

THE CATBIRD'S NEST #13

Search this site

Navigation

[Home >](#)

Home

\$26B MORTGAGE SETTLEMENT: GOOD FOR BANKS, NOT SO GOOD FOR HOMEOWNERS

50 STATES FILE NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTERVENE IN MORTGAGE FRAUD SETTLEMENT

NATIONAL MORTGAGE FRAUD INTERVENTION

A COMMON ORGANIC SWEETNER MAY BOOST ARSENIC LEVELS IN FOODS

ABBOTT LABORATORIES - BEHIND THE BLINDS

AFGHANISTAN - HOW MANY U.S. WAR CRIMES ARE TOO MANY?

AFGHANISTAN MURDERS - THE COVERUP BEGINS

AFGHANISTAN PROBLEM GETS WORSE

AFGHANISTAN: US CLAIMS PERPETRATOR TO BE UNNAMED

ARMY STAFF SERGEANT AMERICANS BRACE FOR NEXT FORECLOSURE WAVE

ARSENIC IN YOUR JUICE

ATTACKING OR THREATENING IRAN MAKES NO SENSE

AUDIT THE FEDERAL RESERVE - RON PAUL

BENLATE OR FERTILIZER? WHICH ONE DID

50 STATES FILE NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTERVENE IN MORTGAGE FRAUD SETTLEMENT

In The United States District Court
District Of Columbia, Washington DC
Judge Colliers Court Unit

The United States Of America Civil Case No 12-361
50 States Attorney Generals

VS

Wellsfargo Bank, JP Morgan Chase, Ally/GMAC, Citi Bank, ET AL
Bank of America: 1-877-488-7814
Citi: 1-866-272-4749
Chase: 1-866-372-6901
Ally (formerly GMAC): 1-800-766-4622
Wells Fargo: 1-800-288-3212

**Notice of Intent To Intervene as a Matter Of Right
Federal Rule Civil Procedure 24
Victims Intervention of Right**

To The Honorable Judge of Said Court ... Judge Collier

1 Recently the [DOJ Eric Holder](#) and 49 State Attorney Generals filed an Original Complaint for a littany of unlawful/fraudulent conduct including [Document Fraud](#), [Land/Real Estate / mortgage Frauds](#) and [Predatory Foreclosures](#) using [Fraudulent](#) and in many cases [Counterfeit](#)

IT?

BEST PRESIDENT
MONEY CAN BUY
BILL CLINTON'S
STRUGGLE WITH
THE LEWINSKY
AFFAIR

BILLIONAIRE
GIVES \$5 MILLION
TO PRO-GINGRICH
GROUP

BLOWBACK

BOMB IRAN?
WHERE MITT
ROMNEY AND RICK
SANTORUM
STAND.

DAN SOLIN: AN
OPEN LETTER TO
JIM BEARD,
ATLANTA'S CFO

ELVIN MEEK
FAMILY TRUST -
NANCI MEEK E-
MAIL RE: BANK OF
HAWAII
LITIGATION & FBI,
IRS

INVESTIGATIONS
FASHION

ILLUSTRATION:
RITZY WOMAN
WITH POODLE

GEORGE GOEHL
ON FIGHTING
BACK - BILL
MOYERS' VIDEO
INTERVIEW

GOLDMAN LOSES
\$2.2B AFTER
SCATHING OP-ED
GOLDMAN SACHS
BRIBED SENATE
TO PASS BAILOUT
BILL - SHOCKING
VIDEO

GOLDMAN SACHS
CROOKS -
CARTOONS

GOLDMAN SACHS
TO FUND ROMNEY
OVER OBAMA

GOLDMAN SACHS
UNDER FIRE FOR
ITS 'TOXIC' GRID
HAWAII DEFENSE
CUTS LOOM AFTER
SUPER COMMITTEE
IMPLODES
HERE IS WHAT
LIBERATING
AFGHANISTAN
LOOKS LIKE
HONEYBEE
DEATHS LINKED
TO CORN
INSECTICIDE
HONOLULU RAIL
TRANSIT GIVEN
FEDERAL
APPROVAL

[Documentation](#) and [False Signatures](#) associated with [Illegal Foreclosures](#) associated with Millions of [Subprime](#) and other wise [Deceptive, Misleading and Fraudulent Mortgage Loans](#).

2 The compensation to those Families that have been defrauded in these loans and been subjected to the Feloniouis Criminal Foreclosures On Their Homes Specifically would argue that the Up to \$1.500 maybe \$2,000 compensation / damages to be awarded them is Rediculously and Wholly Inadequate.

3 The Provisions to allow these Defendants to Provide Principle Reductions and Modified Mortgage Contracts to Victims of schemes wherein these Banks DO NOT HOLD Lawful Interests in assignments of Mortgages obtain in many many instances from transactions with the [MERS System](#) and other Bundled Securities transactions ... does NOT effectively provide that these defenfdants disgorge Themselves and declare Null and Void Millions of Mortgage Assignments they can NOT Prove they Lawfully have any interest in. **Millions of assignments are simply Unlawful and as a Matter of Law VOID.**

4 In That this case was filed on **March 12th 2012** Please Consider this as a timely Notice of Intent To Intervene Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24 . The Millions of victims of these [Illegal conspiracies](#), Frauds and RICO activity, Strongly and Vehemently Object to the Manner of the Settlement and would Argue the Attorneys who should be aggressively litigating this unprecedented case simply are NOT properly and fully representing the US Taxpayers nor the victims of These schemes.

I.

Lawful Grounds and Precedent Laws Allowing The Intervention by the Victims

1 ... Intervention Is allowed to further the Public's Interest in the Outcome of Litigation that may as a practical matter affect the interests they possess in any given matter as in the Massive Frauds and [Illegal Foreclosures](#) associated with the 50 State Settlement against the Five [Big Banks](#). Individuals that have been victimized and incurred damages as a result of fraudulent practices, [ROBO Signing](#) of Collection Actions or Foreclosure Court Filings **that have been cheated or lost their homes in an unlawful foreclosure receiving a meager \$1500 up to \$2000 in damages are NOT being adequately compensated nor represented by the [USDOJ](#) or the [States Attorney Generals](#).**

INTEL SHOWS
 IRAN NUCLEAR
 THREAT NOT
 IMMINENT
 IRAN ACCUSES
 ISRAEL, U.S. OF
 KILLING NUCLEAR
 SCIENTIST
 IRAN SAYS CIA
 BEHIND NUCLEAR
 SCIENTIST'S
 KILLING
 JFK'S TEEN
 MISTRESS IN
 EXPLOSIVE NEW
 TELL-ALL
 JOKERS IN THE
 FED
 JUDGE DAVID
 EZRA TO RETIRE IN
 JUNE
 JUDGE HALTS
 MISSISSIPPI
 PARDONS AFTER
 UPROAR
 JUDGE NOT ...
 KAMEHAMEHA
 SCHOOL'S NEW
 TRUSTEE - LANCE
 WILHELM
 KAMEHAMEHA
 SCHOOLS
 RESOLVES
 LAWSUIT
 KAMEHAMEHA
 SCHOOLS TRUSTEE
 SEARCH BEGINS
 KODAK TEETERS
 ON THE BRINK OF
 BANKRUPTCY
 MITT ROMNEY:
 GEORGE W. BUSH
 AND HENRY
 PAULSON SAVED
 COUNTRY FROM
 DEPRESSION
 MONSANTO -
 SCIENTISTS WARN
 EPA ON
 MONSANTO CORN
 ROOTWORM
 NATO FOUND
 AFGHAN
 CHILDREN DEAD
 AFTER AIR STRIKE
 NEW TWIST TO
 U.S. DEATH ROW
 CASE
 NICKY HILTON -
 MK-ULTRA SLAVE
 OBAMA - CAN HE
 WIN WARREN
 BUFFETT'S
 HOMETOWN
 AGAIN?
 OBAMA
 ADMINISTRATION
 GIVING AWAY ?
 STRATEGIC
 ISLANDS TO

2 ... The fact is the Taxpayers will be directly subsidizing this absurd Settlement Agreement and as of this date The States Nor The DOJ will even allow the taxpayers or the Victims to see the settlement terms as allegedly announced as We Have A Settlement by the government. Intervention in these matters is allowed under the US Constitution , The States Constitutions and as a matter of Federal Law. **The idea that \$1500 or Maybe \$2000 will come anywhere near adequately compensating victims for Out Of Pocket Losses or Damages is quit simply Absurd.**

A. Provisions of this Settlement Agreement Fail to Address the Deceptive and Unconscionable Nature of Foreclosures by these Defendants and others that rely upon Assignments of Mortgages that were NOT lawfully completed throughout the States and Millions of Such Assignments were processed through the MERS Electronic Deed Registration System . Said transactions are horribly wrought with fraud, incomplete execution of Notarized Conveyance Instruments, ROBO Signed Assignments and Conveyances and in many many many cases the Mortgages have been many many times Counterfeited and Multiple Claims against individual interests are being asserted against the same ALBEIT Unlawful and Incomplete - VOID Assignments and Securitizations.

B. The integrity of Millions of Conveyances as many as 62 Million transactions and many Millions more wrought with fraud and criminally orchestrated endorsements, ROBO SIGNING and outright forgeries involve Millions of Felonies. Deed Recorders Offices all across America are reporting in mass of such racketeering and conspiracies to unlawfully engage in the sales and transfers of Billions of Dollars worth of Counterfeit Assignments.

See Wright Miller Kane 3rd (2007) at Sec 1921 and Wright Miller Kane 3rd (2007) Sec. 1922 pgs. 630-632

3... It is obvious that no limitation on constitutional procedural Due Process rights and historic equitable rights will be permitted; in intervention under Rule 24(a) (2)

a ... <http://www.law.cornell.edu/rules/frcp/ACRule24.htm>

b ... <http://www.law.com/jsp/decisionstate.jsp?id=1191575000063>

c ... <http://caselaw.jp.findlaw.com/scripts/getcase.pl?navby=case&court=us&vol=414&page=538>

4 ... First, intervention is designed to permit non-parties to be able to litigate the

RUSSIA
 OBAMA'S CULTURE
 OF DEATH
 OBAMA'S INNER
 CIRCLE
 OBAMA'S
 POPULATION
 CONTROL
 PROGRAM
 OBAMA'S PRO-
 ABORTION
 RECORD
 OFERGATE: THE
 ZIONIST
 PROPAGANDA
 BLITZ AGAINST
 IRAN
 OHA AND STATE
 OF HAWAII
 APPROVE
 SETTLEMENT
 PANETTA
 BELIEVES ISRAEL
 MAY STRIKE IRAN
 THIS SPRING
 PANETTA CAUGHT
 IN ONE-WORLD
 SCHEME
 PONYING UP: HOW
 MUCH HAVE BIG
 BANKS BEEN
 DOCKED FOR THE
 FINANCIAL CRISIS?
 RICK PITINO PULLS
 LOUISVILLE FROM
 2012 MAUI
 INVITATIONAL
 ROMNEY WOULD
 REPEAL
 SARBANES-OXLEY
 ROMNEY: FRAUD
 AND DECEPTION
 FROM
 CORPORATIONS IS
 OKAY IN MY BOOK
 THE GOLDEN RULE
 - A PAINTING BY
 NORMAN
 ROCKWELL
 THE
 WHISTLEBLOWER:
 HOW THE
 CLINTON WHITE
 HOUSE STAYED IN
 POWER
 U.S. INDICTS
 WEGELIN BANK
 U.S. MOVES
 TOWARD ACTION
 AGAINST SWISS
 BANKS
 VETERAN'S TODAY
 - INTEL
 DISCLOSURE
 VOTERS CHOICE:
 RON PAUL OR BIBI
 NETANYAHU
 WIKIPEDIA GOES
 DARK TO PROTEST
 SOPA

underlying case. Thus, potential intervenors file complaints in intervention, “ setting out the claims that they wish to litigate against the defendant which they believe are not being adequately advanced by the named plaintiffs.

- a ... http://www.citizen.org/litigation/briefs/class_action/articles.cfm?ID=6652
 b ... <http://www.cacn.net/applications/oscr/deliverdocument.asp?cited=407310>
 c ... <http://www.nae.net/militarypdf/NAE%20Motion%20to%20intervene.pdf>
 d ... <http://vis.law.vill.edu/locator/3d/May1994/94a0719Sp.txt>

5 ... ANY denial of a motion to intervene as is being filed herein would be a final appealable order. See McKay v. Hoyison, 614 F.2d.899,903 (3d Cir.1980; Pennsylvania v. Rizzo, 530F.2d 501, 504 (3d Cir), cert denied sub nom. Fir Officers union v Pennsylvania, 426 U.S. 921 (1976)

6 ... Rule 24 (a) (3) as amended in 1948 provided for intervention of right where the applicant established that he would be adversely affected by the outcome of the action. See Formulabs Inc. v Hartley Pen Co.,275F.2d 52 (9th Cir. 1960) This development was quite natural, for Rule 24(a)(3) was unduly restricted.If an absentee would be substantially affected in a practical sense by the determination made in an action, he should, as a general rule, be entitled to intervene, and his right to do so should not depend on whether there is a fund to be distributed or otherwise disposed of, Intervention of right is here seen to be a kind of counterpart to Rule19 (a) (2) (i) on joinder of persons needed for a just adjudication: where, upon motion to a party in an action, an absentee should be joined so that he may protect his interest which as a practical matter may be substantially impaired by the disposition of the action. , he ought to have a right to intervene in the action on his own motion. See Louisell & Hazard, Pleading and Procedure: State and Federal 749-50 (1962)

7... The general purpose of original Rule 24 (a)(2) was to entitle an absentee, purportedly represented by a party, to intervene in the action if he could establish with fair probability the representation is probably inadequate. Then whom on action is being prosecuted, defended, by trustee, a beneficiary of the trust should have a right to intervene if he can show that the trustee s representation of his interest probably is inadequate: similarly a member of a class should have the right to intervene in a class action, if he can show the inadequacy of the representation of his interest by the representative parties before the court.

8... Original Rule 24(a)(2), however, made it a condition of intervention that the applicant is or may be bound by a judgment in the action” and this created

WOMAN FIGHTS
FOR
COMPENSATION
FOR FORCED
STERILIZATION

AMERICA'S BANK
FRAUD

CONDOLEEZZA AND
THE CHICKEN
HAWKS

FACEBOOK IPO:
COULD IT
BACKFIRE IF USERS
REVOLT?

FIRST HAWAIIAN
BANK - BEHIND THE
BLINDS

BANK OF NEW
YORK SETTLES U.S.
INQUIRY INTO
MONEY

LAUNDERING

BNP PARIBAS
LOSES 2 MADOFF-
RELATED
LAWSUITS

AGAINST UBS

FIRST HAWAIIAN
BANK ELECTS
HIAM, KURREN,
MAILER AS
DIRECTORS

FIRST HAWAIIAN:
THE START OF
BANK MERGER
MANIA?

FOLLOW THE
MONEY

GREEK CRISIS:
MOODY'S WARNS
OF POSSIBLE FIRST
HAWAIIAN BANK
DOWNGRADE

HAWAIIANS: WE
MAY HAVE NO
2ND CHANCE

KALAMA NAMED
KAMEHAMEHA
SCHOOLS TRUSTEE

MADOFF TRUSTEE
SUES BNP PARIBAS
FOR NEARLY \$1

BILLION
MISPLACED TRUST
PENSION FUNDS -
HAWAII

ELECTRICIANS
POTENTIAL
CONFLICT IN
REVIEW OF RAIL
CONTRACTOR
U.S. INDICTS
WEGELIN BANK
FOR HELPING
AMERICANS AVOID
TAX

U.S. LABOR DEPT.
RECOVERS MORE
THAN \$0 MILLION
FOR RETIREMENT

difficulty with intervention in class actions. If the "bound" language was read literally in a sense of res judicata, it could defeat intervention in some meritorious cases. A member of a class to whom a judgment in a class action extended by its terms (see Rule 23(c)(3) as amended) might be entitled to show in a later action, when the judgment in the class action was claimed to operate as res judicata against him, that the "representative" in the class action had not in fact adequately represented him. If he could make this showing, the class action judgement might be held not to bind him. (See Hansbury v. Lee, 311 U.S. 32 (1940)) If a class member sought to intervene in the class action proper, while it was still pending, on the grounds of inadequacy of representation, he could be met with the argument: if the representation was in fact inadequate, he would not be "bound" by the judgment, when it was subsequently asserted against him as res judicata, hence he was not entitled to intervene: if the representation was, in fact, adequate, there was no occasion or ground for intervention. (see Sam Fox Publishing Co v United States, 366 U.S., 683,(1961): of Sutphen Estate Inc. v United States, 342 U.S. 19(1951). The reasoning might be linguistically justified by original Rule 24(a)(2); but it could lead to poor results. Compare the discussion in International & I corp v Von Clemm, 301 F.2d 387 (2d Cir 1962); Atlantic Refining Co. v Standard Oil Co., 304 F.2d 387 (D.C. Cir. 1962)

A class member who claims that his "representative" does not adequately represent him, and is able to establish that proposition with sufficient probability should not be put to the risk of having a judgment entered in the action which by its terms extends to him, and be obliged to test the validity of the judgment as applied to his interest by a later collateral attack. Rather, he should as a general rule, be entitled to intervene in the action.

9 ... The amendment provides that an applicant is entitled to intervene in an action when his position is comparable to that of a person under Rule 19(a)(2) (i), as amended, unless his interest is already adequately represented in the action by existing parties. The Rule 19(a) (2) (i) criterion imports practical considerations, and the deletion of the "bound" language similarly frees the rule from undue preoccupation with strict considerations of res judicata.

10 ... The representation whose adequacy comes into question under the amended rule is not confined to formal representation like that provided by a trustee for his beneficiary or a representative party in a class action for a member of the class. A Party to an action may provide practical representation to the absentee seeking intervention although no such formal relationship exists between them and the adequacy of this practical representation will then

PLANS OF
BANKRUPT ALOHA
AIRLINES
U.S. MOVES
TOWARD ACTION
AGAINST SWISS
BANKS
UNTOLD TALES OF
THE WEST

MENEHUNE RATH

**MITT ROMNEY -
COZY
RELATIONSHIPS**

**NEWT GINGRICH'S
SKELETON CLOSET**

**RICK PITINO -
TRUTH OR
CONSEQUENCES?**

KAREN SYPHER'S
PRISON
INTERVIEW

**RON PAUL ON THE
WEB**

**THE CATBIRD SEAT
ARCHIVES -
UNCENSORED**

**THE CATBIRD'S
FACEBOOK**

**THE CATBIRD'S
PHOTO GALLERY**

**THE VULTURES ON
GROVE FARM**

**THE VULTURES
THAT ATE HONFED**

BANK OF AMERICA
EXPOSED BY
WIKILEAKS

COZY
RELATIONSHIPS:
WILLIAM SIMON,
HENRY KISSINGER
& ALAN
GREENSPAN

HONFED AND THE
GREAT BISHOP
ESTATE

STATE SETTLES
INVESTORS
EQUITY CASE
WITH BANK OF

AMERICA
THE MAFIA, CIA &
GEORGE BUSH
WALL STREET
BUYS
WASHINGTON
WALL STREET PAYS
HANDSOMELY FOR
D.C. INSIDE DOPE
WHAT A
WONDERFUL
WORLD - IZ
Sitemap

have to be weighed. See *International M&I Corp. v Von Clemm*, and *Atlantic Refining v Stabdard Oil Co.*, both *supra*; *Wolpe v. Poretsky*, 144 F2d 505 (D.C. Cir. 1944) cert. denied, 323 U.S. 777 (1944); cf. *Ford Motor Co. v. Bisanz Bros.*, 249 F2d (8th Cir. 1957); and generally, Annot. 84 A.L.R.2d 1412 (1961).

II.

The Banks Unfair, Deceptive, and Unlawful Servicing Processes

1. Under the States consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.
2. In the course of their conduct, management and oversight of loan servicing in the Plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices.
3. The Banks unfair and deceptive practices in the discharge of their loan servicing activities, include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. failing to timely and accurately apply payments made by borrowers and failing to maintain accurate account statements;
 - b. charging excessive or improper fees for default-related services;
 - c. failing to properly oversee third party vendors involved in servicing activities on behalf of the Banks;
 - d. imposing force-placed insurance without properly notifying the borrowers and when borrowers already had adequate coverage;
 - e. providing borrowers false or misleading information in response to borrower complaints; and
 - f. failing to maintain appropriate staffing, training, and quality control systems.

III.

The Banks Unfair, Deceptive, and Unlawful Loan Modification and Loss Mitigation Processes

1. Under the States consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.

2. Pursuant to HUD regulations and FHA guidance, FHA-approved mortgage lenders and their servicers are required to engage in loss-mitigation efforts to avoid the foreclosure of HUD-insured single family residential mortgages. E.g., 24 C.F.R. § 203.500 et seq.; Mortgagee Letter 2008-07 (Treble Damages for Failure to Engage in Loss Mitigation“) (Sept. 26, 2008); Mortgagee Letter 1996-25 (Existing Alternatives to Foreclosure -- Loss Mitigation“) (May 8, 1996). Thus, when acting as a servicer, the Banks were required to refrain from foreclosing on any FHA insured mortgage where a default could be addressed by modifying the terms of the mortgage or other less-costly alternatives to foreclosure were available.

3. Under the Treasury s various rescue and stimulus programs, the Banks received monetary incentives from the Federal government in exchange for the commitment to make efforts to modify defaulting borrowers single family residential mortgages. See, e.g., Making Home Affordable Handbook v.1.0, ch. 13 (Incentive Compensation“) (Aug. 19, 2010). Under the programs, the Banks agreed to fulfill requirements set forth in program guidelines and servicer participation agreements.

4. Each of the Banks regularly conducts or manages loan modifications on behalf of the entities that hold the loans and mortgages and that hired the Banks as servicers.

5. In the course of their servicing and oversight of mortgage loans, the Banks violated federal laws, program requirements and contractual requirements governing loss mitigation.

6. In the course of their conduct, management and oversight of loan

modifications in the plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices.

7. The Banks failure to discharge their required loan modification obligations, and related unfair and deceptive practices, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. failing to perform proper loan modification underwriting;
- b. failing to gather or losing loan modification application documentation and other paper work;
- c. failing to provide adequate staffing to implement programs;
- d. failing to adequately train staff responsible for loan modifications;
- e. failing to establish adequate processes for loan modifications;
- f. allowing borrowers to stay in trial modifications for excessive time periods;
- g. wrongfully denying modification applications;
- h. failing to respond to borrower inquiries;
- i. providing false or misleading information to consumers while referring loans to foreclosure during the loan modification application process;
- j. providing false or misleading information to consumers while initiating foreclosures where the borrower was in good faith actively pursuing a loss mitigation alternative offered by the Bank;
- k. providing false or misleading information to consumers while scheduling and conducting foreclosure sales during the loan application process and during trial loan modification periods;

- l. misrepresenting to borrowers that loss mitigation programs would provide relief from the initiation of foreclosure or further foreclosure efforts;
- m. failing to provide accurate and timely information to borrowers who are in need of, and eligible for, loss mitigation services, including loan modifications;
- n. falsely advising borrowers that they must be at least 60 days delinquent in loan payments to qualify for a loan modification;
- o. miscalculating borrowers eligibility for loan modification programs and improperly denying loan modification relief to eligible borrowers;
- p. misleading borrowers by representing that loan modification applications will be handled promptly when Banks regularly fail to act on loan modifications in a timely manner;
- q. failing to properly process borrowers applications for loan modifications, including failing to account for documents submitted by borrowers and failing to respond to borrowers reasonable requests for information and assistance;
- r. failing to assign adequate staff resources with sufficient training to handle the demand from distressed borrowers; and
- s. misleading borrowers by providing false or deceptive reasons for denial of loan modifications.

**IV.
Wrongful Conduct Related to Foreclosures**

1. Under the States consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.
2. FHA regulations and guidance and HAMP and other MHA servicer participation agreements establish requirements to be followed in the

foreclosure of single family residential mortgages that are FHA insured, or where the servicer conducting the foreclosure is an MHA participant.

3. Each of the Banks regularly conducts or manages foreclosures on behalf of entities that hold mortgage loans and have contracted with the Bank to service such loans.

4. In the course of their conduct, management, and oversight of foreclosures, the Banks violated FHA and MHA foreclosure requirements.

5. In the course of their conduct, management, and oversight of foreclosures in the plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices.

4. The Banks failure to follow appropriate foreclosure procedures, and related unfair and deceptive practices include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. failing to properly identify the foreclosing party;

b. charging improper fees related to foreclosures;

c. preparing, executing, notarizing or presenting false and misleading documents, filing false and misleading documents with courts and government agencies, or otherwise using false or misleading documents as part of the foreclosure process (including, but not limited to, affidavits, declarations, certifications, substitutions of trustees, and assignments);

d. preparing, executing, or filing affidavits in foreclosure proceedings without personal knowledge of the assertions in the affidavits and without review of any information or documentation to verify the assertions in such affidavits. This practice of repeated false attestation of information in affidavits is popularly known as "robo-signing." Where third parties engaged in robo-signing on behalf of the Banks, they did so with the knowledge and approval of the Banks;

- e. executing and filing affidavits in foreclosure proceedings that were not properly notarized in accordance with applicable state law;
- f. misrepresenting the identity, office, or legal status of the affiant executing foreclosure-related documents;
- g. inappropriately charging servicing, document creation, recordation and other costs and expenses related to foreclosures; and
- h. inappropriately dual-tracking foreclosure and loan modification activities, and failing to communicate with borrowers with respect to foreclosure activities.

V.

The Banks Origination Misconduct

1. Unfair and Deceptive Origination Practices

a. Under the States consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.

b. . Each of the Banks regularly originates mortgage loans.

c. In the course of their origination of mortgage loans in the Plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices. Among other consequences, these practices caused borrowers in the Plaintiff States to enter into unaffordable mortgage loans that led to increased foreclosures in the States.

VI.

**Banks and Servicers / Sub Contractors / Counsel
Guilty of Racketeering, Conspiracy, Common Law Fraud, Wire Fraud,
Mail Fraud
Criminal and Civil Fraud Violations of All State and Federal Laws
Use of The Nations Internet Net Back Bone and International Computer
Communications**

1. In connection with all the 50 States Complaints and the United States

and it's Agencies and Representatives Extremely widespread Felonious Conduct on the part of these Defendants Individually and Collectively to manifest and further the above mentioned Civil Frauds and Criminal Acts involved a Common Nexus and Cumulative Efforts to Defraud Millions of US Citizens, Businesses, The States and the United States Government.

2. Said activity is violative of 18 USC CHAPTER 96 - RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS

Under RICO, a person who is a member of an enterprise that has committed any two of 35 crimes 27 federal crimes and 8 state crimes within a 10-year period can be charged with racketeering. **Those found guilty of racketeering can be fined up to \$25,000 and sentenced to 20 years in prison per racketeering count. In addition, the racketeer must forfeit all ill-gotten gains and interest in any business gained through a pattern of "racketeering activity." RICO also permits a private individual harmed by the actions of such an enterprise to file a civil suit; if successful, the individual can collect treble damages.**

3. (a) It shall be unlawful for any person who has received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code, to use or invest, directly or indirectly, any part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of, any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce. A purchase of securities on the open market for purposes of investment, and without the intention of controlling or participating in the control of the issuer, or of assisting another to do so, shall not be unlawful under this subsection if the securities of the issuer held by the purchaser, the members of his immediate family, and his or their accomplices in any pattern or racketeering activity or the collection of an unlawful debt after such purchase do not amount in the aggregate to one percent of the outstanding securities of any one class, and do not confer, either in law or in fact, the power to elect one or more directors of the issuer.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.

(d) It shall be unlawful for any person to conspire to violate any of the provisions of subsection (a), (b), or (c) of this section.

4. The Unlawful Conduct of these Defendants violates the Anti Deception, Anti Fraud, Anti Unconscionable provisions of All 50 States Deceptive Trade Laws and Constitutes Interstate in Fact International Conspiracy to defraud Millions of Victims within and without the United States.

5. The Universal Declaration on Human Rights to which the United states is a Signatory

Guarantees to victims of Fraud and Deceptive Practices, Racketeering FULL PROTECTION under US Constitutional Provisions of Equal Protection and Due Process of the Laws and to Special Protections Afforded to Individuals and Families especially when such matters effect the Family Home.

Wherefore Premises Considered The Victims of These Schemes and The Illegal Foreclosures respectfully herein assert their RIGHTS to Intervene per Federal Rule of Civil Procedure.

A. Each and every victim of these crimes requests equitable Costs and Expenses associated with protecting their property and legal / Constitutional / Human Rights

B. Those unlawfully cheated for their Homes an amount NOT LESS THAN \$25,000 per coinsurance up to and including ACTUAL DAMAGES.

C. Actual and Punitive Damages

D. Full Relief from continued victimization including the REPUDIATION and VOIDING of ALL Illegally Obtained Contracts and Lien Assignments.

E. That the Deeds to their Properties be delivered to them and that ALL Clouds affecting the Titles to their properties be QUIETED and Forever Exempt from further challanges or collections being sought by these Defendants, Their Agents or Contractors.

F. All further and equitable relief to which they are Morally, Ethically and Lawfully Entitled to Receive.

Respectfully Submitted

National Victims Coalition

and Many Many Many More Interveneors to Come

Certificate Of Service

This is to certify that a Copy of this Intervention has on this day , 2012 been delivered to each Party by Serving them at the Addresses previously provided

to this Honorable Court as follows

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
555 4th
Street, NW
Washington, DC 20530**

**THE STATE OF ALABAMA,
501 Washington Avenue
Montgomery, AL 36130**

**THE STATE OF ALASKA,
1031 W. 4th
Avenue, Ste. 200
Anchorage, AK 99501**

**THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
1275 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007**

**THE STATE OF ARKANSAS,
323 Center Street, Suite 200
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201**

**THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Ste. 14500
San Francisco, CA 94102-7007**

**THE STATE OF COLORADO,
1525 Sherman Street 7th
Floor
Denver, Colorado 80203**

**THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT,
55 Elm Street, P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120**

**THE STATE OF DELAWARE,
820 N. French Street**

Wilmington, DE 19801

**THE STATE OF FLORIDA,
3507 E. Frontage Road
Suite 325
Tamp, FL 33607**

**THE STATE OF GEORGIA,
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334**

**THE STATE OF HAWAII,
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813**

**THE STATE OF IDAHO,
700 W. Jefferson St.
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0010**

**THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
500 South Second Street
Springfield, IL 62706**

**THE STATE OF INDIANA,
302 West Washington St., IGCS 5th Floor
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204**

**THE STATE OF IOWA,
1305 E. Walnut St.
Des Moines, IA 50319**

**THE STATE OF KANSAS,
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor
Topeka, KS 66612**

**THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY,
State Capitol, Suite 118
700 Capital Avenue
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3449**

**THE STATE OF LOUISIANA,
1185 N. Third Street
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802**

**THE STATE OF MAINE,
Burton Cross Office Building, 6th Floor
111 Sewall Street
Augusta, Maine 04330**

**THE STATE OF MARYLAND,
200 Saint Paul Place
Baltimore, MD 21202**

**THE COMMONWEALTH
OF MASSACHUSETTS,
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108**

**THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,
525 W. Ottawa Street
PO Box 30755
Lansing, MI 48909**

**THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1200
St. Paul, MN 55101-2130**

**THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI,
Post Office Box 22947
Jackson, MS 39225-2947**

**THE STATE OF MISSOURI,
PO Box 899
Jefferson City, MO 65102**

**THE STATE OF MONTANA,
215 N. Sanders
Helena MT 59624**

**THE STATE OF NEBRASKA,
2115 State Capitol
Lincoln, NE 68509-8920**

**THE STATE OF NEVADA,
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701**

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,
33 Capitol Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301**

**THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY,
124 Halsey Street 5th Floor
P.O. Box 45029
Newark, New Jersey 07101**

**THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO,
PO Drawer 1508
Santa Fe, NM 87504-1508**

**THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
120 Broadway
New York, NY 10271**

**THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,
P. O. Box 629
Raleigh, NC 27602**

**THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA,
Gateway Professional Center
1050 E Interstate Ave, Ste. 200
Bismarck, ND 58503-5574**

**THE STATE OF OHIO,
30 E. Broad St., 14th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215**

**THE STATE OF OREGON,
1515 SW 5th Avenue, Ste. 410**

Portland, OR 97201

**THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,
16th Floor, Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120**

**THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND,
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519
Columbia, SC 29201**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1
Pierre, SD 57501**

**THE STATE OF TENNESSEE,
425 Fifth Avenue North
Nashville, TN 37243-3400**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS,
401 E. Franklin Avenue, Suite 530
El Paso, Texas 79901**

**THE STATE OF UTAH,
350 North State Street, #230
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320**

**THE STATE OF VERMONT,
109 State Street
Montpelier, Vermont 05609**

**THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
900 East Main Street)
Richmond, Virginia 23219)**

**THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,
1250 Pacific Avenue, Suite 105
PO Box 2317**

Tacoma, WA 98402-4411

**THE STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,
State Capitol, Room 26E
Charleston, WV 25305-0220**

**THE STATE OF WISCONSIN,
Post Office Box 7857
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857**

**THE STATE OF WYOMING, and
123 State Capitol Bldg
200 W. 24th
Cheyenne, WY 82002**

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
441 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 600-S
Washington, DC 20001**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**[BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION,](#)
Corporate Center 100
100 North Tyron Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28255**

**[BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,](#)
100 North Tyron Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28255**

**[BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP f/k/a](#)
[COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS](#)
[SERVICING, LP,](#)
4500 Park Grenada
Calabasas, California 91302-1613**

**[COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.,](#)
4500 Park Grenada**

Calabasas, California 91302

COUNTRYWIDE FINANCIAL CORPORATION,

4500 Park Grenada

Calabasas, California 91302

COUNTRYWIDE MORTGAGE

VENTURES, LLC,

4500 Park Grenada

Calabasas, California 91302-1613

COUNTRYWIDE BANK, FSB,

100 North Tryon Street

Charlotte, NC 28202

CITIGROUP INC.,

399 Park Ave.

New York, New York 10022-4614

CITIBANK, N.A.,

399 Park Ave.

New York, New York 10022-4617

CITIMORTGAGE, INC.,

1000 Technology Drive

O Fallon, Missouri 63368

J.P. MORGAN CHASE & COMPANY,

270 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10017

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.

**1111 Polaris Parkway
Columbus, OH 43240**

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC.

**1100 Virginia Drive
Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034**

ALLY FINANCIAL, INC.,

**200 Renaissance Center
P.O. Box 200
Detroit, Michigan 48265**

**GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC,
1100 Virginia Drive
Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034**

**GMAC RESIDENTIAL FUNDING CO. LLC
8400 Normandale Lake Boulevard
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55437**

**WELLS FARGO & COMPANY,
420 Montgomery Street Front
San Francisco, CA 94104-1205**

**WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,
One Home Campus
Des Moines, IA 50328**

Defendants

Signed and Dated This The Day Of 2012



CLICK HERE TO ENTER
[THE MORTGAGE FRAUDSTERS PHOTO GALLERY](#)

RELATED SIGHTINGS

[ALOHA AIRLINES: EATEN BY THE BANKRUPTCY BUZZARDS](#)

[AMERICA: OCCUPY WALL STREET](#)

[AMERICA'S BANK FRAUD](#)

[ARBITRATE THIS!](#)

[AUDIT THE FEDERAL RESERVE](#)

[BANK OF AMERICA - BEHIND THE BLINDS](#)

[BANK OF AMERICA MESS GETS WORSE](#)

[BANK OF HONOLULU - BEHIND THE BLINDS](#)

[BCCI - THE SECRET AFFAIRS](#)

[BEST PRESIDENT MONEY CAN BUY](#)

[BUZZARDS IN THE BANK OF HAWAII](#)

[CENTRAL PACIFIC BANK - BEHIND THE BLINDS](#)

[CITIGROUP: VAMPIRES IN THE CITY](#)

[CONFESSIONS OF A WHISTLEBLOWER](#)

[COZY RELATIONSHIPS](#)

[DIRTY GOLD IN GOLDMAN SACHS](#)

[FANNIE MAE & FREDDIE MAC: THE SECRET AFFAIRS](#)

[FIRST HAWAIIAN BANK - BEHIND THE BLINDS](#)

- [GOOGLING FOR THE ALOHA CONNECTION](#)
- [HUD - THE HOUSING & URBAN DISASTER](#)
- [NO BAILOUT FOR BILLIONAIRES](#)
- [PILIKEA IN PALAU](#)
- [RICO IN PARADISE](#)
- [RICO IN PARADISE - ILLUSTRATED](#)
- [RON REWALD: FLYING HIGH IN HAWAII](#)
- [SELLING OUT AND SELLING OFF THE U.S.A.](#)
- [SNAPSHOTS FROM THE CATBIRD SEAT](#)
- [SONGS OF THE WHISTLER](#)
- [SUKAMTO SIA: THE INDONESIAN CONNECTION](#)
- [THE ANTECHAMBER](#)
- [THE BUZZARDS THAT ATE MY BREAD AND BREAKFAST](#)
- [THE CATBIRD CONNECTS THE DOTS](#)
- [THE GREAT NEST EGG ROBBERIES](#)
- [THE GREAT TEXAS BANK JOB](#)
- [THE HARMON ARBITRATION](#)
- [THE PIRATES OF PUNALUU](#)
- [THE PRINCESS WEEPS](#)
- [THE SILENCE OF THE WHISTLEBLOWERS](#)
- [THE VULTURES THAT ATE HONFED](#)
- [VULTURES IN THE GLOBAL FUND](#)
- [VULTURES IN THE NATURE CONSERVANCY](#)
- [VULTURES IN THE SANDWICH ISLES](#)
- [VULTURES IN THE MEADOWS](#)
- [VULTURES ON WALL STREET](#)
- [WALL STREET BUYS WASHINGTON](#)



[CLICK HERE TO ENTER](#)
[THE CATBIRD'S PHOTO GALLERY](#)

* * *



Nature Sound

*I hear the whispering voice of spring,
the thrush's trill, the catbird's cry.*

Oliver Wendell Holmes

* * *

KYCBS

~ 0 ~

FAIR USE NOTICE. This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues,

etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes.

For more information go to: <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

Subpages (1): [NATIONAL MORTGAGE FRAUD INTERVENTION](#)

[Sign in](#) | [Report Abuse](#) | [Print Page](#) | [Remove Access](#) | Powered By [Google Sites](#)

