

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [jiviewit@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2012 4:03 PM
To: Adam V. Floyd, Esq. ~ Partner @ F&B LLP (afloyd@fblawllp.com); Randall L. Stephenson ~ Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President @ AT&T, Inc. (randall.stephenson@att.com); Randall L. Stephenson ~ Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President @ AT&T, Inc. (rs2982@att.com); D. Wayne Watts, Esq. ~ Senior Executive Vice President and General Counsel (wayne.watts@att.com); Jon C. Madonna ~ Chairperson AT&T Audit Committee @ AT&T, Inc. (jon.madonna@att.com); Ann E. Meuleman ~ Senior Vice President and Secretary Corporate Governance @ AT&T, Inc. (ann.meuleman@att.com); James Turley, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer @ Ernst & Young Global Limited (james.turley@ey.com); Kent Cooper, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (KCooper@fblawllp.com); Arthur Gollwitzer III, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (agollwitzer@fblawllp.com); Reese McKnight, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (RMcKnight@fblawllp.com); Michael J. Smith, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (msmith@fblawllp.com); Matt Wermager, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (MWermager@fblawllp.com); Donald Anderson, Esq. ~ Technical Advisor @ F&B LLP (danderson@fblawllp.com)
Cc: 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire (caroline@cprogers.com)'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'; 'Suzanne McCormick'; 'Kevin Hall'
Subject: RE: NOTICE OF AT&T LEGAL LIABILITIES AND CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS regarding ELIOT BERNSTEIN and JOAO V. Xanboo (a wholly owned subsidiary of AT&T, Inc.) et al. Federal Lawsuit

Tracking:

Recipient	Read
Adam V. Floyd, Esq. ~ Partner @ F&B LLP (afloyd@fblawllp.com)	
Randall L. Stephenson ~ Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President @ AT&T, Inc. (randall.stephenson@att.com)	
Randall L. Stephenson ~ Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President @ AT&T, Inc. (rs2982@att.com)	
D. Wayne Watts, Esq. ~ Senior Executive Vice President and General Counsel (wayne.watts@att.com)	
Jon C. Madonna ~ Chairperson AT&T Audit Committee @ AT&T, Inc. (jon.madonna@att.com)	
Ann E. Meuleman ~ Senior Vice President and Secretary Corporate Governance @ AT&T, Inc. (ann.meuleman@att.com)	
James Turley, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer @ Ernst & Young Global Limited (james.turley@ey.com)	
Kent Cooper, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (KCooper@fblawllp.com)	
Arthur Gollwitzer III, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (agollwitzer@fblawllp.com)	
Reese McKnight, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (RMcKnight@fblawllp.com)	
Michael J. Smith, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (msmith@fblawllp.com)	Read: 3/26/2012 4:20 PM
Matt Wermager, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ F&B LLP (MWermager@fblawllp.com)	
Donald Anderson, Esq. ~ Technical Advisor @ F&B LLP (danderson@fblawllp.com)	
'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire (caroline@cprogers.com)'	

Recipient

Read

Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP
(mmulrooney@Venable.com)

'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'

'Andy Dietz'

'Suzanne McCormick'

'Kevin Hall'

'iviewit@iviewit.tv'

'Barry Becker'

'Candice M. Bernstein (tourcandy@gmail.com)'

Adam, I am resending this email to all parties without your attached lawsuit complaint as it was to big for several parties servers, including Randall Stephenson) and I will trust that you will forward a copy to all parties this letter is addressed to with a copy of the Joao v. Xanboo (a wholly owned Subsidiary of AT&T, Inc.) et al. For any parties that did not receive the prior communication please contact Adam Floyd for a copy of the referenced attachment. Adam, I would also like you to confirm with all parties listed that they have received this document and please in your fiduciary capacity and that as acting Attorney at Law for the parties, distribute properly to any parties that did not receive this email. The original message, less the attachment, below. Best ~ Eliot

Friday, March 26, 2012

Addressed to all of the following parties,

Adam V. Floyd, Esq.

Partner
and

Kent Cooper, Esq.

Attorney at Law

and

Arthur Gollwitzer III, Esq.

Attorney at Law

and

Reese McKnight, Esq.

Attorney at Law

and

Michael J. Smith, Esq.

Attorney at Law

and

Matt Wermager, Esq.

Attorney at Law

and

Donald Anderson, Esq.

Attorney at Law

F&B LLP

5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140

Austin, TX 78735

T: 512.681.1501
F: 512.681.1590
afloyd@fblawllp.com
www.fblawllp.com

Randall L. Stephenson
Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President
AT&T Inc.
208 S. Akard St.
Dallas, TX 75202
210-821-4105

D. Wayne Watts
Senior Executive Vice President and General Counsel
AT&T Services, Inc.
208 S. Akard St.
Dallas, TX 75202
210-821-4105

Jon C. Madonna
Chairperson
Audit Committee of the Board of Directors
AT&T Inc.
208 S. Akard St.
Dallas, TX 75202
210-821-4105

Ann E. Meuleman
AT&T Inc.
Senior Vice President and Secretary
Corporate Governance
208 S. Akard St.
Dallas, TX 75202
210-821-4105

James Turley
Ernst & Young Global Limited (EYG)
Ernst & Young LLP
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
AT&T Auditors
2323 Victory Ave., Suite 2000
Dallas, TX 75219
(212) 773-3000

RE: AT&T ET AL. LIABILITIES WITH IVIEWIT/ELIOT BERNSTEIN AND AT&T/ XANBOO (A WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF AT&T) LAWSUIT WITH RAYMOND ANTHONY JOAO, ESQ.

To all above addressed parties,

Adam, thank you for reaching out to me in regard to the Joao v. AT&T et al. Federal lawsuit and its relevance to my RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit and the Criminal Complaints filed by Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein, against Joao and your client AT&T et al. You asked that I review these Joao Intellectual Property matters and the lawsuit in relation to my ongoing RICO & ANTITRUST lawsuit. I did enjoy our conversation and correspondences. I trust that the documents submitted to you and my comments have been helpful. Upon reflection, please allow me to retort with torts and felonies. The response of yours below is confusing, may implicate you in the criminal RICO activity and will alleviate none of your LEGAL/ETHICAL OBLIGATIONS to report the issues of Fraud, Conspiracy, Fraud on the Court, Patent Thefts, Violations of State and Federal Attorney Conduct codes and violations of state and federal law, to all government agencies involved and any others you now have legal/ethical obligations to notify.

It is my understanding, that in your capacities (i) as a Federal Officer of the Federal court acting as an Attorney at Law in the Joao lawsuit, (ii) as a Federal Officer in the US Patent Office where the suspect Joao patents are filed and (iii) as an Officer of the courts, that you are mandated under State and Federal legal and ethical obligations to report any and all suspected criminal activities and ethical violations, particularly those violations by another Attorney at Law. As an Attorney at Law you know that reporting in this instance, would include but not be limited to, reporting the Criminal/Ethical Violations to the Federal court in the Joao/AT&T et al. Lawsuit, the Federal Patent Bar in regard to the suspect patents and claims of Joao, the state bars, disciplinary departments and any other government agencies you are legally and ethical obligated to notify of such Criminal and Ethical violations.

ANY FAILURE by you and your law firm, or any other legally obligated party, to report suspected Criminal/Ethical violations you are aware of, or become aware of, including but not limited to, allegations of felony misconduct committed through violations of Attorney Conduct Codes and State and Federal laws, which have been alleged committed by any other Attorney at Law especially those committed in a Federal court proceeding, will be violations of Law and Ethical Rules committed by yourself and your law firm. The Violations of Law you will be charged with for failure to disclose, will include but not be limited to, Obstruction of Justice, Misprision of Felony, Aiding and Abetting, Conspiracy, Fraud on the Court, RICO and Attorney Conduct Code violations. Many of the RICO predicate acts are defined in the Iviewit RICO and ANTITRUST Amended Complaint served upon you in our prior correspondences on behalf of your client AT&T and if you violate Law or Ethics in these present matters, you would also be added as a Defendant to the current Iviewit Criminal/Civil RICO, any future lawsuits and both present and future Criminal Complaints, charging you additionally with all those predicate acts, which as you know include ATTEMPTED MURDER VIA A TERRORIST STYLE CAR BOMBING of my family's minivan and more.

The legal opinions you asserted in our conversation and correspondences, including your emails below, do not offer legal substantiation for your attempted concealment from the proper authorities of the Prima Facie evidence indicating alleged Criminal/Ethical violations by Joao et al. Evidence, which now includes new evidence of Criminal / Ethical violations that you revealed to me in the Joao / AT&T et al. lawsuit, which when combined with evidence I subsequently forwarded to you regarding the CRIMINAL and ETHICAL VIOLATIONS allegedly committed in my RICO & ANTITRUST, constitute new predicate acts in the RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The evidence when combined reveals new facets of the extent of the ongoing conspiratorial criminal activity and how the two lawsuits may be part of the ongoing RICO crimes alleged in my Amended Complaint. The new evidence constitutes new criminal acts such as, extortion through patent fraud, theft and more, all committed on behalf of the ongoing Criminal RICO Enterprise. The Criminal RICO Enterprise described more thoroughly in my RICO Statement in the Amended Complaint. From this evidence, however, you have drawn very concerning legal opinions for yourself and your client AT&T et al. and may be taking felonious actions based upon those faulty legal opinions for your client AT&T, your law firm and yourself. Let me explain.

Concealment of the evidence and material facts from Authorities now, since you have become aware of possible criminal/ethical violations, as attempted in your goodbye and good luck email approach [attached

below], will not quite divorce you from me or the need to comply with Ethical Rules and Law, as factually you are now aware and even in admitted agreement that Joao has committed Criminal/Ethical Violations, as indicated in your email when you claim, "I think we are in agreement regarding Joao's conflict problem." This admission constitutes your acknowledgement of the allegations of ONGOING NEW CRIMINAL/ETHICAL ACTIVITIES BY JOAO, that if true would be considered a CURRENT, Fraud on the Court, Fraud on US Government Agencies, International Agencies (if the patents were filed abroad) and others, and your failure to NOW report these matters would be considered Misprision of Felony, Obstruction of Justice, State and Federal Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes and more.

I have apprised you of the facts and supplied you with ample evidence regarding the Suspension of my Intellectual Properties and the current ongoing State, Federal and International investigations and Federal RICO and ANTITRUST lawsuit and how the new and old evidence may all be related to an ongoing Criminal RICO Enterprise. Trying to run away now without notifying authorities, with a claim of "call me when you have approved patents" or words to that effect, as was attempted in your email [attached below] is offensive and will not suffice. Especially, where your client AT&T may in fact be a large part of the alleged initial egregious criminal activity and one of the reasons why the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Intellectual Properties are CURRENTLY SUSPENDED/PENDING at the US Patent Office in the first place. To reiterate and emphasize, the Intellectual Properties are Suspended pending ONGOING FEDERAL, STATE and INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS, including but not limited to, those at the US Patent Office involving the FEDERAL PATENT BAR ATTORNEYS whom, on information and belief, fraudulently filed the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Intellectual Properties illegally in others names, including Joao's, in order to convert/covet the technologies as their own, constituting FRAUD ON THE UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE and more. In our prior correspondences I notified you and your client AT&T of liabilities they have from (i) the Ongoing Federal RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit they are a Defendant in, (ii) their liabilities from violations of their signed contracts, including Non Disclosure Agreements and (iii) their enormous liabilities from royalties owed over the last decade of illegal use. Failure by your client AT&T, you and your law firm to notify proper regulatory agencies and shareholders and bondholders and others of these liabilities may result in a multiplicity of CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST ALL PARTIES INVOLVED in the concealment, including but not limited to, FRAUD ON THE AT&T SHAREHOLDERS!

Since the patents you have reviewed are involved in the ongoing RICO (including the patents you have asked that I review of Joao's) and Joao is a central Defendant in the RICO and now those same patents resurface and are the subject of the current Joao/AT&T lawsuit, this continuance of Joao's documented pattern and practice of RICO criminal acts can be viewed as possible extortion and fraud, involving the stolen Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Intellectual Properties. Therefore, contrary to your previous statement, "I'm not going to pursue a RICO or conspiracy angle. I don't have anything to really back up such a serious charge against the PTO or other governmental officials," I would instead claim that this evidence furthers you and your law firm's legal obligations to immediately report to all of the proper authorities the alleged new criminal/ethical violations that you now have knowledge of and are in agreement with, and how they may be part of the Ongoing Criminal RICO described in the Iviewit Amended complaint. The past and current RICO crimes all appear as further orchestrated efforts to surreptitiously convert/pilfer stolen royalties from the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein stolen Intellectual Properties to the benefit of the CRIMINAL RICO Organization that Joao, your client AT&T et al. are defined as central conspirators in.

Contrary to your prior statement that claims, "There may also be a Statute of Limitations problem" - as you know there are no statute of limitations issues regarding NEW CRIMINAL and ETHICAL VIOLATIONS relating to the current ongoing criminal/ethical violations in the Joao / AT&T et al. lawsuit. The crimes being committed in this court and at the USPTO would be considered NEW CRIMINAL and ETHICAL VIOLATIONS in continuance of the prior criminal/ethical violations committed by Joao and others involved in the Joao/AT&T et al. lawsuit and my RICO lawsuit. As you know, a new statutes clock starts with each new act. Furthermore, since new CRIMINAL acts are committed everyday that royalties are FRAUDULENTLY CONVERTED and COMINGLED by the Defendants in my RICO, the statute clock starts fresh every day.

Also, the Joao/AT&T et al. lawsuit serves as new criminal/ethical violations, new acts for the statutes to begin tolling again, by Joao now attempting to FRAUDULENTLY CONVERT and COMINGLE Iviewit royalties apparently to him by suing other Defendants in my RICO.

Your integrity in acknowledging and admitting in your email [attached below] that Joao is conflicted and may have stolen the technologies from other clients, confirms that you have admitted knowledge that Joao not only is Conflicted but that he may have STOLEN technologies from “other clients” as indicated in your statement below,

**Eliot Bernstein comments in brackets []

“We also intend to investigate the possibility of him [Joao] having obtained the information from other clients [referring to information used in Joao’s patents, which may have been obtained illegally by Joao’s theft from his ‘other clients’, in addition to those alleged stolen from Iviewit]. In addition, we have evidence of other bad acts we will present against Joao” [‘bad acts’ presumably references violations of law or ethics or both, violations that would further the criminal RICO Organization as described in the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Amended Complaint].

Despite your belief that you do not have standing to raise the Conflict and Violations of Law that this may represent to the Federal court in California, you remain under mandatory Ethical and Legal obligations to now raise the matters to the courts, the Federal Patent Bar, the FBI, the SEC and other authorities and let them determine if you do not have standing or there is a statute of limitations problem, failure to notify them based on your “belief” and opinion would be a violation of these obligations. These violations will result in criminal and ethical complaints being filed against you. Further, these crimes alleged in the present lawsuit that you transmitted in your earlier email, the Joao Complaint you sent attached herein, dated December 15, 2010,

CASE SACV 10-CV-01909-DOC –RNB

US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

“COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT”

JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS OF CALIFORNIA LLC

Plaintiff

VS.

ACTI CORPORATION INC.,

ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC.,

ALARMCLUB.COM,INC.,

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR COMPANY, INC.,

BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,

BYREMOTE, INC.,

DRIVECAM, INC.,

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

**IVEDA CORPORATION,
MAGTEC PRODUCTS, INC.,
MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC,
ON-NET SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS, INC.,
ONSTAR, LLC,
SAFEFREIGHT TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
SKYWAY SECURITY, LLC,
SLING MEDIA, INC.,
SMARTVUE CORPORATION,
TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., and
TYCO INTERNATIONAL US, INC.,
UTC FIRE AND SECURITY CORPORATION,
XANBOO, INC. (a wholly-owned subsidiary of AT&T)**

Defendants

which are all part of the pattern and practice of new crimes being committed in Federal Court and upon Government Agencies by Joao, in conjunction perhaps with the other defendants, suspiciously many Defendants in this new lawsuit are also Defendants in my RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Therefore, as these are new criminal acts, the “statute of limitations” argument is moot. Again, even if not in your “belief” where these matters relevant as stated in your attached opinion [attached email below], these would be matters and opinions for the court and prosecutors to determine and whereby you remain obligated to report the allegations of suspected fraud and conflicts against another Attorney at Law despite what you think.

As for pursuing a RICO yourself on behalf of your client AT&T, I have not asked or suggested you to do that. I merely reported to you, your client AT&T’s ongoing legal liabilities from the RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit and the Patent Infringement Liabilities and that they are a Defendant in the RICO, along with Mr. Joao. I further advised you of the royalty liabilities your client AT&T owes to Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein since AT&T was first disclosed information regarding the technologies in 1998-1999-2000 via Mr. Utley and Mr. Wheeler to their close personal friend, Mr. Michael C. Armstrong, AT&T’s CEO at the time and others, liabilities now in the Billions of Dollars. I further advised you to disclose all of these LIABILITIES to your client for accurate reporting and you and your Client AT&T’s attempt to evade internal and external reporting of the liabilities will lead to a formal SEC Complaint and other Criminal and Civil Complaints against you and your client, similar to the SEC Complaints filed against other Defendants thus far in my RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Examples of the SEC complaints, which were forwarded to State, Federal and International Authorities as well, can be found at the following links:

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=288>

and

<http://www.free-press-release.com/news-eliot-bernstein-of-iviewit-technologies-files-sec-fbi-complaint-with-mary-schapiro-others-against-warner-bros-aol-inc-time-warner-intel-sgi-1268580941.html> .

Failure by you and your client AT&T et al. to comply with proper notification and reporting of these liabilities to all shareholders, auditors, bondholders, the SEC, et al. will be a MASSIVE FRAUD UPON AT&T SHAREHOLDERS by both yourself and your client AT&T et al.

As you are now LEGALLY OPINING on the LEGAL LIABILITIES of AT&T in regards to my RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit and the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Video, Imaging, Video Conference, Remote Control Video and other inventions, it is clear from your letter below that you did not understand my prior communication stating that AT&T and Mr. Armstrong were already legally contracted with the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein technologies from the start via two of the key conspirator/Defendants in my RICO and ANTITRUST lawsuit and Mr. Armstrong and already have liabilities stemming from those. From the Non Disclosure Agreement's archive of Iviewit @ <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/nda%20violators.pdf> we find several of the AT&T NDA signors during 1998-2001 period that Wheeler and Utley secured and then fully disclosed all of the Intellectual Properties processes to AT&T et al. to, including but not limited to, those regarding SCALED VIDEO, SCALED IMAGING, SCALED VIDEO CONFERENCE, REMOTE CONTROL VIDEO AND VIDEO EQUIPMENT THROUGH COMMUNICATION ENVIRONMENTS and more. From the NDA list we find the following AT&T et al. signors;

- 30. AT&T CORP. - MICHAEL C. ARMSTRONG
- 24. AT&T - PATRICK SAINT-LAURENT
- 26. AT&T - ELIZABETH (LIBBY) BRENNAN
- 28. AT&T CORP. - JOSEPH SALENETRI CVE
- 32. AT&T CORP. - DAN PERRY
- 34. AT&T SOLUTIONS JP MORGAN - ANA C. PETERSON.

Obviously, for the highest levels of AT&T et al. to execute and sign NON DISCLOSURE AGREEMENTS and other binding legal agreements with Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein, exhibits that at that time they did not use or know of the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein technologies as disclosed to them and since then their use of the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein technologies disclosed has been endless and continuing and without due consideration paid to the true and proper inventors of the technologies. Video and Imaging transmissions now make up the majority of communications on the AT&T et al. networks. Please reference the following articles for information regarding the percentages of video use on the Internet and Mobile Devices and keep in mind that 100% of video transmitted over cable TV and other such networks are 100% infringing on the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein scaled video technologies,

“Video Is Dominating Internet Traffic, Pushing Prices Up” by Saul Hansell, October 31, 2008, The New York Times Company

<http://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2008/10/31/video-is-dominating-internet-traffic-pushing-prices-up/>

and

“The Explosion of Mobile Video” By Quentin Hardy February 14, 2012, The New York Times Company

<http://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/02/14/the-explosion-of-mobile-video/>

As one example of AT&T et al. uses, infringement would appear similar to AOL/Warner Bros. admitted use regarding their transmission over their networks, evidenced at the following URL,

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/colter%20letters.pdf> , which exhibits a similar ADMITTED use of the video technologies as Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein claims against AT&T et al.

I am unclear as to which Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Intellectual Property applications, Non-Disclosure Agreements, other contracts and relevant documents that you have reviewed regarding the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein technologies in forming your OPINIONS and therefore, I cannot retort in any way to your current LEGAL OPINIONS regarding the LIABILITIES and/or non-liabilities of your client AT&T et al. and their legal requirement for reporting the liabilities from both the standpoint of the infringement of the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein technologies and the RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit liabilities, but I can certainly state that you and your law firm's OPINIONS may be faulty. For example, if you and law firm neglected to run a Conflict of Interest check with all parties prior to forming any legal opinions or "beliefs" on behalf of your client and Defendant in my RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit AT&T et al. and their Shareholders, Bondholders and others with liabilities that will result from these actions. Certainly, prior to your LEGAL OPINIONS and stated beliefs on behalf of your client and Defendant in my RICO, AT&T et al, you and your law firm ran extensive Conflict of Interest checks with Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein et al. and others (as more fully defined in the attached Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form attached below this letter), as the INFRINGEMENTS of the Intellectual Properties and the RICO and ANTITRUST lawsuit opinions/beliefs you have made regarding you and your Client AT&T are quite different than your contacting me for my non-legal opinions and advice on the Joao lawsuit and would require such conflict checks before any LEGAL OPINIONS/BELIEFS could be tendered in these regards, including those you have already made.

In the event that you and your law firm have neglected and failed to run exhaustive conflict checks to this point, please find below for your immediate signature, a Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form. The COI names the bulk of Defendants and others known at this point whom are involved in the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit conspiracy, including the name of your client AT&T, several of the other defendants in the Joao/AT&T Lawsuit and many more individuals, corporations, law firms, Justices, et al. Please provide full disclosure to Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein stating that you have no conflicts of interest with any parties.

Further, that PRIOR to your law firm and yourself forming your LEGAL OPINIONS and BELIEFS regarding your client AT&T's infringements of Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein's Intellectual Properties and resulting liabilities, the RICO and ANTITRUST liabilities from the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein lawsuit and the liabilities resulting from the Joao / AT&T et al. lawsuit, you have had NO CONFLICTS with ANY of the PARTIES and that the opinions you have already tendered on behalf of your client AT&T et al., which include actions to fail to disclose certain information to the proper authorities based on those opinions and that the OPINIONS and BELIEFS were all made with NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH ANY OF THE PARTIES. As you have already LEGALLY OPINED and formed stated beliefs with resultant actions regarding these matters, I presume proper Conflict of Interest checks and full due diligence was conducted by you and your law firm in forming LEGAL OPINION and BELIEFS on these matters as required by Ethical Rules and Law. If through negligence and failure, you did not complete Conflict Checks then all of your SPECIOUSLY CONCOCTED and PERHAPS ILLEGAL AND UNETHICAL LEGAL OPINIONS and BELIEFS to this point are worthless, other than as Prima Facie evidence of your part in possible criminal and unethical acts in the related matters for authorities to review.

Finally, there may be a simple solution out of the minefield of Civil and CRIMINAL Liabilities and Reporting and Notification of the Liabilities of the INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENTS, CONTRACT VIOLATIONS, ETHICAL VIOLATIONS, RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit crimes and more, for you, your law firm and your client AT&T et al., which would be to IMMEDIATELY LICENSE and PAY typical and customary ROYALTIES/LICENSE FEES owed to the TRUE AND PROPER INVENTORS, namely Iviewit Inventors/Eliot Bernstein for all uses across all industries and all AT&T companies. If negotiations to resolve these civil matters are not initiated within the 10 day period allotted for you and your law firm to sign the Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form below, then Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein will pursue CIVIL

CHARGES for damages against the named parties herein et al., as well as, you and your partners in the law firm, XANBOO, AT&T et al. Further, CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS will be filed with all of the appropriate State, Federal and International Criminal Authorities and Ethics Agencies, exposing the alleged criminal acts.

Adam, I am more than willing to share information further with you once you complete the COI below and I look forward to negotiating a fair and equitable license deal and settlement with your client AT&T et al., but please do advise your client(s) that failure to do so within 10 days shall be cause to CEASE & DESIST in all applications of the Iviewit Technologies. Please also take this as official notice that you, your law firm and your client AT&T et al. in 10 days, if the COI has not been signed and returned or formal negotiations have not begun, will be included in all ongoing and future Civil and Criminal Actions and Lawsuits involving the Eliot Bernstein/Iviewit matters and if you fail at that point to notice any and all parties with liabilities, this will result in further Civil and Criminal charges against the parties.

All the best,

Eliot Ivan Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (COI) DISCLOSURE FORM



"Lasciate ogne speranza, voi ch'intrate"^[1]
whom fail to heed this form.

THIS COI MUST BE SIGNED AND RETURNED PRIOR TO ANY ACTION/INACTION BY YOU IN THESE MATTERS

Please accept and return signed, the following Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form (COI) before continuing further with adjudication, review or investigation of the attached information regarding Xanboo (a wholly owned Subsidiary of AT&T, Inc.), AT&T et al. and Raymond Anthony Joao, Esq. and the following Federal Lawsuit.

**CASE SACV 10-CV-01909-DOC –RNB
DECEMBER 15, 2010
US DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

“COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT,”

**JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS OF CALIFORNIA LLC,
Plaintiff**

VS

**ACTI CORPORATION INC.,
ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC.,
ALARMCLUB.COM,INC.,
AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR COMPANY, INC.,
BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,
BYREMOTE, INC.,
DRIVECAM, INC.,
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
IVEDA CORPORATION,
MAGTEC PRODUCTS, INC.,
MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC,
ON-NET SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS, INC.,
ONSTAR, LLC,
SAFEFREIGHT TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
SKYWAY SECURITY, LLC,
SLING MEDIA, INC.,
SMARTVUE CORPORATION,
TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, USA, INC., and
TYCO**

Defendants

AFTER 10 DAYS, IF THIS FORM HAS NOT BEEN SIGNED OR SUBSEQUENTLY TURNED OVER TO A NON CONFLICTED PARTY, YOUR FAILURE TO COMPLY MAY RESULT IN CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CHARGES FILED AGAINST YOU FOR AIDING AND ABETTING A RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION, FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND MORE, AS NOTED HEREIN.

The Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form is designed to ensure that the review and any determination from such review of the enclosed materials should not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other conflicting interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this confidential information. Whereby any conflict with any of the main alleged perpetrators of the alleged crimes referenced in these matters herein, or any other perpetrators not known at this time, must be fully disclosed in writing and returned by anyone reviewing these matters prior to making ANY determination.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers, by any party, to any of the following questions, are demanded not to open the remainder of the documents or opine in any manner, until the signed COI is reviewed and approved by the Iviewit companies and Eliot I. Bernstein. If you feel that a Conflict of Interest exists that cannot be eliminated through conflict resolution with the Iviewit Companies or Eliot Bernstein, instantly forward the matters to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict that can sign and complete the requisite disclosure. Please identify conflicts that you have, in writing, upon terminating your involvement in the matters to the address listed at the end of this disclosure form for Iviewit companies or Eliot I. Bernstein. As many of these alleged perpetrators are large law firms, lawyers, members of various state and federal courts, officers of federal, state and local law enforcement and regulatory agencies, careful review and disclosure of any conflict with those named herein is pertinent in your continued handling of these matters objectively.

These matters already involve claims of, including but not limited to, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Offices, Whitewashing of Official Complaints in the Supreme Courts of New York, Florida, Virginia and elsewhere, Threatening a Federal Witness in a "legally related" Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, Document Destruction and Alteration, Obstructions of Justice, RICO, ATTEMPTED MURDER and much more. The need for prescreening for conflict is essential to the administration of due process in these matters and necessary to avoid charges of OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, against you. US Federal District Court Judge, Shira A. Scheindlin, legally related the matters to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C.

Anderson, Esq. who alleges similar claims of public office corruption against Supreme Court of New York Officials, US Attorneys, NY District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys. Therefore, this Conflict Check is a formal request for full disclosure of any conflict on your part, such request conforming with all applicable state and federal laws, public office rules and regulations, attorney conduct codes and judicial canons or other international law and treaties requiring disclosure of conflicts and disqualification from these matters where conflict precludes involvement.

Failure to comply with all applicable conflict disclosure rules, public office rules and regulations, and, state, federal and international laws, prior to continued action on your part, **shall constitute cause** for the filing of criminal and civil complaints against you for any decisions or actions you make prior to a signed Conflict Of Interest Disclosure Form. Charges will be filed against you for failure to comply. Complaints will be filed with all appropriate authorities, including but not limited to, the appropriate Federal, State, Local and International Law Enforcement Agencies, Public Integrity Officials, Judicial Conduct Officials, State and Federal Bar Associations, Disciplinary Departments and any/all other appropriate agencies.

I. Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s) in any entity, or any of the parties listed in **EXHIBIT 1** of this document, or any of the named Defendants in these matters contained at the URL, <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer> ? Please review the online index in entirety prior to answering, as there are several thousand persons and entities.

NO YES

Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

II. Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), in any entity, or any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), to ANY other known, or unknown person, or known or unknown entity, not named herein, which will cause your review of the materials you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest(s) or any other interest(s)?

NO YES

Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

III. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any person or entity related in any way to the parties defined in Question I, including but not limited to, campaign contributions whether direct, "in kind" or of any type at all?

NO YES

Please describe in detail any interests or conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information regarding the conflicts or considerations. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

IV. Have you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, had any prior communication(s), including but not limited to, phone, facsimile, e-mail, mail, verbal, etc., with any person related to the proceedings of Iviewit, Eliot Ivan Bernstein or the related matters in anyway and parties in Question I?

NO YES

Please describe in detail any identified communication(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the communication(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the communication(s) in detail, including but not limited to, who was present, what type of communication, the date and time, length, what was discussed, please affirm whether such communication(s) present a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

V. I have run a thorough and exhaustive Conflict of Interest check, conforming to any/all, state, federal and local laws, public office rules and regulations, and, any professional association rules and regulations, regarding disclosure of any/all

conflicts. I have verified that my spouse, my dependents, and I, in the aggregate, have no conflicts with any parties or entities to the matters referenced herein. I understand that any undisclosed conflicts, relations, relationships and interests, will result in criminal and civil charges filed against me both personally and professionally.

NO YES

VI. I have notified all parties with any liabilities regarding my continued actions in these matters, including state agencies, shareholders, bondholders, auditors and insurance concerns or any other person with liability that may result from my actions in these matters as required by any laws, regulations and public office rules I am bound by.

NO YES

RELEVANT SECTIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND LAW

Conflict of Interest Laws & Regulations

Conflict of interest indicates a situation where a private interest may influence a public decision. Conflict of Interest Laws are Laws and designed to prevent Conflicts of Interest that deny fair and impartial due process and procedure thereby Obstructing Justice in State and Federal, Civil and Criminal Proceedings. These Laws may contain provisions related to financial or asset disclosure, exploitation of one's official position and privileges, improper relationships, regulation of campaign practices, etc. The Relevant Sections of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law listed herein are merely a benchmark guide and other state, federal and international laws, rules and regulations may be applicable to your particular circumstances in reviewing or acting in these matters. For a more complete list of applicable sections of law relating to these matters, please visit the URL,

http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#_Toc107852933,

fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

New York State Consolidated Laws Penal

ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES

S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree

S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree

S 200.05 Bribery; defense

S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree

S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree

S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree

S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense

S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree

S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities

S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities

S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of term

S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office

S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office

ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEMENTS

S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree. S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree.

S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense

S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree

S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree

S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree

S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree

NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers

Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1

ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTICLE 15 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

S 468-b. Clients' security fund of the state of New York

S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law

S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the law

S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general

S 487. Misconduct by attorneys

S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.

Public Officers Law SEC 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and Former State Officers and Employees

Public Officers Law SEC 74 Code of Ethics

Conflicts of Interest Law, found in Chapter 68 of the New York City Charter, the City's Financial Disclosure Law, set forth in section 12-110 of the New York City Administrative Code, and the Lobbyist Gift Law, found in sections 3-224 through 3-228 of the Administrative Code.

TITLE 18 FEDERAL CODE & OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAW

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Fraud upon the court

FRAUD on the COURT

In the United States, when an officer of the court is found to have fraudulently presented facts to court so that the court is impaired in the impartial performance of its legal task, the act, known as "fraud upon the court", is a crime deemed so severe and fundamentally opposed to the operation of justice that it is not subject to any statute of limitation.

Officers of the court include: Lawyers, Judges, Referees, and those appointed; Guardian Ad Litem, Parenting Time Expeditors, Mediators, Rule 114 Neutrals, Evaluators, Administrators, special appointees, and any others whose influence are part of the judicial mechanism.

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication". *Kenner v. C.I.R.*, 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23

In *Bulloch v. United States*, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

What effect does an act of "fraud upon the court" have upon the court proceeding? "Fraud upon the court" makes void the orders and judgments of that court.

TITLE 18 PART I CH 11

Sec. 201. Bribery of public officials and witnesses

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Sec. 205. - Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government

Sec. 208. - Acts affecting a personal financial interest

Sec. 210. - Offer to procure appointive public office

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury or court

Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of another

TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law enforcement

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT Organizations ("RICO")

Section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice),

Section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations)

Section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement),

Section 1952 (relating to racketeering),

Section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity),

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 SEC 1962 (D) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES

TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity

TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States

Judicial Cannons

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistreri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." *Balistrieri*, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge. Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Canon 1. A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

[1.1] Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

Canon 2. A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Activities

(A) A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

[2.2][2A] The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(B) Adjudicative responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

(E) Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned

[3.11][3B(6)(e)] A judge may delegate the responsibilities of the judge under Canon 3B(6) to a member of the judge's staff. A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(6) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge's staff. This provision does not prohibit the judge or the judge's law clerk from informing all parties individually of scheduling or administrative decisions.

[3.21][3E(1)] Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

[3.22][3E(1)] A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

Canon 4. A Judge May Engage in Extra-Judicial Activities To Improve the Law, the Legal System, and the Administration of Justice

Canon 5. A Judge Should Regulate Extra-Judicial Activities To Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Duties

Public Office Conduct Codes New York

PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW Laws 1909, Chap. 51.

CHAPTER 47 OF THE CONSOLIDATED LAWS PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW

Sec. 17. Defense and indemnification of state officers and employees. 2 (b)

Sec. 18. Defense and indemnification of officers and employees of public entities.3 (b)

Sec. 74. Code of ethics.(2)(3)(4)

§ 73. Business or professional activities by state officers and employees and party officers.

NY Attorney Conduct Code

(a) "Differing interests" include every interest that will adversely affect either the judgment or the loyalty of a lawyer to a client, whether it be a conflicting, inconsistent, diverse, or other interest.

CANON 5. A Lawyer Should Exercise Independent Professional Judgment on Behalf of a Client

DR 5-101 [1200.20] Conflicts of Interest - Lawyer's Own Interests.

DR 5-102 [1200.21] Lawyers as Witnesses.

DR 5-103 [1200.22] Avoiding Acquisition of Interest in Litigation.

DR 5-104 [1200.23] Transactions Between Lawyer and Client.

DR 5-105 [1200.24] Conflict of Interest; Simultaneous Representation.

DR 5-108 [1200.27] Conflict of Interest - Former Client.

CANON 6. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Competently

CANON 7. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law

DR 7-102 [1200.33] Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law.

DR 7-110 [1200.41] Contact with Officials.

DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.

DR 8-103 [1200.44] Lawyer Candidate for Judicial Office.

A. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with section 100.5 of the Chief Administrator's Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR) and Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

CANON 9. A Lawyer Should Avoid Even the Appearance of Professional Impropriety

DR 9-101 [1200.45] Avoiding Even the Appearance of Impropriety.

I declare under penalty of perjury and more that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. Executed on this ____ day, of _____, 20___. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims will subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in the RICO related crimes including the alleged attempted murder of the inventor Eliot Bernstein and his wife and children in a terrorist styled car-bombing attempt on their lives.



NOTE-- THE CAR BOMBING IS NOT A SCENE OUT OF A WAR ZONE BUT INSTEAD TOOK PLACE IN BOYNTON BEACH FL

More images @ www.iviewit.tv

I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM prior to review. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document **with** undisclosed conflict, relations, relationships or interests. In the event that I continue to represent these matters without signing such COI first, this failure to sign and return the COI will act as a formal admission of such conflicts, relations, relationships or interests and serve as Prima Facie evidence in the event criminal or civil charges are brought against me. Organization:

F&B LLP

5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140

Austin, TX 78735

Print FULL Name and Title

Adam V. Floyd, Esq./Partner

Signature _____ Date _____/_____/_____

If you are unable to sign this COI and are therefore unable to continue further to pursue these matters, please attach a statement of whom we may contact as your replacement, in writing, within 10 business days to preclude legal actions against you for Obstruction of Justice and more. A copy can be sent to iviewit@iviewit.tv and the original sent to the mailing address below:

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL (yes, two identically named)
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL
Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL
Uviewit Holdings, Inc. - DL
Uview.com, Inc. – DL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL
I.C., Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com LLC – DL
Iviewit LLC – DL
Iviewit Corporation – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Corporation
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
<http://www.iviewit.tv>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress>
<http://www.facebook.com/#!/iviewit>
<http://www.myspace.com/iviewit>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpresseliot>
<http://www.youtube.com/user/eliotbernstein?feature=mhum>
<http://www.TheDivineConstitution.com>

Also, check out

Eliot's Testimony at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings Part 1
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Cw0gogF4Fs&feature=player_embedded
and Part 2 @

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Apc_Zc_YNik&feature=related
and

Christine Anderson Whistleblower Testimony @
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6BK73p4Ueo>
and

Eliot Part 1 - The Iviewit Inventions @
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L0n4hwemqW0>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 1 with No Top Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DulHQDcwQfM>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 2 with No Top OR Bottom Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ibOP3U1q6mM>

Thought that was crazy, try

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3mfWAwzpNIE&feature=results_main&playnext=1&list=PL2ADE052D9122F5AD

Other Websites I like:

<http://www.deniedpatent.com>

<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com>

<http://www.judgewatch.org/index.html>

<http://www.enddiscriminationnow.com>

<http://www.corruptcourts.org>

<http://www.makeourofficialsaccountable.com>

<http://www.parentadvocates.org>

<http://www.newyorkcourtcorruption.blogspot.com>

<http://cuomotarp.blogspot.com>

<http://www.disbarthefloridabar.com>

<http://www.trusteeffraud.com/trusteeffraud-blog>

<http://www.constitutionalguardian.com>

<http://www.americans4legalreform.com>

<http://www.judicialaccountability.org>

www.electpollack.us

<http://www.ruthmpollackesq.com>

<http://www.VoteForGreg.us> Greg Fischer

<http://www.liberty-candidates.org/greg-fischer/>

<http://www.facebook.com/pages/Vote-For-Greg/111952178833067>

<http://www.killallthelawyers.ws/law> (The Shakespearean Solution, The Butcher)

We the people are the rightful master of both congress and the courts - not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert the Constitution. - Abraham Lincoln

EXHIBIT 1 - PARTIAL LIST OF KNOWN CONFLICTED PARTIES

- Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye ("J. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zamas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.; Lewis Melzter - ("Meltzer"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe ("Grebe"); Christopher Kise ("Kise"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates,

- companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H. Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - INTEL Corporation;
 - Silicon Graphics Inc.;
 - Lockheed Martin Corporation;
 - Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
 - Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - FORMER IVIEWIT MANAttorney GeneralEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale");Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. ("Miller"), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein – Board Director ("S. Bernstein"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 - FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");

- THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. ("Molyneaux"); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kearse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Jeffrey Friedstein ("Friedstein"); Sheldon Friedstein (S. Friedstein"), Donald G. Kane ("Kane"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- David B. Simon, Esq. ("D. Simon");
- Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxe & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Davis Polk & Wardell;
- Ropes & Gray LLP;
- Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
- Eliot I. Bernstein, ("Bernstein") a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;
- P. Stephen Lamont, ("Lamont") a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
- SKULL AND BONES; The Russell Trust Co.; Yale Law School;
- Council on Foreign Relations;
- The Bilderberg Group;
- The Federalist Society;

- The Bradley Foundation;

Please include in the COI check the defendants and any other parties in the legally related cases in New York District Court Southern District of New York to Docket No 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT, including but not limited to;

A. United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit 08-4873-cv

B. (07cv11196) Bernstein et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT Defendants, in addition to those already listed herein, include but are not limited to;

- STATE OF NEW YORK;
- THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
- STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
- ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
- JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
- LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
- DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
- GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
- TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
- JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
- STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
- FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
- HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
- THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
- DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
- DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
- CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
- ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
- ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
- PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
- MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
- CATHERINE O'HA Attorney General EN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;
- HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
- STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
- ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;

- LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
- ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
- Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff fo Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
- Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
- COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
- VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
- ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- NOEL SENDEL in her official and individual capacities;
- MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
- LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
- MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
- LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp;
- EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
- ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
- WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
- LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
- DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
- ROYAL O'BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBERG, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
- UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
- I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
- IBM CORPORATION;

To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:

- **Andrew Cuomo, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Steven M. Cohen, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities,**

- Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,
- Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,
- Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,
- Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,
- P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont's filings on behalf of others and more filed with criminal authorities and this Court notified of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)
- Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,
- Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,
- Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,
- Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
- Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
- AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
- Ropes & Gray,
- Stanford Financial Group,
- Bernard L. Madoff et al.
- Marc S. Dreier, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
- Sony Corporation, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
- Ernst & Young, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
- Arthur Andersen, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
- Enron, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)

C. Other Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY Related to Christine C. Anderson

- 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT;
- 07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.;
- 07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.;
- 08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04053 Gizella Weisshaus v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.
- 08cv06368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York

_____END OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE-----

From: Adam Floyd [mailto:AFloyd@fblawllp.com]

Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 10:56 AM

To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Cc: 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'; 'Kevin R. Hall Esq.'; Pat Handley 2; 'Crystal L. Cox, in Love and Light'; 'Crystal L. Cox @ Liquidating Trustee'; dcb@dcb.com

Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

Eliot,

I will drop a CD or DVD in the mail to you containing Joao's FWH's and patents that we have collected. There's too much to send via email.

I think we are in agreement regarding Joao's conflict problem. However, I don't believe we have standing to raise it in California. There may also be a Statute of Limitations problem. Nevertheless, I intend to smear him

with it to the extent the Court allows. We also intend to investigate the possibility of him having obtained the information from other clients. In addition, we have evidence of other bad acts we will present against Joao.

I'm not going to pursue a RICO or conspiracy angle. I don't have anything to really back up such a serious charge against the PTO or other governmental officials.

As we discussed on the phone, I don't believe AT&T is using any of your technology. While I understand you believe your inventions cover MP3 and all other scaling algorithms for video over a network, none of the patent applications that I have seen are nearly so broad. As you know, the scope of your patents will be limited to what is contained in their claims. There is no way of knowing at this stage what any issued claims would look like or cover. However, the specifications of the applications that I have read describe particular algorithms for scaling, digital zoom, etc. I haven't seen any disclosure which would cover any and all such methods. When you obtain an issued patent, I'd be happy to discuss the possibility of licensing with you.


Best of luck with your patents and bringing down the bastards that stole your technology.

Adam



Adam V. Floyd
F&B LLP
5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140
Austin, TX 78735

T: 512.681.1501
F: 512.681.1590
afloyd@fblawllp.com
www.fblawllp.com

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [<mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv>]

Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 07:44

To: Adam Floyd

Cc: 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'; 'Kevin R. Hall Esq.'; Pat Handley 2; 'Crystal L. Cox, in Love and Light'; 'Crystal L. Cox @ Liquidating Trustee'; dcb@dcb.com

Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

Adam ~ please send over any file info you are comfortable sharing regarding Joao as you offered, I will add it to my pile of shit, as everything Joao does is suspect, as you can see from his early patent forgery documents with Iviewit and as the USPTO and RICO complaints I sent you clearly evidence. The first thing to keep in mind is that the only thing Joao invented was a "SYSTEM & METHOD OF FRAUD ON THE USPTO." As you are aware, Joao in collusion with possibly Federal Employees with the USPTO and other US Gov agencies (under Ongoing INVESTIGATIONS), were involved in alleged RICO crimes. A component of the RICO being insiders for the law firms in government posts to effectuate the frauds, including patent, copyright and TM schemes. Schemes effectuated by committing frauds, including in the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein matters, submission of falsified patent applications, falsified state incorporation papers, fraudulent Bankruptcy filings in Federal Court, fraudulent Court cases on fraudulent Iviewit Shell Companies containing stolen PATENT

APPLICATIONS and other stolen IP, fraudulent back dating of government document submissions (as you saw in the former application I sent with Joao having fax headers dated 1900 on a USPTO OFFICIAL and CERTIFIED PATENT FILING, did they have fax back then, perhaps Joao invented that too), fraudulent back dating of hosts of other official federal, state and international documents and all evidencing a clear pattern of Fraud on US Gov Applications and Agencies by these rogue attorneys and law firms, including Joao.

Keep in mind the Iviewit / Eliot Bernstein RICO is LEGALLY RELATED to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower lawsuit who has evidenced to the court and New York Senate Judiciary Committee, clear evidence and sworn statements and testimony of, document tampering with Official Court documents, ethics complaints being whitewashed (in the Department I filed complaints against Proskauer/Joao/Rubenstein and other rogue attorneys and law firms), conspiracy amongst US Attorneys, DA's, AG's, the courts and more.

Therefore, while requesting the files in this case that you have offered, know it is mainly for shits and giggles, the real question of Joao is not what his patents say or when they are dated or who swears by his crap, the question is are they part of the ongoing RICO violations of the Federal Complaint that both Joao and your client AT&T are Defendants in. Until that question and those investigations are complete in the Federal, State and International courts and prosecutorial offices and Joao is tried, the question of what his patents claims are, or if his patents predated his clients (an oxymoron), is who he has lifted the prior technologies from previously and what is the extent of the fraud he has committed with his filings for himself to predate his retained clients filings. Please also take note that Joao worked with now infamous Marc Dreier serving now 100 yr sentence, directly after Iviewit terminated him when evidence of his patent thefts to my inventions surfaced. Note that Joao was represented as a Proskauer partner and Proskauer has recently been sued for Conspiracy, Fraud and more by the Court Appointed Receiver in the Disgraced Sir Robert Allen Stanford Ponzi (law firm money laundering operation) scheme and recently found guilty and awaiting sentencing in Texas, all related to Iviewit as described in the RICO and other Federal Court and Prosecutorial filings on my website.

I am however confused at your legal strategy for your client AT&T in this lawsuit, whereby you attempt to justify Joao documents and claims and when they were dated and giving them any credibility by addressing them, when evidence abounds that Joao is under investigation in the ONGOING PATENT SUSPENDING/PENDING applications of Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein by Federal, State and International Agencies and accused of massive document fraud and a mass of other felonies and international crimes. Now for more laughter, ask yourself this question, if Joao patented the concept for HIMSELF, PRIOR to Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein disclosures, why would and would it have been legal for him, to have filed a provisional patent for Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein on the same technology he invented? Would that have been legal and ethical without full disclosure and waivers, etc???? And if you read the rules carefully at the time at the Federal Patent Bar and State Bars, a patent attorney who knows of prior art filed by anyone else would be obligated to disclose these facts to a RETAINED CLIENT and notify that client of ANY CONFLICTS before ripping off legal fees to patent an invention that he knows to already exist.

Finally and most difficult for Joao to address is would this not have posed a MAJOR CONFLICT OF INTEREST with Mr. Joao taking on such client with technologies that directly conflict with his other ripped off inventions he is claiming as his own? Of the many clients, inventors and investors for Iviewit that Joao/Meltzer/Rubenstein/Proskauer interfaced with to secure funding, including investors Huizenga, Crossbow Ventures (partly SBA Funds) and other potential investors/licensors, including AT&T, Intel, Lockheed, SGI, Deutsche Bank and others, never did Joao disclose his prior art that usurped Iviewit's while securing funding in the Iviewit technologies. Would that not then have been ripping off investors and banks to invest in technologies he himself trumped in secreted patents, etc? All this is further defined in the Amended RICO complaint and other documents? Now suddenly, in this case with a pool of infringers and Defendants, Joao surfaces with new documents and a family tree to show me his technologies he patented in his own name as a Patent Attorney, trump his retained clients Iviewit / Eliot Bernstein inventions, claiming that he filed his prior, kept them secret until later and you want me to buy into this? Even if I did buy it, it would immediately cause Joao to go to PRISON for his crimes and violations of ethics rules in patenting our inventions knowing he trumped us.

Knowing that, you should contact your client AT&T, as after reflecting on some notes, they have very serious and extensive liabilities via Mr. Armstrong and others direct involvement with the main perpetrators of these crimes and may have been working directly with Joao, Utley, Wheeler, Rubenstein, Proskauer, Foley, Stanley, Armstrong, etc. in the theft of the patents. As I have already mentioned, this would include involvement, directly or indirectly, in all of the other RICO predicate acts claimed, including but far from limited, Attempted Murder via a Terrorist Styled Car Bombing, Patent Theft, Fraud on US and Foreign Government Agencies and much much more. Please advise your client of these liabilities and that I will offer to negotiate a license of my technologies to AT&T for the period from 1998-Patent Life and work a settlement in advance of prosecution for the royalties in the Civil/Criminal RICO filed, whereby with such licensing and settlement paid in full to the TRUE and PROPER INVENTORS and INVESTORS in these matters, namely the Iviewit investors and the Iviewit Inventors, a removal from the civil suit would follow, as for the Criminal Rico, again I cannot control federal, state and international authorities. Now that you are familiar with my technologies, you may also want to advise your client AT&T and all other parties to this further Fraud on the Court being perpetrated by Joao et al., that this is also notice to cease and desist all unauthorized and unpaid uses throughout their companies, affiliates, etc. of the inventions for Iviewit / Eliot Bernstein that you have now reviewed involving all applications. Please notify the court involved in this lawsuit and any other agencies you are obligated to notice of these alleged CRIMINAL FELONY ACTS in these matters immediately or it may be viewed as a MISPRISION OF A FELONY and a FRAUD ON A COURT.

If you would like to speak regarding these other matters please feel free to contact me forward. Otherwise, as for the Joao fraud on the Court as it appears, I have seen enough, his document forgeries look good, his conflicts and violations of federal patent bar rules, state bar rules, federal, state and international laws and hosts of felonies continue it appears, including now trying to extort others, whom mostly are Iviewit Defendants, using technologies obviously stolen from his clients. So I thank you for the information and take this as an official request that you immediately pass this information regarding these liabilities and ongoing felonies amongst all those involved in this lawsuit with Joao, including all counsel, court officials, USPTO officials, the USPTO OED, Federal Authorities, etc. who are involved and advise and notice them of this information as you are required by law and rules of ethics you proscribe to. If I hear back from you, I anticipate it will be to settle the royalties owed me by your client AT&T and perhaps others.

Best, Eliot



Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL (yes, two identically named)
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL
Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL
Uviewit Holdings, Inc. - DL
Uview.com, Inc. – DL

Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL
I.C., Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com LLC – DL
Iviewit LLC – DL
Iviewit Corporation – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Corporation
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
<http://www.iviewit.tv>
<http://iviewit.tv/inventor/index.htm>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress>
<http://www.facebook.com/#!/iviewit>
<http://www.myspace.com/iviewit>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpresseliot>
<http://www.youtube.com/user/eliotbernstein?feature=mhum>
<http://www.TheDivineConstitution.com>

Also, check out

Eliot's Testimony at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings Part 1
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Cw0gogF4Fs&feature=player_embedded

and Part 2 @

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Apc_Zc_YNlk&feature=related

and

Christine Anderson Whistleblower Testimony @

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6BIK73p4Ueo>

and Eliot Part 1 - The Iviewit Inventions @

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L0n4hwemgW0>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 1 with No Top Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DulHQDcwQfM>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 2 with No Top OR Bottom Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jbOP3U1q6mM>

Thought that was crazy, try

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3mfWAwzpNIE&feature=results_main&playnext=1&list=PL2ADE052D9122F5AD



Eliot I. Bernstein
Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
Founder & Inventor
(561) 245-8588 Work
(561) 886-7628 Mobile
(561) 245-8644 Facsimile
iviewit@iviewit.tv
eliot@iviewit.tv
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434
<http://www.iviewit.tv>

Other Websites I like:

<http://www.deniedpatent.com>
<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com>
<http://www.judgewatch.org/index.html>
<http://www.enddiscriminationnow.com>
<http://www.corruptcourts.org>
<http://www.makeourofficialsaccountable.com>
<http://www.parentadvocates.org>
<http://www.newyorkcourtcorruption.blogspot.com>
<http://cuomotarp.blogspot.com>
<http://www.disbarthefloridabar.com>
<http://www.trusteefraud.com/trusteefraud-blog>
<http://www.constitutionalguardian.com>
<http://www.americans4legalreform.com>
<http://www.judicialaccountability.org>
www.electpollack.us
<http://www.ruthmpollackesq.com>
www.HireLyrics.org
[www.Facebook.com/Roxanne.Grinage](https://www.facebook.com/Roxanne.Grinage)
[www.Twitter.com/HireLyrics](https://www.twitter.com/HireLyrics)
[www.YouTube.com/HireLyrics](https://www.youtube.com/HireLyrics)
[www.YouTube.com/WhatsThereLeftToDo](https://www.youtube.com/WhatsThereLeftToDo)
[www.YouTube.com/RoxanneGrinage](https://www.youtube.com/RoxanneGrinage)
www.BlogTalkRadio.com/Born-To-Serve
www.ireport.cnn.com/people/HireLyrics
<http://www.VoteForGreg.us> Greg Fischer
<http://www.liberty-candidates.org/greg-fischer/>
[http://www.facebook.com/pages/Vote-For-Greg/111952178833067](https://www.facebook.com/pages/Vote-For-Greg/111952178833067)
<http://www.killallthelawyers.ws/law> (The Shakespearean Solution, The Butcher)

--

"We the people are the rightful master of both congress and the courts - not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert the Constitution." - Abraham Lincoln

"Each time a person stands up for an ideal, or acts to improve the lot of others, or strikes out against injustice, he sends forth a tiny ripple of hope, and crossing each other from a million different centers of energy and daring, these ripples build a current that can sweep down the mightiest walls of oppression and resistance." - Robert F. Kennedy

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, [18](#)

[U.S.C. SS 2510-2521.](#)

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch.

Wireless Copyright Notice. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.



From: Adam Floyd [<mailto:AFloyd@fblawllp.com>]

Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 3:00 PM

To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Cc: Pat Handley; 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'

Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

Mr. Berstein,

Please find attached the prosecution history for Joao's '405 patent.

Adam



Adam V. Floyd
F&B LLP
5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140
Austin, TX 78735

T: 512.681.1501
F: 512.681.1590
afloyd@fblawllp.com
www.fblawllp.com

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.

From: Adam Floyd
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2012 12:53 PM
To: 'Eliot Ivan Bernstein'
Cc: Pat Handley; 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'
Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

Mr. Bernstein,

There are several applications in the chain leading up to the patents-in-suit. See attached document depicting the patent family tree.

Joao's original patent was to a remotely controlled kill switch for an automobile. The idea was that the user would be able to shut his car down via a communications network. He later expanded this idea to remotely controlling an airplane.

Then on July 18, 1996 he filed what would later issue as U.S. Pat. 5,917,405. In this application, he added remotely controlled video cameras. This is one of the patents he is asserting against AT&T and was attached to the complaint I sent you. So, if you have anything evidencing disclosure of this idea to Joao before July 1996, we can nail him.

I'm going to depose Joao next week (3/20-3/22) which should be great fun. I'd love to be able to prove in that depo that he stole your idea.

If you have a file of all correspondence with Joao, I'd love to look through it to see if there's anything we can use against him.


Best Regards,
Adam

P.S. We have a number of his prosecution histories, patents, various pleadings filed in his lawsuits, etc. If you would like a copy of anything, just let me know.



Adam V. Floyd
F&B LLP
5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140
Austin, TX 78735

T: 512.681.1501
F: 512.681.1590
afloyd@fblawllp.com
www.fblawllp.com

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [<mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 6:50 PM

To: Adam Floyd

Cc: Pat Handley; 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'

Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

I am not sure what exactly was filed in 1996 and if it was later revised and modified, please send over the history on the patent if you happen to have it, otherwise it will take me time to find those records but I think we checked out if he took up the invention inside an older filing and that may be the case on this one. Plus with IBM and Foley controlling the patent office now and claims of file and date fraud, well it will all have to be checked again, then again but assume if Joao is there the papers are fraudulent. Interesting patent with dating done by Joao and filed by Joao with dates of 1900 and 2012 on the fax headers, part of effort to change dates at USPTO on filing to March 10, 2001 @ <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/PATENT%20APP%20DATED%20in%201900%20and%202020.pdf>



Eliot I. Bernstein

Inventor

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL (yes, two identically named)

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL

Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL

Uviewit Holdings, Inc. - DL

Uview.com, Inc. – DL

Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL

Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL

I.C., Inc. – FL

Iviewit.com LLC – DL

Iviewit LLC – DL

Iviewit Corporation – FL

Iviewit, Inc. – FL

Iviewit, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Corporation

2753 N.W. 34th St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459

(561) 245.8588 (o)

(561) 886.7628 (c)

(561) 245-8644 (f)

iviewit@iviewit.tv

<http://www.iviewit.tv>

<http://iviewit.tv/inventor/index.htm>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress>
<http://www.facebook.com/#!/iviewit>
<http://www.myspace.com/iviewit>
<http://iviewit.tv/wordpresseliot>
<http://www.youtube.com/user/eliotbernstein?feature=mhum>
<http://www.TheDivineConstitution.com>

Also, check out

Eliot's Testimony at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings Part 1
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Cw0gogF4Fs&feature=player_embedded
and Part 2 @

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Apc_Zc_YNik&feature=related
and

Christine Anderson Whistleblower Testimony @
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6BIK73p4Ueo>
and Eliot Part 1 - The Iviewit Inventions @

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L0n4hwemqW0>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 1 with No Top Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DulHQDcwQfM>

Eliot for President in 2012 Campaign Speech 2 with No Top OR Bottom Teeth, Don't Laugh, Very Important

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jbOP3U1q6mM>

Thought that was crazy, try

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3mfWAwzpNIE&feature=results_main&playnext=1&list=PL2ADE052D9122F5AD

	<p>Eliot I. Bernstein Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Founder & Inventor (561) 245-8588 Work (561) 886-7628 Mobile (561) 245-8644 Facsimile iviewit@iviewit.tv eliot@iviewit.tv 2753 N.W. 34th St. Boca Raton, Florida 33434 http://www.iviewit.tv</p>
---	--

Other Websites I like:

<http://www.deniedpatent.com>
<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com>
<http://www.judgewatch.org/index.html>
<http://www.enddiscriminationnow.com>
<http://www.corruptcourts.org>
<http://www.makeourofficialsaccountable.com>
<http://www.parentadvocates.org>
<http://www.newyorkcourtcorruption.blogspot.com>
<http://cuomotarp.blogspot.com>

<http://www.disbarthefloridabar.com>
<http://www.trusteeffraud.com/trusteeffraud-blog>
<http://www.constitutionalguardian.com>
<http://www.americans4legalreform.com>
<http://www.judicialaccountability.org>
www.electpollack.us
<http://www.ruthmpollackesq.com>
www.HireLyrics.org
www.Facebook.com/Roxanne.Grinage
www.Twitter.com/HireLyrics
www.YouTube.com/HireLyrics
www.YouTube.com/WhatIsThereLeftToDo
www.YouTube.com/RoxanneGrinage
www.BlogTalkRadio.com/Born-To-Serve
www.ireport.cnn.com/people/HireLyrics
<http://www.VoteForGreg.us> Greg Fischer
<http://www.liberty-candidates.org/greg-fischer/>
<http://www.facebook.com/pages/Vote-For-Greg/111952178833067>
<http://www.killallthelawyers.ws/law> (The Shakespearean Solution, The Butcher)

--

"We the people are the rightful master of both congress and the courts - not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert the Constitution." - Abraham Lincoln

"Each time a person stands up for an ideal, or acts to improve the lot of others, or strikes out against injustice, he sends forth a tiny ripple of hope, and crossing each other from a million different centers of energy and daring, these ripples build a current that can sweep down the mightiest walls of oppression and resistance." - Robert F. Kennedy

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, [18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521](#).

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch.

Wireless Copyright Notice: Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.



From: Adam Floyd [<mailto:AFloyd@fblawllp.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 4:11 PM

To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Cc: 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'; Pat Handley; 'Kevin R. Hall Esq.'

Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

Mr. Bernstein,

I've read through your complaint. There is a potential issue. Joao filed his patent application on remotely controlled video back in 1996 four years prior to your fax to Foley. On the phone you mentioned to me that you had disclosed your idea to Joao through other means such as sharing with him your business plan.

Do you have anything that evidences a disclosure of your idea to Joao in 1996 or before?

Thanks,

Adam



Adam V. Floyd
F&B LLP
5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140
Austin, TX 78735

T: 512.681.1501
F: 512.681.1590
afloyd@fblawllp.com
www.fblawllp.com

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.

From: Adam Floyd

Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 11:52 AM

To: 'Eliot Ivan Bernstein'

Cc: 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'; Pat Handley; 'Kevin R. Hall Esq.'

Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

Eliot,

Thanks for this information. It appears that the application went to Foley. As I understand it, this was after you had terminated representation by Joao (para. 307-308 of Complaint). How can we show that Joao received the information from Foley?

Adam



Adam V. Floyd
F&B LLP
5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140
Austin, TX 78735

T: 512.681.1501
F: 512.681.1590
afloyd@fblawllp.com
www.fblawllp.com

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [<mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2012 8:48 AM

To: Adam Floyd

Cc: 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esquire'; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; 'Marc R. Garber, Esquire @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.'; 'Andy Dietz'; Pat Handley; 'Kevin R. Hall Esq.'

Subject: RE: AT&T - ELIOT BERNSTEIN - JOAO

Adam ~ per our conversation with Patrick Hanley, attached is a Fax from Foley & Lardner regarding the filing for the Provisional Patent Application that Raymond Joao did on the invention regarding Remote Video Control and Playback of video through communication devices invented by Eliot Bernstein and Jeffery Friedstein of Goldman Sachs. Joao's original provisional is under investigation as it appears he removed several elements of the disclosed invention in order to pursue them as part of his 90+ patents in his name. Joao did the Provisional Filing for the attached filing and all these filings are under investigation in several State and Federal Venues and have led to my patent applications being SUSPENDED pending investigation of Joao and other attorneys involved.

This information is CONFIDENTIAL and the information regarding this patent application was previously disclosed under Non Disclosure agreements to C. Michael Armstrong former CEO of AT&T, by his personal friends, Iviewit former President Brian G. Utlely and Christopher Wheeler/Proskauer former Iviewit Patent Counsel both now Defendants in my RICO & ANTITRUST lawsuit. After NDA's were signed back then, AT&T was in the process of licensing the Iviewit technologies with Utlely, Proskauer, Wheeler and Armstrong, who were negotiating the terms for use by AT&T of the multiple technologies in imaging and video. This of course was back in 1998-2000. At about this same time it was discovered that a major patent theft and multiple other felonies were occurring by Iviewit former counsel and management, involving Utlely, Wheeler, Joao, Proskauer, Meltzer, Foley and more. Utlely, Wheeler, Joao, Proskauer, Meltzer, Foley and more are also under multiple State, Federal and International investigations regarding the patents we discussed. Also ongoing is a FEDERAL RICO AND ANTITRUST 12 TRILLION Dollar lawsuit that AT&T is named in as a Defendant under the violators of the NDA and in regard to the relations with Utlely et al. and Armstrong.

The RICO was filed by Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein in US District Court SDNY under Fed. Judge Shira Scheindlin. You should take note that my RICO, involving predicate acts of ATTEMPTED MURDER via a TERRORIST

STYLE CAR BOMBING and patent theft, has been "Legally Related" to a NY Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson and involves a mass of attorney corruptions, which have derailed due process rights and fair and impartial hearings of numerous legal matters, which will now have to be reheard free of such Fraud on the Courts by the Defendants in the RICO. Finally, at some point, Eliot Bernstein, Iviewit Successors and other Inventors will be anticipating that AT&T pay and license any Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein technologies to them directly, as they are the true and proper inventors/assignees, not Mr. Joao or any other party, as indicated in the RICO, a copy of the complaint is at the following URL,

VIEWIT AMENDED COMPLAINT

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080509%20FINAL%20AMENDED%20COMPLAINT%20AND%20RICO%20SIGNED%20COPY%20MED.pdf>

an attached PDF copy of the Amended Complaint is also provided herein.

Your receipt of the Amended Complaint above will be considered as Service to you on behalf of your client AT&T for proper accounting of any liabilities your client may have regarding all of the Iviewit inventions outlined in the RICO, including but not limited to, the alleged Joao inventions we discussed that were stolen. If you would like to negotiate further a license/royalty agreement on the use of the technologies on behalf of your client and have them removed from the civil RICO liabilities of the suit, as I do not control the criminal aspect, perhaps we can come to palatable terms. The liability for the lawsuit alone is 12 Counts, at One Trillion per count or 12 TRILLION DOLLARS total and liabilities on the royalties owed since 1998 would be based on usage for that period of technologies over AT&T communications networks or any other applications and uses which would infringe, including but not limited to, video and videoconference technologies and imaging technologies, where video has been the bulk of what has been transmitted across AT&T's networks since that time, we can safely assume this amount owed over a decade period to also be worth settling in advance of further litigation.

Please take this as Formal Notice of AT&T's liabilities in the lawsuit and royalties owed for formal reporting under FASB and other mandated accounting standards and notice any party with liabilities that could result from the lawsuit and patent infringement liabilities, including but not limited to, AT&T shareholders, bondholders, auditors, state and federal agencies and others.

Best regards,

Eliot

From: Adam Floyd [<mailto:AFloyd@fblawllp.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2012 8:46 PM

To: Eliot I. Bernstein (iviewit@iviewit.tv)

Subject:

Mr. Bernstein,

Please find attached a copy of the complaint that Joao filed against Xanboo (a wholly-owned subsidiary of AT&T).

I would very much appreciate it if you would look over his patents which are attached to the complaint. If you feel that you disclosed the ideas in whole or in part to Joao, I could really use a copy of something you sent him evidencing that.

Thank you for your time.

Best Regards,

Adam



Adam V. Floyd
F&B LLP
5113 Southwest Parkway, Suite 140
Austin, TX 78735

T: 512.681.1501
F: 512.681.1590
afloyd@fblawllp.com
www.fblawllp.com

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email may be confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed by only the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email and its attachments, if any, or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this email from your system.

^[1] il Sommo Poeta ~ Durante degli Alighieri, "Divina Commedia" 1308-1321 Canto III