

08-4873-CV

United States Court of Appeal for the Second Circuit

Justices: Debra Ann Livingston, Richard C. Wesley, Peter W.
Hall and Ralph K. Winter, Jr.

Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Pro Se

Plaintiff – Appellant

--v--

Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee et al.

Defendants – Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CASE 07 CIV. 11196 (SHIRA ANNE SCHEINDLIN)

LEGALLY RELATED CASE BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN TO:

(07 CIV. 9599) (SAS-AJP) CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET
AL.

CASES SEEKING OR RELATED TO ANDERSON:

1. 08-4873-CV UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
DOCKET - BERNSTEIN, ET AL. V APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT
DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE, ET AL. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT
2. CAPOGROSSO V NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT,
ET AL.
3. ESPOSITO V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
4. MCKEOWN V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.

5. RELATED CASES @ US DISTRICT COURT - SOUTHERN DISTRICT NY
 6. 07CV09599 ANDERSON V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT WHICH OTHER CASES HAVE BEEN MARKED LEGALLY "RELATED" TO BY FED. JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN
 7. 07CV11196 BERNSTEIN, ET AL. V APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE, ET AL.
 8. 07CV11612 ESPOSITO V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
 9. 08CV00526 CAPOGROSSO V NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT, ET AL.
 10. 08CV02391 MCKEOWN V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
 11. 08CV02852 GALISON V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
 12. 08CV03305 CARVEL V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
 13. 08CV4053 GIZELLA WEISSHAUS V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
 14. 08CV4438 SUZANNE MCCORMICK V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
 15. 08 CV 6368 JOHN L. PETREC-TOLINO V. THE STATE OF NEW YORK
 16. 06CV05169 MCNAMARA V THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.
-

EMERGENCY MOTION TO:

- I.** Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Attorney General's now Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest both past and present, in acting ILLEGALLY as Counsel for 39 plus State Defendant/Actors in this Lawsuit by Violating Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law.
- II.** Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Supreme Court's Attorney Whistleblower, Christine C. Anderson's ("Anderson") Felony Criminal Allegations against SENIOR Court Officials, Public Officials et al.
- III.** HALT THIS LAWSUIT and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits, pending investigations of Whistleblower Anderson's FELONY CRIMINAL Allegations against Members of the New York Attorney General's Office, the US Attorney's Office, the New York District Attorney's Office, New York State Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others. FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS EXPOSED in US Federal District Court, THIS COURT and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee by the HEROIC TESTIMONY and SWORN STATEMENTS of NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON.
- IV.** IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL Justices and other Members of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals (this Court) whom have acted to this point in this Lawsuit in any capacity whatsoever, for Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court, Obstruction of Justice, Denial of Due Process and more.
- V.** Remove ALL other Conflicts of Interest currently in place in this Lawsuit in order to implement FAIR & IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.
- VI.** DEMAND that ALL parties to this Lawsuit going forward, including but not limited to, Court Justices & Officials, Attorneys at Law, Prosecutors, Clerks, et al. Sign and Affirm

Conflict of Interest Disclosures identical to the one attached herein, acknowledging PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES for any violation, prior to, ANY further Action by ANYONE in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.

VII. Demand for Justices and others named herein of this Court to turn themselves in to the appropriate State and Federal Criminal Authorities to ANSWER to filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against them and served upon them.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE
2753 N.W. 34TH STREET
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o) / (561) 886.7628 (c) / (561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv / www.iviewit.tv

Table of Contents

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (COI) DISCLOSURE FORM	7
MOTION TO	Error! Bookmark not defined.
I. INTRODUCTION.....	24
A. CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON, ESQ., NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER TESTIMONY REVEALS A CRIMINAL RICO CARTEL COUP D'ÉTAT ON GOVERNMENT AT THE HIGHEST OUTPOSTS OF LAW AND REGULATION.....	24
B. MEET THE COUPSTERS.....	27
C. THE CONTROLLED DEMOLITION OF WORLD MARKETS BY ATTORNEYS AT LAW OPERATING AS A CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE AND INFILTRATING SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICES, INCLUDING WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND THE COURTS	39
D. WHERE IS THE JUSTICE? THE CRIMINAL ROLE OF THIS COURT IN AIDING AND ABETTING THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE	51
II. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (THIS COURT) WHOM HAVE CURRENTLY ACTED IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ANYWAY WHATSOEVER, FOR THEIR PART IN AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD ON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS, HIGH CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS AND MORE	71
III. REMAND, HALT AND REHEAR THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S NOW ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, BOTH PAST AND PRESENT, IN ACTING ILLEGALLY AS COUNSEL THEIR OFFICE AND ADDITIONALLY FOR 39 PLUS STATE DEFENDANT/ACTORS IN THIS LAWSUIT, BY VIOLATING PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & REGULATIONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE & FEDERAL LAW	85
IV. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON'S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE	93
HALT THIS LAWSUIT AND THE "LEGALLY RELATED" LAWSUITS, PENDING INVESTIGATIONS OF WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON'S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST MEMBERS OF, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	

OFFICE, THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, JUSTICES OF THE COURTS, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS AND OTHERS, BASED ON FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. THE FELONY CRIMES ALLEGED BY ANDERSON, DIRECTLY RELATE TO THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, INCLUDING HAVING SEVERAL IDENTICAL NEW YORK STATE PUBLIC OFFICIAL ACTOR/DEFENDANTS AND THE ANDERSON ALLEGATIONS ARE WHOLLY GERMANE TO THE NEXUS OF THE IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT CRIMES ALLEGED. FURTHER THE TWO LAWSUITS ARE “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN. 97

V. REMOVE AND REPORT ALL OTHER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, VIOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES, VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE AND FEDERAL LAW, CURRENTLY IN PLACE IN THIS RICO LAWSUIT AND RELATED CASES, IN ORDER TO IMPART FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW 97

VI. DEMAND THAT ALL PARTIES TO THIS LAWSUIT GOING FORWARD, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COURT JUSTICES & OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, CLERKS, ETC. SIGN AFFIRMED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES, IDENTICAL TO THE ONE ATTACHED HEREIN, ACKNOWLEDGING PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES FOR ANY VIOLATION, PRIOR TO, ANY FURTHER ACTION BY ANYONE IN THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT. 98

VII. DEMAND FOR JUSTICES OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT TO TURN THEMSELVES IN TO STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES TO ANSWER TO FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEM AND SERVED UPON THEM.. 98

VIII. ALLEGED CRIMES ONGOING BY P. STEPHEN LAMONT ET AL. BOTH KNOWN AND UNKNOWN AND FRAUD ON THIS COURT, THE US DISTRICT COURT AND NOW OTHER COURTS INCLUDING THE SUPREME COURT AND MORE..... 98

IX. PLAINTIFF SEEKS LEAVE TO AMEND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT TO ADD NEW DEFENDANTS AND NEW ALLEGED CRIMES NEWLY DISCOVERED 99

X. RELIEF..... 100

EXHIBIT 1 – CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE PARTIAL LIST OF KNOWN CONFLICTED PARTIES 101

EXHIBIT 2..... 107

EXHIBIT 3 – CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS 109

EXHIBIT 4 – ETHICS COMPLAINT 109

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (COI) DISCLOSURE FORM



*"Lasciate ogni speranza, voi ch'intrate"*¹
whom fail to heed this form.

THIS COI MUST BE SIGNED AND RETURNED PRIOR TO ANY ACTION BY YOU IN THESE MATTERS

Please accept and return signed, the following Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form (COI) before continuing further with adjudication, review or investigation of the attached MOTION to the **United States Second Circuit Court**, titled,

MOTION TO:

- I.** Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Attorney General's now Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest both past and present, in acting **ILLEGALLY** as Counsel for 39 plus State Defendant/Actors in this Lawsuit by Violating Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law.
- II.** Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Supreme Court's Attorney Whistleblower, Christine C. Anderson's ("Anderson") Felony Criminal Allegations against **SENIOR** Court Officials, Public Officials et al.
- III.** **HALT THIS LAWSUIT** and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits, pending investigations of Whistleblower Anderson's **FELONY CRIMINAL** Allegations against Members of the New York Attorney General's Office, the US Attorney's Office, the New York District Attorney's Office, New York State Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others. **FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS EXPOSED** in US Federal District Court, **THIS COURT** and before the New York Senate

¹ il Sommo Poeta ~ Durante degli Alighieri, "Divina Commedia" 1308-1321 Canto III

Judiciary Committee by the HEROIC TESTIMONY and SWORN STATEMENTS of NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON.

- IV. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL Justices and other Members of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals (this Court) whom have acted to this point in this Lawsuit in any capacity whatsoever, for Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court, Obstruction of Justice, Denial of Due Process and more.
- V. Remove ALL other Conflicts of Interest currently in place in this Lawsuit in order to implement FAIR & IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.
- VI. DEMAND that ALL parties to this Lawsuit going forward, including but not limited to, Court Justices & Officials, Attorneys at Law, Prosecutors, Clerks, et al. Sign and Affirm Conflict of Interest Disclosures identical to the one attached herein, acknowledging PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES for any violation, prior to, ANY further Action by ANYONE in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.
- VII. Demand for Justices and others named herein of this Court to turn themselves in to the appropriate State and Federal Criminal Authorities to ANSWER to filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against them and served upon them.

AFTER 10 DAYS, IF THIS FORM HAS NOT BEEN SIGNED OR SUBSEQUENTLY TURNED OVER TO A NON CONFLICTED PARTY, YOUR FAILURE TO COMPLY MAY RESULT IN CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CHARGES FILED AGAINST YOU FOR AIDING AND ABETTING A RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION, FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND MORE, AS NOTED HEREIN.

The Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form is designed to ensure that the review and any determination from such review of the enclosed materials should not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other conflicting interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this confidential information. Whereby any conflict with any of the main alleged perpetrators of the alleged crimes referenced in these matters herein, or any other perpetrators not known at this time, must be fully disclosed in writing and returned by anyone reviewing these matters prior to making ANY determination.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers, by any party, to any of the following questions, are demanded not to open the remainder of the documents or opine in any manner, until the signed COI is reviewed and approved by the Iviewit companies and Eliot I. Bernstein. If you feel that a Conflict of Interest exists that cannot be eliminated through conflict resolution with the Iviewit Companies or Eliot Bernstein, instantly forward the matters to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict that can sign and complete the requisite disclosure. Please identify conflicts that you have, in writing, upon terminating your involvement in the matters to the address listed at the end of this disclosure form for Iviewit companies or Eliot I. Bernstein. As many of these alleged perpetrators are large law firms, lawyers, members of various state and federal courts, officers of federal, state and local law enforcement and regulatory agencies, careful review and disclosure of any conflict with those named herein is pertinent in your continued handling of these matters objectively.

These matters already involve claims of, including but not limited to, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Offices, Whitewashing of Official Complaints in the Supreme Courts of New York, Florida, Virginia and elsewhere, Threatening a Federal Witness in a "legally related" Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, Document Destruction and Alteration, Obstructions of Justice, RICO, ATTEMPTED MURDER and much more. The need for prescreening for conflict is essential to the administration of due process in these matters and necessary to avoid charges of OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, against you. US Federal District Court Judge, Shira A. Scheindlin, legally related the matters to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson, Esq. who alleges similar claims of public office corruption against Supreme Court of New York Officials, US Attorneys, NY District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys. Therefore, this Conflict Check is a formal request for full disclosure of any conflict on your part, such request conforming with all applicable state and

federal laws, public office rules and regulations, attorney conduct codes and judicial canons or other international law and treatises requiring disclosure of conflicts and disqualification from these matters where conflict precludes involvement.

Failure to comply with all applicable conflict disclosure rules, public office rules and regulations, and, state, federal and international laws, prior to continued action on your part, **shall constitute cause** for the filing of criminal and civil complaints against you for any decisions or actions you make prior to a signed Conflict Of Interest Disclosure Form. Charges will be filed against you for failure to comply. Complaints will be filed with all appropriate authorities, including but not limited to, the appropriate Federal, State, Local and International Law Enforcement Agencies, Public Integrity Officials, Judicial Conduct Officials, State and Federal Bar Associations, Disciplinary Departments and any/all other appropriate agencies.

I. Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s) in any entity, or any of the parties listed in **EXHIBIT 1** of this document, or any of the named Defendants in these matters contained at the URL, <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer> ? Please review the online index in entirety prior to answering, as there are several thousand persons and entities.
 NO **YES**

Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

II. Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), in any entity, or any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), to ANY other known, or unknown person, or known or unknown entity, not named herein, which will cause your review of the materials you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest(s) or any other interest(s)?
 NO **YES**

Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

III. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any person or entity related in any way to the parties defined in Question I, including but not limited to, campaign contributions whether direct, "in kind" or of any type at all?
 NO **YES**

Please describe in detail any interests or conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information regarding the conflicts or considerations. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

IV. Have you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, had any prior communication(s), including but not limited to, phone, facsimile, e-mail, mail, verbal, etc., with any person related to the proceedings of Iviewit, Eliot Ivan Bernstein or the related matters in anyway and parties in Question I?
 NO **YES**

Please describe in detail any identified communication(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the communication(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the communication(s) in detail, including but not limited to, who was present, what type of communication, the date and time, length, what was discussed, please affirm whether such communication(s) present a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

V. I have run a thorough and exhaustive Conflict of Interest check, conforming to any/all, state, federal and local laws, public office rules and regulations, and, any professional association rules and regulations, regarding disclosure of any/all conflicts. I have verified that my spouse, my dependents, and I, in the aggregate, have no conflicts with any parties or entities to the matters referenced herein. I understand that any undisclosed conflicts, relations, relationships and interests, will result in criminal and civil charges filed against me both personally and professionally.

NO **YES**

VI. I have notified all parties with any liabilities regarding my continued actions in these matters, including state agencies, shareholders, bondholders, auditors and insurance concerns or any other person with liability that may result from my actions in these matters as required by any laws, regulations and public office rules I am bound by.

NO **YES**

RELEVANT SECTIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND LAW

Conflict of Interest Laws & Regulations

Conflict of interest indicates a situation where a private interest may influence a public decision. Conflict of Interest Laws are Laws and designed to prevent Conflicts of Interest that deny fair and impartial due process and procedure thereby Obstructing Justice in State and Federal, Civil and Criminal Proceedings. These Laws may contain provisions related to financial or asset disclosure, exploitation of one's official position and privileges, improper relationships, regulation of campaign practices, etc. The Relevant Sections of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law listed herein are merely a benchmark guide and other state, federal and international laws, rules and regulations may be applicable to your particular circumstances in reviewing or acting in these matters. For a more complete list of applicable sections of law relating to these matters, please visit the URL,

http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#_Toc107852933,

fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

New York State Consolidated Laws Penal

ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES

S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree

S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree

S 200.05 Bribery; defense
S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree
S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree
S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree
S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense
S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree
S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree
S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree
S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities
S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities
S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of term
S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office
S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office

ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEMENTS

S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree. S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree.
S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense
S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree
S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree
S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree
S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree

NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers

Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1

ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTICLE 15 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

S 468-b. Clients' security fund of the state of New York

S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law

S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the law

S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general

S 487. Misconduct by attorneys

S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.

Public Officers Law SEC 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and Former State Officers and Employees

Public Officers Law SEC 74 Code of Ethics

Conflicts of Interest Law, found in Chapter 68 of the New York City Charter, the City's Financial Disclosure Law, set forth in section 12-110 of the New York City Administrative Code, and the Lobbyist Gift Law, found in sections 3-224 through 3-228 of the Administrative Code.

TITLE 18 FEDERAL CODE & OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAW

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Fraud upon the court

FRAUD on the COURT

In the United States, when an officer of the court is found to have fraudulently presented facts to court so that the court is impaired in the impartial performance of its legal task, the act, known as "fraud upon the court", is a crime deemed so severe and fundamentally opposed to the operation of justice that it is not subject to any statute of limitation.

Officers of the court include: Lawyers, Judges, Referees, and those appointed; Guardian Ad Litem, Parenting Time Expeditors, Mediators, Rule 114 Neutrals, Evaluators, Administrators, special appointees, and any others whose influence are part of the judicial mechanism.

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication". Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23

In *Bulloch v. United States*, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

What effect does an act of “fraud upon the court” have upon the court proceeding? “Fraud upon the court” makes void the orders and judgments of that court.

TITLE 18 PART I CH 11

Sec. 201. Bribery of public officials and witnesses

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Sec. 205. - Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government

Sec. 208. - Acts affecting a personal financial interest

Sec. 210. - Offer to procure appointive public office

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury or court

Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of another

TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law enforcement

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT Organizations ("RICO")

Section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice),

Section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations)

Section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement),

Section 1952 (relating to racketeering),

Section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity),

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 SEC 1962 (D) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES

TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity

TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States

Judicial Cannons

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistreri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." *Balistreri*, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge. Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal

capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Canon 1. A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

[1.1] Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

Canon 2. A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Activities

(A) A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

[2.2][2A] The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(B) Adjudicative responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

(E) Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned

[3.11][3B(6)(e)] A judge may delegate the responsibilities of the judge under Canon 3B(6) to a member of the judge's staff. A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(6) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge's staff. This provision does not prohibit the judge or the judge's law clerk from informing all parties individually of scheduling or administrative decisions.

[3.21][3E(1)] Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

[3.22][3E(1)] A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

Canon 4. A Judge May Engage in Extra-Judicial Activities To Improve the Law, the Legal System, and the Administration of Justice

Canon 5. A Judge Should Regulate Extra-Judicial Activities To Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Duties

Public Office Conduct Codes New York

PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW Laws 1909, Chap. 51.

CHAPTER 47 OF THE CONSOLIDATED LAWS PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW

Sec. 17. Defense and indemnification of state officers and employees. 2 (b)

Sec. 18. Defense and indemnification of officers and employees of public entities.3 (b)

Sec. 74. Code of ethics.(2)(3)(4)

§ 73. Business or professional activities by state officers and employees and party officers.

NY Attorney Conduct Code

(a) "Differing interests" include every interest that will adversely affect either the judgment or the loyalty of a lawyer to a client, whether it be a conflicting, inconsistent, diverse, or other interest.

CANON 5. A Lawyer Should Exercise Independent Professional Judgment on Behalf of a Client

DR 5-101 [1200.20] Conflicts of Interest - Lawyer's Own Interests.

DR 5-102 [1200.21] Lawyers as Witnesses.

DR 5-103 [1200.22] Avoiding Acquisition of Interest in Litigation.

DR 5-104 [1200.23] Transactions Between Lawyer and Client.

DR 5-105 [1200.24] Conflict of Interest; Simultaneous Representation.

DR 5-108 [1200.27] Conflict of Interest - Former Client.

CANON 6. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Competently

CANON 7. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law

DR 7-102 [1200.33] Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law.

DR 7-110 [1200.41] Contact with Officials.

DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.

DR 8-103 [1200.44] Lawyer Candidate for Judicial Office.

A. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with section 100.5 of the Chief Administrator's Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR) and Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

CANON 9. A Lawyer Should Avoid Even the Appearance of Professional Impropriety

DR 9-101 [1200.45] Avoiding Even the Appearance of Impropriety.

I declare under penalty of perjury and more that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. Executed on this ____ day, of _____, 20___. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims will subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in the RICO related crimes including the alleged attempted murder of the inventor Eliot Bernstein and his wife and children in a terrorist styled car-bombing attempt on their lives.



NOTE- THE CAR BOMBING IS NOT A SCENE OUT OF A WAR ZONE BUT INSTEAD TOOK PLACE IN BOYNTON BEACH FL

More images @ www.iviewit.tv

I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM prior to review. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document **with** undisclosed conflict, relations, relationships or interests. In the event that I continue to represent these matters without signing such COI first, this

failure to sign and return the COI will act as a formal admission of such conflicts, relations, relationships or interests and serve as Prima Facie evidence in the event criminal or civil charges are brought against me.

Organization: _____

Print FULL Name and Title

Signature _____ Date _____ / _____ / _____

If you are unable to sign this COI and are therefore unable to continue further to pursue these matters, please attach a statement of whom we may contact as your replacement, in writing, within 10 business days to preclude legal actions against you for Obstruction of Justice and more. A copy can be sent to iviewit@iviewit.tv and the original sent to the mailing address below:

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL (yes, two identically named)
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL
Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL
Uviewit Holdings, Inc. - DL
Uview.com, Inc. – DL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL
I.C., Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com LLC – DL
Iviewit LLC – DL
Iviewit Corporation – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Corporation
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
<http://www.iviewit.tv>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress>
<http://www.facebook.com/#!/iviewit>
<http://www.myspace.com/iviewit>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpresseliot>
<http://www.youtube.com/user/eliotbernstein?feature=mhum>
<http://www.TheDivineConstitution.com>

Also, check out
Eliot's Testimony at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings Part 1
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Cw0gogF4Fs&feature=player_embedded
and Part 2 @
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Apc_Zc_YNIk&feature=related
and

Christine Anderson Whistleblower Testimony @
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6BIK73p4Ueo>
and

Eliot Part 1 - The Iviewit Inventions @
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L0n4hwemqW0>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 1 with No Top Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DulHQDcwQfM>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 2 with No Top OR Bottom Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jbOP3U1q6mM>

Thought that was crazy, try

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3mfWAwzpNIE&feature=results_main&playnext=1&list=PL2ADE052D9122F5AD

Other Websites I like:

<http://www.deniedpatent.com>

<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com>

<http://www.judgewatch.org/index.html>

<http://www.enddiscriminationnow.com>

<http://www.corruptcourts.org>

<http://www.makeourofficialsaccountable.com>

<http://www.parentadvocates.org>

<http://www.newyorkcourtcorruption.blogspot.com>

<http://cuomotarp.blogspot.com>

<http://www.disbarthefloridabar.com>

<http://www.trusteeffraud.com/trusteeffraud-blog>

<http://www.constitutionalguardian.com>

<http://www.americans4legalreform.com>

<http://www.judicialaccountability.org>

www.electpollack.us

<http://www.ruthmpollackesq.com>

<http://www.VoteForGreg.us> Greg Fischer

<http://www.liberty-candidates.org/greg-fischer/>

<http://www.facebook.com/pages/Vote-For-Greg/111952178833067>

<http://www.killallthelawyers.ws/law> (The Shakespearean Solution, The Butcher)

**We the people are the rightful master of both
congress and the courts - not to overthrow the
Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert
the Constitution. -Abraham Lincoln**

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, [18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521](#).

This e-mail, fax or mailed message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail, fax or mail and destroy all

copies of the original message and call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through an electronic medium, please so advise the sender immediately in a formal written request.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: *Quon v. Arch*.

Wireless Copyright Notice. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message in any way. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.

EXHIBIT 1 – PARTIAL LIST OF CONFLICTED PARTIES

- Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye ("J. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zamas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.; Lewis Melzter - ("Melzter"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe ("Grebe"); Christopher Kise ("Kise"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H. Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not

known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- INTEL Corporation;
- Silicon Graphics Inc.;
- Lockheed Martin Corporation;
- Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
- Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FORMER IVIEWIT MANAGEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. ("Miller"), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein – Board Director ("S. Bernstein"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");
- THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.;

Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. ("Molyneaux"); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kearsse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Jeffrey Friedstein ("Friedstein"); Sheldon Friedstein (S. Friedstein"), Donald G. Kane ("Kane"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- David B. Simon, Esq. ("D. Simon");
- Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Davis Polk & Wardell;
- Ropes & Gray LLP;
- Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
- Eliot I. Bernstein, ("Bernstein") a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;
- P. Stephen Lamont, ("Lamont") a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
- SKULL AND BONES; The Russell Trust Co.; Yale Law School;
- Council on Foreign Relations;
- The Bilderberg Group;
- The Federalist Society;

- The Bradley Foundation;

Please include in the COI check the defendants and any other parties in the legally related cases in New York District Court Southern District of New York to Docket No 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT, including but not limited to;

A. United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit 08-4873-cv

B. (07cv11196) Bernstein et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT Defendants, in addition to those already listed herein, include but are not limited to;

- STATE OF NEW YORK;
- THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
- STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
- ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
- JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
- DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
- GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
- TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
- STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
- FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
- HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
- THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
- DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
- DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
- CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
- ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
- ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
- PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
- MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
- CATHERINE O'HAGIEN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;

- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;
- HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
- STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
- ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;
- LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
- ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
- Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff to Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
- Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
- COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
- VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
- ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- NOEL SENDEL in her official and individual capacities;
- MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
- LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
- MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
- LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp;
- EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
- ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
- WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
- LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
- DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
- ROYAL O'BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBER, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
- UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
- I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;

- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
- IBM CORPORATION;

To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:

- **Andrew Cuomo, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Steven M. Cohen, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,**
- **P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont's filings on behalf of others and more filed with criminal authorities and this Court notified of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)**
- **Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ropes & Gray,**
- **Stanford Financial Group,**
- **Bernard L. Madoff et al.**
- **Marc S. Dreier, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Sony Corporation, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ernst & Young, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Arthur Andersen, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Enron, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**

C. Other Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY Related to Christine C. Anderson

- 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT;
- 07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.;
- 07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.;
- 08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04053 Gizella Weisshaus v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.
- 08cv06368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York

- All parties list at the URL <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer>

CAUTION! IF YOU HAVE NOT SIGNED THE ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM AND RETURNED IT AS INSTRUCTED AND YOU CONTINUE TO ACT IN ANY MANNER WHATSOEVER IN THESE MATTERS, CRIMINAL CHARGES WILL BE BROUGHT AGAINST YOU, FOR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, AIDING & ABETTING A CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION AND MORE. SEE THE ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING YOUR POTENTIAL PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES.

I. INTRODUCTION

What country before ever existed a century and a half without a rebellion? And what country can preserve its liberties if their rulers are not warned from time to time that their people preserve the spirit of resistance? Let them take arms. The remedy is to set them right as to facts, pardon & pacify them. What signify a few lives lost in a century or two? **The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots & tyrants.** It is its natural manure.

The "Tree of Liberty" letter from Thomas Jefferson to William Smith²

A. CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON, ESQ., NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER TESTIMONY REVEALS A CRIMINAL RICO CARTEL COUP D'ÉTAT ON GOVERNMENT AT THE HIGHEST OUTPOSTS OF LAW AND REGULATION

NEW YORK SUPREME COURT WHISTLEBLOWER ATTORNEY, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON, ESQ. ("Anderson") MAKES FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS OF THE US ATTORNEY'S

² <http://www.theatlantic.com/past/docs/issues/96oct/obrien/blood.htm>

OFFICE, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, "FAVORED LAWYERS AND LAW FIRMS"³ AND NAMES A "CLEANER"⁴, AS REVEALED IN FEDERAL COURT TESTIMONY, A ONE NAOMI GOLDSTEIN. THESE ALLEGATIONS DEMAND IMMEDIATE REPORTING, INVESTIGATION AND HALTING OF THE LEGALLY RELATED IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT IN ORDER TO BEGIN INVESTIGATIONS TO IDENTIFY AND PROSECUTE THOSE FINGERED BY WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON and OTHERS.

The "Legally Related" Federal Lawsuit of New York Supreme Court Veteran Senior Supreme Court Disciplinary Department Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower Christine Anderson, Esq., by Federal Judge Shira Anne Scheindlin to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, exposes from the inside, a legal conspiracy of corruption involving the highest levels of Regulatory, Prosecutorial and Judicial Public Offices both State and Federal. Heroism is a word earned through action. The Whistleblowing Efforts of Anderson, another New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower and Hero, Nicole Corrado, Esq., and, a Sitting New York Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Duane A. Hart, Esq., all cited herein, should be the Moniker of HEROISM for others in the legal profession to follow.

These Whistleblowers Expose Corruption at the Top of Government, including the Courts, this Court, the Department of Justice, the New York Attorney General and others. They further provide the World with an understanding of how America's Financial System has melted top down, from rigged economic breakdowns and controlled demolition of world markets through fraud, with no Regulators or Prosecutors or Courts to stop it, in fact, all of them Aiding and Abetting the crimes. Nobody attempting to RECOVER the stolen funds for the PEOPLE, as all of the Top Government Officials charged with enforcement of the Law, appear on the take and part of the crimes according to these Whistleblowers. These Whistleblowing efforts expose how and why no one on Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street has been charged with Criminal Acts, despite massive and overwhelming evidence of CRIMINAL ACTS and FRAUD. Further exposed, is why none of the Stolen Loot from these Economic Crimes have been recovered back to the People. What is unveiled is a COUP D'ÉTAT on the HIGHEST OUTPOSTS OF LAW &

³ From Anderson's Sworn Statement to the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, "Specifically, I discovered and reported that employees of the DDC had engaged in, inter alia, the "whitewashing" [of] complaints of misconduct leveled against certain "select" attorneys and law firms. This "whitewashing" sometimes involved burying cases or destroying evidence, so that certain complaints were inevitably, unavoidably, dismissed. I witnessed this destruction of evidence myself. Other reported misconduct involves victimizing attorneys lacking privileged positions or connections."

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=365>

⁴ "Legal Document: Request for Discovery" Posted on July 22, 2011 by Fred Celani
<http://fredcelani.wordpress.com/2011/07/22/request-for-discovery/>

ORDER in the United States and yet not a single story in the Mainstream Media aka US Pravda Press, regarding these shocking allegations by inside Whistleblowers.

Exposed by these HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING EFFORTS is a REVOLVING DOOR between a LICENTIOUS GROUP OF LAW FIRMS and ATTORNEYS AT LAW, acting in both PRIVATE PRACTICE and PUBLIC OFFICE, working together in CONSPIRACY and forming a RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION with tentacles embedded at the highest outposts of the US Government in order to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE for the CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE. Anderson, Corrado and Other Public Office Whistleblowers cited herein, also provide explanation for why Judges and Attorneys at Law are now desperately trying to grant themselves immunity for felony crimes and attempting to use the State Attorney General Offices and other Government officials as accomplice in the cover-up. Immunity for ATTORNEYS AT LAW for their role in TORTURE CRIMES, WAR CRIMES and ECONOMIC CRIMES, crimes that include the CREATION OF ILLEGAL/FRAUDULENT FINANCIAL & INSURANCE CONTRACTS that led to the RIGGED HOUSING and MARKET COLLAPSES, that led to MILLIONS OF VERY ILLEGAL FORECLOSURES and left MILLIONS UNEMPLOYED AND STARVING. Seeking immunity for crime, as a legal defense is both futile and an obvious admission of guilt, which will never hold in a fair and impartial court of law? The attempts to gain immunity for FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS shows culpability in the crimes, exposing fear by the guilty of retribution of the day when the “long arm of the law” swings back. Fear that they will hang for their crimes against Humanity, their War Crimes (Illegal Undeclared Wars of Aggression, Torture, Misappropriation of Public funds by Congress for Undeclared Wars, Economic Terrorism and more) and they must hope for dirty courts to clear them forever.

Whistleblowing comes at a price to Whistleblowers in this new environment of a CRIMINAL GOVERNMENT. Christine Anderson, Corrado, Hart and others, including PLAINTIFF have been through hell to bring this INFORMATION TO LIGHT and where this Court should acknowledge Anderson, Corrado and the others who have come forth for their HEROISM, suspiciously, they do not. These are TRUE AMERICAN PATRIOTS, HEROES and ROLE MODELS OF ETHICS shunned by the very legal system they work in. We instead find this Court currently attempting to ILLEGALLY DISMISS Anderson’s WHISTLEBLOWER Lawsuit and the “legally related” cases prior to investigations and hearings of the criminal acts exposed by government officials against other Senior Ranking Officials.

We find THIS COURT attempting to BURY THE FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES EXPOSED BY CREDIBLE WITNESSES in a FEDERAL COURT by “SWEEPING THEM UNDER THE RUG,” PRIOR TO INVESTIGATIONS REQUIRED BY LAW, as more fully defined herein. Therefore, Plaintiff starts this Motion in HONOR. **A TIP OF THE HAT TO THE TRUE PATRIOTS NAMED HEREIN AND THEIR HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING EFFORTS TO BLOW THE LID OFF ONE OF THE LARGEST CORRUPTION STORIES OF ALL TIME,**

PLACING MEMBERS OF THIS COURT RIGHT IN THE CENTER OF WORLD MARKET FRAUD AND MORE, A ROOT OF THE PROBLEM.

B. MEET THE COUPSTERS

"I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized world no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men." - Woodrow Wilson, after signing the Federal Reserve into existence

Anderson's Whistleblowing **CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS** reveal a **MASSIVE GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION**, exposing a **NETWORK OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES/ATROCITIES** operated by a **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION** inside Government, effectively creating a subterfuge to law. The **RICO ENTERPRISE** is comprised mainly of **Powerful and Influential Law Firms, Attorneys at Law, Lawmaker Politicians, Public Officials and Judicial Officials**, according to these Whistleblowers. Together, acting in Conspiracy, these trusted officials all abuse their legal degrees and positions in **TOP OUTPOSTS OF LAW** in order to aid and abet the commission and cover-ups of **COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES**, including directing operatives in various government capacities to subterfuge and subvert Law, Regulation and Justice to prevent prosecution.

According to Anderson, operatives of the **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION**, include but are not limited to, **SENIOR STATE and FEDERAL PUBLIC OFFICIALS**, almost all with legal degrees, operating inside US Government Agencies, including the courts and prosecutorial offices, **DISABLING JUSTICE and REGULATION**, and opening the door for the **RICO Enterprise's COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES** to proceed. Illegal Legal Crimes packaged and rolled out by **ATTORNEYS AT LAW** that all are currently contributing to the **INTENTIONAL Bankrupting of World Markets** through a series of sophisticated frauds.

Examples of these frauds, include but are not limited to, **FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES, FRAUDULENT COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATIONS (CDOs), FRAUDULENT DERIVATIVES, FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS, FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS⁵ and⁶ and VIOLATIONS OF ANTITRUST LAWS**. Where all

⁵ "The Wall Street Pentagon Papers: Biggest Scam In World History Exposed: Are The Federal Reserve's Crimes Too Big To Comprehend?" by David DeGraw, Fourwinds10.com, December 02, 2011

of these FRAUDS require superior knowledge of Law, the type only LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW posses. The Criminal Operatives, disguised as ATTORNEYS AT LAW with LEGAL DEGREES, are nested deep inside Government at Key Posts, in order to COVER-UP the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION'S **ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES**. The Operatives now are deeply embedded in the United States and New York regulatory agencies, prosecutorial agencies and courts, at the highest levels, as revealed by Anderson and others. Here comes a political scandal on an International Scale to make Boss Tweed's New York Tammany Hall look like a Juvenile Delinquency robbing of the cookie jar.

http://www.fourwinds10.net/siterun_data/government/banking_and_taxation_irs_and_insurance/news.php?q=1322928892

and

“Federal Reserve Secretly Loaned Gaddafi & Others \$1.2 Trillion Say Monetary Experts - We've given the Fed the power to create paper money, secretly distribute it to political favorites, and thereby sway our stock market and elections,” says Craig R. Smith, founder and Chairman of Swiss America Trading Corporation. In Re-Making Money: Ways to Restore America's Optimistic Golden Age, a new White Paper published August 15 on the 40th Anniversary of Nixon's action, Smith and Ponte call for new ways to again anchor the U.S. Dollar to prevent politicians and the Fed from simply creating trillions out of thin air and using this money for political purposes. The politicizing of paper money, Smith says, is a major cause of today's economic problems: unemployment, near-zero economic growth, inflation, collapsed bubbles such as the housing market, and investor insecurity among them.”
PR Web, August 22, 2011

<http://www.prweb.com/releases/2011/8/prweb8737214.htm>

and

“The Wall Street Pentagon Papers: Biggest Scam In World History Exposed: Are The Federal Reserve's Crimes Too Big To Comprehend?” by David DeGraw, Fourwinds10.com, December 02, 2011

http://www.fourwinds10.net/siterun_data/government/banking_and_taxation_irs_and_insurance/news.php?q=1322928892

and

“16 TRILLION Reasons Why Everyone In Washington, Including The President, Should Be Heading To Prison A Time For Choosing” by Gary Jackson, FreeRepublic, LLC, July 22, 2011

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-bloggers/2752675/posts>

⁶ “TARP 18x: the Unknown Bailout that Requires a Supreme Court Ruling for Full Disclosure” by Steadfast Finances, January 30, 2012

<http://steadfastfinances.com/blog/2010/09/07/tarp-18x-the-unknown-bailout-that-requires-a-supreme-court-ruling-for-full-disclosure/>

and

“The true cost of the bank bailout” | Need to Know | PBS Video, January 30, 2012

<http://video.pbs.org/video/1581037108>



Boss Tweed and the Tammany Ring, caricatured by Thomas Nast.

Source: 1870s cartoon by Thomas Nast. Date c.1870s Author Thomas Nast. Cropped by Beyond My Ken
URL = http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_M._Tweed

ANDERSON'S TESTIMONY BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, SENATOR JOHN SAMPSON, CHAIRMAN

MS. ANDERSON: I alleged that upon learning of the DDC's [New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department – Departmental Disciplinary Committee's] pattern and practice of whitewashing and routinely dismissing complaints leveled against certain select attorneys --- to the detriment of the public that the DDC is duty-bound to serve --- I reported this wrongdoing pursuant to my rights under the First Amendment to the United States constitution and, importantly, my own ethical obligations under the New York State Code of Professional Responsibility.

In response, however, rather than attempting to address and rectify the problem, my supervisors embarked upon a campaign of abuse and harassment of myself, including a physical assault on myself by the first deputy, Sherry Cohen.

My one recommendation that I would like to make, however, is on the last page, which is I think that the Policy Committee should be disbanded, for the simple reason that it is rife with conflict...

SENATOR PERKINS.: Can I ask a question? Just so I'm clear, because (a) you're saying that preferential treatment in this decision-making, in this process, that there are those who, because of their stature or their connections, are not prosecuted or investigated or whatever the appropriate terminology is?

MS. ANDERSON: Or handled lightly.

SENATOR PERKINS: Or handled lightly. I just want to be clear that that's what you're saying.

MS. ANDERSON: Yes.

Further from Anderson's Sworn Statement to the NY Senate Judiciary Committee⁷ and leading Justice Officials, quote,

Monday, September 21, 2009

Christine C. Anderson Letter

“Re: Request for Federal Investigation Into Allegations of Corruption and Witness Intimidation and Appointment of Federal Monitor” [The Statement Addressed directly to all of the following parties]

The Hon. Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General of the United States
Office of the Attorney General

The Hon. Preet Bharara, United States Attorney for the Southern
District of New York United States Department of Justice

The Hon. William M. Welch II, Chief, Public Integrity Unit United
States Department of Justice

The Hon. John L. Sampson, Chairman, New York State Senate
Judiciary Committee

Gentlemen:

My name is Christine C. Anderson. For six and one-half years, I was a Principal Attorney of the New York State Appellate Division, First Department's Departmental Disciplinary Committee (the "DDC"). The DDC is responsible for investigating and disciplining attorneys found guilty of misconduct in representing the public in the Bronx and **Manhattan [regulating Wall Street Attorneys, Prosecutors, Judges, Regulators or any other Attorney at Law on Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street]**. After discovering and reporting of acts of misconduct and corruption at the DDC, which acts constituted an abuse

⁷ The Anderson statement can be found online @ <http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=114> and has already been submitted to this Court by both Anderson and Plaintiff, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein in case the documents have been destroyed as depicted by Anderson.

of power and a fraud upon the public, my employment was summarily terminated in June, 2007...

Specifically, I discovered and reported that employees of the DDC had engaged in, inter alia, the “whitewashing” complaints of misconduct leveled against certain “select” attorneys and law firms. This “whitewashing” sometimes involved burying cases or destroying evidence, so that certain complaints were inevitably, unavoidably, dismissed. I witnessed this destruction of evidence myself. Other reported misconduct involves victimizing attorneys lacking privileged positions or connections.

Although the then Chief Counsel of the DDC, Thomas Cahill [**Defendant in the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit**], stepped down in 2007, evidence clearly establishes that under the leadership of Alan Friedberg [**Iviewit filed Criminal Complaints Against Friedberg**], the current Chief Counsel, the same practice of corruption and whitewashing of complaints continues. **Such practice robs the public of any hope at justice**; it also works to the detriment of the very public the DDC is duty-bound to serve.

During the course of my litigation against the DDC, a former colleague of mine, who still works as a Principal Attorney at the DDC, agreed to testify on my behalf at a deposition. This former colleague, Nicole Corrado, has been employed by the DDC for approximately eight years, prior to which she worked as a prosecutor for New York State. On the morning of her deposition, however, while en route to her deposition, Ms. Corrado was approached on the street by a supervisor at the DDC, who threatened and intimidated her with respect to her upcoming deposition testimony. Although terribly shaken, Ms. Corrado nonetheless sat for her deposition and testified truthfully. Following her deposition, however, Ms. Corrado has been subjected to further harassment and intimidation at the hands of the DDC. She has been forced to take a leave of absence as a result.

On June 8, 2009, I testified at a hearing convened by John L. Sampson, New York State Senator and Chairman of the New York State Standing Committee On The Judiciary. (A copy of my affidavit submitted to the Committee is attached hereto as Exhibit B.)...

The detailed testimony presented by innumerable witnesses at the June 8th Senate hearing reveals the manifold reports of corruption and abuse by the State’s Disciplinary Committees. (A copy of the transcript of this hearing is attached hereto as Exhibit C.) **Charges included concealment of evidence, obstruction of justice, extortionate sexual threats by attorneys, pilfering of estates by attorneys, abuse of power, fraud, conspiracy and repeated violations of state and federal constitutional rights.**

In light of the foregoing, it is plain that the enduring practice of allowing attorneys in this state to police themselves is fundamentally flawed. With the numerous reports of abuse by both attorneys and state officials, the corruption in the court system has reached a critical stage. Accordingly, I respectfully request

that you authorize the appointment of a Special Prosecutor to investigate the epidemic of honest services fraud in the New York state court system, and the appointment of a Federal Monitor, to oversee the lawful operation of the same.

[The Letter Was Copied to the Following Parties and all who received this letter addressed or copied to them and then fail to take legally required actions to report and investigate “credible” witness allegations of Major Felonies, are all subject to charges of Misprision of Felony, Felony Obstruction in a Federal Lawsuit and more.]

The Hon. David A. Paterson
New York State Governor
Office of the Governor of New York State

The Hon. Boyd M. Johnson III
Deputy United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York
Public Corruption Unit
United States Department of Justice

The Hon. Loretta A. Preska
Chief U.S. District Judge
United States Courthouse
Southern District of New York

The Hon. Andrew M. Cuomo
New York State Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of New York State

The Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez
Presiding Justice, New York State Appellate Division, 1st Department

The Hon. Joseph M. Demarest, Jr.
Assistant Director in Charge, New York Division

Anderson’s WHISTLEBLOWING ALLEGATIONS provide an explanation into more than Corruption in the Courthouse and Public Offices, but also to just how Wall Street/Fraud Street has Melted Down, exposing a Conspiratorial Controlled Demolition that has obliterated Countries in the process, including the United States. A Conspiracy designed to profit a CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE, with legal cover from a legal system the CRIMINALS have taken over and disarmed. ILLEGAL and COMPLEX FINANCIAL CRIMES perpetrated by those in seats of power in Justice and Public Office, used to steal TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS from World Markets, using the Courts, Regulators and Prosecutors Offices in conjunction with Lawmakers to Aid and Abet the CRIMES.

Anderson's Whistleblowing exposes further, why and how NOT A SINGLE PROSECUTION of the Criminals has occurred for any of the crimes, evidencing that behind ALL of these COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES are Law Firms and Attorneys at Law acting in criminal capacity to defeat Law and Justice. Anderson fingers the very Attorneys at Law, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judicial Officials, including those who regulate Attorneys at Law licensed on WALL STREET, including Members of this Court, who have partaken in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES and FELONY CRIMINAL COVER-UPS, as further defined herein and in prior Motions submitted to this Court. Whistleblowing Exposure of GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION STATEWIDE AND FEDERALLY on a scale never before seen, leading to ECONOMIC RUINS upon this Country and the World, for the profit of a few, those in control of law and order in our country, this Court perhaps the center of the Criminal Nexus inside the Court System.

Anderson reveals that these CRIMINAL Attorneys at Law have infiltrated and planted deep into Justice, at every level, acting purely to disable Justice and suppress any Whistleblowers. With JUSTICE DISABLED, a free for all of criminal activity and havoc has since occurred. Effectively they have **PLOTTED AND EXECUTED A TREASONOUS & TRAITOROUS COUP D'ÉTAT TO DISABLE OUR SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES AND SYSTEM OF JURISPRUDENCE DESIGNED TO PREVENT THESE MOST SERIOUS HIGH CRIMES. OUR FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC DISABLED AND IN DANGER FROM THOSE IN POSITIONS OF POWER, THOSE ENTRUSTED AND IN CHARGE OF UPHOLDING LAW AND OUR CONSTITUTION, ALMOST ALL LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW.**

Sounds like a no brainer, a Treason almost too big to believe, by the Leaders of Our Government, sustainable only if you can disable Justice forever. If Justice however is restored and trials of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRATORS had, the ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, REGULATORS, JUSTICES and LAWMAKERS OF BOTH PARTIES, involved in the CRIMINAL CARTEL, if found guilty, may very well hang for TREASON, ECONOMIC WAR CRIMES, WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, MURDER and TORTURE⁸. The punishment for many of these crimes, when PROVEN IN A FAIR AND

⁸ NOTE THAT MANY ATTORNEYS AT LAW ARE DIRECTLY CHARGED WITH WAR/TORTURE CRIMES & JUDGES ARE DOING THE COVERING UP.

"Getting Away with Torture - The Bush Administration and Mistreatment of Detainees" Human Rights Watch July 2011

<http://www.hrw.org/reports/2005/04/23/getting-away-torture>

and

"US judge rules to protect CIA over torture" Press TV August 2, 2011

<http://www.presstv.ir/usdetail/192015.html>

IMPARTIAL COURT OF LAW, ONE FREE OF CONFLICTS AND CRIMINALS, is the DEATH PENALTY. For the sheer magnitude of crimes committed, including but not limited to, the atrocities of torture and mass murder, already committed and aided by Criminal Members of the US Government, including Members of this Court, in many of the States, Federally and Internationally the DEATH PENALTY MOST CERTAINLY WILL BE SOUGHT.

In order to understand how the US AND WORLD ECONOMIC COLLAPSES were not the result of organic economic factors, such as a Recessions or Depressions but are instead occurring due to CONSPIRATORIAL MARKET RIGGING, PRICE GOUGING, ANTITRUST ACTIVITIES, COMPLEX FINANCIAL FRAUDS and MORE, one must fully grasp the riveting FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS leveled by Anderson and other inside Whistleblowers. **ALLEGATIONS BY INSIDERS AGAINST VIRTUALLY THE ENTIRE FRAMEWORK OF JUSTICE AND REGULATION, BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL**, exposing the underbelly of a RICO CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE composed mainly of LAW FIRMS and ATTORNEYS AT LAW, in both PUBLIC and PRIVATE SECTORS. Exposed from the inside out and revealing a “Good Ole Boy” CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE throughout KEY GOVERNMENT POSTS, **PERVERTING THE HIGHEST OUTPOSTS OF JUSTICE AND LAW.**

Additionally exposed are Attorneys at Law working in Private Practices and inside CORPORATIONS CONTROLLED BY THE CARTEL, to benefit the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, the “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” Anderson refers to in her Trial Testimony. These Private Practice Attorneys at Law, act as Corporate Counsel to Companies they have interests in, who are the business benefactors’ of the Crimes⁹. One can spot some of

⁹ “Insight: Top Justice officials connected to mortgage banks - (Reuters) - U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder and Lanny Breuer, head of the Justice Department's criminal division, were partners for years at a Washington law firm that represented a Who's Who of big banks and other companies at the center of alleged foreclosure fraud, a Reuters inquiry shows.” By Scot J. Paltrow Fri Jan 20, 2012, Thomson Reuters.

<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/01/20/us-usa-holder-mortgage-idUSTRE80J0PH20120120>

“CRIMINALLY CORRUPT - Eric Holder, Top DOJ Lawyers Were Partners With Big Banks” (Reuters Investigation)” DailyBail

<http://dailybail.com/home/criminally-corrupt-eric-holder-top-doj-lawyers-were-partners.html>

“The corporate bandits who stole your money while you slept” by Robinson, Matthew B. (2005). Justice Blind? Ideals and Realities of American Criminal Justice (2nd Edition). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

<http://www.justiceblind.com/new/bandits.htm> .

and

Wall Street Isn't Winning – It's Cheating, POSTED: By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher, October 25, 2011

<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/blogs/taibblog/owss-beef-wall-street-isnt-winning-its-cheating-20111025>

them walking off with HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS of BOGUS BONUSES, while BANKRUPTING FORTUNE 100 COMPANIES, bedrocks of American Business.

These Private Criminal Attorneys at Law acting on behalf of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE also have infiltrated and infested the banking, financial, insurance and rating firms¹⁰, all combining in coordinated conspiracy to Demolish World Markets. While ILLEGALLY fixing their bets timely to profit through the Fraudulent Controlled Demolitions of Economies and Companies, Vulturizing the Carcasses of those destroyed, PROFITING OFF HUMAN SUFFERING. They work to Illegally Quash Competitors as seen in the Banking Industry and then take them over for pennies on the dollar (ie Lehman, AIG, etc.) or let them dissolve entirely and pick the bones at auction, the shareholders destroyed.

Corporations Controlled by the Cartel also have Illegally Monopolized Industries through Violations of Antitrust Laws, and again we find Justice asleep at the wheel, while Millions of Small Businesses and the middle class are CANNIBALIZED. The list of crimes goes on and on, the ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES now VICTIMIZING MILLIONS OF SMALL BUSINESSES and HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF PEOPLE worldwide, all achieved through Fraud, War Crimes and Treason, to the benefit of an exclusive few. This type of Conspiratorial Attack on Financial Markets and World Markets through CRIMINAL ACTIVITY that has now directly victimized Hundreds of Millions of People Worldwide constitutes FINANCIAL TERRORISM, “American Autogenocide is the deliberate, systematic and legal murder of American citizens by socially-engineering the die-off of populations that are ‘problematic’ for the interests of wealth and power. Most victims prematurely die from social forces targeted at them to cause them to wear out by stress. This process is called ‘Weathering Away’ or ‘Attrition By Stress.’”¹¹ Financial Terrorism is an illegal form of Warfare/Eugenics^{12and13and14and15} listed as War Crimes in the

and

“Greed is good: maximization and elite deviance in America” By Matthew Robinson, Daniel Murphy”

http://books.google.com/books?id=e0A5qn32-EC&pg=PA78&lpg=PA78&dq=j.p.+morgan+enron+probation&source=bl&ots=792GxQNVKn&sig=sv_IJ558nFmYp8czAzXe4S26aEg&hl=en&ei=XxxWTsn6K5S3tft5K2pDA&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=6&ved=0CEQQ6AEwBQ#

*****Special Note should be given to how many of these companies directly relate to Plaintiff’s RICO & Antitrust Lawsuit as DEFENDANTS.

¹⁰ “Are Ratings Agencies Taking Bribes? By Emily Knapp, Wall St. Cheat Sheet, October 31 2011

<http://wallstcheatsheet.com/economy/are-ratings-agencies-taking-bribes.html>

¹¹“THE NINE STAGES OF AMERICAN AUTOGENOCIDE” by Martha Rose Crow, M.S.

<http://blacktalkradionetwork.com/profiles/blogs/americas-secret-updated-for>

¹² Nuremberg Principle VI states,

“The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law:

Geneva Convention, the US Criminal Code, International Law & International Treatises, again, further crimes with life sentences or the DEATH PENALTY for punishment.

From footnote 5 herein, quote, “To call what is happening a ‘financial terrorist attack’ on the United States, is not using hyperbole, it is the technical term for what is currently occurring.” So here we have not Osama Bin Laden attacking the United States and World Markets but had Osama stolen off with TRILLIONS IN LOOT, certainly Americans would want a TRIAL and would want to RECOVER EVERY LAST PENNY OF THE STOLEN BOOTY. So what makes these US TERRORISTS disguised in suit and tie and licensed as Attorney at Law special, nothing, they have simply committed their crimes under the Cloak of the US Government and violated the TRUST of the PEOPLE, their oaths as Attorneys and Public Servants, as well as, OUR ALLIED NATIONS.

(a) Crimes against peace:

(i) Planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances;

(ii) Participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the acts mentioned under (i).

(b) War crimes:

Violations of the laws or customs of war which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation of slave labor or for any other purpose of the civilian population of or in occupied territory; murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war or persons on the Seas, killing of hostages, ***plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity.***

(c) Crimes against humanity: Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhumane acts done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial, or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime.”

¹³ “Analysis of Financial Terrorism in America: Over 1 Million Deaths Annually, 62 Million People With Zero Net Worth, As the Economic Elite Make Off With \$46 Trillion” by David DeGraw, founder and editor of AmpedStatus.com. The following report includes adapted excerpts from David DeGraw’s book, “The Road Through 2012: Revolution or World War III.” Release Date: 9.28.11 August 10, 2011
<http://ampedstatus.org/exclusive-analysis-of-financial-terrorism-in-america-over-1-million-deaths-annually-62-million-people-with-zero-net-worth-as-the-economic-elite-make-off-with-46-trillion>

¹⁴ Eugenics is a form of Mass Genocide and Precluded in the Genocide Treaty signed by President Ronald Reagan. “Article II: In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, **a national**, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such: ... (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group **conditions of life calculated to bring about** its physical destruction in whole or in part;
<http://www.preventgenocide.org/law/convention/text.htm>

¹⁵ “Middle Class Death Watch -- 33 Frightening Economic Developments Downward mobility, homelessness spreading to the middle class, 200,000 public employees laid off? Here are some frightening trends to keep an eye on.” by David DeGraw, AlterNet, September 18, 2011
http://www.alternet.org/story/152457/middle_class_death_watch_--_33_frightening_economic_developments?page=entire

Anderson, again, a seasoned New York Supreme Court Attorney who worked in the New York Supreme Court Attorney Criminal Misconduct Regulatory Department, the very one charged with regulating Wall Street/Fraud Street Attorneys at Law, exposed how the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION operates and then shields themselves from prosecution in criminal scheme after criminal scheme. The RICO Organization is composed mainly of a small but powerful and influential group of DIRTY and UNETHICAL Law Firms and Attorneys at Law, operating virtually free of Prosecution. Actually, they act “Above the Law,” due to their illegal misuse of their privileged positions as Attorneys at Law, both in and Private Practice and disguised as Public Officials, to facilitate the crimes prosecution free. As Public Officials, they control and regulate virtually the entire System of Jurisprudence, misusing their TRUSTED positions to block any actions against the RICO Enterprise they belong to, including Whitewashing Attorney Criminal Complaints for its members, as Anderson reveals.

How do those charged with upholding law instead disable and sabotage the rule of law? By simply violating their SWORN OATHS OF OFFICE and MISUSING THEIR PUBLIC TRUST AS ATTORNEYS AT LAW, then Covering Up the crimes of the CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION when complaints are filed against them, effectuated through never-ending Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct/Ethic Codes and State & Federal Law, as described by Whistleblower Anderson and others.

The Anderson sworn testimony of a massive government corruption gains further substantiation from corroborating evidence already presented to this Court, from yet another HEROIC New York Supreme Court Veteran Sr. Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower, Nicole Corrado Esq., (“Corrado”). Corrado also works for the NEW YORK SUPREME COURT in the ATTORNEY CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENT, again, the REGULATORY DEPARTMENT that regulates WALL STREET/FRAUD STREET ATTORNEYS AT LAW. In fact, the ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY that Anderson and Corrado work for is one of the highest outposts of legal regulation in New York and perhaps the nation. According to Anderson and Corrado, SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS of the New York Supreme Court Attorney CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT and Disciplinary Departments, intentionally and in conspiracy with other Senior Public Officials, worked to disable a number of State & Federal Agencies by “WHITEWASHING” complaints according to Anderson, CRIMINAL and ETHICAL complaints, including those filed against their friends in high places. The “WHITEWASH” of complaints achieved by Obstructing Justice, Destroying Evidence in Federal and State Proceedings, Threatening Federal Witnesses and more, all committed by PUBLIC OFFICIALS, as further evidenced herein. Further cover-up corruption in the Anderson Lawsuit, in the most extreme form, occurs when a Senior Official of the New York Supreme Court, in classic Racketeering behavior, THREATENS Federal Witness Corrado to silence her, according to

Sworn Statements already submitted and docketed in the Court Record of Anderson and this Lawsuit.

Yet another Heroic Whistleblower that testified at the New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings with Anderson and Plaintiff, comes from a Sitting New York State Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Duane A. Hart, Esquire¹⁶. In his Testimony, Hon. Hart refers to the heads of the ETHIC Departments in New York, the highest outpost of Attorney at Law Criminal and Ethical Misconduct Complaints in the following demeanor, quote,

SENATOR PERKINS: Yeah, thank you so much. I have to run, but I just want to ask one quick question. So what's the solution?

JUSTICE HART: Well, firstly, you have to fire Tembeckjian [Robert H. Tembeckjian Administrator and Counsel, New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct] and Friedberg [Alan W. Friedberg, Chief Counsel, New York Supreme Court First Department Appellate Division - Departmental Disciplinary Committee¹⁷]. I mean, I've got to tell you, I've been a trial attorney or a judge, again, pushing 30 years. **The only reason that Robert Tembeckjian, in my opinion -- so I don't get sued -- isn't the sleaziest attorney I've ever met is because I've met Alan Friedberg. (Laughter)**

...

JUSTICE HART: Well the system -- if the system works properly, it's fair. But anyone, any system that doesn't have the goodwill of the people who are running it behind it is going to fail no matter what you do.

So while I agree with my friend Senator Perkins that this isn't about character assassination, it's about getting a fair, equitable system -- and frankly, in the hands of people like Mr. Tembeckjian and Mr. Friedberg, you'll never have it. You could put whatever ---you could change the system however you want, you've got to have people in there who are fair, who are ethical.

I mean, again, my -- Mr. Tembeckjian -- and again, I believe I submitted it to you on an earlier day, when my brother told Mr. Tembeckjian that he had to follow certain a rule of ethics [a certain rule of ethics], Mr. Tembeckjian

¹⁶ New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing Transcripts -- June 08, 2009 & September 24, 2009 -- Hart Testimony p. 83-102, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein @ <http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/NY%20Senate%20Judiciary%20Committee%20TRANSCRIPTS%20Hearings%201%20and%202%20Sampson%20Searchable%20Index.pdf>

¹⁷ When Plaintiff later filed CRIMINAL and ETHICAL COMPLAINTS against Friedberg and others, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department lost them. In Plaintiff's testimony to the NY Senate Judiciary Committee, Chairman Sampson confronts Friedberg as to where Plaintiff's complaints against he and his Cronies are, Friedberg states he will get back to the Committee with what has happened to the missing complaints. Instead, Friedberg sends Plaintiff a letter dismissing the complaints against himself and his Cronies. Yes, Friedberg literally dismissed his own complaint, violating just about every Ethical Rule and NY State Law regarding Conflict of Interest, Obstruction of Justice and Fair and Impartial Due Process.

actually wrote back to my brother saying that there are no ethics that he has to follow. And -- am I correct?

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON:

I hear your point, Your Honor.

A Shakespearean Legal Comedy/Tragedy of Government Corruption Gone Wild now exposed by these WHISTLEBLOWERS, a TOTAL DESECRATION OF LAW TOP DOWN, very similar to the current situation in this Court, with JUSTICES OF THIS COURT ILLEGALLY HANDLING THIS LAWSUIT WHILE BEING CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF REGARDING THEIR INVOLVEMENT IN THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE EXPOSED. The Testimonies from CREDIBLE EYE WITNESS EXPERTS working within the LEGAL SYSTEM expose what is happening in this Court and the Prosecutorial Offices, as a situation analogous to with what happened to Concentration Camp Victims in Nazi Germany who pleaded to the NAZI Courts and the Gestapo for Justice, complaining of the Abuses & Torture of the Camp Guards and the Gestapo. As all those they pleaded to for help were part of the NAZI COUP, the Victims therefore stood no chance of Justice wherever they turned, completely denied Due Process or Procedure, just as in this Court and the Agencies fingered by Anderson, including Members of the Department of Justice.

C. THE CONTROLLED DEMOLITION OF WORLD MARKETS BY ATTORNEYS AT LAW OPERATING AS A CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE AND INFILTRATING SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICES, INCLUDING WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND THE COURTS

You Are a Den of Vipers and Thieves

Gentlemen, I have had men watching you for a long time and I am convinced that you have used the funds of the bank to speculate in the breadstuffs of the country.

When you won, you divided the profits amongst you, and when you lost, you charged it to the bank.

You tell me that if I take the deposits from the bank and annul its charter, I shall ruin ten thousand families. That may be true, gentlemen, but that is your sin! Should I let you go on, you will ruin fifty thousand families, and that would be my sin!

You are a den of vipers and thieves.

— Andrew Jackson (7th US President, when forcing the closure of the Second Bank of the US in 1836 by revoking its charter)

In order to fully understand how the country is being robbed, and by whom, one must understand the FINANCIAL FRAUDS ONGOING on Wall Street/Fraud Street through the eyes of the Whistleblowers, FRAUDS that are destroying Main Street, committed by CRIMINAL LAW FIRMS, filled with CRIMINALS operating as licensed ATTORNEYS AT LAW in a myriad of Public and Private roles. One sees clearly that behind the Mass of Crimes plaguing our nation are RICO Operatives with LEGAL DEGREES in a variety of CRITICAL ROLES necessary to commit the crimes and evade prosecution. There are Private Sector Law Firms and Attorneys at Law, creating the underlying documentation and contracts necessary for the FRAUDS. These Private Law Firms then have other Criminal Attorney at Law Operatives who often travel through a revolving door into Government Regulatory Agencies with a mission to DE-REGULATE and TURN THE OTHER WAY if Red Flags arise¹⁸ and¹⁹. Other Operatives disguised as Lawmakers push Legislation to Lower Regulations and simultaneously water down prosecutorial legislation so as they may operate with the false perception that the crimes were

¹⁸ “In Aftermath of Financial Crisis, Who's Being Held Responsible?” PBS News Hour by Ray Suarez
http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=qmO7W8iC5LE&noredirect=1

¹⁹ “Insight: Top Justice officials connected to mortgage banks - - U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder and Lanny Breuer, head of the Justice Department's criminal division, were partners for years at a Washington law firm [Covington & Burling] that represented a Who's Who of big banks and other companies at the center of alleged foreclosure fraud, a Reuters inquiry shows.” By Scot J. Paltrow, Reuters, Fri Jan 20, 2012
<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/01/20/us-usa-holder-mortgage-idUSTRE80J0PH20120120>

and

**“50 STATES FILE NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTERVENE IN MORTGAGE FRAUD SETTLEMENT”
In The United States District Court
District Of Columbia, Washington DC
Judge Colliers Court Unit**

**The United States Of America Civil Case No 12-361
50 States Attorney Generals**

VS.

**Wellsfargo Bank, JP Morgan Chase, Ally/GMAC, Citi Bank, ET AL
Bank of America: 1-877-488-7814
Citi: 1-866-272-4749
Chase: 1-866-372-6901
Ally (formerly GMAC): 1-800-766-4622
Wells Fargo: 1-800-288-3212**

**Notice of Intent To Intervene as a Matter Of Right Federal Rule Civil Procedure 24 Victims Intervention of
Right**

To The Honorable Judge of Said Court ... Judge Collier
<https://sites.google.com/site/thecatbirdsnest13/home/50-states-file-notice-of-intent-to-intervene-in-mortgage-fraud-settlement>

legal. This subversion of the Regulatory Agencies paves the way for the COMPLEX ILLEGAL FINANCIAL FRAUDS to take place without the Public Noticing. Other CRIMINAL RICO Operatives revolve through the Courts in various roles, including dressed as Justices, to bury any legal actions against the Criminal Organization. Other RICO Operatives travel through the Criminal Revolving Door from the Private Law Firms into other Government offices to SUBTERFUGE any PUBLIC AGENCIES where the Victims of their crimes may seek Relief (i.e. DOJ, SEC, FINRA, etc.), further shielding them from PROSECUTION.

Examples of COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES CONCOCTED by these DIRTY ROTTEN UNETHICAL ATTORNEYS AT LAW that directly relate to the ONGOING DEPRESSION THE NATION SUFFERS FROM, include but are not limited to;

1. FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS produced by Attorneys at Law from the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS, whereby AIG for example then sets the stage for a CONTROLLED ECONOMIC CALAMITY in World Markets through these FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS, to
2. FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES and PREDATORY LENDING Contracts, again prepared by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS steeped in FRAUD and sold by the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION CORPORATE PARTNERS, to
3. FRAUDULENT COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATIONS (CDOs), contracts again created by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS working with Bankers to cause controlled economic calamity with scienter and profiting from the downfall of markets, to
4. FRAUDULENTLY BUNDLED DERIVATIVES, whereby again, we have Lawyers working with Investment Bankers to create FRAUDULENT CONTRACTS to cause controlled economic calamity with scienter and profiting from the downfall of the markets, to
5. FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURES in part caused by all of the above Frauds and whereby HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF HOMEOWNERS and INVESTORS WORLDWIDE are being burned through FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURE DOCUMENTS produced by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS. Every American who owns a home has been burned by these crimes, as their home values plummeted due directly to these crimes, losing 50% or more on their value, steeping them into economic hardship. Each homeowner in America should sue the banks, law firms, lawyers, regulators and judges who are responsible for the crimes that led to their losses. Foreclosure Documents signed with FRAUDULENT SIGNATURES signed by Attorneys at Law in the Criminal RICO Organizations Foreclosure Mills. Criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law are further disguised as Judges found rubber-stamping the FRAUDULENT

CONCOCTIONS and still other Criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law further disguised as Regulators Feigning to be “Asleep at the Wheel” leaving the victims homeless and with massive losses of personal assets from CRIME and with no RECOURSE in the Courts and Prosecutorial Offices, as they are now run by the Criminals²⁰, to

6. FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS, whereby the losses for the CRIMINAL SCHEMES above were then portrayed to the WORLD as ORGANIC ECONOMIC CALAMITIES NEEDING “BAILOUTS” from the PUBLIC to fund the Criminal RICO Organizations Crimes. Companies cited as “TOO BIG TO FAIL”, a term which by definition is a call for BREAKUP THROUGH ANTITRUST LAWS, not a reason to make them bigger with bogus bailouts that they then used to bonus their fat greed soaked porker faces, to
7. FRAUDULENT DEBT CEILING NEGOTIATIONS TO FURTHER DISABLE MARKETS with the aid of the Criminal RICO Organization’s Operatives that

²⁰ “Foreclosure fraud investigators forced out at attorney general's office” By Kimberly Miller, Palm Beach Post Staff Writer Tuesday, July 12, 2011
<http://www.palmbeachpost.com/money/foreclosures/foreclosure-fraud-investigators-forced-out-at-attorney-generals-1603854.html?page=2>

and

Office of the Attorney General Economic Crimes Division – “UNFAIR, DECEPTIVE AND UNCONSCIONABLE ACTS IN FORECLOSURE CASES” Prepared by: June M. Clarkson, Theresa B. Edwards and Rene D. Harrod of the Florida Attorney General Office
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/46278738/Florida-Attorney-General-Fraudclosure-Report-Unfair-Deceptive-and-Unconscionable-Acts-in-Foreclosure-Cases>

and

“Revealed: How Countrywide and Angelo Mozilo Crashed the Housing Market without Punishment” By Damien Hoffman, December 05 2011
<http://wallstcheatsheet.com/stocks/revealed-how-countrywide-and-angelo-mozilo-crashed-the-housing-market-without-punishment.html/>

Video 1 - <http://www.cbsnews.com/video/watch/?id=7390540n> – CBS NEWS

Video 2 - <http://www.cbsnews.com/video/watch/?id=7390542n> – CBS NEWS

“Lawyers Investigating SEC Madoff Frauds Provide Help to Fellow Lawyers Eight SEC employees disciplined over failures in Madoff fraud case; none are fired”, The Washington Post by David S. Hilzenrath - November 11, 2011
<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2011/11/lawyers-investigating-sec-madoff-frauds.html>

and

http://www.washingtonpost.com/business/economy/seven-sec-employees-disciplined-on-failure-to-stop-madoff-fraud/2011/11/10/gIQA3kYYCN_story.html

- subverted the RATING AGENCIES to make all of the above criminal contracts AAA rated²¹, Sinking World Markets from the FRAUDULENT RATINGS, to
8. FRAUDULENT WARS OF AGGRESSION based on LIE after LIE for War Profiteering, to
 9. ILLEGAL OIL PRICE FIXING and PROFITEERING, to
 10. MARKET RIGGING, that has decreased the Middle Class Portfolios to Poverty, to
 11. ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS that have wiped out the Middle Class and Small Businesses.

ECONOMIC CALAMITIES caused directly through CONSPIRATORIAL FRAUD. Whereby LAWMAKERS, more aptly, LAWBREAKERS in CONGRESS, almost all Attorneys at Law are bought or placed in their roles through FRAUDULENT ELECTIONS by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE. These LAWBREAKER/CONGRESSIONAL CRIMINALS travel through a REVOLVING DOOR from CONGRESS to the “FAVORED LAW FIRMS” or vice versa. THEY HAVE ROBBED US CITIZENS further by SHIFTING THE LOSS FOR THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISES CRIMES TO THE PEOPLE and onto the BACKS OF THEIR CHILDREN’S FUTURE.

Members of the CRIMINAL RICO LAW FIRMS infiltrate KEY CONGRESSIONAL POSTS, in order to design for example, a MULTITRILLION DOLLAR FRAUD called TARP. The Congressional Criminals create False Debt Ceilings debates, a false sense of bankruptcy, etc. to further ROB the PEOPLE through Budget Cuts, most cuts in areas where they could be prosecuted for their crimes. The very same Congressional Criminals that destroyed the ECONOMIES of the WORLD then claim the People need to tighten their belts and cut their “entitlements,”²² cuts directly due to the losses from their crimes, whilst their belts burst in

²¹ “MOODY'S ANALYST BREAKS SILENCE: Says Ratings Agency Rotten To Core With Conflicts” by Henry Blodget at Business Insider, Inc. August 19, 2011

<http://www.businessinsider.com/moodys-analyst-conflicts-corruption-and-greed-2011-8> Read more:
<http://www.businessinsider.com/moodys-analyst-conflicts-corruption-and-greed-2011-8#ixzz1VhH7113r>

and

“Comment on SEC Proposed Rules for Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations – File No. S7-18-11 by William J. Harrington”

<http://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-18-11/s71811-33.pdf>

²² The word Entitlements needs further clarification here, as there are two distinct confusing meanings. The first meaning “is a guarantee of access to benefits based on established rights or by legislation. A "right" is itself an entitlement associated with a moral or social principle, such that an "entitlement" is a provision made in accordance with legal framework of a society”. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entitlement> In this usage, an Entitlement is akin to a worker paying for and buying, with REAL HARD EARNED MONEY through REAL HARD WORK, benefits such as Social Security, Medicare/Medicaid and Unemployment Insurance. For these future promises to pay REAL FUNDS ARE DEDUCTED OUT OF EVERY REAL PAYCHECK OF THE WORKER THROUGHOUT LIFE,

Greed. Instead, the People must simply RESTORE JUSTICE, tighten the NOOSES around the CRIMINALS necks and simply RECOVER the STOLEN LOOT from their swaying carcasses, which again, would instantly put the Country back in black overnight.

used to BUY GUARANTEED and FUNDED BENEFITS LATER. Here we have Workers PURCHASING an Government Insurance PRODUCT via Contract, not some sort of freeloading of benefits in any gifted or undeserved manner and factually workers are legally entitled to those PAID FOR FUTURE BENEFITS.

The Second Definition of Entitlement, “In a casual sense, the term ‘entitlement’ refers to a notion or belief that one (or oneself) is deserving of some particular reward or benefit—if given without deeper legal or principled cause, the term is often given with pejorative connotation (e.g. a ‘sense of entitlement’)”. This second usage is strikingly different from the first in that here there is NO LEGAL Entitlement to a Future Purchased Benefit, just a “sense”, which would be akin to say an unearned “TRUST FUND BABIES TRUST FUND”, where the benefactors inherit, not earn or pay for, the “entitled by birth” benefits. The only Entitlements necessary to cut in society are these type of UNEARNED or UNPAID FOR ENTITLEMENTS and BENEFITS but that would leave most of Congress needing a second job and purchasing the crap insurance of “Obama UnCare” versus their “Entitled” platinum plated Congressional Insurance Policies. Of course, our politicians and their families should have Platinum Plans for they earned it by bankrupting the Nation and World Economies? In other words, the only ones who appear to live off UNEARNED ENTITLEMENTS are those ROBBING the AMERICAN WORKERS of their HARD EARNED BENEFITS THEY PAID FOR AND ARE LEGALLY ENTITLED TO.

Another “entitlement” to cut would be “Trust Funds” as with estates currently passing 100% free of Death Taxes through further ILLEGAL DEREGULATIONS, we factually have a Monarchy. As if a Kings were passing the Kingdom, the United States, to their “entitled” children, who then pass it to theirs, further skewing the money supply, spoiling each successive generation until they are “rotten to the core” and let the GOOD PEOPLE who worked hard to get them their “entitled” positions, STARVE. Instead, as this is America and not the English Monarchy we freed ourselves from yesteryear, when someone who has “made it” dies with a billion, a small portion should pass to their next generation through a 90% estate tax, which in this instance would leave a whopping 100 Million Dollars to their heirs. Even this amount is not enough for these greedy ROBBER BABY BARONS where they currently have rigged the money supply further by passing all 1 BILLION free of tax. In the instance of a 90% estate tax, the remainder of the taxed monies, \$900 Million, would return to the common money pool for the next big earners/inventors to earn and to pay for the PAID ENTITLEMENTS of the PEOPLE who WORKED HARD and are LEGALLY ENTITLED TO THEM.

Plaintiff fears however that like with the Good King, the People will have to have a real “Tea Party” again. Not to be confused with the Unpatriotic Tea Party of today’s politics but a true REVOLUTION and REVOLT against these “ENTITLED” SPOILED ROTTEN ELITIST TYRANTS WITH HARVARD AND YALE BOUGHT LEGAL DEGREES, whose legacy is to have decimated and destroyed our Great Nation, her People and her Honor. In Revolt the PEOPLE should carefully dissect those ELITISTS who inherited their wealth or gained it from CRIMINAL ACTS from those who WORKED HARD in legitimate positions to EARN such Wealth when TAKING BACK THE ILL GOTTEN GAINS.

The Entitlement SCAM appears yet another CRIME perpetrated against the PEOPLE by our “Elite” Congressional Criminals (elite only in the entitled “sense”) to rob funds set aside by workers for PAID FOR SOCIAL BENEFITS and now claim that the workers were not “entitled” to these PAID FOR BENEFITS, as if these were social welfare claims like their trust funds. Whereby the monies paid for by WORKERS throughout their LIFETIMES with LEGAL PROMISE TO PAY at RETIREMENT or if they become UNEMPLOYED are being kiped and pilfered by those “TRUST FUND BABIES” from Harvard and Yale, mostly who are running or more aptly ruining and robbing the Country, in delusions of grandeur, perhaps from too many “entitlements”. Those who have hardly worked a day in their lives and who do not pay any taxes, again we find robbing hard working Americans while making huge gains for themselves and the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION.

What do the PEOPLE have from all of these FRAUDS?

1. INTENTIONALLY WRECKED WORLD ECONOMIES,
2. Decreased home equity by 40-60%,
3. 8 million-20 million homeless from wholly fraudulent foreclosures,
4. 30-75 million unemployed from the wholly illegal market rigging, antitrust violations (ie Walmart, etc.) and company collapses (ie Lehman),
5. 401k's and Stock Portfolio's hammered to nothing,
6. Pensions left in shambles²³,
7. City, States, Counties and Countries wrecked by fraudulent legal instruments and illegal legal scams, and,
8. Food and oil prices fixed to starve the People and wipe out the Middle Class.

All of these Economic Hardships the result of Economic Terrorism and directly resulting from Conspiratorial Criminal Demolitions and again all UNPROSECUTED. All of the crimes are achievable only with a Degree in Law MisUsed, which becomes a license to steal. Look no further for example then the SKYROCKETING Net Worth increases of our Government Elite, our Pork Filled Politicians²⁴ and their Corporate PIG Partners. Their increase in wealth corresponds almost identically to the amount of monies stolen from the PEOPLE through these swindles and through the RIGGED CRIMINAL COLLAPSES OF ECONOMIES. This uneven

²³ "Madoff Whistleblower: Big Banks Are Ripping Off Pension Funds." By Peter Gorenstein | Daily Ticker August 19, 2001
<http://finance.yahoo.com/blogs/daily-ticker/madoff-whistleblower-big-banks-ripping-off-pension-funds-152836936.html>

and

"Governor [Andrew Cuomo] ignores crooks, targets retirees" by Francis A. Gentile, Gannet, Mar. 2, 2012
<http://www.lohud.com/article/20120304/OPINION/303040049/1016/OPINION01/Gov.%20ignores%20crooks+.%20targets%20retirees>

and

"Will the Attorneys General Sell Out the Pension Funds?" by Abigail Caplovitz Field, TheHuffingtonPost.com, Inc.
<http://www.huffingtonpost.com/abigail-caplovitz-field>

²⁴ "The 50 Richest Members of Congress (2011)" "To determine the richest lawmakers, Roll Call adds up the **minimum value** of total assets reported by each Member on their annual financial disclosures and subtracts the minimum liabilities. Percent change refers to the change since last year's disclosure forms. An asset valued at \$5 million to \$25 million is counted at the lesser amount, as is a liability valued at \$1 million to \$5 million." August 22, 2011
<http://www.rollcall.com/50richest/the-50-richest-members-of-congress-112th.html>

distribution/skewing of the money supply between the haves and the have not's is due entirely to CRIMINAL ACTS and has become worse than any other point in history.²⁵

The CRIMINALS in the RICO Enterprise cloaked as ATTORNEYS AT LAW, act behind the scenes, further profiting from the controlled demolition of the US and Foreign Markets and Fortune 1000 Companies by betting against the PEOPLE and having INSIDE INFORMATION that the markets will collapse from each controlled demolition. From the wreckage however, one finds new instant BILLIONAIRES and RECORD CORPORATE PROFITS of a select few, about 1/10 of 1% of the Population, an increase in wealth that almost directly correlates to the TRILLIONS of DOLLARS LOOTED by their CRIMES.

Sky-Rocketing Net Worth Increases, include but are not limited to,

1. Lawmakers from all Political Parties, mainly Attorneys at Law,
2. Judges, again almost all Attorneys at Law,
3. Public Officials, again almost all Attorneys at Law, collecting their compensation upon exiting Public Office in Lucrative Instant Partnerships with the "Favored Law Firms" and,
4. CEO's of the RICO Enterprise's Controlled Companies, again almost all Attorneys at Law, here taking bonuses for the Criminal RICO Organization while wiping out shareholders, employees, etc.

All of these CRIMINALS stealing for the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE and themselves hundreds of Billions/TRILLIONS in Salary and Bonuses for the Criminal Enterprise by Robbing, Raping and Destroying America's "Apple Pie" institutions and running them into the ground. Why have these PIGS given themselves Bonuses? For all of the following reasons they should have been FIRED and IMPRISONED instead, including for all of the following;

1. bankrupting Fortune 1000 companies,
2. rigging and destroying world mortgage markets,
3. rigging and destroying world stock markets,
4. rigging global economies to collapse²⁶ and

²⁵ "The Shocking, Graphic Data That Shows Exactly What Motivates the Occupy Movement
The corporate media may obsess about what Occupy Wall Street is all about, but these images should make it clear."
AlterNet / By Les Leopold, October 23, 2011
http://www.alternet.org/story/152811/the_shocking%2C_graphic_data_that_shows_exactly_what_motivates_the_occupy_movement?page=entire

²⁶ Further, supporting evidence of this CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE committing MASS FRAUD can be found in the April 13, 2011, Report by the United States Senate, PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. The Committee is Chaired by Hon. Carl Levin and assisted in bipartisan fashion by Tom Coburn, Ranking Minority Member and is titled WALL STREET AND THE

FINANCIAL CRISIS: ANATOMY OF A FINANCIAL COLLAPSE. The Report is located at the following URL, hereby fully incorporated in entirety by reference herein,
http://hsgac.senate.gov/public/files/Financial_Crisis/FinancialCrisisReport.pdf .

This detailed stinging report alleges fraud over 200 times in 650 pages, yet still NOT A SINGLE ARREST???

While most of this Criminal Activity defined in the report continues to take place in New York, and why not, when the “Fox” and “Fix” is in the Henhouse with this Court? Crime Pays when no one is protecting the People and Justice is complicit in the crimes. One must ask where are the US Attorneys, the New York Attorney General and the Governor of New York, the self-proclaimed “Sheriffs of Wall Street”, whom instead look more like Criminal Accomplices disguised as Sheriffs. Who are these “Barney Fife” Sheriffs? Again, we find more ATTORNEYS AT LAW, all with interests in the CONTROLLED DEMOLITION of the markets, betting against the People in rigged market collapses, fearing no Justice as they have disabled Justice.

and

“Is the SEC Covering Up Wall Street Crimes? A whistleblower claims that over the past two decades, the agency has destroyed records of thousands of investigations, whitewashing the files of some of the nation's worst financial criminals.” By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher August 17, 2011
<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/is-the-sec-covering-up-wall-street-crimes-20110817>

and

“SEC may have destroyed documents, says senator Grassley: Agency may have got rid of Goldman, Madoff documents” “The Securities and Exchange Commission may have destroyed documents and compromised enforcement cases involving activity at large banks and hedge funds during the height of the financial crisis in 2008, according to allegations made by a lawmaker on Wednesday.” By Ronald D. Orol, (MarketWatch) August 18, 2011
<http://www.marketwatch.com/story/sec-may-have-destroyed-documents-senator-says-2011-08-17>

“Why Isn't Wall Street in Jail? Financial crooks brought down the world's economy — but the feds are doing more to protect them than to prosecute them.” By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher
<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/why-isnt-wall-street-in-jail-20110216>

and

“Why Do Dangerous Financial Criminals Roam Free? Prosecutors like Eric Schneiderman need cops on the beat to put financial crooks behind bars. But thanks to Bush, these cops are missing in action.” By June Carbone, Alternet, February 4, 2012
http://www.alternet.org/economy/153997/why_do_dangerous_financial_criminals_roam_free

and

“William Black: Why Nobody Went to Jail During the Credit Crisis --- The FBI is no longer chasing white collar criminals” by James J Puplava CFP with William K Black PhD at Financial Sense®
<http://www.financialsense.com/financial-sense-newshour/guest-expert/2011/09/14/william-k-black-phd/why-nobody-went-to-jail-during-the-credit-crisis#.Toz2nH9u1OU.email>

and

“If Mortgage Fraud Was Rampant, Why Aren't [there] Criminal Charges?” By Matt Egan, Published May 06, 2011, FOXBusiness
http://www.foxbusiness.com/industries/2011/05/05/fraud-claims-grow-feds-forgo-criminal-charges/?cmpid=cmy_email_Gigya_If_Mortgage_Fraud_Was_Rampant%2C_Why_Aren't_Criminal_Charges%3F

5. rigging illegal wars of aggression for war and oil profiteering.

All crimes and cover-ups done with scienter against the American People and People Worldwide, all done in criminal conspiracy, all done with the aid of insiders inside the Cogs of Justice and Government. These controlled demolitions of world economies created through FRAUD, have intentionally BANKRUPTED the US and World markets and caused FRAUDULENT ECONOMIC DEPRESSIONS on Citizens worldwide. Again, all of this CRIMINAL HAVOC to the benefit of a CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE, all further caused by MASS CRIMINAL ACTS committed by mostly ATTORNEYS AT LAW and their CORPORATE CLIENTELE, ending with Attorneys at Law behind the TORTURING OF HUMAN BEINGS and WAGING ILLEGAL UNDECLARED WARS OF AGGRESSION.²⁷

and

“WW3 is on as Wall St. banks plunder economy.” Max Keiser, YOUTUBE (or aptly ELIOTUBE)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tZindTx0YDA&feature=player_embedded

and

“Obama: Banks broke no laws broken...More shameless than Bush” by Max Keiser
<http://www.realecontv.com/videos/government-corruption/obama-banks-broke-no-laws-broken.html>

and

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ks-sc4LYqck&feature=player_embedded
[Obama tries to Cover-Up for Economic Terrorism committed by his Republican Wall Street backers, keep in mind he has raised more money for the 2012 election from Republican Wall Streeters than all of the Republican Candidates in toto] see,

“Wall Street Still Gives More Cash to Obama Than to Republicans” by Noreen Malone, New York Media LLC.
October 20, 2011
http://nymag.com/daily/intel/2011/10/wall_street_still_gives_more_c.html

and

“Meltdown - The men who crashed the world” Part 1-4
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6zZ_JfROhOE&feature=player_embedded

and

“The Failure to Prosecute Bank Crimes Creates a Disease at the Heart of Our Politics” By: David Dayen,
Firedoglake, Monday February 6, 2012
<http://news.firedoglake.com/2012/02/06/the-failure-to-prosecute-bank-crimes-creates-a-disease-at-the-heart-of-our-politics>

“Deficiencies Found in Oversight of Seized Assets, U.S. Says” By Seth Stern - Sep 13, 2011, BLOOMBERG L.P.
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-09-13/deficiencies-found-in-oversight-of-seized-assets-u-s-says.html>

²⁷ **“Bush, Blair found guilty of war crimes - A War Crimes Tribunal in Malaysia has found former US President George W. Bush and former British Prime Minister Tony Blair guilty of war crimes for their roles in the Iraq war.”** November 23, 2011 by PressTV

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/211590.html>

and

“The Torture Memos: just following orders, just following [LEGAL] advice?” Posted on July 12, 2011 by Richard Moorhead Law Professor at Cardiff University, LAWYERS WATCH

<http://lawyerwatch.wordpress.com/2011/07/12/the-torture-memos-just-following-orders-just-following-advice/>

and

“United States: Investigate Bush, Other Top Officials for Torture - Inquiry Into 2 Deaths in CIA Custody Insufficient” by Human Rights Watch July 11, 2011

<http://www.hrw.org/en/news/2011/07/11/united-states-investigate-bush-other-top-officials-torture>

and

“John F. Kennedy 2 - The George H. W. Bush Connection-Full Length Documentary - The sequel to Oliver Stone's JFK, you won't see in the cinema. A thoroughly documented criminal indictment establishing beyond a reasonable doubt the guilt of George H.W. Bush as a supervisor in the conspiracy to assassinate John Kennedy.”

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DAQ5mFkrIDs&feature=autoshare>

and

“Bush Family, C.I.A., Nazi Connection” October 28, 2007 -

<http://www.myspace.com/270351075/blog/323241558>

and

In 1939, Harriman and Prescott hired the Dulles brothers [law firm of Sullivan & Cromwell - <http://www.enter.net/~torve/trogholm/secret/rightroots/dulles.html>] to hide Nazi involvement with U.B.C. [Union Banking Corporation] because they knew the things they had done were not in the best interest of America. But it didn't work and U.B.C. was seized by the federal government on Nov. 17, 1942, under the Trading with the Enemy Act. The bank was a money laundering operation for Hitler. Prescott [Bush] was also forced to give up support to his favorite political ally Hitler. Prescott went to a Congress Hearing to try to get his Bank back. **J. Edgar**

Hoover told him [Prescott Bush, Father to George HW Bush and GrandNaziFather to George W. (WarCriminal) Bush], "That's right your a Nazi, and you run a Nazi Bank." Prescott was denied request to keep the bank and the bank was seized. There were many other American & British Elite that funded Hitler & the Nazi's rise to power. Henry Ford of Ford Motor Company, The Rockefeller family of Standard Oil, Thomas Watson of IBM, J. P. Morgan, Coca Cola, General Motors, The Rothschild Banking Family of England , etc... etc...etc... How do you think Germany built a Military big enough to take on the world in about a 10 year period?

[Note that many of these same UnAmerican, UnPatriotic, Fascist, Nazi Fry Loving, Spoiled Rotten SellOut's who attempted the Current Coup on the United States are these same UNPATRIOTIC families. See Business Plot I for more information @ <http://www.huppi.com/kangaroo/Coup.htm>]

[Note that many of these same UnAmerican, UnPatriotic, Fascist, Nazi Fry Loving, Spoiled Rotten SellOut's who attempted the Current Coup on the United States are these same UNPATRIOTIC families. See Business Plot I for more information @ <http://www.huppi.com/kangaroo/Coup.htm>]

and

Jason Bermas presents “Invisible Empire: A New World Order Defined” produced by Alex Jones,

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NO24XmP1c5E&feature=bf_play&list=FLtle4CeXy9TI&index=1

and

Tens of Thousands being Tortured Worldwide whom are not TERRORISTS, or we would have tried them proudly in our Just System, but instead mostly these people those who oppose the United States' UNDECLARED and ILLEGAL WARS OF AGGRESSIONS in their Countries, whose PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THEIR COUNTRIES HAVE BEEN STOLEN, dissenters sent to Camp Gitmoschwitz or Abu GraHell or some other Black site. Some of those being TORTURED currently are tortured for their HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING ATTEMPTS, such as PFC Bradley Manning, Julian Assange, Christine C. Anderson, Nicole Corrado, Hon. Judge Hart, etc., whom through PATRIOTIC DISSENT HAVE EXPOSED THE CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATIONS CRIMES. Yet, we know live in a United States where Dissent is no longer a form of Patriotism but rather a Criminal Act.

"The High Priests of Globalisation" Will Hutton

<http://www.bilderberg.org/>

and

“Crisis to Suicide: How Many Have to Die Before We Kill the False Religion of Austerity? The rate of people taking their own lives is soaring in Europe at such a clip that the trend has given birth to a new media term: **"Suicide by economic crisis."** By Lynn Parramore, AlterNet

http://www.alternet.org/story/155012/crisis_to_suicide%3A_how_many_have_to_die_before_we_kill_the_false_religion_of_austerity?akid=8599.203523.WDb0Fu&rd=1&t=8

Agenda 21, EUGENICS "TAKING LIVES CONSIDERED NOT WORTHY TO BE LIVED"

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5cu_5uoQ18

“Transcript: Interview with U.N. torture official Manfred Novak” By Glenn Greenwald, Salon Media Group, Inc. Saturday, Apr 25, 2009

http://www.salon.com/news/opinion/glenn_greenwald/2009/04/25/nowak



D. WHERE IS THE JUSTICE? THE CRIMINAL ROLE OF THIS COURT IN AIDING AND ABETTING THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE

Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day; but a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period and pursued unalterably through every change of ministers, too plainly prove a deliberate, systematic plan of reducing [a people] to slavery. --- Thomas Jefferson

Let's face it, there is no DEPRESSION as the economic numbers would appear to reflect, there is very little organic economic downturn at all, instead what we have here are **CRIMINAL ACTS LEADING DIRECTLY TO THE COLLAPSE OF THE UNITED STATES AND FOREIGN NATIONS FOR THE BENEFIT OF 1/10 OF 1% OF THE POPULATION.** Instead what is found are THE KEYSTONE KOPS, aka the CRIMINAL ACCOMPLICES, composed of Lawmakers, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judges, all acting like the Three Wise Monkeys who see, hear and speak no evil, pick-pocketing world markets for the Criminal RICO Enterprise aka CRIME INC., which has subverted the United States Government and certain FOREIGN NATIONS. A TREASONOUS NEW WORLD DISORDER CONSPIRATORIAL COUP D'ÉTAT where Justice Officials intentionally look the other way to disable justice, or attempt to find ways to let their Criminal Brethren off the hook through further CRIMINAL ACTS and COVER-UPS. One finds our lawmakers and enforcers instead of upholding law, busy issuing "Get Out of Jail Free" cards for friends and family caught along the way, under the guise of "Immunity" or "Executive Privileges." Where those tricks fail one finds them attempting to rewrite Laws to make their Crimes legal after the fact^{28and29and30}, just like the Nazi

²⁸ "AG [New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman] booted from key mtge. Panel" By MARK DECAMBRE, The New York Post, August 24, 2011
http://www.nypost.com/p/news/business/ag_booted_from_key_mtge_panel_naRSXbrZRtDscevTnoYeKJ

Attorneys at Law tried at the “Nuremberg Judges Trial”³¹ and all the while lining their pockets in stolen funds and ECONOMICALLY TERRORIZING PEOPLE WORLDWIDE THROUGH ECONOMIC WARFARE WAGED UPON THEM, ignoring or harassing any Whistleblowers or Do-gooders seeking honest Justice.³²



²⁹ “Obama Admin Blocks Bank Investigations?” Uploaded by TheYoungTurks on Aug 22, 2011, YOUTUBE (Should be ELIOTTUBE as YOUTUBE is one of the largest infringers of Plaintiff Bernstein’s Video Inventions) http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZL63bki4kzk&feature=player_embedded

³⁰ “Obama Goes All Out For Dirty Banker Deal” by Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, editor and publisher, August 24, 2011 | 11:17am <http://m.rollingstone.com/entry/view/id/16196/pn/all/p/0/?KSID=bcdc270d2877e6d6e53699d382c34a8c>

³¹ “The Nuremberg Trials: The Justice Trial” United States of America v. Alstötter et al. (“The Justice Case”) 3 T.W.C. 1 (1948), 6 L.R.T.W.C. 1 (1948), 14 Ann. Dig. 278 (1948). The Justice Trial is one of the most interesting of the Nuremberg trials. The trial of sixteen defendants, members of the Reich Ministry of Justice or People’s and Special Courts, raised the issue of what responsibility judges might have for enforcing grossly unjust--but arguably binding--laws. The trial was the inspiration for the movie Judgment at Nuremberg. The movie presented a somewhat fictionalized view of the trial. <http://law2.umkc.edu/faculty/projects/ftrials/nuremberg/Alstoetter.htm>

³² “Florida attorney general, two fired lawyers in public dispute” July 21, 2011|By Kathleen Haughney, Sun Sentinel http://articles.sun-sentinel.com/2011-07-21/business/fl-bondi-fired-attorneys-react-20110721_1_foreclosure-fraud-clarkson-division-director

Yet, the Crimes and Country are Fixable with a simple return to TRUTH, JUSTICE and the AMERICAN WAY of Law and Order, “NO ONE ABOVE THE LAW”, which Plaintiff believes is soon upon us, where the office no longer attempts to sanctify the Holder³³ and the monies looted will be RECOVERED for the PEOPLE. For example, with a RICO CRIMINAL SUIT against those who organized and participated in the TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS COUP D’ÉTAT, including CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY and ECONOMIC WARFARE, acting both within and outside government, the UNITED STATES would **RECOVER BACK TO THE PEOPLE TRILLIONS of LOOT** stolen via these TRAITOROUS and TREASONOUS ECONOMIC and WAR CRIMES. A clean sweep of all of the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATIONS ASSETS and INDIVIDUAL ASSETS as RICO permits, just as the Justice Department did in the Gotti RICO Criminal Enterprise, leaving Gotti to die penniless in prison and his La Famiglia Criminal Empire extinct and broke. Yet, where is Justice now despite the mounds of evidence against this Criminal Cartel operating inside government, at the helm of our country, who have stolen more money from the People than the Mob in the past decade, this lack of JUSTICE leading to the true moral decay of our country?

How has Justice been derailed and by whom? Whistleblower Anderson et al., expose the tip of the Iceberg of the underbelly of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE operating inside Government. Revealing a select group of CRIMINALS, again disguised as Attorneys at Law, operating as, State and Federal Justices, United States Department of Justice Officials, New York State Attorney General Officials, District Attorney Officials, New York State Supreme Court Officials, “Favored Law Firms & Lawyers” and both Federal & State Regulators. This elaborate network of Government Operatives FINGERED BY ANDERSON AND CORRADO, act in

³³John Emerich Edward Dalberg-Acton, 1st Baron Acton, KCVO, DL aka John Dalberg-Acton, 8th Bt aka Lord Acton

“No doubt the responsibility in such a case is shared by those who ask for a thing. But if the thing is criminal, if, for instance, it is a licence to commit adultery, the person who authorises the act shares the guilt of the person who commits it. Here again what I have said is not in any way mysterious or esoteric. It appeals to no hidden code. It aims at no secret moral. It supposes nothing, and implies nothing but what is universally current and familiar. It is the common, even the vulgar, code I appeal to.

I cannot accept your canon that we are to judge Pope and King [or US Presidents, Judges, Regulators, etc.] unlike other men, with a favourable presumption that they did no wrong. If there is any presumption it is the other way, against the holders of power, increasing as the power increases. Historic responsibility has to make up for the want of legal responsibility. Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely. Great men are almost always bad men, even when they exercise influence and not authority, still more when you superadd the tendency or the certainty of corruption by authority. **There is no worse heresy than that the office sanctifies the holder of it.** Here are the greatest names coupled with the greatest crimes; you would spare those criminals, for some mysterious reason. I would hang them higher than Haman, for reasons of quite obvious justice, still more, still higher for the sake of historical science.

http://oll.libertyfund.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1407&Itemid=283

conspiracy to SUBTERFUGE ALL Criminal Complaints or Lawsuits that arise against the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION from any victims.

Anderson FINGERS, under sworn oath under G-d, in both Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, that SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS are “WHITEWASHING” ATTORNEY MISCONDUCT complaints, destroying documents, altering records and committing FELONY OBSTRUCTION after FELONY OBSTRUCTION, in both State and Federal proceedings. Blocking Due Process of their Victims and erasing any complaints against the Members of the Criminal Organization. A “Good Ole-Boy” network of Criminals operating inside government.

The Criminal Operatives with legal degrees, upon entering and exiting public offices, swing through a “Revolving Door” of “Favored Law Firms” as described by Anderson. Big payouts are waiting for them in INSTANT PARTNERSHIPS with the “Favored Law Firms” for their time in public DISSERVICE and for their work Aiding and Abetting the facilitation of the Crimes by INTENTIONALLY FAILING TO REGULATE or PROSECUTE. Many of these Criminal Operatives in fact leave lucrative multi-million dollar legal jobs at Major US Law Firms to enter low paying public service jobs with the intent of derailing complaints or disabling regulations, in order to facilitate the illegal legal schemes and collect their payout later in Partnership deals with the RICO Law Firms the crimes benefit.

Anderson’s FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS demand immediate investigations of ALL those responsible for the disabling and perversion of the Judicial System and Regulatory Oversight Agencies that are designed to protect US Citizens from Crimes committed by Public Officials, Justices, Prosecutors, Law Firms and Attorneys at Law. Anderson’s allegations reveal there is nowhere to turn at the State or Federal level where Whistleblowers or Victims can pursue claims against these “Protected” Government Officials, where Senior Public Officials are not already in place to block the complaints through continued Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law. CONFLICTS remain “the glue that binds” the crimes from prosecution in the courts and prosecutorial offices, as Victims and Whistleblower are passed back and forth for years between Agencies that intentionally deny and dismiss complaints without fair and impartial due process of law through the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS described by Anderson and the other Whistleblowers.

The Criminals, disguised as Public Officials with Law Degrees, are not lazy, lackadaisical, ignorant, or “asleep at the wheel,” they instead appear this way in order to subterfuge and derail prosecutions, lawsuits and regulatory discipline, while holding the door open for their criminal legal brethren and their criminal clientele as they loot the country and world markets. **ANDERSON’S ALLEGATIONS EXPLAIN WHY THERE HAVE BEEN NO SUBSTANTIVE PROSECUTIONS, ARRESTS OR TRIALS, OF ANY OF THE**

PUBLIC OFFICIALS, LAW FIRMS, LAWYERS, JUSTICES AND PROSECUTORS and CORPORATE CRIMINALS WHO WITH SCIENTER, AIDED AND ABETTED THE CRIMES COMMITTED ON WALL STREET/FRAUD STREET, ALL CRIMINALLY FAILING TO UPHOLD THEIR PUBLIC OFFICE DUTIES AND LAW. NO JUSTICE WHATSOEVER, DESPITE ABSOLUTE AND OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE OF CRIMES.

JUSTICE IS DEAD AND THIS COURT IS A CENTRAL PARTY TO THE COUP D'ÉTAT and OFFICIALS OF THIS COURT have a DIRECT and heavy hand in aiding and abetting the crimes and COVER-UP of this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit and the crimes committed on Wall Street/Fraud Street that have destroyed Main Street and streets throughout the World³⁴. What Court could allow all these Crimes to continue under its very nose, allowing violations of Constitutional and Human Rights, covering up ILLEGAL TORTURE CRIMES and ECONOMIC CRIMES, softening the blow for Ponzi Schemers and Wall Street Banksters brought before the court, a blind eye to victims? The Public need look no further than THIS DIRTY COURT, which has jurisdiction over Wall Street/Fraud Street and the Attorneys at Law on Wall Street where the crimes appear to both begin and end. OFFICIALS OF THIS COURT have become a central component of the RICO Criminal Organization's success in both committing these TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS crimes and aiding evading prosecution. The Criminal Acts committed by Officials of THIS COURT by intentionally and with scienter attempting to conceal the evidence of crimes exposed by CREDIBLE WITNESSES in VIOLATION OF LAW, acts as further PRIMA FACIE evidence of this Court's Felony Criminal Activities.

The failure to CALL IN THE GUARDS after learning of the Anderson, Corrado and Hart FELONY WHISTLEBLOWING CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS, including many of those handling these Lawsuits in Conflict, Violation of Public Office, Violations of Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law, constitutes further FELONY MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES), OBSTRUCTION and more. Members of THIS COURT, instead of following Law however are instead found VIOLATING LAW by attempting to sweep the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS they are fully cognizant of, under the rug, intentionally and with scienter ,dismissing the Anderson and Related Case Lawsuits prior to LEGALLY REQUIRED INVESTIGATIONS, acting in a hurried effort to hide the crimes and their culpability in them.

³⁴ "How the Corporate Right Hijacked America's Courts to Enrich the Top 1 Percent America's political-economy is caught in a vicious cycle, with concentrated wealth at the top leading to outsized political power." by Joshua Holland, AlterNet, May 10, 2012
http://www.alternet.org/story/155379/how_the_corporate_right_hijacked_america%27s_courts_to_enrich_the_top_1_percent?page=entire

HISTORY OF THE COUP

I am concerned for the security of our great Nation; not so much because of any threat from without, but because of the insidious forces working from within. --- General of the United States Army Douglas MacArthur

“Hylan's most famous words against "the interests" was the following speech, made in 1922, while he was the sitting Mayor of New York City (1917–25)

'The real menace of our Republic is the invisible government, which like a giant octopus sprawls its slimy legs over our cities, states and nation. To depart from mere generalizations, let me say that at the head of this octopus are the Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests and a small group of powerful banking houses generally referred to as the international bankers. The little coterie of powerful international bankers virtually run the United States government for their own selfish purposes. ‘They practically control both parties, write political platforms, make catspaws of party leaders, use the leading men of private organizations, and resort to every device to place in nomination for high public office only such candidates as will be amenable to the dictates of corrupt big business. ‘These international bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of the newspapers and magazines in this country. They use the columns of these papers to club into submission or drive out of office public officials who refuse to do the bidding of the powerful corrupt cliques which compose the invisible government. It operates under cover of a self-created screen [and] seizes our executive officers, legislative bodies, schools, courts, newspapers and every agency created for the public protection.

This "invisible government", Hylan and others - William Jennings Bryan, Charles Lindbergh Sr. (R-MN) - argued, exercised its control of the US Government through the Federal Reserve.”

The very word "secrecy" is repugnant in a free and open society; and we are as a people inherently and historically opposed to secret societies, to secret oaths and secret proceedings. We decided long ago that the dangers of excessive and unwarranted concealment of pertinent facts far outweighed the dangers which are cited to justify it. Even today, there is little value in opposing the threat of a closed society by imitating its arbitrary restrictions. Even today, there is little value in insuring the survival of our nation if our traditions do not survive with it. And there is very grave danger that an announced need for increased security will be

seized upon those anxious to expand its meaning to the very limits of official censorship and concealment. That I do not intend to permit to the extent that it is in my control. And no official of my Administration, whether his rank is high or low, civilian or military, should interpret my words here tonight as an excuse to censor the news, to stifle dissent, to cover up our mistakes or to withhold from the press and the public the facts they deserve to know." President John F. Kennedy Waldorf-Astoria Hotel on April 27, 1961. "The President and the Press" before the American Newspaper Publishers Association

http://www.thepowerhour.com/news3/jfk_speech_transcript.htm

As eloquently stated in her Dismissal Order³⁵ of this RICO & Antitrust Lawsuit, Shira Scheindlin states,

07 Civ. 11196 (SAS)

I. INTRODUCTION

“This action presents a dramatic story of intrigue, car bombing, conspiracy, video technology, and murder. In short, plaintiffs allege that hundreds of defendants engaged in a massive conspiracy to violate their civil rights and, in the process, contributed to the Enron bankruptcy and the presidency of George W. Bush. In plaintiffs' words:

Plaintiffs depict a conspiratorial pattern of fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation, that runs so wide and so deep, that it tears at the very fabric, and becomes the litmus test, of what has come to be known as free commerce through inventors' rights and due process in this country, and in that the circumstances involve inventors' rights tears at the very fabric of the Democracy protected under the Constitution of the United States. 36”

Defendants characterize the events quite differently:

For many years, pro se Plaintiffs Eliot I. Bernstein and Plaintiff Stephen Lamont have engaged in a defamatory and harassing campaign ... alleging an immense global conspiracy ... Although largely unintelligible, the [Amended Complaint] purports to describe a fantastic conspiracy among members of the legal profession, judges and government officials and private individuals and businesses to deprive plaintiffs of what they describe as their "holy grail"

³⁵Shira Scheindlin Dismissal Order August 08, 2008
<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080808%20Scheindlin%20Dismissal%20of%20Complaint%20no%20comments.pdf>

³⁶RICO & ANTITRUST Amended Complaint
<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080509%20FINAL%20AMENDED%20COMPLAINT%20AND%20RICO%20SIGNED%20COPY%20MED.pdf>

technologies...**While I cannot determine which of these descriptions is more accurate...**^{37,,}

If Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin cannot determine which account is more accurate, certainly this leaves the question of if she should have dismissed the case prematurely and prior to investigation of Anderson but more importantly prior to determining if the Presidency of George W. Bush was in fact related to the theft of the Iviewit Intellectual Properties and Iviewit's direct relation to Defendant Enron/Enron Broadband and their attempted theft of the Intellectual Properties. One must then analyze the relevant facts of Plaintiff's assertion that a TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS Coup D'état was preempted by the Iviewit Criminals getting caught and the need to block CRIMINAL LEGAL ACTIONS against them. Plaintiff initially thought that the Coup was planned directly as a result of his inventions, the crimes to steal them being uncovered and the need for these MAJOR US LAW FIRMS to then cover them up. What has since been discovered is that the Coupsters were slowly plotting and planning UN-AMERICAN activities for several decades and had made inroads into the three branches of government for years, with great success but not total control of the US Government, Iviewit would provide the spark to initiate the Power Grab in Toto.

The Coup therefore has evolved over time and culminated in the total takeover of the United States Government, starting with the ILLEGAL and TYRANNOUS Bush v. Gore Election Fraud enacted by the Supreme Court Jesters, in the 5-4 Vote by the Supreme Court that USURPED the Vote of the PEOPLE.

The Supreme Court majority's argument, that a Florida recount would cause Bush "irreparable harm"—that is, loss of the White House—struck many observers as a blatant payoff for the life appointments bestowed by prior Republican presidents. Justice Antonin Scalia³⁸ proved that suspicion correct when he wrote, in approving Bush's plea for a ban on recounts, that "the issuance of the stay suggests that a majority of the Court, while not deciding the issues presented, believe that the petitioner [Bush] has a substantial probability of success." Furthermore, the Court's majority declared that its "logic" in supporting Bush would have no future application to any other case but was

³⁷ Scheindlin Order Footnote = Memorandum of Law in Support of the Defendants' Proskauer Motion to Dismiss, at 1.

³⁸ Wikipedia Entry on Foley & Lardner @ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foley_%26_Lardner

Note here that InJustice Antonin Scalia worked at Defendant Foley & Lardner Law Firm. Also note here that Falsely Anointed President Barack Hussein Obama II is also a former Alumni of the Foley & Lardner Law Firm, a mainly Republican Law Firm, who worked under Defendant Michael Grebe, former CEO of Foley & Lardner Law Firm and also former Republican National Committee Chief Counsel during the Bush Election Fraud and Treason. Grebe is rumored to be the largest MoneyBag for both the Bush and Obama Campaigns???

"Notable current and former employees Barack Obama, President of the United States, summer associate in the Chicago office of Hopkins & Sutter, which was acquired by Foley & Lardner in 2001
Antonin Scalia, United States Supreme Court Justice, was a summer associate in the Milwaukee office"

strictly “limited to the present circumstances, for the problem of equal protection in election processes generally presents many complexities.” As author Vincent Bugliosi noted, “This point . . . all alone and by itself, clearly and unequivocally shows that the Court knew its decision was not based on the merits or the law, and was solely a decision to appoint George Bush President.” Justice John Stevens III affirmed that view in his dissenting opinion when he wrote, “Although we may never know with complete certainty the identity of the winner of this year’s presidential election, the identity of the loser is perfectly clear. It is the nation’s confidence in [this Court] as an impartial guardian of the rule of law.” Unmentioned in that opinion were the blatant conflicts of interest that should have caused two pro-Bush justices to abstain from hearing the case: A son of Justice Antonin Scalia was employed by the law firm that filed suit on Bush’s behalf³⁹, while the wife of Justice Clarence Thomas worked for the Heritage Foundation, busily vetting future Bush appointees at the time her husband helped appoint Bush to the Oval Office.⁴⁰

Supreme Court Justice Breyer states,

The good news, according Breyer, was that despite the court’s irregular action, there were no riots in the streets of America, such as those that are part of the Arab spring we have been hearing so much about. He added: At least 20 percent of you, when I’m saying there was no violence in the streets, you’re thinking, ‘And too bad there wasn’t.’ But I want those of you who are thinking that to turn on your television sets and look at what happens in countries who decide to resolve their most serious problems (with violence).

It’s a valid point. But it goes only so far. The decision led to plenty of violence elsewhere. Before Bush v. Gore is allowed to compost into history, let’s reflect on some of its consequences.⁴¹

Breyer further states in his dissent in Bush v. Gore,

³⁹ “THE STOLEN ELECTION OF 2000”

<http://www.angelfire.com/ca3/jphuck/Book10Ch.3.html>

Second, two sons of Justice Scalia worked for law firms involved with Bush’s legal team. One son, Eugene Scalia, was a partner in the Washington office of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. Another partner, Theodore Olson, argued Bush’s case before the Supreme Court. The young Scalia served as Special Assistant to Attorney General of the United States William Barr. The other son, John Scalia, accepted a position with the Miami-based firm [Defendant in the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit] Greenberg Traurig on November 7. The next day, Barry Richard, a partner in the firm, said he was called about representing Bush in Florida.

⁴⁰ “THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF CONSPIRACIES AND CONSPIRACY THEORIES” by Michael Newton, Facts On File, Inc., 2006

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/25045356/The-Encyclopedia-of-Conspiracies-and-Conspiracy-Theories>

⁴¹ “The Supreme Court decision in Bush v. Gore still resonates” Editorial SentinelSource.com, Posted: Tuesday, May 31, 2011

http://www.sentinelsource.com/opinion/editorial/the-supreme-court-decision-in-bush-v-gore-still-resonates/article_62dd2598-e32a-5554-a884-7e8f94c71abb.html

At the same time, as I have said, the Court is not acting to vindicate a fundamental constitutional principle, such as the need to protect a basic human liberty. No other strong reason to act is present. Congressional statutes tend to obviate the need. And, above all, in this highly politicized matter, the appearance of a split decision runs the risk of undermining the public's confidence in the Court itself. That confidence is a public treasure. It has been built slowly over many years, some of which were marked by a Civil War and the tragedy of segregation. It is a vitally necessary ingredient of any successful effort to protect basic liberty and, indeed, the rule of law itself. We run no risk of returning to the days when a President (responding to this Court's efforts to protect the Cherokee Indians) might have said, "John Marshall has made his decision; now let him enforce it!" Loth, Chief Justice John Marshall and The Growth of the American Republic 365 (1948). **But we do risk a self-inflicted wound – a wound that may harm not just the Court, but the Nation.**

I fear that in order to bring this agonizingly long election process to a definitive conclusion, **we have not adequately attended to that necessary "check upon our own exercise of power," "our own sense of self-restraint."** United States v. Butler, 297 U.S. 1, 79 (1936) (Stone, J., dissenting). Justice Brandeis once said of the Court, "The most important thing we do is not doing." Bickel, supra, at 71. What it does today, the Court should have left undone. I would repair the damage done as best we now can, by permitting the Florida recount to continue under uniform standards.⁴²

The Supreme Court Members that partook in that TREASONOUS ELECTION FRAUD are the ROOTS of the Coupsters total control of Government from that moment forward. Once the Executive Branch and SUPREME COURT were ILLEGALLY secured in a CONSPIRATORIAL TREASON and a FALSE PRESIDENT BUSH ANOINTED by the SUPREME COURT CRIMINALS, the remaining branches of Government, (Legislative & Judicial) quickly crumbled along with the once Free Press. With the Politicization/Witch-hunt of the Justice Department by Defendant Former US Attorney General Alberto Gonzales who resigned in humiliation, Justice in America Died and has been dead ever since. In filing this RICO Lawsuit, as with all LEGAL CONSPIRACIES, where two or more conspire to commit crime, not all relevant facts and players were, or are, fully known and this remains true as new

42

Breyer, J., dissenting
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 00—949

GEORGE W. BUSH, et al., PETITIONERS v.
ALBERT GORE, Jr., et al.
ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT
[December 12, 2000]
<http://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-949.ZD3.html>

evidence surfaces daily regarding the tentacles and history of the TRAITORS and their TREASONOUS CRIMES defined herein.

Plaintiff, in researching the Legal Conspiracy to steal Plaintiff's inventions⁴³, has unearthed a more massive Global Power Grab by these same Coupsters who have since the FALSE PRESIDENT BUSH'S ANOINTMENT and TOTAL TAKEOVER OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT have gone on a MASSIVE CRIME SPREE as already described herein, leading to what is being termed WORLD III. It appears this time that the United States is the host nation to the Nazis, coupled with a TYRANNOUS GROUP OF UN-PATRIOTIC, UN-AMERICAN, NAZI FRY LOVING, FASCIST, ELITIST PIG, CRIMINAL, NEW WORLD DISORDER, SELLOUTS, OF OUR COUNTRY AND CONSTITUTION. Let us then begin exposing the Coup in stages, from their NAZI PASTS and link them up with the Players currently involved in today's TREASON, the ECONOMIC WARFARE and this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, with special focus on the Bush Family Secrets that have come to light recently. Keep in mind that the following items are Not Conspiracy Theories but are Legal

⁴³ The Iviewit technologies have been valued in the TRILLIONS of dollars, valued by leading engineers as "PRICELESS" and the "HOLY GRAIL" inventions of the digital imaging and video worlds, affecting virtually every form of digital communication. In fact, almost 99.99% of users of digital imaging and video products use the Iviewit Technologies in some form or other, .01% is most likely statistical aberration. Therefore, the Iviewit RICO exposes one of the largest crimes against an individual in World History, certainly the largest against any US Citizen/Inventor. Where again we find Attorneys at Law, trusted Patent and Corporate Counsel, charged with the crime of theft of their RETAINED CLIENT'S Intellectual Property Rights and Royalties. As the Amended Complaint alleges, the royalties owed the Inventors have been ILLEGALLY CONVERTED by their former Intellectual Property and Corporate Counsel for their own gains. One of the Intellectual Property Attorneys accused, Defendant Raymond Anthony Joao of Defendants Proskauer Rose/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel put 90+ patents in his very own name. Joao acted as lackey for the main Iviewit Retained Attorney at Law, Patent Hack, Defendant Kenneth Rubenstein of Defendant Proskauer Rose/Defendant Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel and the same Rubenstein who is the sole (soulless) patent Reviewer for DEFENDANT MPEGLA, LLC. MPEGLA one of the largest infringers and chief conspirators in the Iviewit Intellectual Properties theft, where Rubenstein represented Iviewit and then stole the Patent Pending/Suspending IP off to his Patent Pools at MPEGLA, LLC, then through a pattern of Antitrust and Racketeering Activity precluded the Inventors of their rights. We find that Joao after being accused by Iviewit Management of Falsifying Patent Oaths went to work with the recently imprisoned Marc S. Dreier at the law firm of Dreier & Baritz, information regarding how the Dreier affair is directly related to Iviewit has already been submitted to this court. The Attorneys at Law then used the courts to facilitate their crime by disabling the inventors' Intellectual Property rights to their inventions through Conflict after Conflict in the Courts and Prosecutorial Offices to block Plaintiff/Inventor's legal rights, all as explained in detail in the Iviewit Amended Complaint and RICO Statement. Finally, in order to LAUNDER the ILL GOTTEN ROYALTIES over the past DECADE, the lawyers have created further frauds, allegedly including the Ponzis aka Criminal RICO Money Laundering Operations such as, Madoff, Dreier, Stanford and others. Evidence has been presented to this Court and the US District Court regarding the relation of these Ponzis to the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST, showing their direct ties to Defendants in this Lawsuit, the Ponzis used as vehicles to wash hundreds of billions of converted stolen royalties, while making it appear to be from Ponzi losses or other financial fraud schemes, again more COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES USING THE COURTS TO AID & ABET the Crimes, all again, only committable with a LEGAL DEGREE MISUSED.

Conspiracies steeped in FACTUAL EVIDENCE and TRIABLE as TREASON with a DEATH PENALTY SENTENCE FOR MANY OF THE CRIMES if found guilty.



1. Was our 41st President, George H.W. Bush actually a Nazi from Germany named George Scherff, as depicted here in the photograph⁴⁴ released by Hitler's bodyguard, which shows George H.W. Bush/Scherff with leading Nazi's Josef Mengele and Martin Bormann?
- 2.
3. The Skull and Bones – Bush Family Ties to a Nazi Fraternity imported to the Yale Campus and subsequently leading to other similar cults. Prescott Bush was a member as were both his sons. Masturbatory rituals steeped in Fascist & Nazi Un-American Cult activities⁴⁵.
4. The Business Plot⁴⁶ and The House Committee on Un-American Activities (HCUA) or House Un-American Activities Committee (HUAC)⁴⁷ –

⁴⁴ "Deathbed confessions, photos support claims that George H. Scherf(f), Jr., was the 41st U.S. president" Idaho Observer April 2007
<http://proliberty.com/observer/20070405.htm>

⁴⁵ "Prescott Bush, From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prescott_Bush

⁴⁶ "THE PLOT TO SEIZE THE WHITE HOUSE" by Jules Archer, HAWTHORN BOOKS, INC. PUBLISHERS / New York, 1973
<http://www.wanttoknow.info/plottoseizethewhitehouse>

⁴⁷ Wikipedia on Un-American Activities Committee
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House_Un-American_Activities_Committee

From a 1950's speech, by Robert Welch,

A part of that plan, of course, is to induce the gradual surrender of American sovereignty [TREASON] piece-by-piece and step-by-step to various international organizations of which the United Nations is the outstanding but far from the only example....Here are the aims for the United States:

- 1) Greatly expanded government spending for every conceivable means for getting rid of ever larger sums of American money as wastefully as possible;
- 2) Higher and then much higher taxes;
- 3) An increasingly unbalanced budget despite the higher taxes;
- 4) Wild inflation of our currency;
- 5) Government controls of prices, wages and materials supposedly to combat inflation;
- 6) Greatly increased socialistic controls over every operation of our economy and every activity of our daily lives. This is to be accompanied naturally and automatically by a correspondingly huge increase in the size of our bureaucracy, and in both the costs and reach of our domestic government;
- 7) Far more centralization of power in Washington and the practical elimination of our State lines. There is a many faceted drive at work to have our State lines eventually mean no more within the nation than our county lines do now within the States;
- 8) A steady advance of federal aid to and control over our educational system leading to complete federalization of our public education;
- 9) A constant hammering into the American consciousness of the horror of modern warfare...the absolute necessity of peace, peace always, on communist terms of course; and
- 10) The consequent willingness of the American people to allow the steps of appeasement by our government which amount to a piece meal surrender of the rest of the free world and of the United States itself.⁴⁸

From a speech by Libertarian Candidate Stan Jones, in a Senatorial Campaign speech,

Stan Jones: I wish to thank the sponsors for inviting me; I don't often get invited. This was an important debate. I had planned another closing message, but I feel compelled to say what I'm about to say.

⁴⁸ "Right Before Our Eyes! There Should Be No Doubt After You Watch This Shocking Video! Mind blowing speech by Robert Welch in 1958 predicting Insiders plans to destroy America" Posted Knowing on April 27, 2011 <http://www.foreclosurehamlet.org/profiles/blogs/right-before-our-eyes-there>

Now, I risk sounding like a conspiracy theorist, but it's no longer a theory. What I'm about to say is fact.

The secret organizations of the world power elite are no longer secret. They have planned and are now leading us into a one world communist government...

The combining of national governments started with the European Union. That union started with trade agreements, then a common currency- the euro.... Now it's North America's turn. Building on the North American Free Trade Agreement, the NAFTA section of the commerce department is busy drafting laws and regulations for a North American Union-- a union of Canada, America and Mexico. The president has attended secret meetings and signed at least two agreements under the Security and Prosperity Partnership program...

Information leaked out about the meetings and now it is all out in the open. No treaty has been signed, so Congress has not become involved. However, money from our treasury is now being spent for this effort. We will have a new currency-- the Amero-- and a new constitution modeled on the Soviet Union's constitution.

Our rights will not be inalienable, but will be granted by government who can also take them away. One sign that this is our future is the plans for the superhighways from southern Mexico through America and into Canada. These plans are not secret any longer.

Huge amounts of property will be taken in the name of "free trade", "peace" and "security"...

You will not be able to move about freely. This is terrorism of the worst kind-- brought on you by our own government. The strongest, freest nation in the history of mankind will be averaged into world communism. Is that what you want? Are we, the people, still in control of this nation? We must begin to act like we are!⁴⁹

⁴⁹ "Libertarian candidate Stan Jones points out American Union, Plans for Chips, Trackers and Control by Gov't" Jones Report | October 10, 2006

Stan Jones is running for Senate in Montana as a Libertarian. He lays out the extent to which Clinton, through NAFTA, and George W. Bush, through the expansion of NAFTA and the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), have sold out our country to world governance.

The candidate expressed being compelled to change in his planned remarks to point out the secret plan to lead us into One World Government through a North American Union with a common currency (the Amero), as well as the related plan to build a superhighway throughout the continent. This is linked with a compulsory National ID tracked by a radio-frequency chip.

http://www.jonesreport.com/articles/111006_stan_jones.html

and

"Truth! Stan Jones' Speech About New World Order & North American Union"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O9-FuCy1588>

and

Iviewit Letter "Re: Senate Cult Bill for Your Consideration" to Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton addressing Treasonous Cults in the US Government and Proposed Legislation to Stop it. Iviewit has yet to receive a response

5. Law Firms & Bush Family Ties to Fascism & Nazis, charges of Treason & Trading with the Enemy (the Nazis) against Prescott Bush and Seizure of Properties under Trading with the Enemy Act.^{50and51and52}

"Some Americans were just bigots and made their connections to Germany through Allen Dulles's firm of Sullivan and Cromwell because they supported Fascism. The Dulles brothers, who were in it for profit more than ideology, arranged American investments in Nazi Germany in the 1930s to ensure that their clients did well out of the German economic recovery. . . . "Once the government had its hands on Bush's books, the whole story of the intricate web of Nazi front corporations began to unravel. A few days later two of Union Banking's subsidiaries -- the Holland American Trading Corporation and the Seamless Steel Equipment Corporation -- also were seized. Then the government went after the Harriman Fifteen Holding Company, which Bush shared with his father-in-law, Bert Walker, the Hamburg-America Line, and the Silesian-American Corporation. The U.S. government found that huge sections of Prescott Bush's empire had been operated on behalf of Nazi Germany and had greatly assisted the German war effort." (1)

Well, there goes my education and texts, where were the texts on the Bush Family Fascist Nazi UnAmerican Trading with the Enemy Hitler connections or Kennedy Assassination connections with the Bush Criminal Cartel Syndicate? All were buried, history for Plaintiff rewritten with brute force and replaced with bullshit, history as my generation was taught, a complete lie, I want a refund from my Colleges or I will sue when law and order returns. There goes the history that America was not involved in World War II prior to Pearl Harbor. Instead,

from Hillary Clinton, who is a cult member in several of the Un-American Cults complained about, ie Bilderbergs, CFR and more. October 17, 2007 -
www.iviewit.tv/senatecultbill.htm and
<http://iviewit.tv/bodyold20080402.htm>

and

“Want your mind BLOWN? Watch this video! OBAMA ANSWERS to the VATICAN!” Uploaded by TruthTVMichigan on Apr 14, 2011, YOUTUBE (truly ELIOTTUBE)
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rd1Twnoq-Dw> (Grab some Popcorn and the kids & educate yourself)

⁵⁰ “Documents: Bush's Grandfather Directed Bank Tied to Man Who Funded Hitler - President Bush's grandfather was a director of a bank seized by the federal government because of its ties to a German industrialist who helped bankroll Adolf Hitler's rise to power, government documents show.” Friday, October 17, 2003
<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,100474,00.html>

⁵¹ www.tenc.net [Emperor's Clothes] “Nazis in the Attic” Part 6 By Randy Davis
<http://emperors-clothes.com/articles/randy/swas5.htm>

⁵²

we find a group of AMERICANS, THAT REALLY ARE UN-AMERICAN, FASCISTS, NAZI FRY LOVERS, TRADERS WITH THE ENEMY HITLER, FUNDERS OF THE ENEMY HITLER, and WHO KILLED OUR SOLDIERS. They are LAPEL PIN PATRIOTS, SELLOUTS OF THE CONSTITUTION, TREASONOUS, TRAITORS whose ASSETS were SEIZED for directly FUNDING HITLER with CASH and SUPPLIES and other HORRORS. Horrors including the studies done by the horripilating Josef Rudolf Mengele, also known as the Angel of Death, Mengele, pre-Hitler, funded by the Rockefellers and Carnegies and others, prior to Hitler's Coup D'Etat on the Good People and Nation of Germany.

Mr. Black is the author of IBM and the Holocaust and the just released War Against the Weak: Eugenics and America's Campaign to Create a Master Race, from which the following article is drawn.

Hitler and his henchmen victimized an entire continent and exterminated millions in his quest for a co-called "Master Race."

But the concept of a white, blond-haired, blue-eyed master Nordic race didn't originate with Hitler. The idea was created in the United States, and cultivated in California, decades before Hitler came to power. California eugenicists played an important, although little known, role in the American eugenics movement's campaign for ethnic cleansing.⁵³

So was it a group of Fascist Un-American's that J Edgar Hoover uncovered that truly financed Hitler's rise and the War. A group of Sellout Un-American's some, including Prescott Bush who actually were tried and convicted of alliances with the Nazis, alliances that funded the Nazi War effort at the expense and death of our PATRIOTIC SOLDIERS. These individuals included a larger group of United States Fascist Businessman who evaded trial in the United States, a big mistake, which ultimately led to them being able to reform in secret cults and slowly Coup the United States and certain foreign nations until we have Nazi America of today? What we do know is there is a certain set of families for several generations in the United States who have operated against the PEOPLE, typically within secretive and subversive cults. These Traitorous families from WWII appear to have been operating since then in secret to subvert our nation, including in the halls of our Ivy League campuses, yet recently their cults and secrets have been exposed. What we find from the exposure is that behind today's ECONOMIC CRIMES and WAR CRIMES, are these very same bloodlines from the Business Plot I that should have been exterminated for Treason then. Yet there is a major difference this time around, as now they are CAUGHT red-handed in a plethora of WAR and ECONOMIC CRIMES, with absolute evidence against them of their crimes. Now that the Genie is out of the bottle and their dirty secrets and crimes now exposed, our leaders look like the Emperor in "The

⁵³ "The Horrifying American Roots of Nazi Eugenics" By Edwin Black
<http://hnn.us/articles/1796.html>

Emperor's New Clothes", delusional of the will of the PEOPLE, the 99%, who are demanding Justice and TRIALS for TREASON, War Crimes and Economic Terrorism, a hanging they will go, thus the reason they live in Delusions of Grandeur.

6. **The Business Plot and Un-American Activity Coupsters** Relevant to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit
 - i. Joseph Proskauer⁵⁴
 - ii. JP Morgan
 - iii. Chase Bank
 - iv. Deutsche Bank / Bankers Trust
 - v.
7. The Assassination of President John F. Kennedy – New Bush Family Ties
8. The Attempted Assassination of Ronald Reagan – Bush Family Ties
9. The S&L Crimes – Bush Associations⁵⁵
10. The Business Plot II – The New World DisOrder⁵⁶, which can also be called a New World TREASON and under Our Constitution again triable as such.

Today's crimes by these families and efforts to again overthrow our government can be referred to as The Business Plot II, which began with the Treasonous Anointment of the George W. Bush Administration through ELECTION FRAUD, RIGGED by the US Supreme Court acting outside the Constitution, in fact, violating it and usurping the PEOPLES VOTE in a 5-4 Court Anointment. In this act, the country and the will of the PEOPLE were lost.

⁵⁴ "The Nazi Hydra in America: Suppressed History of a Century" By Glen Yeadon, John Hawkins
http://books.google.com/books?id=vh7sx2xtjGEC&pg=PA131&lpg=PA131&dq=business+plot+joseph+proskauer&source=bl&ots=DOWUFCfomn&sig=O3o8RZRtW_VJveQWXsb9I0kejNU&hl=en&ei=paJTTpq0D8WWtweSh4HFBO&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=1&ved=0CBkQ6AEwADgK#v=onepage&q=business%20plot%20joseph%20proskauer&f=false Page 131

⁵⁵ "The Bush family and the S&L Scandal", rationalrevolution.net
http://rationalrevolution.net/war/bush_family_and_the_s.htm and <http://rationalrevolution.net/war/index.htm>

⁵⁶ "Welcome To The New World Order (FULL LENGTH FILM)"
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gty42YkcSeQ&feature=related>

and

"The CIA revealed as the Gestapo of the Vatican's Fourth Reich." By Paul W. Kincaid, PRESS Core.ca.
<http://presscore.ca/2011/?p=4871>

and

THRIVE – The Movie, by Foster and Kimberly Gamble, Clear Compass Media
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oI2LGmZ_EP4
<http://thrivemovement.com>

This book is about the culpability of those justices who hijacked Election 2000 by distorting the law, violating their own expressed principles, and using their own robes to bring about a partisan result. I accuse them of failing what I call the shoe-on-the-other-foot test: I believe that they would not have stopped a hand recount if George W. Bush had been seeking it. This is an extremely serious charge, because deciding a case on the basis of the identity of the litigants is a fundamental violation of the judicial oath, to “administer justice without respect to persons”...In this book, I marshal the evidence in support of this charge...

and

Vincent Bugliosi, the generally moderate former prosecutor known for securing the conviction of the Charles Manson gang, used even stronger language, accusing the Supreme Court's 'brazen, shameless majority' of being a knowing surrogate for the Republican Party instead of being an impartial arbiter of the law.' He characterized these justices as 'criminals in the truest sense of the word' and described their opinion as '**fraudulent.**' [Thus a fraudulent President and successors and all appointees.] My Harvard Law School colleague Randall Kennedy called the Supreme Court's intervention 'a scandal' and its decision outrageous. He accused the Court of acting 'in bad faith and with partisan prejudice' and concluded that the high court is 'unworthy of deference.' Scott Turow said the decision was 'the most overtly politicized action by a court that I have seen in 22 years of practicing law' and labeled it 'an act of judicial lawlessness.' Professor Bruce Ackerman of Yale Law School accuse the majority of 'vulgar partisanship.'" [Dershwoitz pg 175]"⁵⁷

The Election Fraud was aided and abetted by Bush's First Cousin at Fox News, John Prescott Ellis,

The individual responsible for recommending that Fox call Florida for Bush was John Ellis, who led the network's decision desk. Ellis was not a disinterested party in the presidential election, but the first cousin of the Republican candidate and his brother, Florida Governor Jeb Bush. Details emerging since Election Day concerning Ellis's role in the network's decision to call Florida for Bush raise serious questions as to whether his actions and Fox News's complicity constituted not only a violation of the democratic rights of the electorate, but a criminal conspiracy.^{58and59}

⁵⁷ “Supreme Injustice, how the High Court Hijacked Election 2000” by Alan Dershowitz – Oxford University Press 2001.

<http://books.google.com/books?id=eVqdJks5Op0C&lpg=PP1&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false>

⁵⁸ “Elements of a conspiracy - How Bush's man at Fox News worked to shape the outcome of the US election” By Kate Randall 17 November 2000

The Presidency of George W. Bush was illegally decided through ELECTION RIGGING constituting TREASON against the PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES. If proven TRUE when tried in a fair and impartial court, all ANOINTMENTS & APPOINTMENTS in Government from that point forward, from the SUPREME COURT'S TREASONOUS ELECTION FRAUD would be invalidated and all Court Jesters, Prosecutors, Regulators, etc. who were ILLEGALLY anointed by Bush and now Falsely Elected President Barack Hussein Obama II would be invalidated instantly. I wonder how many Bush Anointed Justices in this Court are handling this Lawsuit, despite knowing the claims of Plaintiff against Bush as outlined in Scheindlin's August 08, 2008 Dismissal. Obama is merely another Coupster with ties to the Bush/Cheney clan of Criminals, as quoted in the Washington Post,

Obama's Eight Degrees of Dick Cheney - Vice President Cheney is related to Sen. Barack Obama

At least that was the stunning announcement made yesterday by Lynne Cheney, who said that the very white vice president from Wyoming is in fact the eighth cousin of Obama, the Senate's only African American [more correctly 50% African American & 50% Caucasian] member. She said she discovered the link, traced back to a Huguenot who figured prominently in Maryland history, while researching her latest book.

<http://www.wsws.org/articles/2000/nov2000/fox-n17.shtml> and http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Prescott_Ellis

⁵⁹ "REINING IN THE IMPERIAL PRESIDENCY - Lessons and Recommendations Relating to the Presidency of George W. Bush" by United States House of Representatives ~ House Committee on the Judiciary Majority Staff Report to Chairman John Conyers, Jr., January 13, 2009
<http://judiciary.house.gov/hearings/printers/110th/IPres090113.pdf>

and

"Articles of Impeachment – President George W. Bush - H. Res. 1258, 110th Cong. (2008). Congressmen Dennis Kucinich and Robert Wexler June 10, 2008
<http://chun.afterdowningstreet.org/amomentoftruth.pdf>

and

"Dennis Kucinich Documents Grounds for Impeachment of Bush & Cheney"
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6265058101839429571#> - Part 1
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1857978401494382897#> - Part 2
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-785946969577220461#> - Part 3
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=442901163793389423#> - Part 4

Dennis Kucinich on War Crimes in 2011 ILLEGAL WAR OF AGGRESSION LIBYA
"Kucinich, Interview, Obama Libya War Violates Constitution and UN Resolution, Libya"
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bji4XY6GtzA>

But his campaign made light of the tie, without confirming it. "Obviously, Dick Cheney is the black sheep of the family," Obama spokesman Bill Burton said.
60and61

After the Bush v. Gore ELECTION FRAUD, upon leaving the Supreme Court, Sandra Day O'Connor then suddenly quit the bench for the unheard of reason of taking care of a spouse, normally they are removed after death. O'Connor's consenting vote in Bush v. Gore stands as part of the TREASON, yet at her first public speech off the bench at Georgetown University she warned of Corruption perverting the Judicial branch and courts. From the Houston Chronicle,

Such judicial bullying, O'Connor pointed out, is how dictators thrive in former Communist and Third World countries. She reportedly added, "It takes a lot of degeneration before a country falls into dictatorship, but we should avoid these ends by avoiding these beginnings."⁶²

Understanding the Coup D'état in our country is the key to understanding why Plaintiffs have not been able to enjoy the royalties from their world changing inventions and why others, their formerly trusted lawyers, are found lavishing themselves in the stolen funds free of prosecution. Plaintiffs appear to have no legal rights to pursue their property rights in the courts or prosecutorial systems, wholly overtaken by the Coupsters. For 10 years Plaintiffs have provided more than ample evidence of the theft of the properties to authorities, have caught numerous leading PUBLIC OFFICIALS breaking the law, have provided that information and evidence to the proper authorities, including this Court and yet NOTHING is done and Plaintiff has not had a single day in court.

Plaintiff reminds the Members of THIS COURT OF INJUSTICE that **THERE IS NO IMMUNITY FOR CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY JUSTICES OR MEMBERS OF THE COURTS OR ANY PERSON OR ENTITY. NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW, DESPITE YOUR CONTINUED EFFORTS TO SHIELD YOUR FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS USING RIDICULOUS IMMUNITY CLAIMS OR TRYING TO REWRITE LAWS, INCLUDING JUS COGENS, TO FIT YOUR CRIMES, YET AS THE WORLD LOOKS ON AWAKE TO YOUR TREASONS, JUSTICE AWAITS YOU.**

⁶⁰ "Obama's Eight Degrees of Dick Cheney - Vice President Cheney is related to Sen. Barack Obama." By Anne E. Kornblut, The Washington Post Company, October 16, 2007
http://voices.washingtonpost.com/44/2007/10/16/obamas_eight_degrees_of_dick_c.html

⁶¹ "THIS JUST IN . . .Obama and Cheney, Making Connections" by Anne E. Kornblut - The Washington Post, Wednesday, October 17, 2007
<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/10/16/AR2007101602362.html>

⁶² "Judicious temperament: Retired Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor speaks up against political attacks on courts." Houston Chronicle, Published Friday, March 17, 2006
<http://www.chron.com/opinion/editorials/article/Judicious-temperament-Retired-Supreme-Court-1525680.php>

II. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (THIS COURT) WHOM HAVE CURRENTLY ACTED IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ANYWAY WHATSOEVER, FOR THEIR PART IN AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD ON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS, HIGH CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS AND MORE

Continued Criminal Felony Allegations against Members of this Court

Plaintiff thanks the Justice and other Members of this Court who have FINGERPRINTED themselves committing continued crimes in this Lawsuit again, for further CRIMINAL REPORTING & PROSECUTION, acting ILLEGALLY to dismiss this Lawsuit through CONTINUED FELONY CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES in VIOLATION of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law. The Court Officials who have participated in the crimes cited herein and in multiple prior court filings, include but are not limited to, the less than honorable judges, Ralph K. Winter, Jr. Esq., Debra Ann Livingston, Esq., Peter W. Hall, Esq., and Richard C. Wesley, Esq. Additional Members of the Court who have already illegally acted in these matters, include but are not limited to, Defendant/Witness Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe (Clerk of this Court) whom quite conflicted handles this RICO Lawsuit and the legally related lawsuits, despite the fact that Wolfe is BOTH a DEFENDANT AND WITNESS in this lawsuit and the legally related Anderson lawsuit, Joy Fallek (Administrative Attorney), Catherine J. Minuse (Supervisory Staff Attorney), Atasha Joseph (Deputy Clerk), Deborah Holmes (Deputy Clerk), Judy Pisanant (Motions Staff Attorney), and Franklin Perez, (Title Not Known).

Each of the Court Officials named herein can again take this Motion as Official Notice that each of YOU will be added to the list of Defendants in any Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Amended Complaint of this RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Your names will also be included as Defendants in all future Lawsuits filed by Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein, including but not limited to, patent, trademark and copyright lawsuits, and, each of those named will be added to all ONGOING and FUTURE CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS regarding the Iviewit nexus of events.

Criminal charges already have been filed against several Justices and Officials of this Court and it will be shown that those Criminal Complaints filed with the New York Attorney General's Office have not even been investigated at this time due to further CRIMINAL ACTS and Conflicts of Interest. No investigations into the complaints against the Members of this Court by DEFENDANT and OPPOSING COUNSEL in this Lawsuit, the New York Attorney General have begun, quite outside of Procedural Law. With the administration change from the New York Attorney General Andrew Cuomo to the current Eric T. Schneiderman's Administration, major Conflicts of Interest were discovered and admitted to by the new

Schneiderman Administration and through members of the Cuomo Administration, leading to the New York Attorney General both Admitting and Acknowledging Conflict in ALL Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein matters and requesting time to obtain NON CONFLICTED OUTSIDE COUNSEL to represent the New York Attorney General's Office and their past and present members involved, several already named as Defendants in these matters.

As Shira Scheindlin stated in an earlier Motion in her court,

DUE TO SHOCKING ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST with the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS filed with his offices against members of this Court and admission that the Attorney General Office is CONFLICTED with this RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT and thus the Attorney General must withdraw as counsel in these matters to numerous defendants, representation which has been illegal from the start.⁶³ These

⁶³ “**ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL IN HANDLING CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST ANDREW CUOMO AND STEVEN M. COHEN ET AL. / PHONE CALL ON APRIL 14, 2011 WITH JAMES ROGERS ON BEHALF OF HARLAN LEVY REFERRED BY STEVEN MICHAEL COHEN, CHIEF OF STAFF TO GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO, REGARDING FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, FORMER ATTORNEY GENERAL ANDREW CUOMO, STEVEN MICHAEL COHEN, SECRETARY TO GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO AND MONICA CONNELL OF THE NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ET AL.**” FILED MAY 20, 2011

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20110520%20FINAL%20NY%20AG%20ADMITTED%20CONFLICT%20OF%20INTEREST%20and%20CRIMINAL%20COMPLAINTS%20CUOMO%20and%20COHEN.pdf> incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

and

IVIEWIT CALLS TO NY GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO, EMILY COLE, STEPHEN M COHEN, RE: CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS. NY ATTORNEY GENERAL ERIC SCHNEIDERMAN ADMISSION OF CONFLICTS AND NEED FOR NY AG TO SEEK INDEPENDENT COUNSEL IN ALL IVIEWIT MATTERS.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFIEIp6E> incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

and

New York Governor Andrew Cuomo's "Right Hand Man" Steven M. Cohen Flees Sinking Ship Amidst Iviewit's Rico & Antitrust Lawsuit, AG Eric Schneiderman Office Admits Conflict of Interest with Iviewit, September 24, 2011. Cohen Returns to Private Sector in wake of Iviewit Criminal Complaints against Cuomo and himself. <http://www.free-press-release.com/news-new-york-governor-andrew-cuomo-s-right-hand-man-steven-m-cohen-flees-sinking-ship-amidst-iviewit-s-rico-antitrust-lawsuit-ag-eric-schneiderman-o-1316880094.html> incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

and

ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED conflicts forcing the ATTORNEY GENERAL to declare further that due to the ONGOING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST with both the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS and this LAWSUIT, that their office now NEED TO SEEK INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED OUTSIDE COUNSEL to represent their office and members of their offices sued as Defendants in this Lawsuit. The STATE DEFENDANTS REPRESENTED ILLEGALLY THROUGHOUT THIS LAWSUIT BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL IN BOTH A PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL CAPACITY ALL NOW NEED INDEPENDENT COUNSEL NOW TO REPRESENT THEMSELVES FURTHER in this Lawsuit, as the Attorney General is now ADMITTEDLY CONFLICTED OUT and can no longer represent ANY of them.

THE FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST MEMBERS OF THIS COURT THEREFORE REMAIN PENDING AND AWAIT AN INDEPENDENT NON-CONFLICTED PROSECUTOR TO INVESTIGATE THEM. Plaintiff awaits responses from the AG and others who were notified, with how and who will handle these criminal complaints and these criminal complaints cause CONTINUED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN YOUR HANDLING THESE MATTERS until they are properly investigated. The Attorney General obviously has derailed investigations through Conflicts of Interest that OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and DENY DUE PROCESS and this Court has benefited from such VIOLATIONS OF LAW AND ETHIC RULES and therefore ALL PRIOR MEMBERS of this COURT must DISQUALIFY THEMSELVES IMMEDIATELY and the NEW JUSTICES and MEMBERS must REPORT the PRIOR MEMBERS for investigations as legally obligated.

If you are a named Member of this Court named above or whom have handled any of this Lawsuit, again, you are legally obligated to **REPORT THESE LIABILITIES FROM THIS LAWSUIT AND ALL CRIMINAL AND ETHICS COMPLAINTS TO ALL PERSONAL & PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY CARRIERS, STATE AUDITORS, BOND HOLDERS AND ANY OTHER PARTY YOU ARE OBLIGATED TO REPORT LIABILITIES TOO. ADDITIONALLY NOTICE EACH LIABLE PARTY THAT OFFICIAL NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN AND DOCKETED IN THE COURT RECORD HEREIN OF YOUR LIABILITIES AND THE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST YOU.**

Member of this Court, Franklin Perez, deserves special attention in these matters, as he appears an Untitled and Allegedly Illegal signor on ILLEGAL AND FRAUDULENT Orders⁶⁴ tendered in this Lawsuit, including the FRAUDULENT & ILLEGAL DISMISSAL of this Lawsuit by this Court, which he signed. On information and belief, Mr. Perez was a NON-

June 13, 2009 Letter to NYAG Chief of Staff Steven Cohen Regarding Conflict of Interest
<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090613%20FINAL%20NYAG%20Steven%20Cohen%20Letter%20signed%20low.pdf>

⁶⁴ Insert Link to Order Dated January 05, 2010.

ATTORNEY Operations Analyst (EXHIBIT 2), at the time he signed an alleged FRAUDULENT ORDER in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Further, on information and belief, the ILLEGAL ORDER issued by Perez, was issued on a date when this Lawsuit was neither on the docket for that date and none of the signing Justices were in court. All arguments and assertions in Exhibit 2 that are applicable to this Lawsuit, regarding Mr. Perez's illegal actions signing FALSIFIED AND FRAUDULENT DISMISSAL ORDERS, are hereby incorporated in entirety by reference herein.

Additional PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE of this Court's Members illegal activities exists relating to Members of THIS COURT failing to act according to well-established MISPRISION OF FELONY laws. Once Members of this Court became aware of the CREDIBLE CLAIMS OF FELONY CRIMES, by CREDIBLE WITNESSES, including but not limited to, ANDERSON, CORRADO, HART and others, including allegations of, AIDING & ABETTING a Criminal RICO Organization Operating inside the Halls of State and Federal Offices, Obstruction of Justice, Threats on Federal Witnesses and more, each Member of the Court with such knowledge then had legal obligation to report the matters for immediate investigations to all proper authorities. Based on Anderson's allegations these authorities would include but are not limited to, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice, the United States Attorney General, the Inspector General for the New York Attorney General Office, the Inspector General for the District Attorney Office and State and Federal Law Enforcement Officials. Instead, the Cover-Up by MEMBERS OF THIS COURT of the Felony Criminal Acts exposed by Anderson et al. and levied against Senior Ranking Public Officials, becomes criminal in the failure of THIS COURT to,

1. REPORT THE ALLEGATIONS & DEMAND IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATIONS,
2. IMMEDIATELY REMOVE ALL THOSE IDENTIFIED ACTING IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST,
3. CEASE FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY MEMBERS OF THE COURT AND ATTORNEYS AT LAW IN THESE MATTERS,
4. CEASE ONGOING OBSTRUCTIONS, and,
5. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES and COURT OFFICERS WHO HAVE ACTED UNLAWFULLY THUS FAR IN THESE LAWSUITS, as required by Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law,

This Court's INTENTIONAL failure to act according to well-established law constitutes continued Fraud on the Court and Felony Obstruction by the JUSTICES and other Members of this COURT on behalf of the Criminal RICO Organization as defined in the Amended Complaint. Obstruction committed through ongoing combined VIOLATIONS of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State, Federal and &

International Law, by all those adjudicating this Lawsuit and ALL those participating in the defense and prosecution of the Defendants in these matters to date.

The ILLEGAL and OBSTRUCTIONARY ruling to DISMISS this Lawsuit, allegedly signed illegally by Franklin Perez and Defendant Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, prior to allowing Plaintiff discovery in Anderson's "Legally Related" Lawsuit and prior to allowing Plaintiff a single day in Court, acts as an attempt to bury the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit on Appeal in VIOLATION OF LAW. Illegal Subterfuge of the Lawsuit prior to following obligatory Substantive and Procedural Laws regarding reporting and investigating these material facts of MASSIVE CRIMINAL CONSPIRATORIAL ACTIVITY and prior to removing any of the germane Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law identified by Anderson and the Related Lawsuits. Dismissal of this lawsuit and the related lawsuits prior to investigating ALL of Anderson's allegations and removing the illegal representations in this Lawsuit, preclude Plaintiff from a Fair and Impartial Court of Law and deny Plaintiff Due Process entirely. This FRAUD ON THE COURT by failure of this Court to follow both Procedural and Substantive Law, including MISPRISION OF FELONIES BY MEMBERS OF THE COURT and OBSTRUCTION, irrefutably Aids and Abets the Criminal RICO Organization. In fact, Dismissal of this Lawsuit prior to resolution of the "Legally Related" Anderson lawsuit in the District Court raises the brow, especially where Plaintiff is blocked from discovery in regards to the Criminal Allegations levied by Anderson and others in that Lawsuit as it relates to the "LEGALLY RELATED LAWSUITS".

This Court's hurried rulings to Dismiss all of the Appeals of the "Legally Related" Lawsuits, stands as a further illegal attempt to cover-up the crimes exposed by Anderson and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits against SENIOR NEW YORK AND FEDERAL PUBLIC OFFICIALS, including Senior Ranking Members of the New York and Federal Courts. These acts all further combine to deny Plaintiff rights to Discovery in the Anderson case, to find out for example whom the "Favored Law Firms and Lawyers" are that Anderson references in Criminal Obstruction charges in her Whistleblower Lawsuit.

This Court's failure to therefore Halt this Lawsuit as demanded in the Motion to Compel filed with the Court⁶⁵, until summoned investigators can investigate Whistleblower Anderson's

⁶⁵ "EMERGENCY MOTION TO COMPEL - HALT PROCEEDING PENDING CONFLICT RESOLUTION AND OVERSIGHT. REMOVE THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY IN THIS COURT THROUGH CESSATION OF VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES, PUBLIC OFFICE RULES AND REGULATIONS AND LAW. RESTORE ORDER TO THIS COURT!" September 08, 2009 Filed with United States Court of Appeals 2nd Circuit (CIRCUS).
<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/200908%20FINAL%20Emergency%20Motion%20to%20Compel%20SIGNED44948.pdf>

Felony Criminal Allegations, exposed in her sworn testimony in US Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee against Members of the US Attorney General's Office, Members of the District Attorney Offices, Members of the New York Attorney General's Office (under the leadership of Spitzer, Cuomo and Schneiderman), Members of the New York Court's, as yet unidentified publically "Favored Lawyers and Law Firms," the "Cleaner" and others, stands as clear and irrefutable evidence of continued Obstruction of Justice and more. Of course, Plaintiff does not anticipate that this Court can rule in favor of Plaintiff or follow any PROCEDURAL OR SUBSTANTIVE LAW, as it would result in Members of the Court ruling against themselves to serve very lengthy FEDERAL PRISON sentences for their part in the RICO, a slight CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

This Obstruction acts as a phenomenon similar to a Concentration Camp Victim appealing to the Gestapo for Justice against the Camp Guards responsible for killing and torturing Camp Victims, or for Justice against Hitler, the odds of success and fair and impartial due process, nil. Therefore, this Court acts like a Nazi Court, not a UNITED STATES COURT, accomplice in the crimes and until such time that Members of this Court follow all Court Procedures and Rules and Law and confirm that they have ABSOLUTELY NO CONFLICT WITH THESE MATTERS PRIOR TO ADJUDICATING, then this Court has NO VALIDITY. In fact, this conflict free court may now only be possible in a court represented by NON ATTORNEYS AT LAW, a CITIZENS COURT to hear the crimes of TREASON, OBSTRUCTION, etc., which are levied herein against PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND JUSTICES. Again, I remind this Court to review the Nuremberg Judges Trial and wherefore I have no respect for this Court that follows neither its own rules or law and again spit upon those who have so desecrated this Court and the American System of Jurisprudence and await your Judgment Day in the next Judges Trial.

Again, Plaintiff would like additionally to WELCOME all of the new Second Circuit Officials who have FINGERPRINTED themselves by acting in any way whatsoever in the Iviewit Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, prior to signing an affirmed Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form provided. Kindly take this Motion as further OFFICIAL NOTICE of your culpability in this RICO Lawsuit, see the Motion to Compel for earlier NOTICE, that you have been CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF, both personally and professionally, to FEDERAL, STATE & INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES and other authoritative disciplinary agencies, who have oversight of This Court's actions. The crimes reported against the Members of the Court are FELONY CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS OF LAW and have been reported to numerous criminal authorities and oversights of this Court. Plaintiff awaits the formal responses to the Complaints and in the interim ignores the ILLEGAL ORDERS OF THIS COURT UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT ALL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES HAVE FINALIZED THEIR ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS OF MEMBERS OF THE COURT. As you will soon see, the

New York Attorney General Offices Complaints against you have been suppressed through now Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest.

Take this Motion as FURTHER OFFICIAL NOTICE that Members of this Court named herein, have, and will continue to be, included in ALL ongoing and future Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Litigations, Criminal Complaints and Appeals/Rehearings of this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Iviewit Lawsuit is a 12 Count 12 Trillion Dollar Lawsuit, and as such, the named Members of this Court are required to report both the Criminal Complaints against them and all Current, Pending and Future threatened legal actions herein to all those with liabilities that may result from these matters. Report the LIABILITIES to all Insurance Carriers, Bond Holders, State and Federal Auditors and any other parties who may incur liabilities.

This Court now has Prima Facie evidence, from **CREDIBLE EXPERT EYEWITNESS WHISTLEBLOWERS** and Knowledge of **THREATS ON FEDERAL WITNESSES** and other **FELONY CRIMES EXPOSED** and therefore has **LEGAL OBLIGATION** to the report these **FELONY CRIMES** and all the other alleged crimes exposed by Anderson and Corrado. Reporting the **FELONIES** to all proper authorities or become further **CULPABLE** of **FELONY CRIMES**, including but not limited to, **MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES), AIDING & ABETTING, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, RICO** and more. Plaintiff quotes the following from Anderson's Motion,

http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf

November 16, 2011 Anderson Motion – US District Court

V. WITNESS TAMPERING – THREAT ON WITNESS IN A FEDERAL PROCEEDING

“42. The Attorney General and the trial court were aware that in August of 2008, one of the plaintiff's witnesses, DDC staff attorney Nicole Corrado, was threatened.

Two days prior to her deposition testimony, state employee, and [NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE] DDC Deputy Chief Counsel, Andral N. Bratton, and who had been her immediate supervisor for approximately 5 years, confronted Corrado.

43. Following Corrado's deposition testimony on August 21, 2008, Bratton's behavior toward Corrado became more **harassing, troubling, frightening and threatening as he began to follow her inside and outside of the state office** where they both worked. Corrado subsequently reported these serious issues to DDC chief counsel Allan Friedberg, Deputy chief Counsel Sherry Cohen, a defendant in the current proceeding, and DDC Chief Investigator Vincent Ranieri - all of whom who took no required action.

Other Iviewit News

"Another One Bites the Dust! Defendant in Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson's Federal Lawsuit, Sherry K. Cohen of the New York Supreme Court

Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee joins Thomas Cahill in early retirement.”⁶⁶

Further, this Court has absolute knowledge and further Prima Facie evidence from Anderson and Corrado of these CRIMES through depositions under oath submitted in the Anderson Lawsuit, including but not limited to Testimony and Sworn Statements to the NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE and on record at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee.⁶⁷ The Conflicts and Violations of Law further compel this Court, presumed now to be composed of new non-conflicted Justices and Court Administrators hearing this Motion with signed Conflict of Interest Disclosures returned prior to any action, to now Act according to both Substantive and Procedural Law and remove and REPORT all FELONY Obstructions and other crimes. The first step to a fair and impartial Court would be in having an exhaustive conflict checks done by anyone attempting to respond to this Motion to save Plaintiff from filing additional CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against those that fail.

INTENTIONAL FAILURE TO REPORT THE CRIMES EXPOSED constitutes further crimes that act to AID & ABET the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION and shield it from prosecution. MEMBERS OF THIS COURT adjudicating this Lawsuit thus far, have committed⁶⁸, including but not limited to, Misprision(s) of Felony(ies) for failure to report

⁶⁶ <http://www.ethicscomplaint.com/2011/02/new-york-supreme-court-whistleblower.html>
“New York Supreme Court Whistleblower. Corruption in New Your Courts - Enough is Enough.” Ethics Complaint - Industry Whistleblower Blog by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox Tuesday, February 15, 2011

and

<http://www.suppressthe truth.com/2010/09/andrew-cuomo-new-york-attorney-general.html>

and

http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf

⁶⁷ Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein Any/All records from the “Legally Related” Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit, the “Legally Related” Lawsuits and any/all other legal records relating to Nicole Corrado, in any legal matters in the US District Court, this Court or any other court and any/all other Regulatory and Investigate Entities acting in these matters. Records, including but are not limited to, all “SEALED” and “IMPOUNDED RECORDS” that relate to these matters. PLAINTIFF DEMANDS that these records be instantly made part of this Lawsuit and incorporated in this MOTION, CERTIFIED and CATALOGUED and ENTERED IN THE DOCKET, due to the ALLEGATIONS by Anderson of DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION IN OFFICIAL COURT PROCEEDINGS, including matters now before this Court and the District Court, as further defined herein.

⁶⁸ http://www.defraudingamerica.com/title_18_usc_4.html

Federal Crime Reporting Statutes

The federal offense of failure to disclose a felony, if coupled with some act concealing the felony, such as suppression of evidence, harboring or protecting the person performing the felony, intimidation or harming a witness, or any other act designed to conceal from authorities the fact that a crime has been committed.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Misprision of a Felony

Misprision of a felony is the offense of failure to inform government authorities of a felony that a person knows about. A person commits the crime of misprision of a felony if that person:

Knows of a federal crime that the person has witnessed or that has come to the person's attention, or failed to prevent.

Fails to report it to a federal judge or other federal official (who is not themselves involved in the crime).

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Obstructing Justice Statutes

Title 18 U.S.C. § 2. Principals. (a) Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal. (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal.

Note: The legislative intent to punish as a principal not only one who directly commits an offense and one who "aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures" another to commit an offense, but also anyone who causes the doing of an act which if done by him directly would render him guilty of an offense against the United States. Case law decisions: *Rothenburg v. United States*, 1918, 38 S.Ct. 18, 245 U.S. 480, 62 L.Ed. 414, and *United States v. Giles*, 1937, 57 S.Ct. 340, 300 U.S. 41, 81 L.Ed. 493.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3. Accessory after the fact. Whoever, knowing that an offense against the United States had been committed, receives, relieves, comforts or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an accessory after the fact.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some

judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined not more than \$500 or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1505. Whoever corruptly ... influences, obstructs, or impedes or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede the due the proper administration of the law under which any pending proceeding is being had before any department or agency of the United States ... shall be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1510. Obstruction of criminal investigation.

(a) Whoever willfully endeavors by means of bribery to obstruct, delay, or prevent the communication of information relating to a violation of any criminal statute of the United States by any person to a criminal investigator shall be fined not more than \$5,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant

(b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or physical force, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to—

(1) influence, delay, or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding;

(2) cause or induce any person to—

(A) withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding;

(3) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

(c) Whoever intentionally harasses another person and thereby hinders, delays, prevents, or dissuades any person from—

(1) attending or testifying in an official proceeding;

(2) reporting to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... (3) arresting or seeking the arrest of another person in connection with a Federal offense; or (4) causing a criminal prosecution, or a parole or probation revocation proceeding, to be sought or instituted, or assisting in such prosecution or proceeding;

or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

(e) For the purposes of this section—

(1) an official proceeding need not be pending or about to be instituted at the time of the offense; and

(2) the testimony, or the record, document, or other object need not be admissible in evidence or free of a claim of privilege.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513. Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant.

(a) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or threatens to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for (1) the attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding; or (2) any information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ..."

Title 18 U.S.C. § 111. Impeding certain officers or employees. Whoever ... intimidates, or interferes with any person ... while engaged in ... the performance of his official duties shall be fined ... or imprisoned ...

Racketeering Enterprise Statutes and Criteria

Title 42 USC § 1961. Definition. As used in this chapter-(1) "racketeering activity" means:

(A) any act or threat involving ... relating to 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice), section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations), section 1511 (relating to obstruction of State or local law enforcement), section 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery or extortion), section 1952 (relating to racketeering, ...

Title 42 USC § 1962. Prohibited Activities.

FELONY THREATS ON A FEDERAL WITNESS, TAMPERING WITH A FEDERAL WITNESS, FELONY OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS and more, AS EXPOSED BY BOTH ANDERSON and CORRADO. MEMBERS OF THIS COURT CURRENTLY ADJUDICATING THIS RICO AND THE RELATED LAWSUITS HAVE CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS ALREADY FILED AGAINST THEM for AIDING & ABETTING a CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, MULTIPLE COUNTS OF FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MULTIPLE COUNTS OF FEDERAL MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES) and much much much more.

Therefore, due to the ENORMOUS CONFLICTS and VIOLATIONS OF LAW in this Court, Plaintiff demands IMMEDIATE DISQUALIFICATION of ALL Justices and other Members of the Second Circuit Court who have already acted in Violation of Law in these matters and whom have been reported to authorities for their CRIMINAL ACTS and to IMMEDIATELY CALL IN A FEDERAL MONITOR to OVERSIGHT THIS COURT. In seeking DISQUALIFICATION of the current JUSTICES OF THIS COURT, PLAINTIFF ALSO DEMANDS FULL REMOVAL OF ALL PRIOR ILLEGALLY TENDERED RULINGS and ORDERS and SUBMISSIONS OF ANY ATTORNEY AT LAW IN THESE MATTERS, ALL tendered in Conflicts of Interests, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law as already described herein and in the US District Court and this Court's filings.

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?" Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern or racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.

(d) It shall be unlawful for any person to conspire to violate any of the provisions of subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this section. ...

JUDICIAL Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistreri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." *Balistreri*, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. **Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge.** Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is in violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. United States v. Sciuto, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

COURTS HAVE REPEATEDLY RULED THAT JUDGES HAVE NO IMMUNITY FOR THEIR CRIMINAL ACTS. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Failure by ALL Parties to this Lawsuit, including the Justices of this Court, to Affirm or Deny Conflict to Opposing Counsel, Pro Se Bernstein, as repeatedly requested by Plaintiff since day one of the Lawsuit, in order to assure fair and impartial Due Process, constitutes FRAUD ON THE COURT. Especially where there is overwhelming evidence of FRAUD and OBSTRUCTION, including eyewitness insider sworn testimony. After Anderson's claims of "Favored Law Firms and Lawyers" operating in the Court System to Obstruct Cases through FEDERAL & STATE FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION, THREATENING A FEDERAL WITNESS and more. This Court is obligated to now PROVE to PLAINTIFF NOW that NO CONFLICTS EXIST going forward, removing ALL Conflicts currently in play and complained of to this Court and the District Court instantly. The denial of Discovery to Plaintiff by the Court to Anderson's

information and further attempts to bury Anderson's suit entirely prior to investigating the CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS, creates a lack of ability to identify at this time whom Anderson refers to as the "Favored Law Firms and Lawyers." The lack of knowledge stemming from the Obstructions, which precludes knowing whom these CORRUPTED LAWYERS & LAW FIRMS are, absolutely necessitates that ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW handling these matters, including but not limited to, Judges, Prosecutors, Court Personnel, Opposing Counsel and all LAW FIRMS representing these matters, must now be PRE-SCREENED to determine if they acted or are acting in CONFLICT and VIOLATION OF LAW. ALL ATTORNEYS IN ANY CAPACITY must now be pre-screened for Conflict to determine if they are one of the yet unidentified "Favored Lawyers and Law Firms" that Anderson and others have fingered.

Where there should be no Conflicts of Interest in the Lawsuit with those adjudicating and representing the matters in any legal capacity, we instead find an insipid stream of Conflicts polluting this Lawsuit. In some instances Defendants/Opposing Counsels/PRO SE DEFENDANTS FROM PROSKAUER ROSE, acting in Conflict to respond for themselves in the AMENDED COMPLAINT RESPONSE, have already been ordered for investigation for their Conflicts and Appearances of Impropriety. Where Plaintiff has requested Conflict of Interest Disclosures similar to the one attached herein be signed by all Parties prior to adjudicating this matter, Plaintiff's requests have been REPEATEDLY ignored. There can be no reason not to sign a Conflict of Interest Disclosure, as each Attorney at Law is obligated to act without Conflict, so if no Conflict exists the form should be a no brainer. Conflict checks can no longer be ignored, especially with the Anderson allegations exposing ATTORNEYS AT LAW VIOLATING THE LAW IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST and OTHER MORE SERIOUS FELONY CRIMES, and additionally the RIVETING new Admission and Acknowledgement of Conflict by the New York Attorney General. The Attorney General now claiming their offices need to seek INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED counsel to represent them and their STATE ACTOR CLIENT DEFENDANTS, discussed at length in the next section. The CONFLICTS of the Attorney General and other violations of Public Office, which have caused Obstruction and Denial of Due Process in the Lawsuit since day one, now INVALIDATE ALL prior representations made by the New York Attorney General on behalf of both themselves and in defense of their client STATE ACTOR Defendant Clients in this Lawsuit. Anderson has also called for the ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL to IMMEDIATELY CEASE.

Plaintiff again presumes that at this point in this Motion, NEW Non-Conflicted Justices of this Court, Non-Conflicted Counsel for Defendants and Non-conflicted State Officials, are now reading this Motion and have already signed a Conflict of Interest Disclosure as attached, assuming both PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES if discovery of Conflict is later found. Plaintiff presumes a timely response to this Motion but disregards any ILLEGALLY TENDERED Order or Edict proffered by the Members of this Court handling these matters

illegally and without assurance of a conflict free forum and DEMANDS all new parties going forward sign and affirm the attached Conflict of Interest Disclosure. Assurance of NO CONFLICTS in the form of a signed and notarized Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form, as the one attached herein. Again, signed by ALL Law Firms, Prosecutors, Regulators, Justices, Attorneys at Law and Court Personnel, representing the Government in ANY WAY, as required by law, returned by Certified Mail to Plaintiffs Address at 2753 NW 34th St. Boca Raton, FL 33434, prior to ANY ACTION.

III. REMAND, HALT AND REHEAR THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S NOW ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, BOTH PAST AND PRESENT, IN ACTING ILLEGALLY AS COUNSEL THEIR OFFICE AND ADDITIONALLY FOR 39 PLUS STATE DEFENDANT/ACTORS IN THIS LAWSUIT, BY VIOLATING PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & REGULATIONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE & FEDERAL LAW

At this time, the New York Attorney General's Office should have already noticed this Court and other Authorities of Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest and their voluntary disqualification from this RICO Lawsuit. Further admission of need for INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THEIR OFFICES IN THIS LAWSUIT FORWARD and further, THAT THEIR CLIENT/DEFENDANTS NOW ALSO NEED SEPARATE COUNSEL TO REPRESENT BOTH THEIR PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL INTERESTS, due to the Conflicts of Interest, which now preclude the New York Attorney General from illegally and in Conflict of Interest representing them too. If the New York Attorney General and its members handling this Lawsuit have not already filed for their Disqualification from this Lawsuit and submitted either to represent themselves Pro Se or new legal counsel, here again would be reason for further CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against the Members of the New York Attorney General's Office.

The INACTION would constitute further cause for further FELONY STATE & FEDERAL charges of Obstruction of Justice, Misprision of Felony(ies), Fraud on the Courts, Violation of Public Office and State and Federal Law and more. On April 14, 2011, James Rogers, Esq. Special Counsel and Senior Advisor to New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman, ADMITTED and ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest for both himself personally and the New York Attorney General's Office relating to CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED WITH THEIR OFFICES AND THIS LAWSUIT⁶⁹ that precluded them from further

⁶⁹Taped Conversations with New York Governor Andrew Cuomo office, Steven M. Cohen (Chief of Staff), James Rogers, Esq., and Emily Cole.

direct action in any matter. Conflicts of Interest that Rogers admitted preclude both Rogers and the AG's office from handling or even speaking about any matters related to Iviewit and Eliot Bernstein's Criminal Complaints and this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, in any capacity, without INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL REPRESENTING THEM. These recently ADMITTED & ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest that preclude the AG from acting in any other capacity than as Defendant, have existed in this Lawsuit for the New York Attorney General since the initiation of the Lawsuit. The problem prior was that despite directly asking the AG to affirm or deny conflict directly they refused to answer and had Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin instead ascertain if she thought their offices were conflicted, Scheindlin claimed at the time in her ruling that she did not see a conflict at the time or words to that effect, but if one were to later surface in the Lawsuit it would have to be considered for the AG's Disqualification. Well with the Admission that time has come and now we must LOOK BACKWARD to fix the problem, by removing the Conflicted ILLEGAL representations that were designed from the start to OBSTRUCT and perpetrate FRAUD on the COURT, a rehearing without the conflicts. The conflicts were, with scienter, ILLEGALLY ignored by the previous AG Administrations of Spitzer and Cuomo, in order to COVER UP THE CRIMES, but this Admission now provides further irrefutable Prima Facie evidence of the Conflicts, conflict that should have precluded the prior administrations from the start from representing any other parties in the Lawsuit. Because of the illegal representations in conflict prior by the AG, who happens to represent ILLEGALLY, a large pool of the Defendants in this Lawsuit, the ENTIRE LAWSUIT MUST NOW BE REMANDED TO BE HEARD FREE OF THE POLLUTANT CONFLICTS. The Conflicts OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE and was achieved through FRAUD ON THE COURTS by OFFICERS OF THE COURTS, DENYING PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS AND PROCEDURE for almost a decade, therefore can be no further delay in REMANDING THE LAWSUIT BACK TO THE DISTRICT COURT FOR REHEARING. Plaintiff DEMANDS an IMMEDIATE REHEARING FREE OF SUCH CONFLICTS and ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS and with all Defendants directly benefiting by the PRIOR and CURRENT ILLEGAL CONFLICTS of the AG, now forced to seek similar NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL FOR both personal and professional liabilities in the matters forward, as proscribed by Law and Professional Rules. Finally, that this COURT FORMALLY FILE CHARGES AND NOTICE REGARDING THEIR KNOWLEDGE of these ATTORNEY AT LAW MISCONDUCTS AND VIOLATIONS' OF LAW to all proper authorities as proscribed by law.

The taped phone calls between Eliot Bernstein and Governor Cuomo's office with Emily Cole, Steven Michael Cohen⁷⁰ and the New York Attorney General's office, culminating in

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFIEIp6E>

⁷⁰As of July 11, 2011, Cohen has been relieved of service to Andrew Cuomo.

Rogers ultimate ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of Conflicts of Interest are located at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFIEIp6E> , hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein. In the TAPED CALL, Cohen ironically responds to the statement by Plaintiff that he was attempting to “Put him in Prison” as he was named in the complaint, and therefore, could not handle the complaints naming him in RICO CRIMINAL activity any further, due to the obvious inherent conflict and Cohen retorts “Some would say I already am in Prison!” At which point Plaintiff responded, “I agree!” Yet, Cohen continued to act further in Conflict in his Official Capacity, now referring Plaintiff back to the AG despite the acknowledged conflict? Emily Cole, Cohen’s assistant stated in the taped call prior to Cohen’s involvement that she had turned the complaints over to Cohen directly months earlier further showing the Obstruction through Conflict had been ongoing for months.

On May 20, 2011, a formal letter titled,

“Re: / Phone Call on April 14, 2011 with James Rogers on behalf of Harlan Levy referred by Steven Michael Cohen, Chief of Staff to Governor Andrew Cuomo, regarding FILED Criminal Complaints against the New York Attorney General’s Office, Former Attorney General Andrew Cuomo, Steven Michael Cohen, Secretary to Governor Andrew Cuomo and Monica Connell of the New York State Office of the Attorney General et al.” (Letter)

“NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE OF ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY JAMES ROGERS, ESQ. IN HANDLING IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES & ELIOT BERNSTEIN’S CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST ANDREW CUOMO AND STEVEN M. COHEN. DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION OF ANDREW CUOMO AND ELIOT SPITZER FOR VIOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & FELONY RICO CRIMES. CALL FOR NY ATTORNEY GENERAL TO CEASE ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF STATE SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS, INCLUDING FORMER CHIEF JUDGE OF NEW YORK JUDITH KAYE IN THE IVIEWIT 12 TRILLION DOLLAR FEDERAL RICO AND ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN TO A WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT OF CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON A NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY. Proskauer Rose and Foley & Lardner Main Suspects in Patent Theft Worth Trillions.”

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=588>

and

“Steven Michael Cohen, Andrew Cuomo Sr. Adviser Flees Sinking Cuomo Ship Over Iviewit Inventor Eliot Bernstein’s Criminal Complaints against Cohen and Cuomo. Gotham Corruption at the Top Heating Up”

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=591>

was sent by Plaintiff memorializing the calls with the New York Attorney General Office and Governor Andrew Cuomo's Office. The Letter also contains additional Criminal Complaints against new participants in the RICO, including Cuomo's alleged niece, Emily Cuomo Cole, which can be found at the following URL's, both hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=588>

and

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20110520%20FINAL%20NY%20AG%20ADMITTED%20CONFLICT%20OF%20INTEREST%20and%20CRIMINAL%20COMPLAINTS%20CUOMO%20and%20COHEN.pdf>.

From that letter, quote,

Dear Mssrs. Levy and Rogers,

Please let this letter serve as formal commemoration of our April 14, 2011 phone conversation between James Rogers, Esq., Special Counsel and Senior Advisor to Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman and myself. A witnessing party on the phone call was Patrick Hanley. The following summarizes the salient points of the call with James Rogers, Esq., acting on behalf of Harlan Levy referred by Steven Michael Cohen, Chief of Staff to Governor Andrew Cuomo and prior calls with the Governor's office.

Notably, Rogers acknowledged and admitted that he was precluded from handling the matters related to Iviewit's Criminal Complaints and RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, as the Attorney General was Conflicted in the matters, as further defined herein. Admissions by Rogers of existing Conflicts of Interest now require IMMEDIATE corrective actions in ongoing State, Federal and International Criminal and Civil Proceedings going forward. The multiple Conflicts of Interest identified, caused Rogers to assert that the inherent Conflicts for himself, the Attorney General's Office and other members of the Attorney General's Office, now demanded that the Attorney General's office was required forthwith, to seek Outside Non Conflicted Independent Counsel in any related matters...

... The Conflict Swamp further thickens, when taking into account Conflicts created by the Attorney General's additional role as Legal Counsel for State Actors/Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Attorney General's Office is not only representing their own offices and employees in conflict, but also, illegally representing **39 PLUS** State Actors/Defendants as counsel of record, in further Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, and yet, still directly handle Criminal Complaints naming them as central Criminal RICO Actors. Additional Conflicts of Interest are further created by the illegal twofold representation by the Attorney General of the State Actors/Defendants in both a Professional and Personal capacity. The Attorney General may represent State

Actors/Defendants in Lawsuits in a PROFESSIONAL capacity only on the State of New York's funds and the Individual representations are illegal and further Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, further defined herein. This entire bizarre and convoluted myriad of ILLEGAL Conflicts of Interest and Obstructions create further massive Frauds on the Courts and Frauds on a Multiplicity of Government Agencies, all combining to further illegally deny Due Process and Obstruct Justice...

...Anderson further complains to the Federal Court in a Motion to Remove the Attorney General^[13] from illegal legal representations that **CUOMO IS ILLEGALLY REPRESENTING STATE ACTORS/DEFENDANTS** in both the US District Court for the Southern District of New York and the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, in her case and the "legally related" cases. Anderson filed to remove the Attorney General from her Whistleblower Lawsuit for ILLEGAL Conflicts of Interest and other Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, illustrating a further Pattern and Practice of Public Corruption designed to evade prosecution.

Footnote ^[13]

[Anderson's Motion to Remove the Attorney General](#) can be found at the following URL's and Anderson's arguments for removing the Attorney General in that Motion and her Lawsuit are hereby fully incorporated by reference as my own arguments in this Motion, where they are applicable to our "legally related" lawsuits.

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=391>

["Wednesday, September 15, 2010 "Anderson Moves to Disqualify NY Attorney General"](#)

http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf ...

ACTIONS TO REMOVE ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST FROM ALL PROCEEDINGS AND CEASE AND DESIST ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF STATE ACTORS/DEFENDANTS BY THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL

As Anderson's Motion to Disqualify the Attorney General's Office shows, there are Conflicts of Interest inherent in the ILLEGAL legal representations of the Public Officers both personally and professionally by the New York Attorney General's office, which preclude such representations. Therefore, since the conflicted representations are in Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, all instances of these illegal representations must instantly Cease and Desist, and proper remedial actions taken.

First, all State Actors/Defendants illegally represented currently by the Attorney General, now must be replaced with Non-Conflicted Independent Counsel,

separate counsel for both their Professional and Individual Legal Defenses where they are sued in both capacities. In particular, Anderson claims, quote,

“Ongoing Conflict of Interest”

Representation by the New York Attorney General’s office in the pending appeal continues the improper prejudice against plaintiff. Furthermore, not only did the Attorney General’s representation of the defendants unduly prejudice the plaintiff, but it also raised serious conflict of interest issues with respect to the defendants themselves. To protect their own rights, each of the defendants had to have their own attorneys in order to permit them to cross claim or make admissions, including their own right to protect their own individual rights in this appeal. Under New York State and federal conflict of interest rules, each of the defendants must be free to undertake these independent actions. To do so, they must have their own counsel. (See NYS Code of Professional Conduct Cannon 5 Conflict of Interest Rules. [15]) The Attorney General as a state attorney is bound by these rules as well. [16]

This constitutes New York State law, and the attorney who violates these safeguards must be immediately removed from the case. Further, should the defendants seek to waive the conflicts they would have to submit an affidavit to that effect to the court.

Notwithstanding a defendant’s attempt to waive his right to independent counsel, the court can deny the waiver, based on a finding that ultimately this conflict cannot properly be waived.

The trial [sic trial] court improperly ignored the obligation to address the inherent conflict up to and including the trial. This court, however, must now disqualify the Attorney General from any representation of the defendants.

As a result of these conflict of interest issues, the Attorney General cannot properly represent the defendants, either as a group or individually, in these appellate proceedings. Each defendant must have the right to advance his or her own position on appeal, to cross claim against the others, and to bring a counterclaim against the State.

These actions most certainly could not be undertaken in a case where the Attorney General represents all the named defendants. All defendants clearly are in conflict with each other, especially in their individual capacities. Without question, the Attorney General violated its ethical rules and the public trust in undertaking to represent all of the defendants. The Attorney General continues to violate its ethical rules by appearing before this appellate body.

This would be the case, even were it established that the defendants had sought to consent to such representation...

The conflict here is particularly acute given the nature of the claims brought by plaintiff Anderson. Plaintiff’s charges warranted an independent investigation by the New York State Attorney General’s office to review the basic claims given that Anderson was formerly a Departmental Disciplinary Committee staff attorney with considerable experience and over the years received excellent evaluations. The fact is that these are not allegations from a lay person.

While at the DDC, Plaintiff Anderson was charged with investigating cases involving possible criminal and civil misconduct by attorneys. She carried out her duties as a duly authorized officer of the Court. The New York State Attorney General's Office was therefore obligated to protect her and to investigate her claims of serious misconduct against the named parties. To the Contrary, the New York State Attorney General's Office failed to do so.

The Attorney General is a publicly funded arm of the State. It was conflicted from the outset of this case because it could not possibly defend any of the defendants, while simultaneously investigating plaintiff's claims of serious ongoing misconduct by the defendants. Indeed, no explanation has ever been provided as to why the Attorney General did not represent plaintiff Anderson against any of the original defendants. This was itself a misappropriation of public funds by a state investigative agency with prosecution powers.

Federal law mandates that a special prosecutor be substituted into the case, and this was not done."

Footnotes from Anderson filing

—

Footnote ^[15]

[Conflict of Interest Disciplinary Rule 5](#)

—

Footnote ^[16] [As head of the Department of Law, the Attorney General is both the "People's Lawyer" and the State's chief legal officer.](#) As the "People's Lawyer," the Attorney General serves as the guardian of the legal rights of the citizens of New York, its organizations and its natural resources. In his role as the State's chief legal counsel, the Attorney General not only advises the Executive Branch of State government, but also defends actions and proceedings on behalf of the State. —...

...Similar to Anderson, in my RICO & ANTITRUST lawsuit, the Attorney General not only represents 39 plus State Actors/Defendants ILLEGALLY, both personally and professionally, but also acts as in further conflict as Counsel for their own offices and former employees, in both the US District Court and Second Circuit Court of Appeals. Evidence of such representations can be found in the Attorney General's response to the Amended Complaint in US District Court, which was GRANTED & DOCKETED by Judge Scheindlin in the following Order, included by reference in entirety herein, [SCHEINDLIN ORDER GRANTING THE AMENDED COMPLAINT](#)

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080414%20Order%20Granting%20Filing%20of%20Amended%20Complaint.pdf>

The Amended Complaint was responded to ILLEGALLY by the Attorney General's Office, whom was wearing a number of conflicting hats, acting as

both a State Actor/Defendant and Defense Counsel to other State Actor/Defendants, all represented ILLEGALLY both Professionally and in their Individual capacities. Once again, a further bizarre and illegal myriad of Conflicts of Interest exposed, again in Violation of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Offices Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, combining to further Block Due Process & Procedure of the victims through Obstruction Justice to both the Criminal Complaints and the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.

Whereby this Court and anyone now adjudicating or handling the case for this Court, is now officially served the Letter in entirety via this Motion, as the Letter is relevant to these proceedings and additionally carries additional LIABILITIES for Members of this Court to report to State Auditors or others with Liabilities.

The admission of Conflicts of Interest in these matters has now forced the NY Attorney General's office to refuse to further handle or even speak to Plaintiff regarding the Criminal Complaints filed with their offices or this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Attorney General instead stating they are seeking INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL to represent their offices forward in this RICO and INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED PROSECUTORS to investigate the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS they have Obstructed for several years, including CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS naming Members of this Court as central conspirators in the Cover-Up crimes.

KUDOS, to the integrity of Scheinderman's Attorney General Office and Mr. Rogers for admitting that the New York Attorney General's Office is ABSOLUTELY CONFLICTED in this Lawsuit and the Criminal Complaints. Further, for seeking INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED PARTIES to now represent and investigate these matters forward for their office and officials of their office named in this Lawsuit, Anderson's Lawsuit and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits. The admission and disqualification of the AG from Conflicts breaks down one of main conflicts in the WALL OF OBSTRUCTIONARY CONFLICTS obstructing this Lawsuit from day one. Prior to the Admission, New York Attorney Generals Spitzer and Cuomo, flagrantly and with SCIENTER violated Conflict of Interest rules with the blessing and APPROVAL FROM MEMBERS OF THE COURTS in Violation of Law. These Violations denied PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS AND PROCEDURE THROUGH FRAUD ON THE COURTS achieved through the VIOLATION OF ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES, VIOLATION OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES AND REGULATIONS AND VIOLATION OF STATE AND FEDERAL LAW.

The Admission and Acknowledgement of Conflicts of Interest are reason for this Court to IMMEDIATELY REMAND this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits, back to the US District Court for rehearings. REHEARINGS free of ALL Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, by ALL parties acting illegally in Conflict, which has polluted these proceedings,

constituting a CONSPIRATORIAL FRAUD ON THE COURT. Members of this Court who have handled this case thus far, similarly must now DISQUALIFY themselves from handling this Lawsuit as they too are CONFLICTED, being accused in the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS of AIDING & ABETTING the former ATTORNEY GENERALS via OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN THESE PROCEEDINGS, MISPRISION OF FELONIES and more.

Plaintiff hopes that henceforth, the NEW JUSTICES of THIS COURT now ruling on these matters, including this Motion to REMAND the Lawsuits back, have already signed the attached CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE PRIOR TO RULING or even reading this section. To rule or act further without a signed Conflict of Interest disclosure will result in NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES filed against any person who fails to disclose. The first order of business for new members of this Court who comply with the Conflict Check should be REPORTING all FELONY CRIMES cited herein, involving all PRIOR JUSTICES, the DEFENDANT NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL and their CLIENT/DEFENDANTS, all for their parts in AIDING & ABETTING a CRIMINAL RICO ORG, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES), FRAUD ON THE COURT and more.

IV. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON'S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE.

This Court now has brand new knowledge of CRIMINAL ACTIVITY that has been submitted with PRIMA FACIE evidence herein, including CREDIBLE EYEWITNESSES SWORN STATEMENTS, SWORN TESTIMONY IN FEDERAL COURT and TAPED ADMISSION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST in these matters. All of these acts constitute a plethora of continuing Felony Crimes and therefore the Justices now handling this case who posses this Evidence and Knowledge of Crimes must now report these NEW FELONY CRIMES to all proper authorities and take all corrective actions to remove the Conflicts forward.

Further, this Court must demand all New York State Defendants and others acting in conflict out of further representations to seek independent counsel, both Personally and Professionally, and any other actions required by law, rule or cannon, such as reporting all these crimes and resulting liabilities to ANY PARTY with resulting liabilities. Failure to report these NEW CRIMINAL ACTS will result in further Felony Criminal Charges for MISPRISION OF FELONY, AIDING & ABETTING A CRIMINAL RICO ORIGINATION and other VIOLATIONS of STATE, FEDERAL & INTERNATIONAL LAW. Whistleblower Anderson, again, a seasoned Supreme Court of New York Attorney, expert in ATTORNEY MISCONDUCT COMPLAINTS, presented Corroborating evidence to this Court of Violations

of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law by the New York Attorney General and others.

Anderson's "Notice of Motion to Disqualifying the Office of the New York State Attorney General from Representation of Defendants" is located at the following URL's, both fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein,

http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf

and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=391> .

ALL APPLICABLE and RELEVANT ARGUMENTS regarding the Misconduct and ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL contained within the Anderson Motion to DISQUALIFY the ATTORNEY GENERAL from the Anderson Lawsuit, are wholly incorporated herein in entirety for consideration in this Motion to DISQUALIFY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FROM THIS PROCEEDING. The New York Attorney General's Office and current and former members of the office already Defendants in these matters should remain in the case however, as Defendants only and need now also seek INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED Attorneys at Law to represent them in their PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL capacities in the crimes alleged herein.

With the removal of the New York Attorney General as Counsel to the State Actors/Defendants, each State Official must now retain new NON CONFLICTED COUNSELORS, one to represent them Personally and one Professionally. Anderson's Motion to DISQUALIFY the Attorney General for ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS in her Whistleblower Lawsuit and in Plaintiff's Motion to Compel show that New York State Funds and Resources are being illegally used to ILLEGALLY represent PUBLIC OFFICIALS in both their PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL capacities, in Violation of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules and Regulations and State and Federal Law. Again, attempts by THIS COURT to continue to allow these ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS, THEFT, and FRAUD of PUBLIC RESOURCES by STATE OFFICIALS, by failure to end these crimes in THIS COURT or even report the CRIMES as mandated by Law to Criminal Authorities, will result in ADDITIONAL CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST MEMBERS OF THIS COURT.

As already described herein, the Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson exposes a multitude of CRIMINAL FELONY ACTIVITIES of PUBLIC OFFICIALS and COURT OFFICIALS directly related to the adjudication of this Lawsuit and several of those FINGERED PUBLIC OFFICIALS ARE SIMILARLY DEFENDANTS IN THIS LAWSUIT. CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS have been lodged by Plaintiff against those named officials fingered by Anderson and those "caught with their hand in cookie jar" feeding on the trough of

public office corruption and crimes, including Members of this Court named herein, who have all Aided & Abetted the corrupt practices through further CRIMINAL FELONY ACTS to DENY DUE PROCESS AND AID AND ABET IN THE THEFT OF THE IVIEWIT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES. With the REMOVAL of the New York Attorney General office for admitted Conflicts of Interest that preclude their future involvement, other than as Defendant, in both this Lawsuit and the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS filed with their offices, which now need Independent Investigators to investigate, this case must be REMANDED back to the US District Court for IMMEDIATE REHEARING. REHEARING must start with CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS to determine who exactly is involved in the Conspiracy within the Courts, as while Anderson named specifically several key players, she left several of the agencies, lawyers, law firms and court personnel unidentified or those records remain sealed or are missing from the courts' dockets.

Conflicts of Interest and multitudes of Violations of State & Federal Law already identified to the Courts in this Lawsuit, Anderson's suit and the "Legally Related" Anderson lawsuits, especially those where the New York Attorney General Illegally Represented State Defendants ILLEGALLY must next be prosecuted prior to this Lawsuit proceeding on a civil level. The Attorney General's Conflicts of Interests have melted into an orgy of Obstruction committed by ALL PARTIES REPRESENTING the Defendants and ALL THOSE HEARING this LAWSUIT that are licensed ATTORNEYS AT LAW, all acting in Collusion to Deny Due Process to Plaintiff, Anderson and the "Legally Related" lawsuits.

Anderson's claims, include but are not limited to, allegations against Members of Prosecutorial State and Federal Agencies and the COURTS, who have been directly involved in alleged CRIMINAL ACTIVITY and are also DEFENDANTS in this RICO Lawsuit. Therefore, Plaintiff Demands that this Lawsuit be REMANDED back to the US District Court for REHEARING in conjunction with FULL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING PARTIES named by Anderson as being part of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY and those still unidentified but referred to accomplices⁷¹;

⁷¹ <http://exposecorruptessex.com/CourtInspectorGeneral.html>

November 1, 2009 To: Inspector General for NY Unified Court System at jg@courts.state.ny.us
Re: Intolerable corruption and criminal conduct in our Appellate Court Discipline by Terence Finnan and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=205>

Tuesday, October 27, 2009 Letter to Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: IVIEWIT LETTER TO US FED JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN RE CRIMINAL "WHISTLEBLOWER" ALLEGATIONS in Christine C. Anderson v. New York State et al. Docket 07cv09599 alleging Disciplinary Complaint Fixing by the "CLEANER" for US Attorneys, New York District Attorneys and ADA's; Code of Conduct for US Judges Canon 3B(5), Protecting the People. Eliot I. Bernstein.

Both footnote references incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

1. The Department of Justice – Office of the US Attorney General
2. The New York Attorney General Office
3. The District Attorney Office
4. The Assistant DA Office
5. Thomas Cahill, former Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
6. Sherry Cohen, Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
7. Naomi Goldstein, aka “The Cleaner,” (as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court) Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
8. David Spokony, Deputy Clerk - New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
9. Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Former Clerk of the New York Supreme Court and now CLERK of this COURT, Defendant in this Lawsuit and former Defendant in Anderson’s Whistleblower Lawsuit and now material witness for Anderson
10. Justice Angela M. Mazzarel of the Appellate Division, New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
11. “Favored Law Firms” as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court yet left unidentified
12. “Favored Lawyers”, as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court yet left unidentified

Anderson’s ALLEGATIONS OF FELONY MISCONDUCT by MEMBERS and representatives of these PUBLIC AGENCIES and the COURTS, whom are directly involved in Plaintiff’s RICO & ANTITRUST, constitute absolute cause to REMAND this Lawsuit back to the US District Court, to be IMMEDIATELY HEARD BY NON CONFLICTED PARTIES. Plaintiff also calls, as did Anderson, for the IMMEDIATE APPOINTMENT OF A FEDERAL MONITOR AS REQUIRED BY LAW, to oversight the day-to-day operations of the courts and those court actors named in this Lawsuit and the related lawsuits as accomplice to the crimes. Further, Orders to Halt the Lawsuit should accompany the REMANDING to the US District Court, until everyone currently involved in this Lawsuit is both DISQUALIFIED and INVESTIGATED for their part in Aiding & Abetting this MASSIVE FRAUD ON THE COURTS and STATE AND FEDERAL PUBLIC AGENCIES. Then, and only then, can the Lawsuit proceed and be heard in a CONFLICT FREE FORUM by CONFLICT FREE PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND COURT OFFICIALS, all who have signed Conflict Disclosure Forms prior to PROCEEDING, in other words, the creation of a FAIR & IMPARTIAL COURT OF LAW as GUARANTEED UNDER LAW. This COURT’S failure to provide such GUARANTEE OF A CONFLICT FREE COURT and further attempts to ILLEGALLY BURY this Lawsuit will result in FURTHER CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION and other FELONY CHARGES.

HALT THIS LAWSUIT AND THE “LEGALLY RELATED” LAWSUITS, PENDING INVESTIGATIONS OF WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST MEMBERS OF, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, JUSTICES OF THE COURTS, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS AND OTHERS, BASED ON FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. THE FELONY CRIMES ALLEGED BY ANDERSON, DIRECTLY RELATE TO THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, INCLUDING HAVING SEVERAL IDENTICAL NEW YORK STATE PUBLIC OFFICIAL ACTOR/DEFENDANTS AND THE ANDERSON ALLEGATIONS ARE WHOLLY GERMANE TO THE NEXUS OF THE IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT CRIMES ALLEGED. FURTHER THE TWO LAWSUITS ARE “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN.

V. REMOVE AND REPORT ALL OTHER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, VIOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICE RULES, VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE AND FEDERAL LAW, CURRENTLY IN PLACE IN THIS RICO LAWSUIT AND RELATED CASES, IN ORDER TO IMPART FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW

The Rehearings must be free from the start of ALL, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law of which there are many more violations currently in play with ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW involved in this RICO Lawsuit that are directly related to the New York Attorneys General conflicts. All must instantly cease and further be reported to the proper CRIMINAL authorities. Conflicts and violations of law that have infected and poisoned these hearings from the start, acting to, Obstruct Justice, Deny Due Process and perpetrate never ending FRAUD ON THE COURTS through Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, which without these VIOLATIONS this FRAUD ON THE COURT will now crumble. The only sane course of action forward in this Lawsuit, as unraveling the Web of Conflicts currently at play is now impossible and further as Anderson has left a mystery for discovery of who these “FAVORED LAW FIRMS AND LAWYERS” are, is to now DISQUALIFY and REPLACE ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW currently acting in ANY Capacity in this Lawsuit. PRESCREENING ALL those ATTORNEYS AT LAW, JUDGES, REGULATORS and any other Public Official for conflict PRIOR to entering the Lawsuit going forward and REPRESENTING ANY PARTIES, which is required in both their legal capacities as acting as OFFICERS OF THIS COURT and ATTORNEYS AT LAW.

VI. DEMAND THAT ALL PARTIES TO THIS LAWSUIT GOING FORWARD, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COURT JUSTICES & OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, CLERKS, ETC. SIGN AFFIRMED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES, IDENTICAL TO THE ONE ATTACHED HEREIN, ACKNOWLEDGING PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES FOR ANY VIOLATION, PRIOR TO, ANY FURTHER ACTION BY ANYONE IN THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT.

Plaintiff is suing the New York State Supreme Courts, Members of the New York State Supreme Courts, Members of the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Bar Association and therefore ANY MEMBER of these organizations is conflicted from hearing or representing this Lawsuit without conflict. Therefore, the Lawsuit should be free of any lawyers registered or members of the New York Courts or any other Agency that is a Defendant in these matters, as again, this would be further ILLEGAL CONFLICTS and Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes that act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and ILLEGALLY DENY PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS.

VII. DEMAND FOR JUSTICES OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT TO TURN THEMSELVES IN TO STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES TO ANSWER TO FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEM AND SERVED UPON THEM

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS THIS COURT REPORT THESE FELONY STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMES, including the FRAUD ON THE COURT and FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, to all proper CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES for IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION or face further Obstruction Charges by YOUR continued MISPRISION OF FELONY Offences and more. Justices and others named herein that are Members of the Court are obligated to turn themselves in for criminal investigation and prosecution regarding the Criminal Complaints filed against them.

VIII. ALLEGED CRIMES ONGOING BY P. STEPHEN LAMONT ET AL. BOTH KNOWN AND UNKNOWN AND FRAUD ON THIS COURT, THE US DISTRICT COURT AND NOW OTHER COURTS INCLUDING THE SUPREME COURT AND MORE.

P. Stephen Lamont has no legal standing or basis in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, as he failed to file individually and instead chose to file on Behalf of others, including Iviewit Shareholders. Where Lamont is not a licensed ATTORNEY AT LAW, as he failed to ever pass the Bar Exam, these acts are in Violation of Attorney Conduct Codes and Law, including

fraudulently representing others and companies without ANY consent from the individuals or the Iviewit companies. This Court, the US District Court, the New York Attorney General and others have been formally notified of the continued crimes by Lamont's ILLEGALLY ACTING AS AN ATTORNEY AT LAW IN THIS LAWSUIT for which he has no legal basis or standing in. Yet again, there is a failure of the courts and prosecutorial offices to follow law and ethics rules and report and/or investigate the felony crimes, further constituting FRAUD ON THE COURTS, MISPRISION OF FELONIES, AIDING AND ABETTING AND MORE.

The following URL's regarding the CRIMINAL ACTIVITY of P. Stephen Lamont are incorporated entirely by reference herein,

June 18, 2009 Letter to New York Attorney General Andrew Cuomo and Steven Michael Cohen titled, "First Department Obstruction"

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090618%20FINAL%20NYAG%20Steven%20Cohen%20Letter%20Re%20Lamont%20Signed.pdf>

and

IX. PLAINTIFF SEEKS LEAVE TO AMEND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT TO ADD NEW DEFENDANTS AND NEW ALLEGED CRIMES NEWLY DISCOVERED

Plaintiff will be seeking leave to amend the Amended Complaint to add all of the following New Crimes discovered against the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION:

1. War Crimes – The Coup/RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION has plotted Illegal Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People in order to Profit from such Un-American, Un-Patriotic and Illegal Activities, including but not limited to, War Profiteering, Controlled Market Demolitions and Oil Price Fixing,
2. Crimes Against Humanity - The Coup/RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION in Illegally Waging Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People have illegally DETAINED, DENIED JURISPRUDENCE and TORTURED tens of thousands of individuals in violation of State, Federal and International Law and Treatise, including but not limited to, the Geneva

Conventions and Title 18 USC. The Coup/RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION in Illegally Waging Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People have illegally MURDERED, MAIMED AND DISPLACED MILLIONS of individuals in Foreign Nations and the United States in violation of State, Federal and International Law and Treatise, including but not limited to, the Geneva Conventions and Title 18 USC. Further, tens of thousands of those MURDERED and MAIMED in these ILLEGAL WARS of AGGRESSION are the United States and Foreign Nations FALLEN SOLDIERS who have been fighting these ILLEGAL WARS.

3. Economic Terrorism – Already discussed and evidenced herein.
4. Treason and Sedition– Already discussed and evidenced herein.

X. RELIEF

No relief is requested from those currently handling this Lawsuit in violation of Law, other than to turn themselves in for the multiple felonies identified herein, including but not limited to, War and Economic Crimes and report all CRIMES you have knowledge of to the proper authorities.

From any new participants, the only relief requested first and prior to ANY other action is a signed Conflict of Interest Disclosure.

In parting, to all of those who have acted in an ILLEGAL legal capacity as part of the RICO Criminal Org, violating law and ethics in this DIRTY COURT as evidenced herein, desecrating the very words law and order, desecrating the country and all those who have died to give us our Liberty and Freedom, robbing, murdering and plundering hundreds of millions of PEOPLE worldwide through your WAR AND ECONOMIC CRIMES, beware, the gates of hell await you. As the 99%’ers see your crimes for what they are and that you have aided and abetted the criminals by failure to uphold the law and prosecute, they will demand Justice against you. When that Justice fails, as it has in this Lawsuit, you will next hear them march upon your dirty courts and prosecutorial offices. You will next hear the trumpet of the PEOPLE, chanting that they want back every red cent you have stolen from them with your criminal friends on WallStreet/GreedStreet/FraudStreet, pitchforks in hand, seeking Justice and recovery of the estimated 14-46 Trillion you have stolen from World Markets. Know as you hear their boots upon your steps that Plaintiff fears no pity for your souls will they have, stripping you and yours of all earthly possessions and then your life, hopefully after fair and impartial trials in clean courts but either way fine.

EXHIBIT 1 – CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE PARTIAL LIST OF KNOWN CONFLICTED PARTIES

- Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye ("J. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zamas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.; Lewis Melzter - ("Melzter"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe ("Grebe"); Christopher Kise ("Kise"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H.

Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- INTEL Corporation;
- Silicon Graphics Inc.;
- Lockheed Martin Corporation;
- Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
- Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FORMER IVIEWIT MANAttorney GeneralEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. ("Miller"), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein - Board Director ("S. Bernstein"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");
- THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;

- MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. ("Molyneaux"); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kearse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Jeffrey Friedstein ("Friedstein"); Sheldon Friedstein (S. Friedstein"), Donald G. Kane ("Kane"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- David B. Simon, Esq. ("D. Simon");
- Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Davis Polk & Wardell;
- Ropes & Gray LLP;
- Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
- Eliot I. Bernstein, ("Bernstein") a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;
- P. Stephen Lamont, ("Lamont") a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
- SKULL AND BONES; The Russell Trust Co.; Yale Law School;
- Council on Foreign Relations;

- The Bilderberg Group;
- The Federalist Society;
- The Bradley Foundation;

Please include in the COI check the defendants and any other parties in the legally related cases in New York District Court Southern District of New York to Docket No 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT, including but not limited to;

D. United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit 08-4873-cv

E. (07cv11196) Bernstein et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT Defendants, in addition to those already listed herein, include but are not limited to;

- STATE OF NEW YORK;
- THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
- STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
- ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
- JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
- DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
- GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
- TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
- STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
- FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
- HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
- THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
- DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
- DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
- CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
- ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
- ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
- PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
- MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
- CATHERINE O'HAAttorney GeneralEN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;

- HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;
- HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
- STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
- ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;
- LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
- ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
- Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff fo Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
- Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
- COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
- VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
- ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- NOEL SENDEL in her official and individual capacities;
- MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
- LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
- MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
- LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp;
- EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
- ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
- WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
- LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
- DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
- ROYAL O'BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBERG, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
- UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;

- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
- I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
- IBM CORPORATION;

To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:

- **Andrew Cuomo, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Steven M. Cohen, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,**
- **P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont's filings on behalf of others and more filed with criminal authorities and this Court notified of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)**
- **Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ropes & Gray,**
- **Stanford Financial Group,**
- **Bernard L. Madoff et al.**
- **Marc S. Dreier, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Sony Corporation, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ernst & Young, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Arthur Andersen, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Enron, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**

F. Other Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY Related to Christine C. Anderson

- **07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT;**
- **07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.;**
- **07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.;**
- **08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv04053 Gizella Weissshaus v The State of New York, et al.;**
- **08cv04438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.**
- **08cv06368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York**

EXHIBIT 2

January 05, 2010 Order Signed by, Franklin Perez, may be part of a much larger FRAUD on the COURTS being committed in the US Second Circuit with the aid of Members of this Court, as revealed in a Lawsuit filed represented by Attorney Ruth M. Pollack, Esquire, titled "In The Supreme Court of the United States - KEVIN G. CHESNEY and LORRAINE CHESNEY, Petitioners v. VALLEY STREAM UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 24, et al., Respondents" filed with the US Supreme Court and found online at http://www.scribd.com/doc/58592324/Ruth-Pollack-SCOTUS-Petition-for-Certiorari-on-2nd-Circuit-Court-Fraud?secret_password=&autodown=pdf and the filed case at the US Supreme Court and the attached URL are hereby incorporated by reference in ENTIRETY herein.

All arguments contained within the Chesney's Lawsuit regarding Fraudulent Court Orders pertaining to Franklin Perez and Defendant Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, are hereby further included in this Motion as further PRIMA FACIE evidence of THIS COURT'S CONTINUED & ONGOING OBSTRUCTIONS. Please print this URL's document and the SUPREME COURT CASE DOCKET and attach as EXHIBIT physically to this Motion, due to the ongoing claims of docket fraud and document fraud as alleged in the Chesney/Pollack case, the Anderson Lawsuit and the Legally Related Lawsuits to Anderson, that may be affecting all these cases and tens of thousands of other US Civil and Criminal Cases. From the Online Filing, quote,

"e) immediate stay of appeal pending criminal investigation into docket fraud, file destruction and conference with judges, and f) stay of appeal pending "resolution of [Petitioners'] anticipated writs of certiorari, mandamus to the United States Supreme Court, based on impossibility of briefing within appeal due to destroyed record and fraudulent Order signed by Operation's Analyst Franklin Perez for RCW [Justice Richard C. Wesley, Esq.]," and g) a default judgment due to proven tampering, destruction and fake Orders. App. 69-92 This case is unique and shocks the conscience for its total lack of due process under FED. R. CIV. P. at the trial court level and under FED. R. APP. P. at the appellate level. The Second Circuit failed to afford Petitioners with due process in multiple ways in that there were:

- 1) no docketed, annotated, certified Record on Appeal; App. 106-120
- 2) no certified transcripts of district court proceedings; App. 106-120 17
- 3) no original lower court documents as stated on the Second Circuit General Docket as published on PACER;
- 4) no CAMP conference; App. 106-120
- 5) no briefing schedule or pre-briefing conference; App. 106-120
- 6) no oral arguments, even though oral argument was formally requested seven (7) times; App. 106-120
- 7) no panel of judges or single judge, at least twelve (12) different judges' names appeared without their knowledge on fake Orders and on the fake General Docket, but no judge or panel of judges ever heard the case or met with the parties; App. 106-120
- 8) no appearance of this case or any of its seven (7) T-1080 motions by Petitioners appeared on any approved calendars maintained by the Clerk of the Court; App. 93-105
- 9) no judge's signature on any documents or purported orders of the Court;
- 10) no valid orders were issued; in fact, all motions by Petitioners were falsely claimed to have been "sua sponte" denied by the Court, even though none were ever calendared or seen by a judge or a panel of judges as required by FED. R. APP. P; App. 10 a fake "Order" dated, filed by stamp of January 07, 2010 is falsely docketed on the General Docket as 18 "entered" on January 8, 2010, signed by "Operations Analyst Franklin Perez for Judge Richard C. Wesley (RCW by FP)."
App. 7-9 The fake order, miss-mailed to an incorrect address late and post marked four (4) days later to Petitioners' legal counsel Pollack, contains three sitting judges' names all in contravention of FED. R. APP. P. § 25(a)(2)(B)(ii). Hence, there never was a briefing "Order" or a "certified" and "mandated" "Order" dismissing (disposing of) this phantom appeal. App. 1-4
- 11) No judges present on any calendars. According to the Court's Approved Calendar for the Week of January 4 through January 8, 2010 in the Ceremonial Courtroom (9th Floor), none of the named judges on the fake "order" were "Present" on the date or week indicated. The instant case did not appear on this week's "approved" calendar. None of the fake orders in the instant case appeared on any of the Court's corresponding calendars. App. 93-105 Mr. Perez also appears in other cases as "Deputy Clerk Frank Perez." cf. App. 7-9
- 12) Staff attorneys with no authority to do so, signed fake Orders and issued them late under unknowing judges' names and failed to docket the fake Orders.

13) No opposition or lawful participation by pro se Respondent – Respondent school 19 district from April 29, 2009 to date – the duration of the case in the Circuit – resulting in a total default by the school district, a fact never acknowledged by the District Court, Circuit Court or Clerk;

14) Circuit Clerk abducted Petitioners’ case in that she acted as attorney and counsel on behalf of the defaulting school district in violation of FED. R. APP. P. §§ 45 and 45.1. [Clerk’s Duties]

15) Purported Order dated May 5, 2010 that “disposed” of this phantom “appeal” was not seen by any judge or panel of judges, not calendared or entered onto the Court docket, but is purportedly “mandated” on June 10, 2010 and not “docketed” until June 24, 2010. This fake Order was not mandated or sent to and docketed by the district court. App. 1-4, 121

16) No true case manager on the case. The docket reflects at least twelve (12) different “case managers” from several different departments of the Court. App. 106-120

EXHIBIT 3 – CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS

1

2

3

EXHIBIT 4 – ETHICS COMPLAINT

1

2

3