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# Conflict of Interest (COI) Disclosure Form

**THIS COI MUST BE SIGNED AND AFFIRMED PRIOR TO ANY ACTION ON THIS COURT MOTION ON YOUR PART**

Please accept and return signed the following Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form (COI) before continuing further with adjudication, review or investigation of the attached MOTION to the **United States Second Circuit Court**, titled,

**MOtion to:**

**After 10 Days, if this form has not been signed or subsequently turned over to a NON CONFLICTED PARTY, your Failure to comply may result in criminal and civil charges FILED against you FOR AIDING AND ABETTING A RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION, FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, AS NOTED HEREIN**.

and any/all materials relating to Eliot Bernstein and or the Iviewit companies.

The Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form designed to ensure that the review and any determinations from such review of the enclosed materials should not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other conflicting interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this confidential information. Whereby any conflict with any of the main alleged perpetrators of the alleged crimes referenced in these matters or any other perpetrators not known at this time must be fully disclosed and affirmed in writing and returned by to any review.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers, by any party, to any of the following questions, are demanded not to open the remainder of the documents or opine in any manner until reviewed and approved by the Iviewit companies and Eliot I. Bernstein. If you feel that conflict of interest exists that cannot be eliminated through conflict resolution with the Iviewit Companies or Eliot Bernstein, instantly forward the matters to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict that can sign and complete the requisite disclosure. Please identify conflicts that you have, in writing, upon terminating your involvement in the matters to the address listed at the end of this disclosure form. As many of these alleged perpetrators are large law firms, members of various state and federal courts and officers of federal, state and local law enforcement agencies, careful review and disclosure of any conflict with those named herein is pertinent in your continued handling of these matters objectively.

These matters already involve claims of, including but not limited to, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Offices, Whitewashing of Official Complaints in the Supreme Courts of New York, Florida, Virginia and elsewhere, Threatening a Federal Witness in a Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, Document Destruction and Alteration, Obstructions of Justice, RICO and ATTEMPTED MURDER. The need for prescreening for conflict is essential to the administration of due process in these matters and necessary to avoid charges of OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, against you. Federal District Court Judge Shira A. Scheindlin SDNY legally related these same matters to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit who alleges similar claims of public office corruption against Supreme Court of New York Officials, US Attorneys, NY District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys. This is a formal request for full disclosure of any conflict on your part, such request conforming with all applicable state and federal laws, public office rules and regulations, attorney conduct codes and judicial canons or other international law and treatises requiring disclosure of conflicts and Withdrawal from matters where conflict precludes involvement.

Failure to comply with all applicable conflict disclosure rules, public office rules and regulations and laws, prior to continued action on your part, **shall constitute cause** for the filing of criminal and civil complaints against you for any decisions or actions you make prior to a signed Conflict Of Interest Disclosure Form, with all applicable regulatory and prosecutorial agencies. Complaints will be filed with all appropriate authorities, including but not limited to, the appropriate Federal, State, Local and International Law Enforcement Agencies, Public Integrity Officials, Judicial Conduct Officials, State and Federal Bar Associations, Disciplinary Departments and any/all other appropriate oversight agencies.

1. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate have, any direct or indirect relations (relationships), or interest in any entity or any direct or indirect relations (relationships) to any of the parties listed in EXHIBIT 1 of this document and any of the named Defendants in these matters contained at the URL <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer> , URL hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein? Please review the online index in entirety. **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any consideration(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the consideration(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

1. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate have, any direct or indirect relations (relationships), or interest in any outside entity or any direct or indirect relations (relationships) to Any other known or unknown person or known or unknown entity not named herein that will cause your review of the complaint you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest or any other interest(s) **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any identified conflicted parties on a separate and attached sheet. Fully disclose all information regarding the conflict. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind. Please indicate if you are seeking waiver of the conflict(s) or will be disqualifying from involvement in these matters.**

1. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any entity related to the enclosed parties to the proceeding of the matters, defined in I, including but not limited to, campaign contributions whether direct, "in kind" or of any type at all? **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any consideration(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the consideration(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

1. Have you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, had any prior communication(s), including but not limited to, phone, facsimile, e-mail, mail, verbal, etc. with any person related to the proceeding of the Iviewit or related matters as defined in I? **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any identified communication(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the communication(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the communication(s) in detail, including but not limited to, who was present, what type of communication, the date and time, please affirm whether such communication(s) present a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

1. I have run a thorough and exhaustive Conflict of Interest check to conform with any and all state, federal or local laws, public office rules and regulations and any professional association rules and regulations regarding disclosure of any conflicts to verify that my spouse, my dependents, and I in the aggregate, have no conflicts with any parties to the matters referenced herein. **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**
2. I have notified all parties with any liabilities regarding my continued actions in these matters, including state agencies, insurance concerns or any other person with liability that may result from my actions in these matters. **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Relevant Sections of Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law**

Conflict of Interest Laws & Regulations

**Conflict of interest indicates a situation where a private interest may influence a public decision. Conflict of Interest Laws are Laws and designed to prevent conflicts of interest that deny fair and impartial due process and procedure thereby Obstructing Justice in State and/or Federal Civil and Criminal Proceedings. These Laws may contain provisions related to financial or asset disclosure, exploitation of one's official position and privileges, improper relationships, regulation of campaign practices, etc. The Relevant Sections of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law listed herein are merely a benchmark guide and other state, federal and international laws may be applicable to your particular circumstances in reviewing or acting in these matters. For a more complete list of applicable sections of law relating to these matters, please visit the URL,**

[**http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#\_Toc107852933**](http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#_Toc107852933)**, fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein.**

New York State Consolidated Laws Penal

ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES

S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree

S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree

S 200.05 Bribery; defense

S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree

S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree

S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree

S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense

S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree

S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities

S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities

S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of term

S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office

S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office

ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEMENTS

S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree. S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree.

S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense

S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree

S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree

S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree

S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree

NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers

Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1

ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTICLE 15 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

S 468-b. Clients` security fund of the state of New York

S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law

S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the law

S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general

S 487. Misconduct by attorneys

S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.

Public Officers Law SEC 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and Former State Officers and Employees

Public Officers Law SEC 74 Code of Ethics

Conflicts of Interest Law, found in Chapter 68 of the New York City Charter, the City's Financial Disclosure Law, set forth in section 12-110 of the New York City Administrative Code, and the Lobbyist Gift Law, found in sections 3-224 through 3-228 of the Administrative Code.

TITLE 18 FEDERAL CODE & OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAW

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Fraud upon the court

FRAUD on the COURT

In the United States, when an officer of the court is found to have fraudulently presented facts to court so that the court is impaired in the impartial performance of its legal task, the act, known as "fraud upon the court", is a crime deemed so severe and fundamentally opposed to the operation of justice that it is not subject to any statute of limitation.

 Officers of the court include: Lawyers, Judges, Referees, and those appointed; Guardian Ad Litem, Parenting Time Expeditors, Mediators, Rule 114 Neutrals, Evaluators, Administrators, special appointees, and any others whose influence are part of the judicial mechanism.

 "Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication". Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23

 In Bulloch v. United States, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."
**What effect does an act of “fraud upon the court” have upon the court proceeding? “Fraud upon the court” makes void the orders and judgments of that court.**

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

TITLE 18 PART I CH 11

Sec. 201. Bribery of public officials and witnesses

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Sec. 205. - Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government

Sec. 208. - Acts affecting a personal financial interest

Sec. 210. - Offer to procure appointive public office

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury or court

Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of another

TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law enforcement

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT Organizations ("RICO")

Section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice),

Section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations)

Section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement),

Section 1952 (relating to racketeering),

Section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity),

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO

title 18 part i ch 19 sec 1962 (d) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES

TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity

TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States

Judicial Cannons

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

 Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

 In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. Liteky v. U.S., 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

 Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp., 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); United States v. Balistrieri, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

 That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." Taylor v. O'Grady, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In Pfizer Inc. v. Lord, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

 The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", Levine v. United States, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing Offutt v. United States, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

 "Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." Taylor v. O'Grady, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

 Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." Balistrieri, at 1202.

 Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge. Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

 Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. United States v. Sciuto, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

 Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

 If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

 However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

 The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

 Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Canon 1. A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

[1.1] Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

Canon 2. A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Activities

(A) A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

[2.2][2A] The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge’s ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(B) Adjudicative responsibilities.

(l) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

(E) Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned

[3.11][3B(6)(e)] A judge may delegate the responsibilities of the judge under Canon 3B(6) to a member of the judge’s staff. A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(6) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge’s staff. This provision does not prohibit the judge or the judge’s law clerk from informing all parties individually of scheduling or administrative decisions.

[3.21][3E(1)] Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

[3.22][3E(1)] A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

Canon 4. A Judge May Engage in Extra-Judicial Activities To Improve the Law, the Legal System, and the Administration of Justice

Canon 5. A Judge Should Regulate Extra-Judicial Activities To Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Duties

Public Office Conduct Codes New York

PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW Laws 1909, Chap. 51.

CHAPTER 47 OF THE CONSOLIDATED LAWS PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW

Sec. 17. Defense and indemnification of state officers and employees. 2 (b)

Sec. 18. Defense and indemnification of officers and employees of public entities.3 (b)

Sec. 74. Code of ethics.(2)(3)(4)

§ 73. Business or professional activities by state officers and employees and party officers.

NY Attorney Conduct Code

(a) "Differing interests" include every interest that will adversely affect either the judgment or the loyalty of a lawyer to a client, whether it be a conflicting, inconsistent, diverse, or other interest.

CANON 5. A Lawyer Should Exercise Independent Professional Judgment on Behalf of a Client

DR 5-101 [1200.20] Conflicts of Interest - Lawyer's Own Interests.

DR 5-102 [1200.21] Lawyers as Witnesses.

DR 5-103 [1200.22] Avoiding Acquisition of Interest in Litigation.

DR 5-104 [1200.23] Transactions Between Lawyer and Client.

DR 5-105 [1200.24] Conflict of Interest; Simultaneous Representation.

DR 5-108 [1200.27] Conflict of Interest - Former Client.

CANON 6. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Competently

CANON 7. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law

DR 7-102 [1200.33] Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law.

DR 7-110 [1200.41] Contact with Officials.

DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.

DR 8-103 [1200.44] Lawyer Candidate for Judicial Office.

A. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with section 100.5 of the Chief Administrator's Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR) and Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

CANON 9. A Lawyer Should Avoid Even the Appearance of Professional Impropriety

DR 9-101 [1200.45] Avoiding Even the Appearance of Impropriety.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. Executed on this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_20\_\_ the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims will subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in the RICO related crimes including the alleged attempted murder of the inventor Eliot Bernstein and his wife and children in a car-bombing attempt on their lives.

 **NOTE– CAR BOMBING IS NOT A SCENE OUT OF THE
IRAQ WAR BUT INSTEAD BOYNTON BEACH FL**

More images @ [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv)

I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document **with** conflict in the event that I continue to represent the matters without signing such COI first and will be an admission of such conflict(s).

Organization – United States Second Circuit Court

Print Name & Title and Organization \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

If you are unable to sign this COI and are therefore unable to continue further to pursue these matters, please attach a statement of whom we may contact as your replacement in writing within 10 business days to preclude legal actions against you. A copy can be sent to iviewit@iviewit.tv and original to the mailing address below:

Eliot I. Bernstein

Inventor

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL

Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL

Uview.com, Inc. – DL

Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL

Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL

I.C., Inc. – FL

Iviewit.com LLC – DL

Iviewit LLC – DL

Iviewit Corporation – FL

Iviewit, Inc. – FL

Iviewit, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Corporation

2753 N.W. 34th St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459

(561) 245.8588 (o)

(561) 886.7628 (c)

(561) 245-8644 (f)

iviewit@iviewit.tv

[www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:
This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, [18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521](http://www.usdoj.gov/criminal/cybercrime/wiretap2510_2522.htm).
This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

\*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this “Message,” including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator’s confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients.  See: Quon v. Arch.

\*Wireless Copyright Notice\*.  Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message.  You must have the originator’s full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message.  Originator acknowledges others’ copyrighted content in this Message.  Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv/).  All Rights Reserved.

EXHIBIT 1 – PARTIAL LIST OF CONFLICTED PARTIES

* Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye (“J. Kaye”); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zammas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSEL, P.C.; Lewis Melzter - ("Meltzer"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe (“Grebe”); Christopher Kise (“Kise”); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H. Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* INTEL Corporation;
* Silicon Graphics Inc.;
* Lockheed Martin Corporation;
* Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
* Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* FORMER IVIEWIT MANAGEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh")/; Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. (“Miller”), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein – Board Director (“S. Bernstein”); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");
* THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
* THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
* MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit [www.mpegla.com](http://www.mpegla.com/) for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit [www.mpegla.com](http://www.mpegla.com/) for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. (“Molyneaux”); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
* James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kearse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
* Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Jeffrey Friedstein (“Friedstein”); Sheldon Friedstein (S. Friedstein”), Donald G. Kane (“Kane”); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* David B. Simon, Esq. (“D. Simon”);
* Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
* Davis Polk & Wardell;
* Ropes & Gray LLP;
* Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
* Eliot I. Bernstein, (“Bernstein”) a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;
* P. Stephen Lamont, (“Lamont”) a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
* SKULL AND BONES; The Russell Trust Co.; Yale Law School;
* Council on Foreign Relations;
* The Bilderberg Group;
* The Federalist Society;
* The Bradley Foundation;

Please include in the COI check the defendants and any other parties in the legally related cases in New York District Court Southern District of New York to Docket No 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT, including but not limited to;

* + 1. United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit 08-4873-cv
		2. (07cv11196) Bernstein et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT Defendants, in addition to those already listed herein, include but are not limited to;
			- STATE OF NEW YORK;
			- THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
			- STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
			- ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
			- JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
			- JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
			- GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
			- TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
			- FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
			- HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
			- HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
			- HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
			- HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
			- HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
			- THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
			- DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
			- DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
			- CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
			- ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
			- ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
			- PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
			- MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
			- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
			- CATHERINE O’HAGlEN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
			- HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
			- HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
			- HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;
			- HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
			- HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
			- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
			- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;
			- HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
			- HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
			- STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
			- ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;
			- LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
			- OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
			- ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
			- ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
			- Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff to Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
			- Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
			- COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
			- VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
			- ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
			- NOEL SENGEL in her official and individual capacities;
			- MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
			- LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
			- MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
			- LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp;
			- EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
			- ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
			- WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
			- LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
			- DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
			- ROYAL O’BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
			- BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
			- BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBERG, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
			- WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
			- BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
			- SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
			- ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
			- JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
			- IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
			- IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
			- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
			- UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
			- IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
			- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;
			- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
			- I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;
			- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
			- IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
			- IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
			- IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
			- IBM CORPORATION;

**To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:**

* + - * **Andrew Cuomo, in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **Steven M. Cohen, in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities,**
			* **Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,**
			* **Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont’s filings on behalf of others and more filed with criminal authorities and this Court notified of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)**
			* **Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,**
			* **Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
			* **Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
			* **AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
			* **Ropes & Gray,**
			* **Stanford Financial Group,**
			* **Bernard L. Madoff et al.**
			* **Marc S. Dreier, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
			* **Sony Corporation, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
			* **Ernst & Young, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
			* **Arthur Andersen, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
			* **Enron, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
		1. Other Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY Related to Christine C. Anderson
* 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT;
* 07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.;
* 07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.;
* 08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.;
* 08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.;
* 08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.;
* 08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.;
* 08cv04053 Gizella Weisshaus v The State of New York, et al.;
* 08cv04438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.
* 08cv06368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York
* All parties list at the URL <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer>

# Motion to

* Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Attorney General’s now Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest both past and present, in acting ILLEGALLY as Counsel for 39 plus State Defendant/Actors in this Lawsuit by Violating Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law.
* Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Supreme Court’s Attorney Whistleblower, Christine C. Anderson’s (“Anderson”) Felony Criminal Allegations against SENIOR Court Officials, Public Officials et al.
* HALT THIS LAWSUIT and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, pending investigations of Whistleblower Anderson’s FELONY CRIMINAL Allegations against Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office, the US Attorney’s Office, the New York District Attorney’s Office, New York State Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others. FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS EXPOSED in US Federal District Court, THIS COURT and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee by the HEROIC TESTIMONY and SWORN STATEMENTS of NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON.
* IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL Justices and other Members of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals ( this Court ) whom have acted to this point in this Lawsuit in any capacity whatsoever, for Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court, Obstruction of Justice, Denial of Due Process and more.
* Remove ALL other Conflicts of Interest currently in place in this Lawsuit in order to implement FAIR & IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.
* DEMAND that ALL parties to this Lawsuit going forward, including but not limited to, Court Justices & Officials, Attorneys at Law, Prosecutors, Clerks, et al. Sign and Affirm Conflict of Interest Disclosures identical to the one attached herein, acknowledging PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES for any violation, prior to, ANY further Action by ANYONE in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.
* Demand for Justices and others named herein of this Court to turn themselves in to the appropriate State and Federal Criminal Authorities to ANSWER to filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against them and served upon them.

**Caution, if you have not signed the attached Conflict of Interest Disclosure form and returned it as instructed, and you continue to act in any manner whatsoever (i.e. adjudicate, clerical or other) Criminal Charges may be brought against you for Aiding & Abetting a Criminal RICO Organization, see attached Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form for further information.**

# Introduction

## Anderson Whistleblower Testimony Reveals a Criminal RICO Cartel’s Coup D’ÉTAT on government at the highest outposts of law and regulation

**NEW YORK SUPREME COURT WHISTLEBLOWER ATTORNEY, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON, ESQ. (“Anderson”) MAKES FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS OF THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, “FAVORED LAWYERS AND LAW FIRMS” and a “CLEANER”, as revealed in federal court testimony, named Naomi Goldstein. THESE ALLEGATIONS DEMAND IMMEDIATE REPORTING, INVESTIGATION AND HALTING OF THE LEGALLY RELATED IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT IN ORDER TO BEGIN INVESTIGATIONS TO IDENTIFY AND PROSECUTE THOSE FINGERED BY WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON and OTHERS.**

The “Legally Related” Federal Lawsuit of New York Supreme Court, Veteran Senior Supreme Court Disciplinary Department Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower, Christine Anderson, Esq., to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, exposes from the inside a legal conspiracy of corruption involving the highest levels of Regulatory, Prosecutorial and Judicial Public Offices, both State and Federal. Heroism is a word earned through action. The Whistleblowing Efforts of Anderson, another New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower and Hero, Nicole Corrado, Esq., and, a Sitting New York Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Duane A. Hart, Esq., all cited herein, should be the Moniker of HEROISM for others in the legal profession to follow.

These Whistleblowers Expose Corruption at the Top of Government, including, the Courts, This Court, the Department of Justice, the New York Attorney General and others. They further provide the World with an understanding of how America’s Financial System has melted top down, from rigged economic breakdowns, with no Regulators or Prosecutors or Courts to stop it, in fact all of them aiding and abetting the crimes. Nobody attempting to recover the stolen funds for the PEOPLE, as all of the Government appears on the take according to these Whistleblowers. Their Whistleblowing efforts expose how and why no one on Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street is charged with Criminal Acts, despite massive evidence of CRIMINAL ACTS and FRAUD, and further why none of the Stolen Loot from their Economic Crimes has been Recovered back to the People. What is unveiled is a COUP D’ÉTAT on the HIGHEST OUTPOSTS OF LAW & ORDER in the United States and yet not a single story in the Mainstream Media aka US Pravda Press regarding these shocking allegations by insiders.

Also exposed by these HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING EFFORTS is a REVOLVING DOOR between a CORRUPT GROUP OF LAW FIRMS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW in both PRIVATE PRACTICE and PUBLIC OFFICE, working in CONSPIRACY within the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE for the CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE, as they are DIRECT BENEFACTORS OF THE CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. Anderson, Corrado and Other Public Office Whistleblowers cited herein, also provide explanation for why Judges and Attorneys at Law are now desperately trying to grant themselves immunity for felony crimes in recent days. Immunity involving ATTORNEYS AT LAW and their part in TORTURE CRIMES, WAR CRIMES and the CREATION OF ILLEGAL/FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS that led to a RIGGED HOUSING COLLAPSE by FRAUDULENT CDO contracts and DERIVATIVE contracts that led to 8 MILLION VERY ILLEGAL FORECLOSURES WITH FORGED DOCUMENTS and MORE. While futile and obvious, these attempts show culpability in the crimes, a fear of retribution when the “long arm of the law” swings back.

Whistleblowing comes at a price to Whistleblowers in this new environment of GOVERNMENT CRIMINALS. Christine Anderson, Corrado, Hart and others, including PLAINTIFF have been through hell to bring this INFORMATION TO LIGHT and where This Court should acknowledge Anderson, Corrado and the others who have come forth for their HEROISM, yet, suspiciously they do not. These are TRUE AMERICAN PATRIOTS, HEROES and ROLE MODELS OF ETHICS and yet shunned by the very legal system they work in. We instead find This Court currently attempting to ILLEGALLY DISMISS Anderson’s WHISTLEBLOWER Lawsuit and the “legally related” cases. We find THIS COURT attempting to BURY THE FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES EXPOSED BY CREDIBLE WITNESSES by just “SWEEPING THEM UNDER THE RUG, PRIOR TO INVESTIGATIONS REQUIRED BY LAW, all as more fully defined herein. Therefore, Plaintiff starts this Motion in **Honor, with A Tip of the Hat to the TRUE PATRIOTS NAMED HEREIN AND THEIR HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING EFFORTS TO BLOW THE LID OFF ONE OF THE LARGEST CORRUPTION STORIES OF ALL TIME, PLACING MEMBERS OF THIS COURT RIGHT IN THE CENTER, A ROOT OF THE PROBLEM**.

## Meet the coupsters

Anderson’s Whistleblowing CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS reveal a **MASSIVE GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION**, exposing a NETWORK OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES operated by a CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION inside Government. The RICO ENTERPRISE is comprised mainly of Powerful and Influential Law Firms, Attorneys at Law, Lawmakers, Public Officials and Judicial Officials according to these Whistleblowers. Together, acting in Conspiracy, these trusted officials all abuse their legal degrees and positions in TOP OUTPOSTS OF LAW in order to aid and abet the commission and cover-ups of COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES, including directing operatives in various government capacities to subterfuge and subvert Law, Regulation and Justice.

According to Anderson, operatives of the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, include but are not limited to, SENIOR STATE and FEDERAL PUBLIC OFFICIALS, almost all with legal degrees, operating inside US Government Agencies, including the courts and prosecutorial offices, DISABLING JUSTICE and REGULATION, and opening the door for the RICO Enterprise’s COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES to proceed. Illegal Legal Crimes packaged and rolled out by ATTORNEYS AT LAW that all are currently contributing to INTENTIONALLY Bankrupting World Markets through a series of sophisticated frauds.

Examples of these frauds, include but are not limited to, FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES, FRAUDULENT Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), FRAUDULENT DERIVATIVES, FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS, FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS[[1]](#footnote-1), VIOLATIONS OF ANTITRUST LAWS and where all of these FRAUDS require superior knowledge of Law, as only LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW posses. The Criminal Operatives, disguised as ATTORNEYS AT LAW with LEGAL DEGREES, are nested deep inside Government at Key Posts in order to COVER-UP the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION’S **ILLEGAL** **LEGAL CRIMES** and those operatives now are deeply embedded throughout the entire United States and New York regulatory agencies, prosecutorial agencies and courts, at the highest levels, as revealed by Anderson. Here comes a political scandal on an International Scale to make Boss Tweed’s New York Tammany Hall look like Juvenile Delinquency.



**Boss Tweed and the Tammany Ring, caricatured by Thomas Nast.
Source: 1870s cartoon by Thomas Nast. Date c.1870s Author Thomas Nast. Cropped by Beyond My Ken URL =** [**http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William\_M.\_Tweed**](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_M._Tweed)

**Anderson’s Testimony before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, Senator John Sampson, Chairman**

MS. ANDERSON: I alleged that upon learning of the DDC's [New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department – Departmental Disciplinary Committee’s] pattern and practice of whitewashing and routinely dismissing complaints leveled against certain select attorneys --- to the detriment of the public that the DDC is duty-bound to serve --- I reported this wrongdoing pursuant to my rights under the First Amendment to the United States constitution and, importantly, my own ethical obligations under the New York State Code of Professional Responsibility.

In response, however, rather than attempting to address and rectify the problem, my supervisors embarked upon a campaign of abuse and harassment of myself, including a physical assault on myself by the first deputy, Sherry Cohen.

My one recommendation that I would like to make, however, is on the last page, which is I think that the Policy Committee should be disbanded, for the simple reason that it is rife with conflict…

SENATOR PERKINS.: Can I ask a question? Just so I’m clear, because (a) you're saying that preferential treatment in this decision-making, in this process, that there are those who, because of their stature or their connections, are not prosecuted or investigated or whatever the appropriate terminology is?

MS. ANDERSON: Or handled lightly.

SENATOR PERKINS: Or handled lightly. I just want to be clear that that's what you’re saying.

MS. ANDERSON: Yes.

Further from Anderson’s Sworn Statement to the NY Senate Judiciary Committee[[2]](#footnote-2) and leading Justice Officials, quote,

Monday, September 21, 2009, Christine C. Anderson Letter **“Re: Request for Federal Investigation Into Allegations of Corruption and Witness Intimidation and Appointment of Federal Monitor”** [The Statement Addressed directly to all of the following parties]

The Hon. Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General of the United States Office of the Attorney General

The Hon. Preet Bharara, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York United States Department of Justice

The Hon. William M. Welch II, Chief, Public Integrity Unit United States Department of Justice

The Hon. John L. Sampson, Chairman, New York State Senate Judiciary Committee

Gentlemen:

My name is Christine C. Anderson. For six and one-half years, I was a Principal Attorney of the New York State Appellate Division, First Department’s Departmental Disciplinary Committee (the “DDC”). The DDC is responsible for investigating and disciplining attorneys found guilty of misconduct in representing the public in the Bronx and Manhattan. After discovering and reporting of acts of misconduct and corruption at the DDC, which acts constituted an abuse of power and a fraud upon the public, my employment was summarily terminated in June, 2007…

Specifically, I discovered and reported that employees of the DDC had engaged in, inter alia, the “whitewashing” complaints of misconduct leveled against certain “select” attorneys and law firms. This “whitewashing” sometimes involved burying cases or destroying evidence, so that certain complaints were inevitably, unavoidably, dismissed. I witnessed this destruction of evidence myself. Other reported misconduct involves victimizing attorneys lacking privileged positions or connections.

Although the then Chief Counsel of the DDC, Thomas Cahill [Defendant in the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit], stepped down in 2007, evidence clearly establishes that under the leadership of Alan Friedberg [Iviewit filed Criminal Complaints Against Friedberg], the current Chief Counsel, the same practice of corruption and whitewashing of complaints continues. Such practice robs the public of any hope at justice; it also works to the detriment of the very public the DDC is duty-bound to serve.

During the course of my litigation against the DDC, a former colleague of mine, who still works as a Principal Attorney at the DDC, agreed to testify on my behalf at a deposition. This former colleague, Nicole Corrado, has been employed by the DDC for approximately eight years, prior to which she worked as a prosecutor for New York State. On the morning of her deposition, however, while en route to her deposition, Ms. Corrado was approached on the street by a supervisor at the DDC, who threatened and intimidated her with respect to her upcoming deposition testimony. Although terribly shaken, Ms. Corrado nonetheless sat for her deposition and testified truthfully. Following her deposition, however, Ms. Corrado has been subjected to further harassment and intimidation at the hands of the DDC. She has been forced to take a leave of absence as a result.

On June 8, 2009, I testified at a hearing convened by John L. Sampson, New York State Senator and Chairman of the New York State Standing Committee On The Judiciary. (A copy of my affidavit submitted to the Committee is attached hereto as Exhibit B.)…

The detailed testimony presented by innumerable witnesses at the June 8th Senate hearing reveals the manifold reports of corruption and abuse by the State’s Disciplinary Committees. (A copy of the transcript of this hearing is attached hereto as Exhibit C.) Charges included concealment of evidence, obstruction of justice, extortionate sexual threats by attorneys, pilfering of estates by attorneys, abuse of power, fraud, conspiracy and repeated violations of state and federal constitutional rights.

In light of the foregoing, it is plain that the enduring practice of allowing attorneys in this state to police themselves is fundamentally flawed. With the numerous reports of abuse by both attorneys and state officials, the corruption in the court system has reached a critical stage. Accordingly, I respectfully request that you authorize the appointment of a Special Prosecutor to investigate the epidemic of honest services fraud in the New York state court system, and the appointment of a Federal Monitor, to oversee the lawful operation of the same.

[The Letter Was Copied to the Following Parties]

The Hon. David A. Paterson

New York State Governor

Office of the Governor of New York State

The Hon. Boyd M. Johnson III

Deputy United States Attorney for the

Southern District of New York

Public Corruption Unit

United States Department of Justice

The Hon. Loretta A. Preska

Chief U.S. District Judge

United States Courthouse

Southern District of New York

The Hon. Andrew M. Cuomo

New York State Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General of New York State

The Hon. Luis A. Gonzalez

Presiding Justice, New York State Appellate Division,1st Department

The Hon. Joseph M. Demarest, Jr.

Assistant Director in Charge, New York Division

Anderson’s WHISTLEBLOWING ALLEGATIONS provide an explanation into more than Corruption in the Courthouse and Public Offices, but also to just how Wall Street/Fraud Street has Melted Down, exposing a Conspiratorial Controlled Demolition that has obliterated Countries in the process, including the United States. A Conspiracy designed to profit a CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE, with legal cover from a legal system the CRIMINALS have taken over and disarmed. ILLEGAL and COMPLEX FINANCIAL CRIMES perpetrated by those in seats of power in Justice and Public Office, used to steal TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS from World Markets, using the Courts, Regulators and Prosecutors Offices in conjunction with Lawmakers to Aid and Abet the CRIMES.

Anderson’s Whistleblowing exposes further, why and how NOT A SINGLE PROSECUTION of the Criminals has occurred for any of the crimes, evidencing that behind ALL of these COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES are Law Firms and Attorneys at Law acting in criminal capacity to defeat Law and Justice. Anderson fingers the very Attorneys at Law, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judicial Officials, including those who regulate Attorneys at Law licensed on WALL STREET, including Members of This Court, who have partaken in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES and FELONY CRIMINAL COVER-UPS as further defined herein and in prior Motions submitted to this Court. Whistleblowing Exposure of GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION STATEWIDE AND FEDERALLY on a scale never before seen, leading to ECONOMIC RUINS upon this Country and the World, for the profit of a few, those in control of law and order in our country.

Anderson reveals that these CRIMINAL Attorneys at Law have infiltrated and planted deep into Justice, at every level, acting purely to disable Justice and suppress any Whistleblowers. With JUSTICE DISABLED, a free for all of criminal activity and havoc has since occurred. Effectively they have **PLOTTED AND EXECUTED A TREASONOUS & TRAITOROUS Coup D’état TO DISABLE OUR SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES and system of jurisprudence DESIGNED TO PREvent THESE most serious high CRIMES. our federal constitutional REPUBLIC DISABLED and in danger from those IN positions of POWER, those entrusted and in charge of upholding law and our constitution, almost all licensed attorneys at law.**

Sounds like a no brainer, a Treason almost too big to believe, by the Leaders of Our Government, sustainable only if you can disable Justice forever. If Justice however is restored and trials of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRATORS had, the ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, REGULATORS, JUSTICES and LAWMAKERS OF BOTH PARTIES, involved in the CRIMINAL CARTEL, if found guilty, may very well hang for TREASON, ECONOMIC WAR CRIMES, WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, MURDER and TORTURE[[3]](#footnote-3). The punishment for many of these crimes, when PROVEN IN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL COURT OF LAW, ONE FREE OF CONFLICTS AND CRIMINALS, is the DEATH PENALTY. For the sheer magnitude of crimes committed, including but not limited to, the atrocities of torture and mass murder, already committed and aided by Criminal Members of the US Government, including Members of this Court, in many of the States, Federally and Internationally the DEATH PENALTY MOST CERTAINLY WILL BE SOUGHT.

In order to understand how the US AND WORLD ECONOMIC COLLAPSES were not the result of organic economic factors, such as a Recessions or a Depressions but are instead occurring due to CONSPIRATORIAL MARKET RIGGING, PRICE GOUGING, ANTITRUST ACTIVITIES, COMPLEX FINANCIAL FRAUDS and MORE, one must fully grasp the riveting FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS leveled by Anderson and other inside Whistleblowers. **Allegations by insiders against virtually the entire framework of Justice and Regulation, both State and Federal**, exposing the underbelly of a RICO CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE composed mainly of LAW FIRMS and ATTORNEYS AT LAW, in both PUBLIC and PRIVATE SECTORS. Exposed from the inside out and revealing a “Good Ole Boy” CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE throughout KEY GOVERNMENT POSTS, **perverting the highest outposts of Justice and Law.**

Additionally exposed are Attorneys at Law working in Private Practices and inside CORPORATIONS CONTROLLED BY THE CARTEL, to benefit the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, the “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” Anderson refers to in her Trial Testimony. These Private Practice Attorneys at Law, act as Corporate Counsel to Companies they have interests in, who are the business benefactors’ of the Crimes[[4]](#footnote-4). One can spot some of them walking off with HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS of BOGUS BONUSES, while BANKRUPTING FORTUNE 100 COMPANIES, bedrocks of American Business.

These Private Criminal Attorneys at Law acting on behalf of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE also have infiltrated and infested the banking, financial, insurance and rating firms, all combining in coordinated conspiracy to Demolish World Markets. While ILLEGALLY fixing their bets timely to profit through the Fraudulent Controlled Demolitions of Economies and Companies, Vulturizing the Carcasses of those destroyed, PROFITING OFF HUMAN SUFFERING. They work to Illegally Quash Competitors as seen in the Banking Industry and then take them over for pennies on the dollar (ie Lehman, AIG, etc.) or let them dissolve entirely and pick the bones at auction, the shareholders destroyed.

Corporations Controlled by the Cartel also have Illegally Monopolized Industries through Violations of Antitrust Laws, and again we find Justice asleep at the wheel, while Millions of Small Businesses and the middle class are CANNIBALIZED. The list of crimes goes on and on, the ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES now VICTIMIZING MILLIONS OF SMALL BUSINESSES and HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF PEOPLE worldwide, all achieved through Fraud, War Crimes and Treason, to the benefit of an exclusive few. This type of Conspiratorial Attack on Financial Markets and World Markets through CRIMINAL ACTIVITY that has now directly victimized Hundreds of Millions of People Worldwide constitutes FINANCIAL TERRORISM. Financial Terrorism is an illegal form of Warfare/Eugenics[[5]](#footnote-5)and[[6]](#footnote-6)and[[7]](#footnote-7) listed as War Crimes in the Geneva Convention, the US Criminal Code, International Law & International Treatises, again, further crimes with life sentences or the DEATH PENALTY for punishment. From footnote 5 herein, quote, “To call what is happening a ‘financial terrorist attack’ on the United States, is not using hyperbole, it is the technical term for what is currently occurring.” So here we have not Osama Bin Laden attacking the United States and World Markets but had Osama stolen off with TRILLIONS IN LOOT, certainly Americans would want a TRIAL and would want to RECOVER EVERY LAST PENNY OF THE STOLEN BOOTY. So what makes these US TERRORISTS special, nothing, they have simply committed their crimes under the Cloak of the US Government and violated the TRUST of the PEOPLE, as well as OUR ALLIED NATIONS.

Anderson, again, a seasoned New York Supreme Court Attorney who worked in the New York Supreme Court Attorney Criminal Misconduct Regulatory Department, the very one charged with regulating Wall Street/Fraud Street Attorneys at Law, exposed how the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION operates and then shields themselves from prosecution in criminal scheme after criminal scheme. The RICO Organization is composed mainly of a small but powerful and influential group of DIRTY and UNETHICAL Law Firms and Attorneys at Law, operating virtually free of Prosecution. Actually, they act “Above the Law,” due to their illegal misuse of their privileged positions as Attorneys at Law both in and Private Practice and disguised as Public Officials to facilitate the crimes prosecution free. As Public Officials, they control and regulate virtually the entire System of Jurisprudence, misusing their TRUSTED positions to block any actions against the RICO Enterprise they belong to, including Whitewashing Attorney Criminal Complaints for its members, as Anderson reveals.

How do those charged with upholding law instead disable and sabotage the rule of law? By simply violating their SWORN OATHS OF OFFICE and MISUSING THEIR PUBLIC TRUST AS ATTORNEYS AT LAW then Covering Up the crimes of the CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION when complaints are filed against them, effectuated through never-ending Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct/Ethic Codes and State & Federal Law, as described by Whistleblower Anderson and others.

The Anderson sworn testimony of a massive government corruption gains further substantiation from corroborating evidence already presented to this Court, from yet another HEROIC New York Supreme Court Veteran Sr. Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower, Nicole Corrado Esq., (“Corrado”). Corrado also works for the NEW YORK SUPREME COURT in the ATTORNEY CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENT, again, the REGULATORY DEPARTMENT that regulates WALL STREET/FRAUD STREET ATTORNEYS AT LAW. In fact, the ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY that Anderson and Corrado work for is one of the highest outposts of legal regulation in New York and perhaps the nation. According to Anderson and Corrado, SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS of the New York Supreme Court Attorney CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT and Disciplinary Departments, intentionally and in conspiracy with other Senior Public Officials, worked to disable a number of State & Federal Agencies by “WHITEWASHING” complaints according to Anderson, CRIMINAL and ETHICAL complaints, including those filed against their friends in high places. The “WHITEWASH” of complaints achieved by Obstructing Justice, Destroying Evidence in Federal and State Proceedings, Threatening Federal Witnesses and more, all committed by PUBLIC OFFICIALS, as further evidenced herein. Further cover-up corruption in the Anderson Lawsuit, in the most extreme form, occurs when a Senior Official of the New York Supreme Court, in classic Racketeering behavior, THREATENS Federal Witness Corrado to silence her, according to Sworn Statements already submitted and docketed in the Court Record of Anderson and this Lawsuit.

Yet another Heroic Whistleblower that testified at the New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings with Anderson and Plaintiff comes from a Sitting New York State Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Duane A. Hart, Esquire[[8]](#footnote-8). In his Testimony, Hon. Hart refers to the heads of the ETHIC Departments in New York, the highest outpost of Attorney at Law Criminal and Ethical Misconduct Complaints in the following demeanor, quote,

SENATOR PERKINS: Yeah, thank you so much. I have to run, but I just want to ask one quick question. So what's the solution?

JUSTICE HART: Well, firstly, you have to fire Tembeckjian [Robert H. Tembeckjian Administrator and Counsel, New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct] and Friedberg [Alan W. Friedberg, Chief Counsel, New York Supreme Court First Department Appellate Division - Departmental Disciplinary Committee[[9]](#footnote-9)]. I mean, I've got to tell you, I’ve been a trial attorney or a judge, again, pushing 30 years. **The only reason that Robert Tembeckjian, in my opinion ~- so I don't get sued – isn’t the sleaziest attorney I’ve ever met is because I’ve met Alan Friedberg. (Laughter)**

…

JUSTICE HART: Well the system – if the system works properly, it's fair. But anyone, any system that doesn't have the goodwill of the people who are running it behind it is going to fail no matter what you do.

So while I agree with my friend Senator Perkins that this isn't about character assassination, it's about getting a fair, equitable system -- and frankly, in the hands of people like Mr. Ternbeckjian and Mr. Friedberg, you'll never have it. You could put whatever ---you could change the system however you want, you’ve got to have people in there who are fair, who are ethical.

I mean, again, my -- Mr. Tembeckjian -- and again, I believe I submitted it to you on an earlier day, when my brother told Mr. Tembeckjian that he had to follow certain a rule of ethics [a certain rule of ethics], Mr. Tembeckjian actually wrote back to my brother saying that there are no ethics that he has to follow. And -- am I correct?

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON:

I hear your point, Your Honor.

 A Shakespearean Legal Comedy/Tragedy of Government Corruption Gone Wild now exposed by these WHISTLEBLOWERS, a TOTAL DESECRATION OF LAW TOP DOWN, very similar to the current situation in this Court, with JUSTICES OF THIS COURT ILLEGALLY HANDLING THIS LAWSUIT WHILE BEING CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF REGARDING THEIR INVOLVEMENT IN THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE EXPOSED. The Testimonies from CREDIBLE EYE WITNESS EXPERTS working within the LEGAL SYSTEM expose what is happening in this Court and the Prosecutorial Offices, as a situation analogous to with what happened to Concentration Camp Victims in Nazi Germany who pleaded to the NAZI Courts and the Gestapo for Justice, complaining of the Abuses & Torture of the Camp Guards and the Gestapo. As all those they pleaded to for help were part of the NAZI COUP, the Victims therefore stood no chance of Justice wherever they turned, completely denied Due Process or Procedure, just as in this Court and the Agencies fingered by Anderson, including Members of the Department of Justice.

## The Controlled Demolition of World Markets by Attorneys at Law operating as a criminal rico enterprise and infiltrating senior pUBLIC offices, including within the department of justice and the courts

In order to fully understand how the country is being robbed, and by whom, one must understand the FINANCIAL FRAUDS ONGOING on Wall Street/Fraud Street through the eyes of the Whistleblowers, FRAUDS that are destroying Main Street, committed by CRIMINAL LAW FIRMS, filled with CRIMINALS operating as licensed ATTORNEYS AT LAW in a myriad of Public and Private roles. One sees clearly that behind the Mass of Crimes plaguing our nation are RICO Operatives with LEGAL DEGREES in a variety of CRITICAL ROLES necessary to commit the crimes and evade prosecution. There are Private Sector Law Firms and Attorneys at Law, creating the underlying documentation and contracts necessary for the FRAUDS. These Private Law Firms then have other Criminal Attorney at Law Operatives who often travel through a revolving door into Government Regulatory Agencies with a mission to DE-REGULATE, or in other words, TURN THE OTHER WAY if Red Flags arise. Other Operatives disguised as Lawmakers push Legislation to Lower Regulations and simultaneously water down prosecutorial legislation so as they may operate with the false perception that the crimes were legal. This subversion of the Regulatory Agencies paves the way for the COMPLEX ILLEGAL FINANCIAL FRAUDS to take place without the Public Noticing. Other CRIMINAL RICO Operatives revolve through the Courts in various roles, including dressed as Justices, to bury any legal actions against the Criminal Organization. Other RICO Operatives travel through the Criminal Revolving Door from the Private Law Firms into other Government offices to SUBTERFUGE any PUBLIC AGENCIES where the Victims of their crimes may seek Relief (i.e. DOJ, SEC, FINRA, etc.), further shielding them from PROSECUTION.

Examples of COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES CONCOCTED by these DIRTY ROTTEN UNETHICAL ATTORNEYS AT LAW that directly relate to the ONGOING DEPRESSION THE NATION SUFFERS FROM, include but are not limited to;

1. FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS produced by Attorneys at Law from the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS, whereby AIG for example then sets the stage for a CONTROLLED ECONOMIC CALAMITY in World Markets through these FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS, to
2. FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES and PREDATORY LENDING Contracts, again prepared by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS steeped in FRAUD and sold by the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION CORPORATE PARTNERS, to
3. FRAUDULENT Collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), contracts again created by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS working with Bankers to cause controlled economic calamity with scienter and profiting from the downfall of markets, to
4. FRAUDULENTLY BUNDLED DERIVATIVES, whereby again, we have Lawyers working with Investment Bankers to create FRAUDULENT CONTRACTS to cause controlled economic calamity with scienter and profiting from the downfall of the markets, to
5. FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURES in part caused by all of the above Frauds and whereby HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF HOMEOWNERS and INVESTORS WORLDWIDE are being burned through FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURE DOCUMENTS produced by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS. Foreclosure Documents signed with FRAUDULENT SIGNATURES signed by Attorneys at Law in the Criminal RICO Organizations Foreclosure Mills. Criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law are further disguised as Judges found rubber-stamping the FRAUDULENT CONCOCTIONS and still other Criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law further disguised as Regulators Feigning to be “Asleep at the Wheel” leaving the victims homeless and with massive losses of personal assets from CRIME and with no RECOURSE in the Courts and Prosecutorial Offices as they are run by Criminals[[10]](#footnote-10), to
6. FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS, whereby the losses for the CRIMINAL SCHEMES above were then portrayed to the WORLD as ORGANIC ECONOMIC CALAMITIES NEEDING “BAILOUTS” from the Criminal RICO Organizations Crimes and cited as “TOO BIG TO FAIL”, a term which by definition is a call for BREAKUP THROUGH ANTITRUST LAWS not a reason to make them bigger, to
7. FRAUDULENT DEBT CEILING NEGOTIATIONS TO FURTHER DISABLE MARKETS with the aid of the Criminal RICO Organizations Operatives that subverted the RATING AGENCIES to make all of the above criminal contracts AAA rated[[11]](#footnote-11), Sinking World Markets from the FRAUDULENT RATINGS, to
8. FRAUDULENT WARS OF AGGRESSION based on LIE after LIE for War Profiteering, to
9. ILLEGAL OIL PRICE FIXING and PROFITEERING, to
10. MARKET RIGGING, that has decreased the Middle Class Portfolios to Poverty, to
11. ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS that have wiped out the Middle Class and Small Businesses.

ECONOMIC CALAMITIES caused directly through CONSPIRATORIAL FRAUD. Whereby LAWMAKERS, more aptly, LAWBREAKERS in CONGRESS, almost all Attorneys at Law are bought or placed in their roles through FRAUDULENT ELECTIONS by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE. These LAWBREAKER/CONGRESSIONAL CRIMINALS travel through a REVOLVING DOOR from CONGRESS to the “FAVORED LAW FIRMS” or vice versa, FURTHER ROBBING US CITIZENS by then SHIFTING THE LOSS FOR THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISES CRIMES TO THE PEOPLE and onto the BACKS OF THEIR CHILDREN’S FUTURE. Members of the CRIMINAL RICO LAW FIRMS infiltrate KEY CONGRESSIONAL POSTS, in order to design for example, a MULTITRILLION DOLLAR FRAUD called TARP. Or the Congressional-Criminals create False Debt Ceilings debates to further ROB the PEOPLE through Budget Cuts, mostly cuts in areas where they could be prosecuted for their crimes. The very same Congressional-Criminals that destroyed the ECONOMIES of the WORLD then claim the People need to tighten their belts and cut their “entitlements”[[12]](#footnote-12) as a result of their crimes, whilst their belts burst in Greed. Instead, the People must simply RESTORE JUSTICE, tighten the NOOSES around the CRIMINALS necks and simply RECOVER the STOLEN LOOT from their swaying carcasses, which again, would instantly put the Country back in black overnight.

What do the PEOPLE have from all of these FRAUDS? INTENTIONALLY WRECKED WORLD ECONOMIES, they have been;

1. RIPPED OFF of their home equity by 40-60%,
2. 8 million-20 million homeless,
3. 401k’s and Stock Portfolio’s hammered to nothing,
4. Pensions left in shambles[[13]](#footnote-13),
5. City, States and Counties wrecked by these fraudulent legal instruments and illegal legal scams, and,
6. Food and oil prices fixed to starve the People and wipe out Middle Class incomes.

All directly resulting from Controlled Conspiratorial and Criminal Demolitions and again all UNPROSECUTED. All of the crimes are achievable only with a Degree in Law MisUsed, which becomes a license to steal and look no further for example then the SKYROCKETING Net Worth increases of our Government Elite, our Pork Filled Politicians[[14]](#footnote-14) and their Corporate PIG Partners. Their increase in wealth corresponds almost identically to the amount of monies stolen from the PEOPLE through these swindles and through the RIGGED CRIMINAL COLLAPSES OF ECONOMIES. This uneven distribution/skewing of the money supply between the haves and have not’s due entirely to CRIMINAL ACTS has become worse than perhaps any other point in history.[[15]](#footnote-15)

The CRIMINALS in the RICO Enterprise cloaked as ATTORNEYS AT LAW, act behind the scenes, further profiting from the controlled demolition of the US and Foreign Markets and Fortune 1000 Companies by betting against the PEOPLE with INSIDE INFORMATION that the markets will collapse from each controlled demolition. From the wreckage however, one finds new instant BILLIONAIRES and RECORD CORPORATE PROFITS of a select few, about 1/10 of 1% of the Population, an increase in wealth that almost directly correlates to the TRILLIONS of DOLLARS LOOTED by their CRIMES.

Sky-Rocketing Net Worth Increases of Lawmakers from all Political Parties, (mainly Attorneys at Law), Judges (again almost all Attorneys at Law), Public Officials (again almost all Attorneys at Law, here collecting their compensation upon exiting Public Office in Lucrative Instant Partnerships with the “Favored Law Firms”) and CEO’s of the RICO Enterprise’s Controlled Companies (again almost all Attorneys at Law here taking bonuses for themselves while wiping out shareholders, employees, etc.) All of these CRIMINALS stealing for the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE and themselves hundreds of Billions/TRILLIONS in Salary and Bonuses for the Criminal Enterprise by Robbing, Raping and Destroying America’s “Apple Pie” institutions and running them into the ground. Why these PIGS give themselves Bonuses for; (i) bankrupting Fortune 100 companies, (ii) rigging and destroying world mortgage markets, (iii) rigging and destroying world stock markets, (iv) rigging global economies to collapse[[16]](#footnote-16) and (v) rigging illegal wars of aggression for war and oil profiteering.

All crimes and cover-ups done with scienter against the American People and People Worldwide, all done in criminal conspiracy, all done with the aid of insiders inside the Cogs of Justice and Government. These controlled demolitions of world economies created through FRAUD, have intentionally BANKRUPTED the US and World markets and caused FRAUDULENT ECONOMIC DEPRESSIONS on Citizens worldwide. Again, all of this CRIMINAL HAVOC to the benefit of a CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE, all further caused by MASS CRIMINAL ACTS committed by mostly ATTORNEYS AT LAW and their CORPORATE CLIENTELE, ending with Attorneys at Law behind the TORTURING OF HUMAN BEINGS and WAGING ILLEGAL UNDECLARED WARS OF AGGRESSION.[[17]](#footnote-17) Tens of Thousands being Tortured Worldwide whom are not TERRORISTS, or we would have tried them proudly in our Just System, but instead mostly these people those who oppose the United States’ UNDECLARED and ILLEGAL WARS OF AGGRESSIONS in their Countries, whose PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THEIR COUNTRIES HAVE BEEN STOLEN, dissenters sent to Camp Gitmoschwitz or Abu GraHell or some other Black site. Some of those being TORTURED currently are tortured for their HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING ATTEMPTS, such as PFC Bradley Manning, Julian Assange, Christine C. Anderson, Nicole Corrado, Hon. Judge Hart, etc., whom through PATRIOTIC DISSENT HAVE EXPOSED THE CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATIONS CRIMES. Yet, we know live in a United States where Dissent is no longer a form of Patriotism but rather a Criminal Act.
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## Where is the Justice? THe role of this court in the criminal rico enterprise

Let’s face it, there is no DEPRESSION as the economic numbers would appear to reflect. There is very little organic economic downturn at all, instead what we have here are **CRIMINAL ACTS leading directly to the COLLAPSE of the United States and Foreign Nations for the benefit of 1/10 of 1% of the population.** Where we now find THE KEYSTONE KOPS aka the ACCOMPLICES, composed of Lawmakers, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judges acting like the Three Wise Monkeys who see, hear and speak no evil while pick-pocketing world markets for the Criminal RICO Enterprise aka CRIME INC., which has subverted the United States Government and certain FOREIGN NATIONS in a NEW WORLD DISORDER CONSPIRATORIAL COUP D’ÉTAT. Justice Officials intentionally looking the other way to disable justice, issuing “Get Out of Jail Free” cards for friends and family caught along the way, under the guise of “Immunity” or “Executive Privileges,” all the while lining their pockets in stolen funds and TERRORIZING PEOPLE WORLDWIDE.



Yet, the Crimes and Country are Fixable with a simple return to TRUTH, JUSTICE and the AMERICAN WAY of Law and Order, NO ONE ABOVE THE LAW, which Plaintiff believes is soon upon us, where the office no longer attempts to sanctify the Holder[[18]](#footnote-18) and the monies looted will be RECOVERED for the PEOPLE. For example, with a RICO CRIMINAL SUIT against those who organized and participated in the TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS COUP D’ÉTAT, including CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, acting both within and outside government, which would **RECOVER BACK TO THE PEOPLE TRILLIONS of LOOT of ILL GOTTEN PROFITS** stolen through these TRAITOROUS and TREASONOUS ECONOMIC and WAR CRIMES. A clean sweep of all of the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATIONS ASSETS and INDIVIDUAL ASSETS as RICO permits, just as the Justice Department did in the Gotti RICO Criminal Enterprise, leaving Gotti to die penniless in prison and his La Famiglia Criminal Empire extinct. Yet, where is Justice now despite the mounds of evidence against this Criminal Cartel operating inside and at the helm of our country who have stolen more money from the People than the Mob in the past decade, leading to the true moral decay of our country?

How has Justice been derailed and by whom? Whistleblower Anderson et al., expose the tip of the Iceberg of the underbelly of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE operating inside Government. Revealing a select group of CRIMINALS, again disguised as Attorneys at Law, operating as, State and Federal Justices, United States Department of Justice Officials, New York State Attorney General Officials, District Attorney Officials, New York State Supreme Court Officials and both Federal & State Regulators. This elaborate network of Government Operatives FINGERED BY ANDERSON AND CORRADO, act in conspiracy to SUBTERFUGE ALL Criminal Complaints or Lawsuits that arise against the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION from any victims.

Anderson FINGERS, under sworn oath under G-d, in both Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, that SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS are “WHITEWASHING” ATTORNEY MISCONDUCT complaints, destroying documents, altering records and committing FELONY OBSTRUCTION after FELONY OBSTRUCTION, in both State and Federal proceedings. Blocking Due Process of their Victims and erasing any complaints against the Members of the Criminal Organization. A “Good Ole-Boy” network of Criminals operating inside government.

The Criminal Operatives with legal degrees, upon entering and exiting public offices, swing through a “Revolving Door” of “Favored Law Firms” as described by Anderson. Big payouts are waiting for them in INSTANT PARTNERSHIPS with the “Favored Law Firms” for their time in public DISSERVICE and for their work Aiding and Abetting the facilitation of the Crimes by INTENTIONALLY FAILING TO REGULATE or PROSECUTE. Many of these Criminal Operatives in fact leave lucrative multi-million dollar legal jobs at Major US Law Firms to enter low paying public service jobs with the intent of derailing complaints or disabling regulations in order to facilitate the schemes and collect their payout later in Partnership deals with the law firms the crimes benefit. The “Revolving Door” fully exposed in a microcosm, in the recent slew of so called Ponzi Schemes, which again, with understanding of the behind scenes CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES described by Whistleblower Anderson, one finds evidence instead of CRIMINAL MONEY LAUNDERING SCHEMES OF THE RICO CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE. Again, Attorneys at Law lurking in the background of all these PONZI SCHEMES, pulling all strings of the crimes, in all of the following;

1. the Madoff Ponzi aka the Madoff/MadeUp to Make Off With/RICO Money Laundering Operation where Defendant Proskauer has the “most clients of Madoff” according to Defendant/Proskauer Partner/Pro Se Respondent to the Amended Complaint in the Lawsuit, the multi conflicted, Gregg Mashberg. Further Reports, including the SEC internal audit point the Madoff scheme aka RICO Money Laundering scheme on a former SEC Enforcement Official whom subsequently took a Proskauer Partnership, see linkage @
2. the Stanford Ponzi aka RICO Money Laundering Operation, where Defendant Proskauer is being sued for the entire Stanford damages in a Global Class Action by the VICTIMS. Proskauer further implicated in additional Felony Criminal Activity in Stanford, including coaching Stanford employees to lie to Federal Investigators in a Miami airport hanger captured on tape. Proskauer Partner Thomas Sjoblom further made Illegal Representations to the SEC and he was yet another former SEC Enforcement Official who then jumped to instant Proskauer Partner, see linkage @ . We also find Former Iviewit Intellectual Property Counsel, Defendant in this RICO, Counsel for Defendant Florida Bar in this RICO, the Law Firm of Greenberg Traurig LLP, infamous for Partner Jack Abramoff involved in the Allen Stanford Ponzi[[19]](#footnote-19).
3. the Dreier Ponzi aka the RICO Money Laundering Operation where Defendant Raymond Joao of Defendant Proskauer/Meltzer Lippe, was found putting 90+ patents in his own name and then fleeing to work at Defendant Marc Dreier, currently incarcerated for 20 years,
4. the recent Mortgages LTD Ponzi[[20]](#footnote-20) where we find Former Iviewit Intellectual Property Counsel, Defendant in this RICO, Counsel for Defendant Florida Bar in this RICO, the Law Firm of Greenberg Traurig LLP, infamous for Partner Jack Abramoff.
5. and now, the grand daddy of all crimes, the RIGGED COLLAPSE OF THE US and WORLD ECONOMIES. The market collapses expose an even more massive revolving door, as described in Exhibit 2, hereby incorporated (including the taped conversations with the New York Attorney General’s office) in entirety by reference herein. see linkage @

In all of these Fraudulent Illegal Legal Schemes, we again find CRIMINALS DISGUISED AS ATTORNEYS AT LAW in Key Regulatory Posts, where their failures directly link to the success of the fraud in each case and yet these “Regulators” more aptly “De-Regulators,” then just leave their posts and take INSTANT PARTNERSHIPS at the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION CONTROLLED LAW FIRMS. All of these Controlled Market Demolitions/Ponzi Schemes/Criminal RICO Money Laundering Operations have already been identified to this Court and other Authorities as linked directly to the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein’s TWELVE COUNT, TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR FEDERAL RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN TO THE ANDERSON WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT and named Defendants. The failure of this Court and others notified to notify authorities of this evidence, again constitutes MISPRISIONS OF FELONY(IES), Obstruction and more.

Anderson’s FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS demand immediate investigations of ALL those responsible for the disabling of the Judicial System and Regulatory Oversight Agencies designed to protect US Citizens from Crimes committed by Public Officials, Justices, Prosecutors, Law Firms and Attorneys at Law. Anderson’s allegations reveal there is nowhere to turn at the State or Federal level where Whistleblowers or Victims can pursue claims against these “Protected” Government Officials, where Senior Public Officials are not already in place to block the complaints through continued Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law. CONFLICTS remain “the glue that binds” the crimes from prosecution in the courts and prosecutorial offices, as Victims and Whistleblower are passed back and forth for years between Agencies that intentionally deny and dismiss complaints without fair and impartial due process of law through the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS described by Anderson and the other Whistleblowers.

The Criminals, disguised as Public Officials with Law Degrees, are not lazy, lackadaisical, ignorant, or “asleep at the wheel,” they instead appear this way in order to subterfuge and derail prosecutions, lawsuits and regulatory discipline, while holding the door open for their criminal legal brethren and their criminal clientele as they loot the country and world markets.  **ANDERSON’S ALLEGATIONS EXPLAIN WHY THERE HAVE BEEN NO SUBSTANTIVE PROSECUTIONS, ARRESTS OR TRIALS, OF ANY OF THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS, LAW FIRMS, LAWYERS, JUSTICES AND PROSECUTORS, WHO WITH SCIENTER, AIDED AND ABETTED THE CRIMES COMMITTED ON WALL STREET/FRAUD STREET, ALL CRIMINALLY FAILING TO UPHOLD THEIR PUBLIC OFFICE DUTIES AND LAW. NO JUSTICE WHATSOEVER, DESPITE ABSOLUTE AND OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE OF CRIMES**.

**JUSTICE IS DEAD AND THIS COURT IS A CENTRAL PARTY TO THE COUP D’ÉTAT** and OFFICIALS OF THIS COURT have a DIRECT and heavy hand in aiding and abetting the crimes and COVER-UP of this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit and the crimes committed on Wall Street/Fraud Street that have destroyed Main Street and streets throughout the World. What Court could allow all these Crimes to continue under its very nose, allowing violations of Constitutional and Human Rights, covering up ILLEGAL TORTURE CRIMES and ECONOMIC CRIMES, softening the blow for Ponzi Schemers and Wall Street Banksters brought before the court, a blind eye to victims? The Public need look no further than THIS DIRTY COURT, which has jurisdiction over Wall Street/Fraud Street and the Attorneys at Law on Wall Street where the crimes appear to both begin and end.

OFFICIALS OF THIS COURT have become a central component of the RICO Criminal Organization’s success in both committing these TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS crimes and aiding evading prosecution. The Criminal Acts committed by Officials of THIS COURT by intentionally and with scienter attempting to conceal the evidence of crimes exposed by CREDIBLE WITNESSES in VIOLATION OF LAW, acts as further PRIMA FACIE evidence of this Court’s Felony Criminal Activities.

The failure to CALL IN THE GUARDS after learning of the Anderson, Corrado and Hart FELONY WHISTLEBLOWING CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS, including many of those handling these Lawsuits in Conflict, Violation of Public Office and State & Federal Law, constitutes further FELONY MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES) CRIMES, OBSTRUCTION and more. Members of THIS COURT, instead of following Law however are instead found VIOLATING LAW by attempting to sweep the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS they are fully cognizant of, under the rug, intentionally and with scienter dismissing the Anderson and Related Case Lawsuits prior to LEGALLY REQUIRED INVESTIGATIONS, acting in a hurried effort to hide the crimes and their culpability in them.

**HISTORY OF THE COUP**

As eloquently stated in her Dismissal Order[[21]](#footnote-21) of this RICO & Antitrust Lawsuit, Shira Scheindlin states,

07 Civ. 11196 (SAS)

I. INTRODUCTION

This action presents a dramatic story of intrigue, car bombing, conspiracy, video technology, and murder. In short, plaintiffs allege that hundreds of defendants engaged in a massive conspiracy to violate their civil rights and, in the process, contributed to the Enron bankruptcy and the presidency of George W. Bush. In plaintiffs' words:

“Plaintiffs depict a conspiratorial pattern of fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation, that runs so wide and so deep, that it tears at the very fabric, and becomes the litmus test, of what has come to be known as free commerce through inventors' rights and due process in this country, and in that the circumstances involve inventors' rights tears at the very fabric of the Democracy protected under the Constitution of the United States. [[22]](#footnote-22)”

Defendants characterize the events quite differently:

“For many years, pro se Plaintiffs Eliot I. Bernstein and Plaintiff Stephen Lamont have engaged in a defamatory and harassing campaign ... alleging an immense global conspiracy … Although largely unintelligible, the [Amended Complaint] purports to describe a fantastic conspiracy among members of the legal profession, judges and government officials and private individuals and businesses to deprive plaintiffs of what they describe as their "holy grail" technologies.[[23]](#footnote-23)”

**While I cannot determine which of these descriptions is more accurate…**

If Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin cannot determine which account is more accurate, certainly this leaves the question of if the Presidency of George W. Bush was in fact related to the theft of the Iviewit Intellectual Properties and Iviewit’s direct relation to Defendant Enron/Enron Broadband and their attempted theft of the IP. One must then analyze the relevant facts of Plaintiff’s assertion that a TREASONOUS and TYRANNOUS Coup D’état was preempted by the Iviewit Criminals getting caught and the need to block CRIMINAL LEGAL ACTIONS against them. Plaintiff initially thought that the Coup was planned directly as a result of his inventions, the crimes to steal them being uncovered and the need for these MAJOR US LAW FIRMS to then cover them up. What has since been discovered is that the Coupsters were slowly plotting and planning UN-AMERICAN activities for several decades and had made inroads into the three branches of government for years, with great success but not total control of the US Government, Iviewit would provide the spark to initiate the Power Grab in Toto.

The Coup therefore has evolved over time and culminated in the total takeover of the United States Government starting with the ILLEGAL and TYRANNOUS Bush v. Gore Election Fraud enacted by the Supreme Court, in the 5-4 Vote by the Supreme Court that USURPED the Vote of the PEOPLE. The Supreme Court Members that partook in that TREASONOUS ELECTION FRAUD are the ROOTS of the Coupsters total control of Government from that moment forward. Once the Executive Branch and SUPREME COURT were ILLEGALLY secured in a CONSPIRATORIAL TREASON and a FALSE PRESIDENT BUSH ANOINTED by the SUPREME COURT CRIMINALS, the remaining branches of Government, (Legislative & Judicial) quickly crumbled along with the once Free Press. With the Politicization of the Justice Department by Defendant Former US Attorney General Alberto Gonzales, Justice in America Died. In filing this RICO Lawsuit, as with all LEGAL CONSPIRACIES, where two or more conspire to commit crime, not all relevant facts and players were or are fully known and this remain true as new evidence surfaces daily regarding the tentacles and history of the TRAITORS and their CRIMES.

Plaintiff, in researching the Legal Conspiracy to steal Plaintiff’s inventions[[24]](#footnote-24), has unearthed a more massive Global Power Grab by these same Coupsters who have since the FALSE PRESIDENT BUSH’S ANOINTMENT and TOTAL TAKEOVER OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT have gone on a MASSIVE CRIME SPREE as already described herein, leading to what is being termed WORLD III, where it appears this time, the United States is the host nation to a TYRANNOUS GROUP OF UN-PATRIOTIC, UN-AMERICAN, NAZI FRY LOVING, FASCIST, ELITIST PIGS, CRIMINAL, NEW WORLD DISORDER SELLOUTS OF OUR COUNTRY AND CONSTITUTION. Let us then begin exposing the Coup in stages, from their NAZI PASTS and link them up with the Players currently involved in today’s TREASON with special focus on the Bush Family Secrets that have come to light. Keep in mind that the following items are Not Conspiracy Theories but are Legal Conspiracies steeped in FACTUAL EVIDENCE and TRIABLE as TREASON with a DEATH PENALTY SENTENCE FOR MANY OF THE CRIMES.

1. The Skull and Bones – Bush Family Ties to a Nazi Fraternity imported to the Yale Campus and subsequently leading to other similar cults. Prescott Bush was a member as were both his sons. Masturbatory rituals are steeped in Fascist & Nazi Un-American Cult activities.
2. The Business Plot[[25]](#footnote-25) and The House Committee on Un-American Activities (HCUA) or House Un-American Activities Committee (HUAC)[[26]](#footnote-26) –
3. Law Firms & Bush Family Ties to Fascism & Nazis, Charges of Treason & Trading with the Enemy (the Nazis), Seizure of Properties under [[27]](#footnote-27)and[[28]](#footnote-28)and[[29]](#footnote-29)

"Some Americans were just bigots and made their connections to Germany through Allen Dulles's firm of Sullivan and Cromwell because they supported Fascism. The Dulles brothers, who were in it for profit more than ideology, arranged American investments in Nazi Germany in the 1930s to ensure that their clients did well out of the German economic recovery. . . . "Once the government had its hands on Bush's books, the whole story of the intricate web of Nazi front corporations began to unravel. A few days later two of Union Banking's subsidiaries -- the Holland American Trading Corporation and the Seamless Steel Equipment Corporation -- also were seized. Then the government went after the Harriman Fifteen Holding Company, which Bush shared with his father- in-law, Bert Walker, the Hamburg-Amerika Line, and the Silesian- American Corporation. The U.S. government found that huge sections of Prescott Bush's empire had been operated on behalf of Nazi Germany and had greatly assisted the German war effort." (1)

1. Other Business Plot and Un-American Activity Coupsters Relevant to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit
	* 1. Joseph Proskauer[[30]](#footnote-30)
		2. JP Morgan
2. The Assassination of President John F. Kennedy – New Bush Family Ties
3. The Attempted Assassination of Ronald Reagan – Bush Family Ties
4. The S&L Crimes – Bush Associations[[31]](#footnote-31)
5. The Business Plot II –

The Business Plot II began with the Treasonous Anointment of the George W. Bush Administration through a FALSE ELECTION and other Election Crimes which were RIGGED in the end by the Supreme Court,

“This book is about the culpability of those justices who hijacked Election 2000 by distorting the law, violating their own expressed principles, and using their own robes to bring about a partisan result. I accuse them of failing what I call the shoe-on-the-other-foot test: I believe that they would not have stopped a hand recount if George W. Bush had been seeking it. This is an extremely serious charge, because deciding a case on the basis of the identity of the litigants is a fundamental violation of the judicial oath, to “administer justice without respect to persons…In this book, I marshal the evidence in support of this charge…”

and

"Vincent Bugliosi, the generally moderate former prosecutor known for securing the conviction of the Charles Manson gang, used even stronger language, accusing the Supreme Court's 'brazen, shameless majority' of being a knowing surrogate for the Republican Party instead of being an impartial arbiter of the law.' He characterized these justices as 'criminals in the truest sense of the word' and described their opinion as 'fraudulent.' My Harvard Law School colleague Randall Kennedy called the Supreme Court's intervention 'a scandal' and its decision outrageous. He accused the Court of acting 'in bad faith and with partisan prejudice' and concluded that the high court is 'unworthy of deference.' Scott Turow said the decision was 'the most overtly politicized action by a court that I have seen in 22 years of practicing law' and labeled it 'an act of judicial lawlessness.' Professor Bruce Ackerman of Yale Law School accuse the majority of 'vulgar partisanship.' " [Dershwoitz pg 175]”[[32]](#footnote-32)

The Election Fraud was aided and abetted by Bush’s First Cousin at Fox News, John Prescott Ellis, “The individual responsible for recommending that Fox call Florida for Bush was John Ellis, who led the network's decision desk. Ellis was not a disinterested party in the presidential election, but the first cousin of the Republican candidate and his brother, Florida Governor Jeb Bush. Details emerging since Election Day concerning Ellis's role in the network's decision to call Florida for Bush raise serious questions **as to whether his actions and Fox News's complicity constituted not only a violation of the democratic rights of the electorate, but a criminal conspiracy.**[[33]](#footnote-33)and[[34]](#footnote-34)

Due to the fact that the Presidency was illegal and constituting TREASON against the PEOPLE of the UNITED STATES, if proven and tried, all ANOINTMENTS & APPOINTMENTS in Government from that point forward would be invalidated and all Court Jesters, Prosecutors, Regulators, etc. ILLEGALLY appointed by Bush and now Falsely Elected President Barrack Hussein Obama II would be invalidated instantly. Obama is merely another Coupster with ties to the Bush/Cheney clan of Criminals, as quoted in the Washington Post,

Vice President Cheney is related to Sen. Barack Obama.

At least that was the stunning announcement made yesterday by Lynne Cheney, who said that the very white vice president from Wyoming is in fact the eighth cousin of Obama, the Senate's only African American [more correctly 50% African American & 50% Caucasian] member. She said she discovered the link, traced back to a Huguenot who figured prominently in Maryland history, while researching her latest book.

But his campaign made light of the tie, without confirming it. "Obviously, Dick Cheney is the black sheep of the family," Obama spokesman Bill Burton said. [[35]](#footnote-35)

Upon leaving the Supreme Court for the unheard of reason of taking care of a spouse (normally they are removed after death), after the Treason committed with her consenting vote in Bush v. Gore, at her first public speech, O’Connor at Georgetown University warned of Corruption perverting the Judicial branch, from the Houston Chronicle, “Such judicial bullying, O'Connor pointed out, is how dictators thrive in former Communist and Third World countries. She reportedly added, "It takes a lot of degeneration before a country falls into dictatorship, but we should avoid these ends by avoiding these beginnings."[[36]](#footnote-36)

Plaintiff reminds the Members of THIS COURT OF INJUSTICE that **There is NO IMMUNITY for CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY JUSTICES OR MEMBERS OF THE COURTS, as NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW, despite your CONTINUED efforts to shield your FELONY criminal acts using RIDICULOUS immunity claims**.

# IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL Justices and other Members of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals ( this Court ) whom have currently acted in this Lawsuit in anyway whatsoever, for their part in Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court, Obstruction of Justice, Denial of Due Process and more.

**Continued Criminal Felony Allegations Against Members of this Court**

Plaintiff thanks the InJustices and other Members of this Court who have FINGERPRINTED themselves further for CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, acting to dismiss this Lawsuit through CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, including but not limited to, the Dishonorable Judges, Ralph K. Winter, Jr. Esq., Debra Ann Livingston, Esq., Peter W. Hall, Esq., and Richard C. Wesley, Esq. Other Members of the Court who have illegally acted in these matters, include but are not limited to, Defendant/Witness Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe (Clerk of this Court), Joy Fallek (Administrative Attorney), Catherine J. Minuse (Supervisory Staff Attorrney), Atasha Joseph (Deputy Clerk), Deborah Holmes (Deputy Clerk), Judy Pisnanont (Motions Staff Attorney), and Franklin Perez (?). Each of these Court Officials named herein can take this Motion as Official Notice that each will be added to the list of Defendants in any Amended Complaint or future Lawsuits and criminal charges will be forthcoming against each individual, as well as, added to ONGOING FEDERAL, STATE and INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS. If you are a named party, please report these liabilities to all Personal & Professional Liability Carriers, State Auditors and any other party you are obligated to REPORT LIABILITIES too and that OFFICIAL NOTICE has been given and docketed in the Court Record of this Lawsuit.

Franklin Perez deserves special attention as he appears an untitled and allegedly illegal signor on ILLEGAL AND FRAUDULENT Orders[[37]](#footnote-37) in this Lawsuit, including the FRAUDULENT & ILLEGAL DISMISSAL of this Lawsuit by this Court exhibited already herein. On information and belief, Mr. Perez was a NON-ATTORNEY Operations Analyst (EXHIBIT 2), at the time he signed an alleged FRAUDULENT ORDER in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.

Thank you again for submitting further PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE of YOUR CONTINUED FRAUD ON THIS COURT through issuance of ILLEGAL Orders and other actions, without first removing the identified Plethora of Identified Conflicts of Interest and reporting and demanding investigation of the many other Felony Crimes ongoing in this Lawsuit, constituting Fraud on the Court, prior to adjudication. Additional PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE exists, as Members of THIS COURT fail to act according to well-established MISPRISION OF FELONY laws, once they were aware of CREDIBLE CLAIMS OF FELONY CRIMES, including but not limited to, violation of Laws relating to and AIDING & ABETTING a Criminal RICO Organization, Obstruction of Justice, Threats on Federal Witnesses and more. The Cover-Up by MEMBERS OF THIS COURT of the Felony Criminal Acts exposed by Anderson and levied against Senior Ranking Public Officials, becomes criminal in the failure of THIS COURT to,

1. DEMAND IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATIONS,
2. REPORT THE FELONIES TO ALL PROPER AUTHORITIES,
3. IMMEDIATELY REMOVE ALL CONFLICTS and OBSTRUCTIONS, and,
4. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES WHO HAVE ACTED UNLAWFULLY THUS FAR IN THESE LAWSUITS, as required by Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law.

 This Court’s INTENTIONAL failures constitute continued Fraud on the Court and Felony Obstruction by the JUSTICES and other Members of this COURT on behalf of the Criminal RICO Organization. Obstruction committed through ongoing combined VIOLATIONS of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State, Federal and & International Law, by all those adjudicating this lawsuit and ALL those participating in the defense and prosecution of the Defendants in these matters.

The attached ILLEGAL and OBSTRUCTIONARY ruling to DISMISS[[38]](#footnote-38) this Lawsuit, signed by Franklin Perez for Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, prior to allowing Plaintiff any discovery in the Anderson “legally related” Lawsuit, prior to allowing Plaintiff a single day in Court, attempts to bury the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit on Appeal. Dismissal prior to removing any of the germane Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law or investigating any of Anderson’s allegations, which all preclude Plaintiff from a Fair and Impartial Court of Law thereby denying Plaintiff Due Process entirely. In fact, this case was Dismissed prior to resolution of the “Legally Related” Anderson lawsuit, showing the Courts hurried rulings as merely illegal attempts to cover-up the crimes exposed by Anderson and the “legally related” lawsuits and further deny Plaintiff rights to Discovery and Investigation of the Anderson allegations.

This illegal conduct makes the Court’s DISMISSAL yet another ILLEGAL ATTEMPT to COVER-UP the ONGOING crimes committed by Members of this Court in conjunction with Members of the US District Court Southern District of New York, the New York Supreme Court, the New York Attorney General’s Office, the New York Governor Andrew Cuomo and others. This Court’s failure to Halt this Lawsuit until summoned investigators can investigate Whistleblower Anderson’s Felony Criminal Allegations, exposed in her sworn testimony in US Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, against Members of the US Attorney General’s Office, Members of the District Attorney Offices, Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office (under the leadership of Spitzer, Cuomo and Schneiderman), Members of the New York Court’s, as yet unidentified publically “Favored Lawyers and Law Firms,” and others, stands as clear evidence of continued Obstruction of Justice and more. Then again, Plaintiff does not anticipate that this Court can rule in favor of Plaintiff, as it would result in Members of the Court serving very lengthy FEDERAL PRISON sentences for their part in the RICO. A phenomenon similar to a Concentration Camp Victim appealing to the Gestapo for Justice against other Gestapo members responsible for killing and torturing Camp Victims, the odds of success and fair and impartial due process, nil.

Plaintiff would like to WELCOME all the new Second Circuit Officials who have FINGERPRINTED themselves thus far to the Iviewit Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Kindly take this Motion as further OFFICIAL NOTICE, see Motion to Compel for earlier NOTICE, that you have been CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF, both personally and professionally, to FEDERAL, STATE & INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES and other authoritative disciplinary agencies with oversight of your actions, for FELONY CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS OF LAW. Members of the Court attempt to suppress the CRIMINAL CHARGES by attempting to DISMISS the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, prior to investigators investigating Members of This Court and the others fingered by Anderson, which acts as further evidence of Criminal Acts committed by This Court. This too has been reported to criminal authorities and oversights and Plaintiff awaits their formal responses and ignores the ILLEGAL ORDERS OF THIS COURT UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT ALL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES HAVE FINALIZED THEIR ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS.

Take this Motion as FURTHER OFFICIAL NOTICE that Members of this Court named herein, have, and will continue to be, included in ALL ongoing and future Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Litigations, Criminal Complaints and Appeals/Rehearings of this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Iviewit Lawsuit is a 12 Count 12 Trillion Dollar Lawsuit, and as such, the named Members of this Court are required to report both the Criminal Complaints against them and all current, pending and future threatened legal actions to all those with liabilities that may result from their actions. Reporting these LIABILITIES to all Insurance Carriers, Bond Holders, the State of New York Auditors and to any parties who may incur liabilities from the Members of this Court’s CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES.

This Court has Prima Facie evidence, from **CREDIBLE EXPERT EYEWITNESS WHISTLEBLOWERS** and Knowledge of this **THREAT ON A FEDERAL WITNESS** and other FELONY CRIMES EXPOSED and therefore has **LEGAL OBLIGATION** to the report these FELONY CRIMES and all the other alleged crimes exposed by Anderson and Corrado. Reporting the FELONIES to all proper authorities or be **CULPABLE** of FELONY CRIMES, including but not limited to, MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES), AIDING & ABETTING, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, RICO and more. Plaintiff quotes the following from Anderson’s Motion,

<http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf>

November 16, 2011 Anderson Motion – US District Court

V. Witness Tampering – Threat on Witness in a Federal Proceeding

“42. The Attorney General and the trial court were aware that in August of 2008, one of the plaintiff’s witnesses, DDC staff attorney Nicole Corrado, was threatened.

Two days prior to her deposition testimony, state employee, and [NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE] DDC Deputy Chief Counsel, Andral N. Bratton, and who had been her immediate supervisor for approximately 5 years, confronted Corrado.

43. Following Corrado’s deposition testimony on August 21, 2008, Bratton’s behavior toward Corrado became more **harassing, troubling, frightening and threatening as he began to follow her inside and outside of the state office** where they both worked. Corrado subsequently reported these serious issues to DDC chief counsel Allan Friedberg, Deputy chief Counsel Sherry Cohen, a defendant in the current proceeding, and DDC Chief Investigator Vincent Raniere - all of whom who took no required action.

Other Iviewit News

"Another One Bites the Dust! Defendant in Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson’s Federal Lawsuit, Sherry K. Cohen of the New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee joins Thomas Cahill in early retirement.”[[39]](#footnote-39)

Further, This Court has absolute knowledge and Prima Facie evidence from Anderson and Corrado of these CRIMES through depositions under oath, Testimony and Sworn Statements to the NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE contained in the “Legally Related” Anderson Lawsuit and on record at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee[[40]](#footnote-40). A variety of HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING PUBLIC OFFICIALS also testified to the NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, including but not limited to, testimony alleging a host of FEDERAL OFFENSES, corroborating Anderson’s allegations of Widespread Public Office Corruption. Further irrefutable PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE of FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, in addition to credible evidence provided by Anderson, Corrado and others, has been presented to this Court, including Deposition Testimony in Anderson’s Lawsuit given by former Defendant in Anderson’s Lawsuit and now Witness for Anderson, Defendant in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe ( “Wolfe” ), the Clerk of This Court!

In fact, Wolfe continues to act in OFFICIAL CAPACITY in this Lawsuit, the Anderson Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” lawsuits despite the further myriad of Conflicts of Interest and Law this violates. Wolfe, is also a Defendant and Witness in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit with firsthand knowledge of FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW in the New York Supreme Court. Wolfe was instrumental in having KEY DEFENDANTS in this Lawsuit, including but not limited to, Steven C. Krane, the Former, now Deceased, President of Defendant New York State Bar Association. Steven C. Krane, former Senior Partner in Defendant Proskauer Rose’s Law Firm[[41]](#footnote-41) who was caught by Wolfe handling Supreme Court Attorney Disciplinary and Criminal Complaints for his Law Firm while the SENIOR MEMBER of the Supreme Court Disciplinary Department where the complaints were filed.

These Conflicts and Violations of Law further compel This Court to now Act According to Law to remove all of these Obstructions, itself first confirming no conflicts. In fact, all WHO BORE WITNESS OR HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF THESE FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS of ANDERSON and CORRADO ARE LEGALLY REQUIRED by Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct/Ethics Codes and State & Federal Law to report the crimes or face charges for FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, as further defined herein.

The INTENTIONAL FAILURE TO REPORT THE CRIMES EXPOSED constitutes further crimes that act to AID & ABET the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION and shield it from prosecution. MEMBERS OF THIS COURT adjudicating this Lawsuit thus far, have committed[[42]](#footnote-42), including but not limited to, Misprision(s) of Felony(ies) for failure to report FELONY THREATS ON A FEDERAL WITNESS, TAMPERING WITH A FEDERAL WITNESS, FELONY OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS and more, AS EXPOSED BY ANDERSON and CORRADO. MEMBERS OF THIS COURT CURRENTLY ADJUDICATING THESE LAWSUITS HAVE CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS ALREADY FILED AGAINST THEM for AIDING & ABETTING a CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, MULTIPLE COUNTS OF FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MULTIPLE COUNTS OF FEDERAL MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES) and much much more.

Yet, despite all these facts that FORCE DISQUALIFICATION of MEMBERS OF THIS COURT acting in Violation of Law, they continue to fail to act according to law, in continued attempts to cover up the FELONY CRIMES exposed by Anderson and Corrado and those contained in this RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Crimes directly involving MEMBERS OF THIS COURT and their legal brethren, purposefully suppressed and buried by the very ACCUSED, because reporting the crimes as proscribed by law would expose MEMBERS OF THIS COURT and their LEGAL BRETHREN as key players of the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION and the onion would peel.

One can only expect THIS COURT, now caught in the act of Aiding & Abetting a Criminal RICO Organization and Obstruction of Justice, fingered by INSIDERS, to ILLEGALLY RULE IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST and VIOLATION OF LAW to ILLEGALLY DISMISS this Lawsuit, the Anderson Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits to Anderson, which they have. All in efforts to SUPPRESS the CRIMES, where they now include Criminal Allegations against Members of the Court, whereby asking This Court to Rule against itself in these matters, would be akin to asking the accused Members of This Court to Rule to Hang Themselves. In this particular RICO Lawsuit, the RICO PREDICATE CRIMES involve an ATTEMPTED MURDER, commissioned through TERRORIST ACTS against Plaintiff Bernstein, a US Citizen and Inventor. Terrorist Acts, including but not limited to, a CAR BOMBING of Inventor Bernstein’s family minivan (see images at [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv))[[43]](#footnote-43) and other Violations of PRIVACY and PROPERTY RIGHTS[[44]](#footnote-44) by Members of the Courts acting CRIMINALLY against VICTIMS and WHISTLEBLOWERS, including Defendants in this Lawsuit.

IMMEDIATE Disqualification of Justices and other Members of the Second Circuit Court who have acted in Violation of Law, Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court. In seeking DISQUALIFICATION of the current JUSTICES OF THIS COURT, PLAINTIFF ALSO DEMANDS FULL REMOVAL OF ALL PRIOR RULINGS and ORDERS, ALL tendered in Conflicts of Interests, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law as already described herein.

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?" Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. Liteky v. U.S., 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp., 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); United States v. Balistrieri, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." Taylor v. O'Grady, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In Pfizer Inc. v. Lord, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", Levine v. United States, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing Offutt v. United States, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." Taylor v. O'Grady, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." Balistrieri, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. **Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge.** Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

**Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is in violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. United States v. Sciuto, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").**

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

**The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution.** If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

**Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts.** Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Failure by ALL Parties to this Lawsuit, including the Justices of this Court, to Affirm or Deny Conflict to Opposing Counsel, Pro Se Bernstein, as repeatedly requested by Plaintiff since day one of the Lawsuit, in order to assure fair and impartial Due Process, constitutes further FRAUD ON THE COURT. After Anderson’s claims of “Favored Lawyers and Law Firms” operating in the Court System to Obstruct Cases through FEDERAL & STATE FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, THREATENING A FEDERAL WITNESS and MORE, this Court is obligated to PROVE to PLAINTIFF that NO CONFLICTS EXIST. The lack of ability to identify at this time whom Anderson refers to absolutely necessitates ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW and LAW FIRMS involved in these matters to be screened to know if they are CONFLICTED and one of the yet unidentified “Favored Lawyers and Law Firms.”

Where there should be no Conflicts of Interest in the Lawsuit, we now witness admission of Conflict with a Central Defendant in the RICO, the New York Attorney General’s Office and this hidden and concealed conflict that has perverted this case is now further cause for all parties to be screened for conflict. Where Plaintiff has requested COI’s be signed by all Parties prior to adjudicating this matter and has been REPEATEDLY ignored, there can be no reason not to sign one now with the Anderson allegations exposed and the Admission of Conflict by the New York Attorney General. Certainly, as there are to be no conflicts by any Party to the Lawsuit, everyone should have no problem signing.

Plaintiff Presumes at this point in this Motion, NEW Non-Conflicted Justices of This Court, Non-Conflicted Counsel for Defendants and Non-conflicted State Officials are reading this Motion and have signed a Conflict of Interest Disclosure as attached, assuming liability if discovery of Conflict is found. Plaintiff presumes a timely response to this Motion but disregards any Order or Edict proffered by Members of This Court without assurance of a conflict free forum going forward, from all those adjudicating these matters further, including the following requests on This Court. Assurances in the form of a signed verified and notarized, Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form, as the one attached herein, which is returned by Certified Mail to Plaintiffs Address at 2753 NW 34th St. Boca Raton, FL 33434 prior to ANY ACTION by this Court or in conjunction with any further action.

# Remand, Halt and Rehear this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit due to the New York State Attorney General’s now Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest both past and present, in acting ILLEGALLY as Counsel for 39 plus State Defendant/Actors in this Lawsuit by Violating Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law.

------

At this time, the New York Attorney General’s Office should have already noticed this Court of Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest, if not, here again would be reason for further CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against the Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office for further Obstruction charges. On April 14, 2011, James Rogers, Esq. Special Counsel and Senior Advisor to New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman, ADMITTED and ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest for both himself personally and the New York Attorney General’s Office. Conflicts of Interest, which precluded both Rogers and the AG’s office from handling or even speaking about any matters related to Iviewit and Eliot Bernstein’s Criminal Complaints and this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit before THIS COURT. These recently ADMITTED & ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest have existed in this Lawsuit for the New York Attorney General since the initiation of the Lawsuit. Despite this Court and the District Court’s knowledge of these Conflicts, as they have been Petitioned repeatedly since day one of this Lawsuit by Plaintiff of the Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law by the New York AG illegally allowed. A multitude of Conflicts were identified, which This Court and the US District Court should have precluded and removed but instead allowed the illegal acts to continue and infect this proceeding, further evidence of FRAUD ON THE COURT by Members of the Courts.

The taped phone calls between Eliot Bernstein and Governor Cuomo’s office with Emily Cole, Steven Michael Cohen[[45]](#footnote-45) and the New York Attorney General’s offices with Rogers ultimate ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of Conflicts of Interest are located at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFlEIp6E> , hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein. A formal letter memorializing the calls with the New York Attorney General Office with additional Criminal Complaints against new participants in the RICO Criminal Complaints filed can be found at the following URL’s, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein,

 <http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=588>

and

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20110520%20FINAL%20NY%20AG%20ADMITTED%20CONFLICT%20OF%20INTEREST%20and%20CRIMINAL%20COMPLAINTS%20CUOMO%20and%20COHEN.pdf> .

The admission of Conflicts of Interest has now forced the NY Attorney General’s office to refuse to further handle or even speak to Plaintiff regarding the Criminal Complaints filed with their offices or this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Attorney General instead seeking INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL to represent their offices forward and INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED PROSECUTORS to investigate the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS before them, including those naming Members of this Court. KUDOS goes to the integrity of Scheinderman’s Attorney General Office and Mr. Rogers for admitting that the New York Attorney General’s Office is ABSOLUTELY CONFLICTED and seeking INDEPENDENT PARTIES to represent and investigate these matters forward. The admissions and removal of the AG from Conflict breakdowns one of main conflicts in the WALL OF OBSTRUCTIONARY CONFLICTS obstructing this Lawsuit. Prior New York Attorney General’s Spitzer and Cuomo, flagrantly and with SCIENTER violated Conflict rules and with the blessing and APPROVAL FROM MEMBERS OF THE COURTS.

The Admission and Acknowledgement of Conflicts of Interest are reason for This Court to IMMEDIATELY REMAND this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, back to the US District Court for rehearings. REHEARINGS free of ALL Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, by ALL parties, which has polluted the first hearing (if you can call FRAUD ON THE COURT a hearing) of these matters. Members of This Court that have handled this case thus far, similarly must now DISQUALIFY themselves from handling this Lawsuit as they too are CONFLICTED, being accused in the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS of AIDING & ABETTING the former ATTORNEY GENERAL’S via OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN THESE PROCEEDINGS. Hopefully, henceforth, the NEW JUSTICES of THIS COURT ruling in the future on these matters, including this request to REMAND the Lawsuits back and issuing an EDICT on this MOTION, have already signed the attached CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE PRIOR TO RULING. To rule or act further without a signed Conflict of Interest disclosure will result in NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES filed. Further, any new members of This Court who comply with the Conflicts Check, the first order of business should be REPORTING all PRIOR JUSTICES, the DEFENDANT NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERALS and MEMBERS OF THIS COURT for their part in AIDING & ABETTING a CRIMINAL RICO ORG, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES) and more.

# Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson’s Felony Criminal Allegations against SENIOR Court Officials, Public Officials and more.

This Court now has brand new knowledge of CRIMINAL ACTIVITY submitted with PRIMA FACIE evidence herein, including CREDIBLE EYEWITNESSES SWORN STATEMENTS, SWORN TESTIMONY IN FEDERAL COURT and TAPED ADMISSION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST in these matters, and must now report these NEW FELONY CRIMES. Failure to report these NEW CRIMINAL ACTS will result in further Felony Criminal Charges for MISPRISION OF FELONY, AIDING & ABETTING A CRIMINAL RICO ORIGINATION and other VIOLATIONS of STATE, FEDERAL & INTERNATIONAL LAW. Whistleblower Anderson, again, a Supreme Court of New York Attorney expert in ATTORNEY MISCONDUCT COMPLAINTS, presented Corroborating evidence to this Court of Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law by the New York Attorney General. In Anderson’s Notice of Motion to Disqualifying the Office of the New York State Attorney General from Representation of Defendants, which can be found at the URL’s,

<http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf>

and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=391> , fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein. ALL APPLICABLE and RELEVANT ARGUMENTS regarding the Misconduct contained within the Anderson Motion to DISQUALIFY the ATTORNEY GENERAL from the Anderson Lawsuit, are wholly incorporated herein in entirety for consideration in this Motion to DISQUALIFY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FROM THESE PROCEEDINGS. The New York Attorney General’s Office and current and former members of the office already Defendants in these matters should remain in the case as Defendants only and need now seek INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED Attorneys at Law to represent them in their PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL capacities.

With the removal of the New York Attorney General as Counsel to the State Actors/Defendants, each State Official must now retain new, NON CONFLICTED COUNSELORS, one to represent them personally and one professionally. Anderson’s Motion to DISQUALIFY the Attorney General for ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS in her Whistleblower Lawsuit and in Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel show that New York State Funds and Resources are being illegally used to ILLEGALLY represent PUBLIC OFFICIALS in their PERSONAL capacities, in Violation of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules and Regulations and State and Federal Law. Again, attempts by THIS COURT to continue to allow these ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS, THEFT, and FRAUD of PUBLIC RESOURCES by STATE OFFICIALS, by failure to end these crimes in THIS COURT or even report the CRIMES as mandated by Law to Criminal Authorities will result in ADDITIONAL CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST MEMBERS OF THIS COURT.

As already described herein, the Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson exposes a multitude of CRIMINAL FELONY ACTIVITIES of PUBLIC OFFICIALS and COURT OFFICIALS directly related to the adjudication of this Lawsuit and several of those FINGERED PUBLIC OFFICIALS ARE DEFENDANTS IN THIS LAWSUIT. CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS have therefore been lodged against those named officials fingered by Anderson and those “caught with their hand in cookie jar” feeding on the trough of corruption, including Members of This Court named herein who have Aided & Abetted these corrupt practices through CRIMINAL FELONY ACTS in efforts to bury these Lawsuits without giving them a day in court. With the REMOVAL of the New York Attorney General office for admitted Conflicts of Interest that preclude their future involvement, other than as Defendant, in both this Lawsuit and the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS filed with their offices, which now need Independent Investigators to investigate, this case must be REMANDED back to the US District Court for IMMEDIATE REHEARING. REHEARING must start with CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS to determine who exactly is involved in the Conspiracy within the Courts described by Anderson, as Anderson named several key players and yet left several of the agencies and court personnel unidentified.

Conflicts of Interest and multitudes of Violations of State & Federal Law already identified to the Courts in this Lawsuit, Anderson’s suit and the “Legally Related” Anderson lawsuits, especially those where the New York Attorney General Illegally Represents State Defendants, must be re-evaluated. The Attorney General’s Conflicts of Interests have melted into an orgy of Obstruction committed by ALL PARTIES REPRESENTING the Defendants and ALL THOSE HEARING this LAWSUIT that are licensed ATTORNEYS AT LAW, all acting in Collusion to Deny Due Process to Plaintiff, Anderson and the “Legally Related” lawsuits.

Anderson’s claims, include but are not limited to, allegations against Members of Agencies and the COURTS who have been directly involved in alleged CRIMINAL ACTIVITY and are also DEFENDANTS in this Lawsuit, Plaintiff Demands this Lawsuit be REMANDED back to the US District Court for REHEARING in conjunction with FULL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING PARTIES named by Anderson as being part of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY[[46]](#footnote-46);

1. The Department of Justice – Office of the Attorney General
2. The New York Attorney General Office
3. The District Attorney Office
4. The Assistant DA Office
5. Thomas Cahill, former Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
6. Sherry Cohen, Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
7. Naomi Goldstein, aka “The Cleaner,” (as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court) Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
8. David Spokony, Deputy Clerk - New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
9. Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Former Clerk of the New York Supreme Court and now CLERK of this COURT, Defendant in this Lawsuit and former Defendant in Anderson’s Whistleblower Lawsuit and now material witness for Anderson
10. Justice Angela M. Mazzarel of the Appellate Division, New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
11. “Favored Law Firms” as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court yet left unidentified
12. “Favored Lawyers”, as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court
13. Steven C. Krane ~ Proskauer Rose
14. Kenneth Rubenstein ~ Proskauer Rose
15. Proskauer Rose
16. Foley & Lardner
17. Michael Grebe – Former CEO of Foley & Lardner during commissioning of the FRAUDULENT PATENTS filed by Foley & Lardner with the US Patent Office, now in Suspension pending investigations of Federal Patent Bar Attorney’s from both Foley & Proskauer by the US Patent Office of Enrollment & Discipline, Director, Harry I. Moatz.

These factors alone relating to the Anderson ALLEGATIONS OF FELONY MISCONDUCT by MEMBERS of PUBLIC AGENCIES and the COURTS whom are directly involved in Plaintiff’s RICO & ANTITRUST, constitute absolute cause to REMAND this Lawsuit back to the US District Court to be IMMEDIATELY HEARD BY NON CONFLICTED PARTIES. Further, Orders to Halt the Lawsuit should accompany the REMANATION , until everyone currently involved in this Lawsuit is both DISQUALIFIED and REPORTED for their part in Aiding & Abetting this MASSIVE FRAUD ON THE COURTS. Then, and only then, can the matters proceed to be heard in a CONFLICT FREE FORUM by CONFLICT FREE PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND COURT OFFICIALS who have signed Conflict Disclosure Forms prior to PROCEEDING, a FAIR & IMPARTIAL COURT OF LAW as GUARANTEED UNDER LAW. YOUR, this COURT’S, failure to provide such GUARANTEE FURTHER will result in FURTHER CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION CHARGES.

# HALT THIS LAWSUIT and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, pending investigations of Whistleblower Anderson’s FELONY CRIMINAL Allegations against Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office, the US Attorney’s Office, the New York District Attorney’s Office, Justices, Officers of the New York Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others, based on FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS in US Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee by NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY/WHISTLEBLOWER/HERO CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON. The Felony Crimes alleged by Anderson, directly relate to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, including having several identical New York State Public Official Actor/Defendants and the allegations are wholly germane to the nexus of the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit Crimes alleged. Further the two lawsuits are “Legally Related” by Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin.

# Remove ALL other Conflicts of Interest currently in place in this Lawsuit in order to impart fair and impartial DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.

Rehearings free of ALL Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal law and there are many more currently in play in this Lawsuit that are directly related to the New York Attorneys General conflicts, which all must instantly cease and further be reported to the proper CRIMINAL authorities. Conflicts that infected and poisoned these hearings from the start, Obstructing Justice, Denying Due Process and perpetrating never ending FRAUD ON THE COURTS through Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, which without this FRAUD ON THE COURT would crumble. The only sane course of action forward in this Lawsuit, where unraveling the Web of Conflicts is now impossible, as Anderson has left a mystery for discovery of who these “FAVORED LAW FIRMS AND LAWYERS” are, is to now DISQUALIFY and REPLACE, ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW, currently acting in ANY Capacity in this Lawsuit. This ORDER to include PRESCREENING ALL those ATTORNEYS AT LAW coming forward to REPRESENT ANY PARTIES, and is also required as they are dually acting as OFFICERS OF THIS COURT, with NEW PRESCREENED FOR CONFLICTS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, JUSTICES and other PUBLIC OFFICIALS with LAW LICENSES.

Parties already identified in Conflict in these Matters and then ruled on by others in Conflict, include but are not limited to all of the Following matters and individuals;

1. Thomas Cahill Complaint for Conflicts of Interest and More ORDERED FOR INVESTIGATION, yet never completed due to further Conflicts, information regarding Cahill can be found at the URL
2. Steven C. Krane
3. Proskauer
4. Foley
5. Foley new Counsel
6. Joao
7. Joao Counsel
8. New York Attorney General

Orders must therefore be issued IMMEDIATELY, by NEW NON-CONFLICTED MEMBERS OF THIS COURT, ALL whom have signed the ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM OR IDENTIFIED ALL CONFLICTS TO OPPOSING COUNSEL for APPROVAL, having all parties, INCLUDING ANY LAWYERS OR LAW FIRMS REPRESENTING ANY PARTIES FORWARD IN THIS LAWSUIT, whom are also ACTING AS OFFICERS OF THIS COURT to be PRESCREENED

TO PRECLUDE ANY FUTURE CONFLICT OF INTERESTS that act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE in VIOLATION OF LAW and PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF OF DUE PROCESS AND PROCEDURE. In this instance, OPPOSING COUNSEL is PLAINTIFF, ACTING PRO SE and so Disclosures may be made for approval by submitting the attached Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form to Plaintiff by ANY PARTY ACTING FORWARD.

# DEMAND that ALL parties to this Lawsuit going forward, including but not limited to, Court Justices & Officials, Attorneys at Law, Prosecutors, Clerks, etc. sign Affirmed Conflict of Interest Disclosures identical to the one attached herein, acknowledging PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES for any violation, prior to, ANY further Action by ANYONE in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.

As Plaintiff is suing the New York State Courts and Members of, the Disciplinary Departments and Members of, the New York State Bar Association and Members of, ANY MEMBER of these organizations cannot hear this Lawsuit. Therefore, the case should be remanded to a Court outside the State of New York and free of any lawyers registered with the New York Courts, as again, this would be further ILLEGAL CONFLICTS and Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes that act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and ILLEGALLY DENY PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS. Plaintiff demands a FEDERAL MONITOR to choose and screen the next venue to re-hear this Lawsuit free of conflicts and violations of law and who can represent as Counsel each Defendant, ALL screened and vetted for ANY Conflicts in Advance of Re-Hearing.

# Demand for Justices of the SECOND CIRCUIT to turn themselves in to State and Federal Criminal Authorities to ANSWER to filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against them and served upon them.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS THIS COURT REPORT THESE INEQUITIES perpetrated through this FRAUD ON THE COURT and FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS to all proper CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES for IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION or face further Obstruction Charges by YOUR continued MISPRISION OF FELONY Offences of which you have direct knowledge of, including but not limited to evidence and sworn testimony of Anderson. Public Officials of the following State and Federal Agencies are directly implicated by Anderson’s allegations in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, including Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office, the US Attorney’s Office, the New York District Attorney’s Office, Justice and Officers of the US District Court Second Circuit, Justices and Officers of the New York Supreme Court, and Senior Officials of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments.

Anderson further claims that “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” are directly involved in the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, of which Plaintiff demands to know which Lawyers and Law Firms this involves and specifically requests that ANY party with a legal degree be mandated to sign a Conflict of Interest Disclosure to assure that none of the, as yet, unnamed parties are those directly involved in these matters.

# alleged crimes ongoing by p. stephen lamont et al. both known and unknown and fraud on us district court DC

By filing a similar action to this Ongoing RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit

# PLAINTIFF SEEKS LEAVE TO AMEND THE AMENDED COMPLAINT TO ADD NEW DEFENDANTS AND NEW ALLEGED CRIMES NEWLY DISCOVERED

Plaintiff seeks leave to Amend the Amended Complaint to add all of the following New Defendants:

 Plaintiff seeks leave to Amend the Amended Complaint to add all of the following New Crimes discovered against the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION initially described in the Amended Complaint:

1. War Crimes – The Coup has plotted Illegal Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People in order to Profit from such Un-American, Un-Patriotic and Illegal Activities, including but not limited to, War Profiteering and Oil Price Fixing,
2. Crimes Against Humanity - The Coup in Illegally Waging Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People have illegally DETAINED, DENIED JURISPRUDENCE and TORTURED tens of thousands of individuals in violation of State, Federal and International Law and Treatise, including but not limited to, the Geneva Conventions. The Coup in Illegally Waging Wars of Aggression based on Lies and Deceit of the American People have illegally MURDERED, MAIMED AND DISPLACED MILLIONS of individuals in Foreign Nations and the United States in violation of State, Federal and International Law and Treatise, including but not limited to, the Geneva Conventions. Further, tens of thousands of those MURDERED and MAIMED in these ILLEGAL WARS of AGGRESSION are the United States and Foreign Nations FALLEN SOLDIERS who have been fighting these ILLEGAL WARS.
3. Economic Terrorism
4. Treason and Sedition

# Relief

Exhibit 1

nda info

Exhibit 2

January 05, 2010 Order Signed by, Franklin Perez, may be part of a much larger FRAUD on the COURTS being committed in the US Second Circuit with the aid of Members of this Court, as revealed in a Lawsuit filed represented by Attorney Ruth M. Pollack, Esquire, titled “In The Supreme Court of the United States - KEVIN G. CHESNEY and LORRAINE CHESNEY, Petitioners v. VALLEY STREAM UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 24, et al., Respondents” filed with the US Supreme Court and found online at

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/58592324/Ruth-Pollack-SCOTUS-Petition-for-Certiorari-on-2nd-Circuit-Court-Fraud?secret_password=&autodown=pdf>

and the filed case at the US Supreme Court and the attached URL are hereby incorporated by reference in ENTIRETY herein.

All arguments contained within the Chesney’s Lawsuit regarding Fraudulent Court Orders pertaining to Franklin Perez and Defendant Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, are hereby further included in this Motion as further PRIMA FACIE evidence of THIS COURT’S CONTINUED & ONGOING OBSTRUCTIONS. Please print this URL’s document and the SUPREME COURT CASE DOCKET and attach as EXHIBIT physically to this Motion, due to the ongoing claims of docket fraud and document fraud as alleged in the Chesney/Pollack case, the Anderson Lawsuit and the Legally Related Lawsuits to Anderson, that may be affecting all these cases and tens of thousands of other US Civil and Criminal Cases. From the Online Filing, quote,

“e) immediate stay of appeal pending criminal investigation into docket fraud, file destruction and conference with judges, and f) stay of appeal pending “resolution of [Petitioners’] anticipated writs of certiorari, mandamus to the United States Supreme Court, based on impossibility of briefing within appeal due to destroyed record and fraudulent Order signed by Operation’s Analyst Franklin Perez for RCW [Justice Richard C. Wesley, Esq.],” and g) a default judgment due to proven tampering, destruction and fake Orders. App. 69-92 This case is unique and shocks the conscience for its total lack of due process under FED. R. CIV. P. at the trial court level and under FED. R. APP. P. at the appellate level. The Second Circuit failed to afford Petitioners with due process in multiple ways in that there were:

1) no docketed, annotated, certified Record on Appeal; App. 106-120

2) no certified transcripts of district court proceedings; App. 106-120 17

3) no original lower court documents as stated on the Second Circuit General Docket as published on PACER;

4) no CAMP conference; App. 106-120

5) no briefing schedule or pre-briefing conference; App. 106-120

6) no oral arguments, even though oral argument was formally requested seven (7) times; App. 106-120

7) no panel of judges or single judge, at least twelve (12) different judges’ names appeared without their knowledge on fake Orders and on the fake General Docket, but no judge or panel of judges ever heard the case or met with the parties; App. 106-120

8) no appearance of this case or any of its seven (7) T-1080 motions by Petitioners appeared on any approved calendars maintained by the Clerk of the Court; App. 93-105

9) no judge’s signature on any documents or purported orders of the Court;

10) no valid orders were issued; in fact, all motions by Petitioners were falsely claimed to have been “sua sponte” denied by the Court, even though none were ever calendared or seen by a judge or a panel of judges as required by FED. R. APP. P; App. 10 a fake “Order” dated, filed by stamp of January 07, 2010 is falsely docketed on the General Docket as 18 “entered” on January 8, 2010, signed by “Operations Analyst Franklin Perez for Judge Richard C. Wesley (RCW by FP).”

App. 7-9 The fake order, miss-mailed to an incorrect address late and post marked four (4) days later to Petitioners’ legal counsel Pollack, contains three sitting judges’ names all in contravention of FED. R. APP. P. § 25(a)(2)(B)(ii). Hence, there never was a briefing “Order” or a “certified” and “mandated” “Order” dismissing (disposing of) this phantom appeal. App. 1-4

11) No judges present on any calendars. According to the Court’s Approved Calendar for the Week of January 4 through January 8, 2010 in the Ceremonial Courtroom (9th Floor), none of the named judges on the fake “order” were “Present” on the date or week indicated. The instant case did not appear on this week’s “approved” calendar. None of the fake orders in the instant case appeared on any of the Court’s corresponding calendars. App. 93-105 Mr. Perez also appears in other cases as “Deputy Clerk Frank Perez.” cf. App. 7-9

12) Staff attorneys with no authority to do so, signed fake Orders and issued them late under unknowing judges’ names and failed to docket the fake Orders.

13) No opposition or lawful participation by pro se Respondent – Respondent school 19 district from April 29, 2009 to date – the duration of the case in the Circuit – resulting in a total default by the school district, a fact never acknowledged by the District Court, Circuit Court or Clerk;

14) Circuit Clerk abducted Petitioners’ case in that she acted as attorney and counsel on behalf of the defaulting school district in violation of FED. R. APP. P. §§ 45 and 45.1. [Clerk’s Duties]

15) Purported Order dated May 5, 2010 that “disposed” of this phantom “appeal” was not seen by any judge or panel of judges, not calendared or entered onto the Court docket, but is purportedly “mandated” on June 10, 2010 and not “docketed” until June 24, 2010. This fake Order was not mandated or sent to and docketed by the district court. App. 1-4, 121

16) No true case manager on the case. The docket reflects at least twelve (12) different “case managers” from several different departments of the Court. App. 106-120

EXHIBIT 1 – Criminal ComplaintS
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1. “Federal Reserve Secretly Loaned Gaddafi & Others $1.2 Trillion Say Monetary Experts - We've given the Fed the power to create paper money, secretly distribute it to political favorites, and thereby sway our stock market and elections,” says Craig R. Smith, founder and Chairman of Swiss America Trading Corporation. In Re-Making Money: Ways to Restore America's Optimistic Golden Age, a new White Paper published August 15 on the 40th Anniversary of Nixon's action, Smith and Ponte call for new ways to again anchor the U.S. Dollar to prevent politicians and the Fed from simply creating trillions out of thin air and using this money for political purposes. The politicizing of paper money, Smith says, is a major cause of today's economic problems: unemployment, near-zero economic growth, inflation, collapsed bubbles such as the housing market, and investor insecurity among them.”

PR Web, August 22, 2011

<http://www.prweb.com/releases/2011/8/prweb8737214.htm> [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The Anderson statement can be found online @ <http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=114> and also submitted to This Court by both Anderson and Plaintiff already, yet, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein in case the documents have been destroyed as depicted by Anderson. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. **NOTE THAT MANY ATTORNEYS AT LAW ARE DIRECTLY CHARGED WITH WAR/TORTURE CRIMES & JUDGES ARE DOING THE COVERING UP.**

July 2011 “Getting Away with Torture - The Bush Administration and Mistreatment of Detainees” Human Rights Watch

<http://www.hrw.org/reports/2005/04/23/getting-away-torture>

and

August 2, 2011 “US judge rules to protect CIA over torture” Press TV

<http://www.presstv.ir/usdetail/192015.html> [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. “The corporate bandits who stole your money while you slept” by Robinson, Matthew B. (2005). Justice Blind? Ideals and Realities of American Criminal Justice (2nd Edition). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

<http://www.justiceblind.com/new/bandits.htm> .

and

“Greed is good: maximization and elite deviance in America By Matthew Robinson, Daniel Murphy”

[http://books.google.com/books?id=e0A5qn32-\_EC&pg=PA78&lpg=PA78&dq=j.p.+morgan+enron+probabtion&source=bl&ots=792GxQNVKn&sig=sv\_lJ558nFmYp8czAzXe4S26aEg&hl=en&ei=XxxWTsn6K5S3tgft5K2pDA&sa=X&oi=book\_result&ct=result&resnum=6&ved=0CEQQ6AEwBQ#](http://books.google.com/books?id=e0A5qn32-_EC&pg=PA78&lpg=PA78&dq=j.p.+morgan+enron+probabtion&source=bl&ots=792GxQNVKn&sig=sv_lJ558nFmYp8czAzXe4S26aEg&hl=en&ei=XxxWTsn6K5S3tgft5K2pDA&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=6&ved=0CEQQ6AEwBQ)

\*\*\*\*\*Special Note should be given to how many of these companies directly relate to Plaintiff’s RICO & Antitrust Lawsuit as DEFENDANTS. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Nuremberg Principle VI states,

“The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law:

(a) Crimes against peace:

 **(i) Planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances;**

 (ii) **Participation in a common plan or conspiracy** for the accomplishment of any of the acts mentioned under (i).

 (b) War crimes:

 Violations of the laws or customs of war which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation of slave labor or for any other purpose of the civilian population of or in occupied territory; murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war or persons on the Seas, killing of hostages, ***plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity***.

(c) Crimes against humanity: Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhumane acts done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial, or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime.” [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. August 10, 2011 “Analysis of Financial Terrorism in America: Over 1 Million Deaths Annually, 62 Million People With Zero Net Worth, As the Economic Elite Make Off With $46 Trillion” by David DeGraw, founder and editor of AmpedStatus.com. The following report includes adapted excerpts from David DeGraw’s book, “The Road Through 2012: Revolution or World War III.” Release Date: 9.28.11

<http://ampedstatus.org/exclusive-analysis-of-financial-terrorism-in-america-over-1-million-deaths-annually-62-million-people-with-zero-net-worth-as-the-economic-elite-make-off-with-46-trillion> [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Eugenics is a form of Mass Genocide and Precluded in the Genocide Treaty signed by President Ronald Reagan. “Article II: In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, **a national**, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such: …(c) Deliberately inflicting on the group **conditions of life calculated to bring about** its physical destruction in whole or in part;

 <http://www.preventgenocide.org/law/convention/text.htm> [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing Transcripts – June 08, 2009 & September 24, 2009 – Hart Testimony p. 83-102, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein @

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/NY%20Senate%20Judiciary%20Committee%20TRANSCRIPTS%20Hearings%201%20and%202%20Sampson%20Searchable%20Index.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. When Plaintiff later filed CRIMINAL and ETHICAL COMPLAINTS against Friedberg and others, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department lost them. In Plaintiff’s testimony to the NY Senate Judiciary Committee, Chairman Sampson confronts Friedberg as to where Plaintiff’s complaints against he and his Cronies are, Friedberg states he will get back to the Committee with what has happened to the missing complaints. Instead, Friedberg sends Plaintiff a letter dismissing the complaints against himself and his Cronies. Yes, Friedberg literally dismissed his own complaint, violating just about every Ethical Rule and NY State Law regarding Conflict of Interest, Obstruction of Justice and Fair and Impartial Due Process. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. Tuesday, July 12, 2011 “Foreclosure fraud investigators forced out at attorney general's office” By Kimberly Miller, Palm Beach Post Staff Writer

<http://www.palmbeachpost.com/money/foreclosures/foreclosure-fraud-investigators-forced-out-at-attorney-generals-1603854.html?page=2>

and

Office of the Attorney General Economic Crimes Division – “UNFAIR, DECEPTIVE AND UNCONSCIONABLE ACTS IN FORECLOSURE CASES” Prepared by: June M. Clarkson, Theresa B. Edwards and Rene D. Harrod

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/46278738/Florida-Attorney-General-Fraudclosure-Report-Unfair-Deceptive-and-Unconscionable-Acts-in-Foreclosure-Cases> [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. August 19, 2011 “MOODY'S ANALYST BREAKS SILENCE: Says Ratings Agency Rotten To Core With Conflicts” by Henry Blodget at Business Insider, Inc.

<http://www.businessinsider.com/moodys-analyst-conflicts-corruption-and-greed-2011-8> Read more: <http://www.businessinsider.com/moodys-analyst-conflicts-corruption-and-greed-2011-8#ixzz1VhH71l3r>

and

Comment on SEC Proposed Rules for Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations – File No. S7-18-11 by William J. Harrington

<http://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-18-11/s71811-33.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. The word Entitlements needs further clarification here, as there are two distinct confusing meanings. The first meaning “is a guarantee of access to benefits based on established rights or by legislation. A "right" is itself an entitlement associated with a moral or social principle, such that an "entitlement" is a provision made in accordance with legal framework of a society”. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Entitlement> In this usage, an Entitlement is akin to a worker paying for and buying, with REAL HARD EARNED MONEY through REAL HARD WORK, benefits such as Social Security, Medicare/Medicaid and Unemployment Insurance. For these future promises to pay REAL FUNDS ARE DEDUCTED OUT OF EVERY REAL PAYCHECK OF THE WORKER THROUGHOUT LIFE, used to BUY GUARANTEED and FUNDED BENEFITS LATER. Here we have Workers PURCHASING an Government Insurance PRODUCT via Contract, not some sort of freeloading of benefits in any gifted or undeserved manner and factually workers are legally entitled to those PAID FOR FUTURE BENEFITS.

The Second Definition of Entitlement, “In a casual sense, the term ‘entitlement’ refers to a notion or belief that one (or oneself) is deserving of some particular reward or benefit—if given without deeper legal or principled cause, the term is often given with pejorative connotation (e.g. a ‘sense of entitlement’)”. This second usage is strikingly different from the first in that here there is NO LEGAL Entitlement to a Future Purchased Benefit, just a “sense”, which would be akin to say an unearned “TRUST FUND BABIES TRUST FUND”, where the benefactors inherit, not earn or pay for, the “entitled by birth” benefits. The only Entitlements necessary to cut in society are these type of UNEARNED or UNPAID FOR ENTITLEMENTS and BENEFITS but that would leave most of Congress needing a second job and purchasing the crap insurance of “Obama UnCare” versus their “Entitled” platinum plated Congressional Insurance Policies. Of course, our politicians and their families should have Platinum Plans for they earned it by bankrupting the Nation and World Economies? In other words, the only ones who appear to live off UNEARNED ENTITLEMENTS are those ROBBING the AMERICAN WORKERS of their HARD EARNED BENEFITS THEY PAID FOR AND ARE LEGALLY ENTITLED TO.

Another “entitlement” to cut would be “Trust Funds” as with estates currently passing 100% free of Death Taxes through further ILLEGAL DEREGULATIONS, we factually have a Monarchy. As if a Kings were passing the Kingdom, the United States, to their “entitled” children, who then pass it to theirs, further skewing the money supply, spoiling each successive generation until they are “rotten to the core” and let the GOOD PEOPLE who worked hard to get them their “entitled” positions, STARVE. Instead, as this is America and not the English Monarchy we freed ourselves from yesteryear, when someone who has “made it” dies with a billion, a small portion should pass to their next generation through a 90% estate tax, which in this instance would leave a whopping 100 Million Dollars to their heirs. Even this amount is not enough for these greedy ROBBER BABY BARONS where they currently have rigged the money supply further by passing all 1 BILLION free of tax. In the instance of a 90% estate tax, the remainder of the taxed monies, $900 Million, would return to the common money pool for the next big earners/inventors to earn and to pay for the PAID ENTITLEMENTS of the PEOPLE who WORKED HARD and are LEGALLY ENTITLED TO THEM.

Plaintiff fears however that like with the Good King, the People will have to have a real “Tea Party” again. Not to be confused with the Unpatriotic Tea Party of today’s politics but a true REVOLUTION and REVOLT against these “ENTITLED” SPOILED ROTTEN ELITIST TYRANTS WITH HARVARD AND YALE BOUGHT LEGAL DEGREES, whose legacy is to have decimated and destroyed our Great Nation, her People and her Honor. In Revolt the PEOPLE should carefully dissect those ELITISTS who inherited their wealth or gained it from CRIMINAL ACTS from those who WORKED HARD in legitimate positions to EARN such Wealth when TAKING BACK THE ILL GOTTEN GAINS.

The Entitlement SCAM appears yet another CRIME perpetrated against the PEOPLE by our “Elite” Congressional Criminals (elite only in the entitled “sense”) to rob funds set aside by workers for PAID FOR SOCIAL BENEFITS and now claim that the workers were not “entitled” to these PAID FOR BENEFITS, as if these were social welfare claims like their trust funds. Whereby the monies paid for by WORKERS throughout their LIFETIMES with LEGAL PROMISE TO PAY at RETIREMENT or if they become UNEMPLOYED are being kiped and pilfered by those “TRUST FUND BABIES” from Harvard and Yale, mostly who are running or more aptly ruining and robbing the Country, in delusions of grandeur, perhaps from too many “entitlements”. Those who have hardly worked a day in their lives and who do not pay any taxes, again we find robbing hard working Americans while making huge gains for themselves and the RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
13. August 19, 2001 “Madoff Whistleblower: Big Banks Are Ripping Off Pension Funds.” By Peter Gorenstein | Daily Ticker

 <http://finance.yahoo.com/blogs/daily-ticker/madoff-whistleblower-big-banks-ripping-off-pension-funds-152836936.html> [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
14. August 22, 2011 “The 50 Richest Members of Congress (2011)” To determine the richest lawmakers, Roll Call adds up the minimum value of total assets reported by each Member on their annual financial disclosures and subtracts the minimum liabilities. Percent change refers to the change since last year's disclosure forms. An asset valued at $5 million to $25 million is counted at the lesser amount, as is a liability valued at $1 million to $5 million.

 <http://www.rollcall.com/50richest/the-50-richest-members-of-congress-112th.html> [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
15. Cite References [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
16. Further, supporting evidence of this CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE committing MASS FRAUD can be found in the April 13, 2011, Report by the United States Senate, PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. The Committee is Chaired by Hon. Carl Levin and assisted in bipartisan fashion by Tom Coburn, Ranking Minority Member and is titled WALL STREET AND THE FINANCIAL CRISIS: ANATOMY OF A FINANCIAL COLLAPSE. The Report is located at the following URL, hereby fully incorporated in entirety by reference herein,

<http://hsgac.senate.gov/public/_files/Financial_Crisis/FinancialCrisisReport.pdf> .

This detailed stinging report alleges fraud over 200 times in 650 pages, yet still NOT A SINGLE ARREST, while most of this Criminal Activity defined in the report continues to take place in New York, and why not, when the “Fox” and “Fix”’ is in the Henhouse with This Court?” Crime Pays when no one is protecting the People and Justice is complicit in the crimes. One must ask where the US Attorneys, the New York Attorney General and the Governor of New York are, the self-proclaimed “Sheriffs” of Wall Street”, whom instead look more like Criminal Accomplices disguised as Sheriffs. Who are these “Barney Fife” Sheriffs? Again, we find more ATTORNEYS AT LAW, all with interests in the CONTROLLED DEMOLITION of the markets, betting against the People in rigged market collapses, fearing no Justice as they have disabled Justice.

and

August 17, 2011 “Is the SEC Covering Up Wall Street Crimes? A whistleblower claims that over the past two decades, the agency has destroyed records of thousands of investigations, whitewashing the files of some of the nation's worst financial criminals.” By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher

 <http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/is-the-sec-covering-up-wall-street-crimes-20110817>

and

August 18, 2011 “SEC may have destroyed documents, senator says Grassley: Agency may have got rid of Goldman, Madoff documents” By Ronald D. Orol, (MarketWatch) “The Securities and Exchange Commission may have destroyed documents and compromised enforcement cases involving activity at large banks and hedge funds during the height of the financial crisis in 2008, according to allegations made by a lawmaker on Wednesday.”

<http://www.marketwatch.com/story/sec-may-have-destroyed-documents-senator-says-2011-08-17>

“Why Isn't Wall Street in Jail? Financial crooks brought down the world's economy — but the feds are doing more to protect them than to prosecute them.” By Matt Taibbi, Rolling Stone; Jann S. Wenner, Editor and Publisher

<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/why-isnt-wall-street-in-jail-20110216> [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
17. “The Torture Memos: just following orders, just following [LEGAL] advice?” Posted on July 12, 2011 by Richard Moorhead Law Professor at Cardiff University, LAWYERS WATCH

<http://lawyerwatch.wordpress.com/2011/07/12/the-torture-memos-just-following-orders-just-following-advice/>

and

July 11, 2011 “United States: Investigate Bush, Other Top Officials for Torture - Inquiry Into 2 Deaths in CIA Custody Insufficient” by Human Rights Watch

<http://www.hrw.org/en/news/2011/07/11/united-states-investigate-bush-other-top-officials-torture>

and

“John F. Kennedy 2 - The George H. W. Bush Connection-Full Length Documentary - The sequel to Oliver Stone's JFK, you won't see in the cinema. A thoroughly documented criminal indictment establishing beyond a reasonable doubt the guilt of George H.W. Bush as a supervisor in the conspiracy to assassinate John Kennedy.”

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DAQ5mFkrlDs&feature=autoshare>

and

October 28, 2007 - Bush Family , C.I.A. , Nazi Connection

<http://www.myspace.com/270351075/blog/323241558>

and

In 1939, Harriman and Prescott hired the Dulles brothers [law firm of Sullivan & Cromwell - <http://www.enter.net/~torve/trogholm/secret/rightroots/dulles.html> ] to hide Nazi involvement with U.B.C. because they knew the things they had done were not in the best interest of America. But it didn't work and U.B.C. was seized by the federal government on Nov. 17, 1942, under the Trading with the Enemy Act. The bank was a money laundering operation for Hitler. Prescott was also forced to give up support to his favorite political ally Hitler. Prescott went to a Congress Hearing to try to get his Bank back. **J. Edgar Hoover told him [Prescott Bush], "That's right your a Nazi, and you run a Nazi Bank."** Prescott was denied and lost his bank. There were many other American & British Elite that funded Hitler & the Nazi's rise to power. Henry Ford of Ford Motor Company, The Rockefeller family of Standard Oil, Thomas Watson of IBM, J. P. Morgan, Coca Cola, General Motors, The Rothschild Banking Family of England , etc... etc...etc... How do you think Germany built a Military big enough to take on the world in about a 10 year period?

and

Jason Bermas presents “Invisible Empire: A New World Order Defined” produced by Alex Jones.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NO24XmP1c5E&feature=bf_play&list=FLtle4CeXy9TI&index=1>

and

Agenda 21, EUGENICS "taking lives considered not worthy to be lived"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5cu_5uoQ18>

Saturday, Apr 25, 2009 “Transcript: Interview with U.N. torture official Manfred Novak” By Glenn Greenwald, Salon Media Group, Inc.

<http://www.salon.com/news/opinion/glenn_greenwald/2009/04/25/nowak> [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
18. John Emerich Edward Dalberg-Acton, 1st Baron Acton, KCVO, DL aka John Dalberg-Acton, 8th Bt aka Lord Acton

“No doubt the responsibility in such a case is shared by those who ask for a thing. But if the thing is criminal, if, for instance, it is a licence to commit adultery, the person who authorises the act shares the guilt of the person who commits it.

Here again what I have said is not in any way mysterious or esoteric. It appeals to no hidden code. It aims at no secret moral. It supposes nothing, and implies nothing but what is universally current and familiar. It is the common, even the vulgar, code I appeal to.

I cannot accept your canon that we are to judge Pope and King [or US Presidents, Judges, Regulators, etc.] unlike other men, with a favourable presumption that they did no wrong. If there is any presumption it is the other way, against the holders of power, increasing as the power increases. Historic responsibility has to make up for the want of legal responsibility. Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely. Great men are almost always bad men, even when they exercise influence and not authority, still more when you superadd the tendency or the certainty of corruption by authority. **There is no worse heresy than that the office sanctifies the holder of it.”**

<http://oll.libertyfund.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=1407&Itemid=283>

Here are the greatest names coupled with the greatest crimes; you would spare those criminals, for some mysterious reason. I would hang them higher than Haman, for reasons of quite obvious justice, still more, still higher for the sake of historical science. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
19. October 6, 2009 “New Headache for Greenberg Traurig: The Allen Stanford Scandal” Brian Baxter, The American Lawyer

<http://www.law.com/jsp/article.jsp?id=1202434309735&slreturn=1&hbxlogin=1>

and

July 05, 2009 “R. Allen Stanford and Miami-based Greenberg Traurig: why is it always Greenberg Traurig?” Miami Herald Media Company

<http://eyeonmiami.blogspot.com/2009/07/r-allen-stanford-and-miami-based.html>

and

January 14, 2010 “The Florida Bar Stone Wall” by David Arthur Walters @ The Miami Mirror

<http://miamimirror.blogspot.com/2010/01/florida-bar-stone-wall-by-david-arthur.html> [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
20. May 13, 2010 “Ponzi Investor Suit Targets Greenberg Traurig, Quarles & Brady” by Susan Beck, The American Lawyer, ALM Media Properties, LLC. <http://www.law.com/jsp/article.jsp?id=1202458105019&slreturn=1&hbxlogin=1>

and

July 12, 2011 “Greenberg Traurig Demands Docs In $200M Fraud Suit” By Samuel Howard, Law360, New York

<http://www.law360.com/classaction/articles/257511/greenberg-traurig-demands-docs-in-200m-fraud-suit>

Tuesday, May 18, 2010 "Notice to my federal judge of Greenberg Traurig Ponzi scheme" Greenberg Traurig Corruption - Thursday, May 13, 2010 Case No. 6:10-cv-442 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, ORLANDO DIVISION - JUDGE DUDLEY H. BOWEN, JR. and JOHN B. THOMPSON, Plaintiffs, v. THE FLORIDA BAR [also Defendant in this RICO Lawsuit], FLORIDA SUPREME COURT [also Defendant in this RICO], and NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendants.

<http://www.greenbergtraurigsucks.com/2010/05/notice-to-my-federal-judge-of-greenberg.html> [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
21. August 08, 2008 Shira Scheindlin Dismissal Order <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080808%20Scheindlin%20Dismissal%20of%20Complaint%20no%20comments.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
22. RICO & ANTITRUST Amended Complaint <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080509%20FINAL%20AMENDED%20COMPLAINT%20AND%20RICO%20SIGNED%20COPY%20MED.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
23. Scheindlin Order Footnote = Memorandum of Law in Support of the Defendants' Proskauer Motion to Dismiss, at 1. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
24. The Iviewit technologies have been valued in the TRILLIONS of dollars, valued by leading engineers as “PRICELESS” and the “HOLY GRAIL” inventions of the digital imaging and video worlds, affecting virtually every form of digital communication. In fact, almost 99.99% of users of digital imaging and video products use the Iviewit Technologies in some form or other .01% is most likely statistical aberration. Therefore, the Iviewit RICO exposes one of the largest crimes against an individual in World History, certainly the largest against any US Citizen/Inventor. Where again we find Attorneys at Law, trusted Patent and Corporate Counsel, charged with theft of their RETAINED CLIENTS Intellectual Property Rights and Royalties. As the Amended Complaint alleges, the royalties owed the Inventors have been ILLEGALLY CONVERTED by their former Intellectual Property and Corporate Counsel for their own gains.

One of the Intellectual Property Attorneys accused, Defendant Raymond Anthony Joao of Defendants Proskauer Rose/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel who put 90+ patents in his very own name. Joao acted as lackey for the main Iviewit Retained Attorney at Law, Patent Hack, Defendant Kenneth Rubenstein of Defendant Proskauer Rose/Defendant Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel and also the same Rubenstein who is the sole (soulless) patent Reviewer for DEFENDANT MPEGLA, LLC. MPEGLA one of the largest infringers and chief conspirators in the Iviewit Intellectual Properties theft, where Rubenstein represented Iviewit and then stole the Patent Pending/Suspending IP off to his Patent Pools at MPEGLA, LLC, then through a pattern of Antitrust and Racketeering Activity precluded the Inventors of their rights. We find that Joao after being accused by Iviewit Management of Falsifying Patent Oaths went to work with the recently imprisoned Marc S. Dreier at the law firm of Dreier & Baritz, information regarding how the Dreier affair is directly related to Iviewit has already been submitted to this court.

The Attorneys at Law then used the courts to facilitate their crime by disabling the inventors’ Intellectual Property rights to their inventions through Conflict after Conflict in the Courts and Prosecutorial Offices to block Plaintiff/Inventor’s legal rights, all as explained in detail in the Iviewit Amended Complaint and RICO Statement. Finally, in order to LAUNDER the ILL GOTTEN ROYALTIES over the past DECADE, the lawyers have created further frauds, allegedly including the Ponzis aka Criminal RICO Money Laundering Operations such as, Madoff, Dreier, Stanford and others. Evidence has been presented to this Court and the US District Court regarding the relation of these Ponzis to the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST, showing their direct ties to Defendants in this Lawsuit, the Ponzis used as vehicles to wash hundreds of billions of converted stolen royalties, while making it appear to be from Ponzis or other financial fraud schemes, again more COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES USING THE COURTS TO AID & ABET the Crimes, all again, only committable with a LEGAL DEGREE MISUSED. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
25. "THE PLOT TO SEIZE THE WHITE HOUSE” by Jules Archer, HAWTHORN BOOKS, INC.

PUBLISHERS / New York, 1973

<http://www.wanttoknow.info/plottoseizethewhitehouse> [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
26. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House_Un-American_Activities_Committee> [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
27. “Documents: Bush's Grandfather Directed Bank Tied to Man Who Funded Hitler - President Bush's grandfather was a director of a bank seized by the federal government because of its ties to a German industrialist who helped bankroll Adolf Hitler's rise to power, government documents show.” Friday, October 17, 2003

[http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,100474,00.html](http://www.foxnews.com/story/0%2C2933%2C100474%2C00.html)

 [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
28. [www.tenc.net](http://www.tenc.net) [Emperor's Clothes] “Nazis in the Attic” Part 6 By Randy Davis

<http://emperors-clothes.com/articles/randy/swas5.htm> [↑](#footnote-ref-28)
29. [↑](#footnote-ref-29)
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31. “The Bush family and the S&L Scandal”, rationalrevolution.net

 <http://rationalrevolution.net/war/bush_family_and_the_s.htm> and <http://rationalrevolution.net/war/index.htm> [↑](#footnote-ref-31)
32. “Supreme Injustice, how the High Court Hijacked Election 2000” by Alan Dershowitz – Oxford University Press 2001.

<http://books.google.com/books?id=eVqdJks5Op0C&lpg=PP1&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false>

 [↑](#footnote-ref-32)
33. “Elements of a conspiracy - How Bush's man at Fox News worked to shape the outcome of the US election” By Kate Randall 17 November 2000

<http://www.wsws.org/articles/2000/nov2000/fox-n17.shtml> and <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Prescott_Ellis> [↑](#footnote-ref-33)
34. “REINING IN THE IMPERIAL PRESIDENCY - Lessons and Recommendations Relating to

the Presidency of George W. Bush” by United States House of Representatives ~ House Committee on the Judiciary Majority Staff Report to Chairman John Conyers, Jr., January 13, 2009

<http://judiciary.house.gov/hearings/printers/110th/IPres090113.pdf>
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<http://chun.afterdowningstreet.org/amomentoftruth.pdf>
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“Dennis Kucinich Documents Grounds for Impeachment of Bush & Cheney”

[http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6265058101839429571#](http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6265058101839429571) - Part 1

[http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1857978401494382897#](http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1857978401494382897) - Part 2

[http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-785946969577220461#](http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-785946969577220461) - Part 3

[http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=442901163793389423#](http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=442901163793389423) - Part 4 [↑](#footnote-ref-34)
35. “THIS JUST IN . . .Obama and Cheney, Making Connections” by Anne E. Kornblut - The Washington Post,

Wednesday, October 17, 2007

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/10/16/AR2007101602362.html> [↑](#footnote-ref-35)
36. “Judicious temperament: Retired Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor speaks up against political attacks on courts.” Houston Chronicle, Published Friday, March 17, 2006

<http://www.chron.com/opinion/editorials/article/Judicious-temperament-Retired-Supreme-Court-1525680.php> [↑](#footnote-ref-36)
37. Insert Link to Order Dated January 05, 2010. [↑](#footnote-ref-37)
38. Attach Link [↑](#footnote-ref-38)
39. <http://www.ethicscomplaint.com/2011/02/new-york-supreme-court-whistleblower.html>

“New York Supreme Court Whistleblower. Corruption in New Your Courts - Enough is Enough.” Ethics Complaint - Industry Whistleblower Blog by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox Tuesday, February 15, 2011

and

<http://www.suppressthetruth.com/2010/09/andrew-cuomo-new-york-attorney-general.html>

and

<http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-39)
40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein Any/All records from the “Legally Related” Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit, the “Legally Related” Lawsuits and any/all other legal records relating to Nicole Corrado, in any legal matters in the US District Court, this Court or any other court and any/all other Regulatory and Investigate Entities acting in these matters. Records, including but not limited to, all “SEALED” and IMPOUNDED RECORDS that relate to these matters. PLAINTIFF DEMANDS that these records be instantly made part of this MOTION, CERTIFIED and CATALOGUED due to the ALLEGATIONS by Anderson of DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION IN OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS, including matters now before This Court and the District Court, as further defined herein. [↑](#footnote-ref-40)
41. August 11, 2004 UNPUBLISHED ORDER OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/2004%2008%2011%20new%20york%20first%20department%20orders%20investigation%20Krane%20Rubenstein%20Joao.pdf> [↑](#footnote-ref-41)
42. <http://www.defraudingamerica.com/title_18_usc_4.html>

**Federal Crime Reporting Statutes**

The federal offense of failure to disclose a felony, if coupled with some act concealing the felony, such as suppression of evidence, harboring or protecting the person performing the felony, intimidation or harming a witness, or any other act designed to conceal from authorities the fact that a crime has been committed.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Misprision of a Felony

Misprision of a felony is the offense of failure to inform government authorities of a felony that a person knows about. A person commits the crime of misprision of a felony if that person:

Knows of a federal crime that the person has witnessed or that has come to the person's attention, or failed to prevent.

Fails to report it to a federal judge or other federal official (who is not thems4elves involved in the crime).

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

**Obstructing Justice Statutes**

Title 18 U.S.C. § 2. Principals. (a) Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal. (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal.

Note: The legislative intent to punish as a principal not only one who directly commits an offense and one who "aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures" another to commit an offense, but also anyone who causes the doing of an act which if done by him directly would render him guilty of an offense against the United States. Case law decisions: Rothenburg v. United States, 1918, 38 S.Ct. 18, 245 U.S. 480, 62 L.Ed. 414, and United States v. Giles, 1937, 57 S.Ct. 340, 300 U.S. 41, 81 L.Ed. 493.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3. Accessory after the fact. Whoever, knowing that an offense against the United States had been committed, receives, relieves, comforts or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an accessory after the fact.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined not more than $500 or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1505. Whoever corruptly ... influences, obstructs, or impedes or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede the due the proper administration of the law under which any pending proceeding is being had before any department or agency of the United States ... shall be fined not more than $5,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1510. Obstruction of criminal investigation.

(a) Whoever willfully endeavors by means of bribery to obstruct, delay, or prevent the communication of information relating to a violation of any criminal statute of the United States by any person to a criminal investigator shall be fined not more than $5,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant

(b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or physical force, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to–

(1) influence, delay, or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding;

(2) cause or induce any person to–

(A) withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding;

(3) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

(c) Whoever intentionally harasses another person and thereby hinders, delays, prevents, or dissuades any person from–

(1) attending or testifying in an official proceeding;

(2) reporting to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... (3) arresting or seeking the arrest of another person in connection with a Federal offense; or

(4) causing a criminal prosecution, or a parole or probation revocation preceding, to be sought or instituted, or assisting in such prosecution or proceeding;

or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

(e) For the purposes of this section–

(1) an official proceeding need not be pending or about to be instituted at the time of the offense; and

(2) the testimony, or the record, document, or other object need not be admissible in evidence or free of a claim of privilege.

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513. Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant.

(a) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or threatens to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for (1) the attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding; or (2) any information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ..."

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Title 18 U.S.C. § 111. Impeding certain officers or employees. Whoever ... intimidates, or interferes with any person ... while engaged in ... the performance of his official duties shall be fined ... or imprisoned ...

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Racketeering Enterprise Statutes and Criteria

Title 42 USC § 1961. Definition. As used in this chapter-(1) "racketeering activity" means:

 (A) any act or threat involving ... relating to 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice), section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations), section 1511 (relating to obstruction of State or local law enforcement), section 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery or extortion), section 1952 (relating to racketeering, ...

Title 42 USC § 1962. Prohibited Activities.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern or racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.

(d) It shall be unlawful for any person to conspire to violate any of the provisions of subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this section. ...

**JUDICIAL Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently**

**(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.**

**(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.**

**(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.**

**(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.** [↑](#footnote-ref-42)
43. The Iviewit Website hereby incorporated by URL reference herein in entirety, including all evidentiary links on the homepage URL <http://iviewit.tv/#Evidence> ) [↑](#footnote-ref-43)
44. Tuesday, April 1, 2008 “NY Ethics Scandal Tied to International Espionage Scheme (MORE, CLICK HERE)

Tammany Hall II Ethics Scandal Reaching New Heights” by Investigative Blogger and Former/Current Federal Agent, Frank Brady aka Kevin McKeown at Expose Corrupt Courts.

“Reports surfaced in New York and around Washington, D.C. last week detailing a massive communications satellite espionage scheme involving major multi-national corporations and the interception of top-secret satellite signals.

The evidence in the corporate eavesdropping cover-up “is frightening,” according to an informed source who has reviewed the volumes of documentation. The espionage scheme, he says, is directly tied to the growing state bar ethics scandal at the Appellate Division First Department, Departmental Disciplinary Committee (DDC) in Manhattan.

Rumors had been Circulating Linking the NY Bar Scandal to International Corporate Espionage Ops Using Satellites”

MORE AT THE LINK <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2008/04/ny-ethics-scandal-tied-to-international.html>, fully incorporated by reference herein. [↑](#footnote-ref-44)
45. As of July 11, 2011 Cohen has been retired of service to Cuomo. [↑](#footnote-ref-45)
46. <http://exposecorruptessex.com/CourtInspectorGeneral.html>

November 1, 2009 To: Inspector General for NY Unified Court System at ig@courts.state.ny.us

Re: Intolerable corruption and criminal conduct in our Appellate Court Discipline by Terence Finnan

and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=205>

Tuesday, October 27, 2009 Letter to Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

 Re: IVIEWIT LETTER TO US FED JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN RE CRIMINAL “WHISTLEBLOWER” ALLEGATIONS in Christine C. Anderson v. New York State et al. Docket 07cv09599 alleging Disciplinary Complaint Fixing by the “CLEANER” for US Attorneys, New York District Attorneys and ADA’s; Code of Conduct for US Judges Canon 3B(5), Protecting the People. Eliot I. Bernstein.

Both footnote references incorporated by reference in entirety herein. [↑](#footnote-ref-46)