PRIMA FACIE evidence of THIS COURT’S CONTINUED & ONGOING OBSTRUCTIONS caused by Court Officials in a plethora of cases, including this one where both Perez and Wolfe are directly involved. Please print the document at this URL and include the SUPREME COURT CASE DOCKET for this case and attach them as physical Exhibits to this Motion, due to the ongoing claims of docket fraud and document fraud as alleged in the Chesney/Pollack case, the Anderson Lawsuit and the Legally Related Lawsuits to Anderson. These document and docket frauds may be affecting not only the lawsuits related herein but tens of thousands of other US Civil and Criminal Cases, therefore all exhibits should be printed and added directly to this Motion. From the Online Court Filing in the above matter, quote,

“e) immediate stay of appeal pending criminal investigation into docket fraud, file destruction and conference with judges, and f) stay of appeal pending “resolution of [Petitioners’] anticipated writs of certiorari, mandamus to the United States Supreme Court, based on impossibility of briefing within appeal due to destroyed record and fraudulent Order signed by Operation’s Analyst Franklin Perez for RCW [Justice Richard C. Wesley, Esq.],” and g) a default judgment due to proven tampering, destruction and fake Orders. App. 69-92 This case is unique and shocks the conscience for its total lack of due process under FED. R. CIV. P. at the trial court level and under FED. R. APP. P. at the appellate level. The Second Circuit failed to afford Petitioners with due process in multiple ways in that there were:

- 1) no docketed, annotated, certified Record on Appeal; App. 106-120
- 2) no certified transcripts of district court proceedings; App. 106-120 17
- 3) no original lower court documents as stated on the Second Circuit General Docket as published on PACER;
- 4) no CAMP conference; App. 106-120
- 5) no briefing schedule or pre-briefing conference; App. 106-120
- 6) no oral arguments, even though oral argument was formally requested seven (7) times; App. 106-120
- 7) no panel of judges or single judge, at least twelve (12) different judges’ names appeared without their knowledge on fake Orders and on the fake

General Docket, but no judge or panel of judges ever heard the case or met with the parties; App. 106-120

8) no appearance of this case or any of its seven (7) T-1080 motions by Petitioners appeared on any approved calendars maintained by the Clerk of the Court; App. 93-105

9) no judge's signature on any documents or purported orders of the Court;

10) no valid orders were issued; in fact, all motions by Petitioners were falsely claimed to have been "sua sponte" denied by the Court, even though none were ever calendared or seen by a judge or a panel of judges as required by FED. R. APP. P.; App. 10 a fake "Order" dated, filed by stamp of January 07, 2010 is falsely docketed on the General Docket as 18 "entered" on January 8, 2010, signed by "Operations Analyst Franklin Perez for Judge Richard C. Wesley (RCW by FP)."

App. 7-9 The fake order, miss-mailed to an incorrect address late and post marked four (4) days later to Petitioners' legal counsel Pollack, contains three sitting judges' names all in contravention of FED. R. APP. P. § 25(a)(2)(B)(ii). Hence, there never was a briefing "Order" or a "certified" and "mandated" "Order" dismissing (disposing of) this phantom appeal. App. 1-4

11) No judges present on any calendars. According to the Court's Approved Calendar for the Week of January 4 through January 8, 2010 in the Ceremonial Courtroom (9th Floor), none of the named judges on the fake "order" were "Present" on the date or week indicated. The instant case did not appear on this week's "approved" calendar. None of the fake orders in the instant case appeared on any of the Court's corresponding calendars. App. 93-105 Mr. Perez also appears in other cases as "Deputy Clerk Frank Perez." cf. App. 7-9

12) Staff attorneys with no authority to do so, signed fake Orders and issued them late under unknowing judges' names and failed to docket the fake Orders.

13) No opposition or lawful participation by pro se Respondent – Respondent school 19 district from April 29, 2009 to date – the duration of the case in the Circuit – resulting in a total default by the school district, a fact never acknowledged by the District Court, Circuit Court or Clerk;

14) Circuit Clerk abducted Petitioners' case in that she acted as attorney and counsel on behalf of the defaulting school district in violation of FED. R. APP. P. §§ 45 and 45.1. [Clerk's Duties]

15) Purported Order dated May 5, 2010 that "disposed" of this phantom "appeal" was not seen by any judge or panel of judges, not calendared or entered onto the Court docket, but is purportedly "mandated" on June 10, 2010 and not "docketed" until June 24, 2010. This fake Order was not mandated or sent to and docketed by the district court. App. 1-4, 121

16) No true case manager on the case. The docket reflects at least twelve (12) different "case managers" from several different departments of the Court. App. 106-120

**EXHIBIT 3 – CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS**

1

2

3

**EXHIBIT 4 – ETHICS COMPLAINT**

1

2

3