

Table of Contents

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (COI) DISCLOSURE FORM	3
MOTION TO	17
I. INTRODUCTION.....	18
II. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL’S NOW ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BOTH PAST AND PRESENT, IN ACTING ILLEGALLY AS COUNSEL FOR 39 PLUS STATE DEFENDANT/ACTORS IN THIS LAWSUIT BY VIOLATING PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & REGULATIONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE & FEDERAL LAW.	34
III. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE.	46
IV. HALT THIS LAWSUIT AND THE “LEGALLY RELATED” LAWSUITS, PENDING INVESTIGATIONS OF WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, JUSTICES, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS AND OTHERS, BASED ON FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE BY NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY/WHISTLEBLOWER/HERO CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON. THE FELONY CRIMES ALLEGED BY ANDERSON, DIRECTLY RELATE TO THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, INCLUDING HAVING SEVERAL IDENTICAL NEW YORK STATE PUBLIC OFFICIAL ACTOR/DEFENDANTS AND THE ALLEGATIONS ARE WHOLLY GERMANE TO THE NEXUS OF THE IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT CRIMES ALLEGED. FURTHER THE TWO LAWSUITS ARE “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN.	50
V. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (THIS COURT) WHOM HAVE CURRENTLY ACTED IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ANYWAY WHATSOEVER, FOR THEIR PART IN AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD ON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS AND MORE.....	34

VI. REMOVE ALL OTHER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST CURRENTLY IN PLACE IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ORDER TO IMPART FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.	50
VII. DEMAND THAT ALL PARTIES TO THIS LAWSUIT GOING FORWARD, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COURT JUSTICES & OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, CLERKS, ETC. SIGN AFFIRMED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES IDENTICAL TO THE ONE ATTACHED HEREIN, ACKNOWLEDGING PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES FOR ANY VIOLATION, PRIOR TO, ANY FURTHER ACTION BY ANYONE IN THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT.	51
VIII. DEMAND FOR JUSTICES OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT TO TURN THEMSELVES IN TO STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES TO ANSWER TO FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEM AND SERVED UPON THEM..	52
IX. ALLEGED CRIMES ONGOING BY P. STEPHEN LAMONT ET AL. BOTH KNOWN AND UNKNOWN AND FRAUD ON US DISTRICT COURT DC	52
X. RELIEF.....	52

CONFLICT OF INTEREST (COI) DISCLOSURE FORM

THIS COI MUST BE SIGNED AND AFFIRMED PRIOR TO ANY ACTION ON THIS COURT MOTION ON YOUR PART

Please accept and return signed the following Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form (COI) before continuing further with adjudication, review or investigation of the attached MOTION to the **United States Second Circuit Court**, titled,

MOTION TO:

AFTER 10 DAYS, IF THIS FORM HAS NOT BEEN SIGNED OR SUBSEQUENTLY TURNED OVER TO A NON CONFLICTED PARTY, YOUR FAILURE TO COMPLY MAY RESULT IN CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CHARGES FILED AGAINST YOU FOR AIDING AND ABETTING A RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION, FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND MORE, AS NOTED HEREIN.

and any/all materials relating to Eliot Bernstein and or the Iviewit companies.

The Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form designed to ensure that the review and any determinations from such review of the enclosed materials should not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other conflicting interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this confidential information. Whereby any conflict with any of the main alleged perpetrators of the alleged crimes referenced in these matters or any other perpetrators not known at this time must be fully disclosed and affirmed in writing and returned by to any review.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers, by any party, to any of the following questions, are demanded not to open the remainder of the documents or opine in any manner until reviewed and approved by the Iviewit companies and Eliot I. Bernstein. If you feel that conflict of interest exists that cannot be eliminated through conflict resolution with the Iviewit Companies or Eliot Bernstein, instantly forward the matters to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict that can sign and complete the requisite disclosure. Please identify conflicts that you have, in writing, upon terminating your involvement in the matters to the address listed at the end of this disclosure form. As many of these alleged perpetrators are large law firms, members of various state and federal courts and officers of federal, state and local law enforcement agencies, careful review and disclosure of any conflict with those named herein is pertinent in your continued handling of these matters objectively.

These matters already involve claims of, including but not limited to, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Offices, Whitewashing of Official Complaints in the Supreme Courts of New York, Florida, Virginia and elsewhere, Threatening a Federal Witness in a Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, Document Destruction and Alteration, Obstructions of Justice, RICO and ATTEMPTED MURDER. The need for prescreening for conflict is essential to the administration of due process in these matters and necessary to avoid charges of OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, against you. Federal District Court Judge Shira A. Scheindlin SDNY legally related these same matters to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit who alleges similar claims of public office corruption against Supreme Court of New York Officials, US Attorneys, NY District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys. This is a formal request for full disclosure of any conflict on your part, such request conforming with all applicable state and federal laws, public office rules and regulations, attorney conduct codes and judicial

canons or other international law and treatises requiring disclosure of conflicts and Withdrawal from matters where conflict precludes involvement.

Failure to comply with all applicable conflict disclosure rules, public office rules and regulations and laws, prior to continued action on your part, **shall constitute cause** for the filing of criminal and civil complaints against you for any decisions or actions you make prior to a signed Conflict Of Interest Disclosure Form, with all applicable regulatory and prosecutorial agencies. Complaints will be filed with all appropriate authorities, including but not limited to, the appropriate Federal, State, Local and International Law Enforcement Agencies, Public Integrity Officials, Judicial Conduct Officials, State and Federal Bar Associations, Disciplinary Departments and any/all other appropriate oversight agencies.

I. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate have, any direct or indirect relations (relationships), or interest in any entity or any direct or indirect relations (relationships) to any of the parties listed in **EXHIBIT 1** of this document and any of the named Defendants in these matters contained at the URL <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer> , URL hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein? Please review the online index in entirety. **NO** **YES**

Please describe in detail any consideration(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the consideration(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

II. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate have, any direct or indirect relations (relationships), or interest in any outside entity or any direct or indirect relations (relationships) to Any other known or unknown person or known or unknown entity not named herein that will cause your review of the complaint you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest or any other interest(s) **NO** **YES**

Please describe in detail any identified conflicted parties on a separate and attached sheet. Fully disclose all information regarding the conflict. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind. Please indicate if you are seeking waiver of the conflict(s) or will be disqualifying from involvement in these matters.

III. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any entity related to the enclosed parties to the proceeding of the matters, defined in I, including but not limited to, campaign contributions whether direct, "in kind" or of any type at all? **NO** **YES**

Please describe in detail any consideration(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the consideration(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

IV. Have you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, had any prior communication(s), including but not limited to, phone, facsimile, e-mail, mail, verbal, etc. with any person related to the proceeding of the Iviewit or related matters as defined in I? **NO** **YES**

Please describe in detail any identified communication(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the communication(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the communication(s) in detail, including but not limited to, who was present, what type of communication, the date and time,

please affirm whether such communication(s) present a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

V. I have run a thorough and exhaustive Conflict of Interest check to conform with any and all state, federal or local laws, public office rules and regulations and any professional association rules and regulations regarding disclosure of any conflicts to verify that my spouse, my dependents, and I in the aggregate, have no conflicts with any parties to the matters referenced herein. **NO** **YES**

VI. I have notified all parties with any liabilities regarding my continued actions in these matters, including state agencies, insurance concerns or any other person with liability that may result from my actions in these matters. **NO** **YES**

RELEVANT SECTIONS OF JUDICIAL CANNONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND LAW

Conflict of Interest Laws & Regulations

Conflict of interest indicates a situation where a private interest may influence a public decision. Conflict of Interest Laws are Laws and designed to prevent conflicts of interest that deny fair and impartial due process and procedure thereby Obstructing Justice in State and/or Federal Civil and Criminal Proceedings. These Laws may contain provisions related to financial or asset disclosure, exploitation of one's official position and privileges, improper relationships, regulation of campaign practices, etc. The Relevant Sections of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law listed herein are merely a benchmark guide and other state, federal and international laws may be applicable to your particular circumstances in reviewing or acting in these matters. For a more complete list of applicable sections of law relating to these matters, please visit the URL,

http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#_Toc107852933,

fully

incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

New York State Consolidated Laws Penal

ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES

S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree

S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree

S 200.05 Bribery; defense

S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree

S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree

S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree

S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense

S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree

S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities

S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities

S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of term

S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office
 S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office
 ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEMENTS
 S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree. S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree.
 S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense
 S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree
 S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree
 S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree
 S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree
 NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers
 Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1
 ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTICLE 15 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
 S 468-b. Clients' security fund of the state of New York
 S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law
 S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the law
 S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general
 S 487. Misconduct by attorneys
 S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.
 Public Officers Law SEC 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and Former State Officers and Employees
 Public Officers Law SEC 74 Code of Ethics
 Conflicts of Interest Law, found in Chapter 68 of the New York City Charter, the City's Financial Disclosure Law, set forth in section 12-110 of the New York City Administrative Code, and the Lobbyist Gift Law, found in sections 3-224 through 3-228 of the Administrative Code.

TITLE 18 FEDERAL CODE & OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAW

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Fraud upon the court

FRAUD on the COURT

In the United States, when an officer of the court is found to have fraudulently presented facts to court so that the court is impaired in the impartial performance of its legal task, the act, known as "fraud upon the court", is a crime deemed so severe and fundamentally opposed to the operation of justice that it is not subject to any statute of limitation.

Officers of the court include: Lawyers, Judges, Referees, and those appointed; Guardian Ad Litem, Parenting Time Expeditors, Mediators, Rule 114 Neutrals, Evaluators, Administrators, special appointees, and any others whose influence are part of the judicial mechanism.

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication". Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23

In *Bulloch v. United States*, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

What effect does an act of "fraud upon the court" have upon the court proceeding? "Fraud upon the court" makes void the orders and judgments of that court.

TITLE 18 PART I CH 11

Sec. 201. Bribery of public officials and witnesses

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Sec. 205. - Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government

Sec. 208. - Acts affecting a personal financial interest

Sec. 210. - Offer to procure appointive public office

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury or court
 Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of another
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law enforcement
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT Organizations ("RICO")
 Section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice),
 Section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations)
 Section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement),
 Section 1952 (relating to racketeering),
 Section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity),
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) RICO
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 SEC 1962 (D) RICO
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity
 TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States

Judicial Cannons

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistreri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." *Balistreri*, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge. Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Canon 1. A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

[1.1] Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

Canon 2. A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Activities

(A) A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

[2.2][2A] The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(B) Adjudicative responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

(E) Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned

[3.11][3B(6)(e)] A judge may delegate the responsibilities of the judge under Canon 3B(6) to a member of the judge's staff. A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(6) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge's staff. This provision does not prohibit the judge or the judge's law clerk from informing all parties individually of scheduling or administrative decisions.

[3.21][3E(1)] Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

[3.22][3E(1)] A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

Canon 4. A Judge May Engage in Extra-Judicial Activities To Improve the Law, the Legal System, and the Administration of Justice

Canon 5. A Judge Should Regulate Extra-Judicial Activities To Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Duties

Public Office Conduct Codes New York

PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW Laws 1909, Chap. 51.

CHAPTER 47 OF THE CONSOLIDATED LAWS PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW

Sec. 17. Defense and indemnification of state officers and employees. 2 (b)

Sec. 18. Defense and indemnification of officers and employees of public entities.3 (b)

Sec. 74. Code of ethics.(2)(3)(4)

§ 73. Business or professional activities by state officers and employees and party officers.

NY Attorney Conduct Code

(a) "Differing interests" include every interest that will adversely affect either the judgment or the loyalty of a lawyer to a client, whether it be a conflicting, inconsistent, diverse, or other interest.

CANON 5. A Lawyer Should Exercise Independent Professional Judgment on Behalf of a Client

DR 5-101 [1200.20] Conflicts of Interest - Lawyer's Own Interests.

DR 5-102 [1200.21] Lawyers as Witnesses.

DR 5-103 [1200.22] Avoiding Acquisition of Interest in Litigation.

DR 5-104 [1200.23] Transactions Between Lawyer and Client.

DR 5-105 [1200.24] Conflict of Interest; Simultaneous Representation.

DR 5-108 [1200.27] Conflict of Interest - Former Client.

CANON 6. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Competently

CANON 7. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law

DR 7-102 [1200.33] Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law.

DR 7-110 [1200.41] Contact with Officials.
DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.
DR 8-103 [1200.44] Lawyer Candidate for Judicial Office.
A. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with section 100.5 of the Chief Administrator's Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR) and Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.
CANON 9. A Lawyer Should Avoid Even the Appearance of Professional Impropriety
DR 9-101 [1200.45] Avoiding Even the Appearance of Impropriety.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. Executed on this ____ day of _____20__ the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims will subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in the RICO related crimes including the alleged attempted murder of the inventor Eliot Bernstein and his wife and children in a car-bombing attempt on their lives.



NOTE- CAR BOMBING IS NOT A SCENE OUT OF THE IRAQ WAR BUT INSTEAD BOYNTON BEACH FL

More images @ www.iviewit.tv

I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document **with** conflict in the event that I continue to represent the matters without signing such COI first and will be an admission of such conflict(s).

Organization – United States Second Circuit Court

Print Name & Title and Organization

Signature _____ Date _____/_____/_____

If you are unable to sign this COI and are therefore unable to continue further to pursue these matters, please attach a statement of whom we may contact as your replacement in writing within 10 business days to preclude legal actions against you. A copy can be sent to iviewit@iviewit.tv and original to the mailing address below:

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL
Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL
Uview.com, Inc. – DL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL
I.C., Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com LLC – DL
Iviewit LLC – DL
Iviewit Corporation – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Corporation
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
www.iviewit.tv

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, [18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521](#).

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch.

Wireless Copyright Notice. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.

EXHIBIT 1 – PARTIAL LIST OF CONFLICTED PARTIES

- Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye ("J. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zamas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.; Lewis Meltzer - ("Meltzer"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe ("Grebe"); Christopher Kise ("Kise"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H. Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not

known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- INTEL Corporation;
- Silicon Graphics Inc.;
- Lockheed Martin Corporation;
- Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
- Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FORMER IVIEWIT MANAGEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. ("Miller"), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein – Board Director ("S. Bernstein"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");
- THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
- MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.;

Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

- DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. ("Molyneaux"); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kears, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
- Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Jeffrey Friedstein ("Friedstein"); Sheldon Friedstein (S. Friedstein"), Donald G. Kane ("Kane"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- David B. Simon, Esq. ("D. Simon");
- Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
- Davis Polk & Wardell;
- Ropes & Gray LLP;
- Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
- Eliot I. Bernstein, ("Bernstein") a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;
- P. Stephen Lamont, ("Lamont") a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
- SKULL AND BONES; The Russell Trust Co.; Yale Law School;
- Council on Foreign Relations;
- The Bilderberg Group;
- The Federalist Society;

- The Bradley Foundation;

Please include in the COI check the defendants and any other parties in the legally related cases in New York District Court Southern District of New York to Docket No 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT, including but not limited to;

- A. United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit 08-4873-cv
- B. (07cv11196) Bernstein et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT Defendants, in addition to those already listed herein, include but are not limited to;
- STATE OF NEW YORK;
 - THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
 - STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
 - ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
 - JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
 - JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
 - GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
 - TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
 - STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
 - FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
 - HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
 - HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
 - HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
 - HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
 - HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
 - THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
 - DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
 - DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
 - CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
 - ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
 - ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
 - PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
 - MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
 - SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
 - CATHERINE O'HAGIEN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
 - HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
 - HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
 - HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;
 - HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
 - HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;

- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
- SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;
- HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
- HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
- STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
- ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;
- LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
- ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
- ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
- Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff to Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
- Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
- COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
- VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
- ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
- NOEL SENDEL in her official and individual capacities;
- MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
- LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
- MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
- SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
- LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp;
- EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
- ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
- WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
- LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
- DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
- ROYAL O'BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
- HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
- WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
- BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBER, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
- SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
- ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
- JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
- UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
- IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
- I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;

- IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
- IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
- IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
- IBM CORPORATION;

To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:

- **Andrew Cuomo, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Steven M. Cohen, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,**
- **Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,**
- **P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont's filings on behalf of others and more filed with criminal authorities and this Court notified of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)**
- **Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,**
- **Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ropes & Gray,**
- **Stanford Financial Group,**
- **Bernard L. Madoff et al.**
- **Marc S. Dreier, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Sony Corporation, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Ernst & Young, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Arthur Andersen, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**
- **Enron, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)**

C. Other Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY Related to Christine C. Anderson

- 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT;
- 07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.;
- 07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.;
- 08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04053 Gizella Weisshaus v The State of New York, et al.;
- 08cv04438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.
- 08cv06368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York

- All parties list at the URL <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer>

MOTION TO

- Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Attorney General's now Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest both past and present, in acting ILLEGALLY as Counsel for 39 plus State Defendant/Actors in this Lawsuit by Violating Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law.
- Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York State Supreme Court's Attorney Whistleblower, Christine C. Anderson's ("Anderson") Felony Criminal Allegations against SENIOR Court Officials, Public Officials et al.
- HALT THIS LAWSUIT and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits, pending investigations of Whistleblower Anderson's FELONY CRIMINAL Allegations against Members of the New York Attorney General's Office, the US Attorney's Office, the New York District Attorney's Office, New York State Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others. FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS EXPOSED in US Federal District Court, THIS COURT and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee by the HEROIC TESTIMONY and SWORN STATEMENTS of NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON.
- IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL Justices and other Members of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals (this Court) whom have acted to this point in this Lawsuit in any capacity whatsoever, for Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court, Obstruction of Justice, Denial of Due Process and more.
- Remove ALL other Conflicts of Interest currently in place in this Lawsuit in order to implement FAIR & IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.
- DEMAND that ALL parties to this Lawsuit going forward, including but not limited to, Court Justices & Officials, Attorneys at Law, Prosecutors, Clerks, et al. Sign and Affirm Conflict of Interest Disclosures identical to the one attached herein, acknowledging PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES for any violation, prior to, ANY further Action by ANYONE in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.
- Demand for Justices and others named herein of this Court to turn themselves in to the appropriate State and Federal Criminal Authorities to ANSWER to filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against them and served upon them.

CAUTION, IF YOU HAVE NOT SIGNED THE ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM AND RETURNED IT AS INSTRUCTED, AND YOU CONTINUE TO ACT IN ANY MANNER WHATSOEVER (I.E. ADJUDICATE, CLERICAL OR OTHER) CRIMINAL CHARGES MAY BE BROUGHT AGAINST YOU FOR AIDING & ABETTING A CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, SEE ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

I. INTRODUCTION

NEW YORK SUPREME COURT WHISTLEBLOWER ATTORNEY, CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON, ESQ. (“Anderson”)¹ MAKES FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS OF THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE

¹ The “Legally Related” Federal Lawsuit of New York Supreme Court, Veteran Senior Supreme Court Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower, Christine Anderson, Esq. to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, exposes from Insiders of the New York Supreme Court, a legal conspiracy of corruption at the highest levels of Regulatory, Prosecutorial and Judicial Public Offices, both State and Federal. Heroism is a word earned through action and the Whistleblowing Efforts of Anderson and another New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower and Hero, Nicole Corrado, Esq., must first be acknowledged and applauded. Both Whistleblowers Expose Corruption at the Top of Government, including the Courts, including This Court, the Department of Justice, the New York Attorney General and others, providing the World an understanding of how America’s Legal System has melted top down, leading to rigged economic breakdowns and taking the Country and World down with it. Their Whistleblowing efforts expose how and why no one on Wall Street/Greed Street/Fraud Street is charged with Criminal Acts, despite massive evidence of CRIMINAL ACTS and FRAUD, and further why none of the Stolen Loot from their Economic Crimes has been Recovered back to the People. A Coup D’état exposed and yet not a single Mainstream (aka US Pravda) Press story.

Also exposed by these HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING EFFORTS is the REVOLVING DOOR between a CORRUPT GROUP OF LAW FIRMS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW and PUBLIC OFFICIALS working in CONSPIRACY as a RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE for the CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE, as they are DIRECT BENEFACTORS OF THE CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. Anderson, Corrado and Other Public Office Whistleblowers provide explanation herein for why Leading Judges and Attorneys at Law are now desperately trying to grant themselves immunity for felony crimes in recent days involving their part in TORTURE CRIMES, WAR CRIMES and NOW FOR ILLEGAL/FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS THAT FORM THE BASIS OF THE HOUSING COLLAPSE, despite the futility of such attempts. Whistleblowing Exposure comes at a price to Whistleblowers, Christine Anderson and other have been through hell to bring this INFORMATION TO LIGHT, and to the PEOPLE and This Court should acknowledge Anderson, Corrado and the others who have come forth for their HEROISM. These are TRUE AMERICAN PATRIOTS, HEROES and ROLE MODELS OF ETHICS and instead we find This Court currently attempting to ILLEGALLY DISMISS their ALLEGATIONS and “SWEEP THEM UNDER THE RUG, PRIOR TO INVESTIGATION, all as more fully defined herein. Therefore, Plaintiff starts this Motion in Honor, with A Tip of the Hat to the TRUE PATRIOTS NAMED HEREIN AND THEIR HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING EFFORTS TO BLOW THE LID ON ONE OF THE LARGEST CORRUPTION STORIES OF TIME PLACING MEMBERS OF THE COURTS RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF IT, A ROOT OF THE PROBLEM.

NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, FAVORED LAWYERS AND LAW FIRMS” AND A “CLEANER” (AS REVEALED IN COURT TESTIMONY) NAMED NAOMI GOLDSTEIN. THESE ALLEGATIONS DEMAND IMMEDIATE REPORTING AND INVESTIGATION AND IMMEDIATE HALTING OF THE IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT IN ORDER TO BEGIN INVESTIGATIONS TO IDENTIFY AND PROSECUTE THOSE FINGERED BY ANDERSON.

Anderson’s Whistleblowing **CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS** reveal a **MASSIVE GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION**, exposing a **NETWORK OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES** operated by a **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION** inside Government. The **RICO ENTERPRISE** is comprised mainly of Powerful and Influential Law Firms, Attorneys at Law, Lawmakers, Public Officials and Court Officials. Together, acting in Conspiracy, these trusted officials all misuse their legal degrees and positions at **TOP OUTPOSTS OF LAW** to aid and abet the commission and cover-ups of these complex legal crimes, including directing operatives in various government capacities to subterfuge and subvert Law, Regulation and Justice, in order to facilitate the **RICO** activities.

According to Anderson, operatives of the **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION**, include but are not limited to, **SENIOR STATE and FEDERAL PUBLIC OFFICIALS**, almost all with legal degrees, operating inside Government Agencies, including the courts and prosecutorial offices, **DISABLING JUSTICE and REGULATION**, and opening the door for the **RICO Enterprise’s COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES**. Illegal Legal Crimes packaged and rolled out by **ATTORNEYS AT LAW** that all are currently contributing to Bankrupting World Markets through a series of sophisticated frauds. Examples of these frauds, **FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES, FRAUDULENT COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATIONS (CDOs), FRAUDULENT DERIVATIVES, FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS, FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS**, all of these **FRAUDS** requiring a Superior Knowledge of Law as only **LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW** possess. The Criminal Operatives, disguised as **ATTORNEYS AT LAW** with **LEGAL DEGREES**, are nested deep inside Government at Key Posts in order to **COVER-UP** the **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION’S ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES** and those operatives now are deeply embedded throughout the entire United States and New York regulatory agencies, prosecutorial agencies and courts, at the highest levels, as revealed by Anderson. Here comes a political scandal to make Boss Tweeds Tammany Hall look like a small cocktail party.



Boss Tweed and the Tammany Ring, caricatured by Thomas Nast.

Source: 1870s cartoon by Thomas Nast. Date c.1870s Author Thomas Nast. Cropped by Beyond My Ken
URL = http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_M._Tweed

Anderson's WHISTLEBLOWING ALLEGATIONS provide an explanation into more than Corruption in the Courthouse and Public Offices, but also to just how Wall Street/Fraud Street has Melted Down, exposing a Conspiratorial Controlled Demolition that has obliterated Countries in the process, including the United States. A Conspiracy designed to profit a CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE, with legal cover from a legal system the CRIMINALS have taken over and disarmed. ILLEGAL and COMPLEX FINANCIAL CRIMES perpetrated by those in the seats of Justice and Public Office, used to steal TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS from World Markets, using the Courts, Regulators and Prosecutors Offices in conjunction with Lawmakers to Aid and Abet the CRIMES.

Anderson's Whistleblowing exposes further, why and how NOT A SINGLE PROSECUTION of the Criminals has occurred for any of the crimes, evidencing that behind ALL of these COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES are Law Firms and Attorneys at Law acting in criminal capacity to defeat Law and Justice. Anderson fingers the very Attorneys at Law, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judicial Officials, including those who regulate Attorneys at Law licensed on WALL STREET, including Members of This Court, who have partaken in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES and FELONY CRIMINAL COVER-UPS.
Whistleblowing Exposure of GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION STATEWIDE AND

FEDERALLY on a scale never before seen, leading to ECONOMIC RUINS upon this Country and the World, for the profit of a few, those in control of law and order in our country.

Anderson reveals that these CRIMINAL Attorneys at Law have infiltrated and planted deep into Justice, at every level, acting purely to disable Justice and suppress any Whistleblowers. With JUSTICE DISABLED, a free for all of criminal activity and havoc has since occurred. Effectively they have plotted a **TREASONOUS & TRAITOROUS COUP D'ÉTAT TO DISABLE OUR SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES, DESIGNED TO PREVENT THESE CRIMES, DISABLED BY THOSE ENTRUSTED AND IN CHARGE OF UPHOLDING LAW, ALMOST ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW.** Sounds like a no brainer, a Treason almost too big to believe, by the Leaders of Our Government, sustainable only if you can disable Justice forever. Of course, if Justice is restored and Trials of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRATORS are had for these crimes, these ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, REGULATORS, JUSTICES and LAWMAKERS OF BOTH PARTIES who are involved in the CRIMINAL CARTEL, if found guilty, may very well hang for TREASON, ECONOMIC CRIMES, WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY and TORTURE.

In order to understand how the US and World ECONOMIC COLLAPSES were not the result of organic economic factors, such as a Recession or a Depression, but are instead occurring due to CONSPIRATORIAL MARKET RIGGING, PRICE GOUGING, ANTITRUST ACTIVITIES and FINANCIAL FRAUD, one must fully grasp the riveting FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS leveled by Anderson and other inside Whistleblowers. Allegations by insiders against virtually the entire framework of Justice and Regulation, both State and Federal, exposing the underbelly of a Crime Syndicate from the inside out and a “Good Ole Boy” CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE, perverting the highest outposts of Justice and Law.

Also Exposed are Attorneys at Law working in Private Practices to benefit the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, the “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” Anderson refers to in her Trial Testimony. These Private Practice Attorneys at Law, act as Corporate Counsel to Companies they have interests in, who are the business benefactors’ of the Crimes. One can spot some of them walking off with HUNDREDS OF BILLIONS of BOGUS BONUSES, while BANKRUPTING FORTUNE 100 COMPANIES. These Attorneys at Law acting on behalf of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE work also to Demolish World Markets while fixing their bets timely to profit through the Fraudulent Controlled Demolitions and Vulturize the Carcasses of those destroyed. They work to Illegally Quash Competitors as seen in the Banking Industry and then take them over or let them dissolve. They have Illegally Monopolized Industries through Violations of Antitrust Laws, wiping out Millions more Small Businesses and wiping out the middle class. The list of crimes goes on and on and all of these ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES now VICTIMIZING MILLIONS OF SMALL BUSINESSES and HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF PEOPLE worldwide, all achieved through Fraud and Treason. This type of Conspiratorial Attack on Financial Markets and World Markets through CRIMINAL ACTIVITY that has now

directly victimized Hundreds of Millions of People Worldwide constitutes FINANCIAL TERRORISM. Financial Terrorism is an illegal form of Warfare/Eugenics² listed in the Geneva Conventions and US & World Law, again crimes with life sentences for punishments.

Anderson, again, a seasoned New York Supreme Court Attorney who worked in the New York Supreme Court Attorney Criminal Misconduct Regulatory Department, the very one charged with regulating Wall Street/Fraud Street Attorneys at Law, exposed how the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION operates and then shields themselves from prosecution in criminal scheme after criminal scheme. The RICO Organization is composed mainly of a small but powerful and influential group of DIRTY and UNETHICAL Law Firms and Attorneys at Law, operating virtually free of Prosecution. Actually, they act “Above the Law,” due to their illegal misuse of their privileged positions as Attorneys at Law and as Public Officials charged with controlling and regulating the entire System of Jurisprudence, using their TRUSTED positions to block any actions against the RICO Enterprise, including Whitewashing Attorney Criminal Complaints for its members. How do those charged with upholding law instead disable and sabotage the rule of law? By simply violating their SWORN OATHS OF OFFICE and MISUSING THEIR PUBLIC TRUST AS ATTORNEYS AT LAW then Covering Up the crimes of the CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION when complaints are filed against them, effectuated through never-ending Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct/Ethic Codes and State & Federal Law, as described by Whistleblower Anderson and others.

The Anderson sworn testimony of a massive government corruption gains further substantiation from corroborating evidence from yet another HEROIC New York Supreme Court

² CITE REFS

Nuremberg Principle VI states,

“The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law:

(a) Crimes against peace:

(i) Planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances;

(ii) Participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the acts mentioned under (i).

(b) War crimes:

Violations of the laws or customs of war which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation of slave labor or for any other purpose of the civilian population of or in occupied territory; murder or ill-treatment

of prisoners of war or persons on the Seas, killing of hostages, **plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity.**

(c) Crimes against humanity:

Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhumane acts done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial, or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime.”

Veteran Sr. Attorney and Expert in Attorney Criminal Misconduct Complaints, Whistleblower, Nicole Corrado Esq., (“Corrado”). Corrado also works for the NEW YORK SUPREME COURT in the ATTORNEY CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENT, again, the REGULATORY DEPARTMENT that regulates WALL STREET/FRAUD STREET ATTORNEYS AT LAW.

In fact, the ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY that Anderson and Corrado work for is one of the highest outposts of legal regulation in New York and perhaps the nation. According to Anderson and Corrado, SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS of the New York Supreme Court Attorney CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT and Disciplinary Department, intentionally and in conspiracy with other Senior Public Officials, worked to disable a number of State & Federal Agencies by “WHITEWASHING”, according to Anderson, CRIMINAL and ETHICAL complaints, including those filed against themselves and their friends in high places. The “WHITEWASH” achieved by Obstructing Justice, Destroying Evidence in Federal and State Proceedings, Threatening Federal Witnesses and more by PUBLIC OFFICIALS, as further evidenced herein. Further cover-up corruption in the Anderson Lawsuit, in the most extreme form, occurs when a Senior Official of the New York Supreme Court, in classic Racketeering behavior, THREATENS Federal Witness Corrado to silence her, according to Sworn Statements already submitted and docketed in the Court Record of Anderson and this Lawsuit.

Yet another Heroic Whistleblower that testified at the New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearings with Anderson and Plaintiff comes from a Sitting New York State Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Duane A. Hart, Esquire³. In his Testimony, Hon. Hart refers to the heads of the ETHICS Departments in New York, the highest outpost of Attorney at Law Criminal and Ethical Misconduct Complaints in the following demeanor, quote,

SENATOR PERKINS: Yeah, thank you so much. I have to run, but I just want to ask one quick question. So what's the solution?

JUSTICE HART: Well, firstly, you have to fire Tembeckjian and Friedberg. I mean, I've got to tell you, I've been a trial attorney or a judge, again, pushing 30 years. **The only reason that Robert Tembeckjian, in my opinion -- so I don't get sued – isn't the sleaziest attorney I've ever met is because I've met Alan Friedberg. (Laughter.)**

³ New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing Transcripts – June 08, 2009 & September 24, 2009 – Hart Testimony p. 83-102, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein @ <http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/NY%20Senate%20Judiciary%20Committee%20TRANSCRIPTS%20Hearings%201%20and%202%20Sampson%20Searchable%20Index.pdf>

...

JUSTICE HART: Well the system – if the system works properly, it's fair. But anyone, any system that doesn't have the goodwill of the people who are running it behind it is going to fail no matter what you do.

So while I agree with my friend Senator Perkins that this isn't about character assassination, it's about getting a fair, equitable system -- and frankly, in the hands of people like Mr. Ternbeckjian and Mr. Friedberg, you'll never have it. You could put whatever --- you could change the system however you want, you've got to have people in there who are fair, who are ethical.

I mean, again, my -- Mr. Tembeckjian -- and again, I believe I submitted it to you on an earlier day, when my brother told Mr. Tembeckjian that he had to follow certain a rule of ethics [a certain rule of ethics], Mr. Tembeckjian actually wrote back to my brother saying that there are no ethics that he has to follow. And -- am I correct?

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON:

I hear your point, Your Honor.

When Plaintiff later filed CRIMINAL and ETHICAL COMPLAINTS against Friedberg and others, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department lost them. In Plaintiff's testimony to the NY Senate Judiciary Committee, Friedberg is confronted by Chairman Sampson as to where the complaints against he and his Cronies are, Friedberg states he will get back to the Committee with what has happened to the missing complaints. Instead, Friedberg sends Plaintiff a letter dismissing the complaints against him. Yes, Friedberg literally dismissed his own complaint, violating just about every Ethical Rule and NY State Law regarding Conflict of Interest, Obstruction of Justice and Fair and Impartial Due Process. A Shakespearean Legal Comedy/Tragedy, a DESECRATION OF LAW, very similar to the current JUSTICES OF THIS COURTS ILLEGAL HANDLING OF THIS LAWSUIT WHILE BEING CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF REGARDING THEIR INVOLVEMENT IN THE CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE exposed. Exposed by these Testimonies from CREDIBLE EYE WITNESSES working within the LEGAL SYSTEM, is a situation analogous to a Concentration Victim in Nazi Germany pleading to the Courts and the Gestapo for Justice, against the Abuses of the Camp Guards and as those pleaded too were all in on the CRIMES, the Victim was usually shot after leaving the Court.

In order to fully understand how the country is being robbed, and by whom, one must understand the FINANCIAL FRAUDS ONGOING on Wall Street/Fraud Street, FRAUDS that are destroying Main Street, committed by CRIMINAL LAW FIRMS, filled with CRIMINALS operating as licensed ATTORNEYS AT LAW in a myriad of Public and Private roles, through the eyes of these Whistleblowers. One sees clearly that behind it all are RICO Operatives with LEGAL DEGREES in a variety of CRITICAL ROLES necessary to commit the crimes and evade prosecution. There are Private Sector Law Firms and Attorneys at Law creating the underlying documentation and contracts necessary for the FRAUDS. These Private Law Firms then have other Criminal Attorney at Law Operatives who often travel through a revolving door into Government Regulatory Agencies with a mission to DE-REGULATE, or in other words, TURN THE OTHER WAY if Red Flags arise. Other Operatives are disguised as Lawmakers whom push Legislation to Lower Regulations and simultaneously water down prosecutorial legislation. This subversion of the Regulatory Agencies paving the way for the COMPLEX ILLEGAL FINANCIAL FRAUDS to take place without Public Notice or Scrutiny or Prosecution. Other RICO Operatives travel through the Criminal Revolving Door from the Private Law Firms into Government to SUBTERFUGE any PUBLIC AGENCIES where Victims of their crimes may seek Relief such as, Court, Prosecutorial, Regulatory or Oversight, further shielding them from PROSECUTION.

Examples of the COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES directly related to the ONGOING DEPRESSION THE NATION SUFFERS FROM are;

1. FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS produced by Attorneys at Law from the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS, whereby AIG sets the stage for ECONOMIC CALAMITY in the Markets through FRAUDULENT INSURANCE CONTRACTS
2. FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES and PREDATORY LENDING Contracts, again prepared by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS steeped in FRAUDULENT CONTRACTS signed with FRAUDULENT SIGNATURES by Attorneys at Law in Foreclosure Mills. Other Attorneys at Law disguised as Judges rubber-stamping the FRAUDULENT CONCOCTIONS and Regulators Feigning Sleep at the Wheel.
3. FRAUDULENT COLLATERALIZED DEBT OBLIGATIONS (CDOs), contracts again created by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS working through Bankers, whereby the FRAUDULENT SUBPRIME MORTGAGES were and then blah blah FRAUDULENTLY BUNDLED
4. FRAUDULENT DERIVATIVES, whereby again, we have Lawyers working with Investment Bankers to create FRAUDULENT CONTRACTS,
5. FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURES, caused by the Above Frauds and whereby MILLIONS OF HOMEOWNERS and INVESTORS were burned through

FRAUDULENT FORECLOSURE DOCUMENTS produced by the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE LAW FIRMS,

6. FRAUDULENT TARP FUNDS, whereby the losses for the CRIMINAL SCHEMES above were then portrayed to the WORLD as ORGANIC ECONOMIC CALAMITIES NEEDING “BAILOUTS”, NOT AS A RESULT OF ORGANIZED CRIME FRAUDS, which need Bail money only to get out of Prison.

ECONOMIC CALAMITY THROUGH CONSPIRATORIAL FRAUD . Whereby LAWMAKERS, almost all now part of the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE who travel the REVOLVING DOOR from CONGRESS to the “FAVORED LAW FIRMS”, then FURTHER ROBBED US CITIZENS by SHIFTING THE LOSS FOR THE CRIMES TO THE PEOPLE and on the BACKS OF THE CHILDREN’S FUTURE. Members of the CRIMINAL RICO LAW FIRMS from their CORPORATE CRIMINAL CLIENTELE then infiltrated KEY REGULATORY POSTS, to design a MULTITRILLION DOLLAR FRAUD AKA TARP. Even when evidence of FRAUD is UNCOVERED or EXPOSED the CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE has relied upon the DEPARTMENT OF INJUSTICE to HoldOff any Civil or Criminal Complaints as described by Anderson.

7. FRAUDULENT DEBT CEILING NEGOTIATIONS TO FURTHER DISABLE MARKETS and RATINGS.
8. FRAUDULENT WARS OF AGGRESSION
9. OIL PRICE FIXING and PROFITEERING
10. MARKET RIGGING
11. ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS

What do we have from all of these FRAUDS? They are directly responsible for INTENTIONALLY WRECKED WORLD ECONOMIES through these Controlled Conspiratorial Demolitions, all crimes achievable only with a Law Degree, all to the benefit of a few, mainly those with Law Degrees, why just look at the Net Worth increases of our politicians since World Economies have collapsed⁴? Anderson and the other Whistleblowers expose A CRIMINAL COUP D’ÉTAT, operating in a multitude of government agencies, as evidenced herein and in the Anderson Lawsuit, squeezing out insiders whom attempt to blow the Whistle on the Corruption like Anderson and Corrado and replacing them with more Criminals with Law Degrees from the RICO Criminal Law Firm Mills. Exposing our Government Leaders as Criminals, the United States Government aka CRIME INC.

The CRIMINALS in the RICO Enterprise cloaked as ATTORNEYS AT LAW, act behind the scenes, profiting from the controlled demolition of the US and Foreign Markets and

⁴ Cite References

Fortune 1000 Companies. From the wreckage, however, one finds new instant BILLIONAIRES and RECORD CORPORATE PROFITS of a select few, about 1% of the Population, an increase that almost directly correlates to the TRILLIONS of DOLLARS LOOTED THROUGH THEIR CRIMES. Sky Rocketing Net Worth Increases of Lawmakers (from All Parties, which are composed mainly of Attorneys at Law), Judges, Public Officials (who collect compensation upon exiting Public Office in Lucrative Instant Partnerships with the “Favored Law Firms”) and CEO’s of the RICO Enterprises Companies. All of the CRIMINALS earning hundreds of Billions in Salary and Bonuses for Robbing, Raping and Destroying America’s “Apple Pie” institutions and companies and making fortunes betting on the downfalls. Bonuses for; (i) bankrupting Fortune 100 companies, (ii) rigging and destroying world mortgage markets, (iii) rigging and destroying world stock markets, (iv) rigging global economies to collapse⁵ and (v) rigging illegal wars of aggression for war and oil profiteering from price fixing. All crimes and cover-ups done with scienter against the American People and People Worldwide, all done in criminal conspiracy, all done with the aid of insiders inside the Cogs of Justice and Government. These controlled demolitions of world economies created through FRAUD, have intentionally BANKRUPTED the US and World markets and caused ECONOMIC DEPRESSION on Citizens worldwide. Again, all to the benefit of a CRIMINAL RICO ENTERPRISE, all due to a MASS of CRIMINAL ACTS by ATTORNEYS AT LAW, ending with Attorneys at Law behind the TORTURING OF HUMAN BEINGS.⁶ The Tens of Thousands being Tortured Worldwide are not TERRORISTS (or we would have tried them proudly in our Just System) they are mostly those who oppose their ILLEGAL WARS OF AGGRESSIONS or who’s PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THEIR COUNTRIES HAVE BEEN STOLEN BY THEIR CRIMES or as in Manning, Assange, Anderson, Corrado, Hart, etc. those who DISSENT OR EXPOSE THEIR CRIMES.

⁵ Further, supporting evidence of this CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE committing MASS FRAUD can be found in the April 13, 2011, Report by the United States Senate, PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATIONS, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. The Committee is Chaired by Hon. Carl Levin and assisted in bipartisan fashion by Tom Coburn, Ranking Minority Member and is titled WALL STREET AND THE FINANCIAL CRISIS: ANATOMY OF A FINANCIAL COLLAPSE. The Report is located at the following URL, hereby fully incorporated in entirety by reference herein, http://hsgac.senate.gov/public/files/Financial_Crisis/FinancialCrisisReport.pdf .

This detailed stinging report alleges fraud over 200 times in 650 pages, yet still NOT A SINGLE ARREST, while most of this Criminal Activity defined in the report is continues to take place in New York, and why not, when the “Fox” and “Fix” is in the Henhouse?” Crime Pays when no one is protecting the People and Justice is complicit in the crimes. One must ask where the New York Attorney General and the Governor of New York are, the “Sheriffs” of Wall Street, whom instead look more like Criminal Accomplices disguised as Sheriffs. Who are these “Barney Fife” Sheriffs? Again, we find more ATTORNEYS AT LAW, all with interests in the CONTROLLED DEMOLITION of the markets, betting against the People in rigged market collapses, fearing no Justice as they have disabled Justice.

⁶ “The Torture Memos: just following orders, just following [LEGAL] advice?” Posted on July 12, 2011 by Richard Moorhead Law Professor at Cardiff University, LAWYERS WATCH <http://lawyerwatch.wordpress.com/2011/07/12/the-torture-memos-just-following-orders-just-following-advice/>

Let's face it, there is no recession. There in fact, is very little organic economic downturn at all, instead what we have here are **CRIMINAL ACTS** leading directly to the Bankruptcy of the United States and Foreign Nations and where we now find **THE KEYSTONE KOPS** composed of Lawmakers, Regulators, Prosecutors and Judges, intentionally looking the other way to disable justice, while lining their pockets in gold.



Nothing that cannot be fixed with a return to **TRUTH, JUSTICE** and the **AMERICAN WAY**, where no man is above the law. For example, a **RICO CRIMINAL SUIT** on those who organized and participated in these **TREASONOUS CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY**, both outside and inside government, recovering **ALL** the **TRILLIONS** of **LOOT** and **ILL GOTTEN PROFITS** stolen through these **TRAITOROUS** and **TREASONOUS ECONOMIC CRIMES** from people worldwide would be an excellent start to fixing the Nation and World Economies. A clean sweep of assets of all those people and corporations involved in the **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION**, returning all the **CONVERTED** money back to the **PEOPLE** who it has been stolen from. Yet, where is Justice despite the mounds of evidence?

How has Justice been derailed and by whom? Anderson exposes that the underbelly of the **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION** is comprised of a sect of **CRIMINALS**, disguised as Attorneys at Law, operating as State and Federal **JUSTICES** (including now Justices of this Court), Members of the United States Department of Justice, Members of the New York State Attorney General's Office, the District Attorney Offices and Federal & State Regulators. This elaborate network of Government Operatives **FINGERED BY ANDERSON AND CORRADO**, act in conspiracy to **SUBTERFUGE ALL** Criminal Complaints or Lawsuits that arise against the **CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION**. Anderson **FINGERS**, under sworn oath under G-d, in both Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, that **SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS** are "**WHITEWASHING**" **ATTORNEY MISCONDUCT** complaints, destroying documents, altering records, committing **FELONY OBSTRUCTION** after **FELONY OBSTRUCTION**, in both State and Federal proceedings. A "**Good Ole-Boy**" network of Criminals operating inside government. All working in **KEY REGULATORY POSTS**, including but not limited to, the offices of the **US ATTORNEY/DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**,

NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL, NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY, NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY AGENCIES and more.

The Criminal Operatives with legal degrees, upon entering and exiting these public offices, swing through a “Revolving Door” of “Favored Law Firms.” Big payouts are waiting for them in INSTANT PARTNERSHIPS with the “Favored Law Firms” for their time in public DISSERVICE and for their work Aiding and Abetting the facilitation of the Crimes by INTENTIONALLY FAILING TO REGULATE or PROSECUTE. Many of these Criminal Operatives in fact leave lucrative multi-million dollar legal jobs to enter low paying public service jobs with the intent of derailing complaints or disabling regulation in order to facilitate the schemes and collect their payout later in Partnership deals with the law firms the crimes benefit. The “Revolving Doors” are fully exposed in;

- i. the Madoff Ponzi aka the Madoff/MadeUp to Make Off With/RICO Money Laundering Operation where Defendant Proskauer has the “most clients of Madoff” according to Defendant/Proskauer Partner/Pro Se Respondent to the Amended Complaint in the Lawsuit, the multi conflicted, Gregg Mashberg. Further Reports, including the SEC internal audit point the Madoff scheme aka RICO Money Laundering scheme on a former SEC Enforcement Official whom subsequently took a Proskauer Partnership, [see linkage @](#)
 - a.
- ii. the Stanford Ponzi aka RICO Money Laundering Operation, where Defendant Proskauer is being sued for the entire Stanford damages in a Global Class Action by the VICTIMS. Proskauer further implicated in additional Felony Criminal Activity in Stanford, including coaching Stanford employees to lie to Federal Investigators in a Miami airport hanger captured on tape. Proskauer Partner Thomas Sjoblom further made Illegal Representations to the SEC and he was yet another former SEC Enforcement Official who then jumped to instant Proskauer Partner, [see linkage @](#)
 - a.
- iii. the Dreier Ponzi aka the RICO Money Laundering Operation where Defendant Raymond Joao of Defendant Proskauer/Meltzer Lippe, was found putting 90+ patents in his own name and then fleeing to work at Defendant Marc Dreier, currently incarcerated for 20 years,
- iv. and now, the grand daddy of all crimes, the RIGGED COLLAPSE OF THE US and WORLD ECONOMIES. The market collapses expose an even more massive revolving door, as described in [Exhibit 2](#), hereby incorporated (including the taped

conversations with the New York Attorney General's office) in entirety by reference herein. [see linkage @](#)

a.

v.

In all of these Fraudulent Illegal Legal Schemes, we again find CRIMINALS DISGUISED AS ATTORNEYS AT LAW in Key Regulatory Posts, where their failures directly link to the success of the fraud in each case and yet these "Regulators" more aptly "De-Regulators," then just leave their posts and take INSTANT PARTNERSHIPS at the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION CONTROLLED LAW FIRMS. All of these Controlled Market Demolitions/Ponzi Schemes/Criminal RICO Money Laundering Operations have already been identified to this Court and other Authorities as linked directly to the Ivieit/Eliot Bernstein's TWELVE COUNT, TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR FEDERAL RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT "LEGALLY RELATED" BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN TO THE ANDERSON WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT and named Defendants.

The following documents explain more thoroughly the DIRECT LINKS of these schemes to this RICO & ANTITRUST lawsuit that this Court and the US District Court have failed to ACT upon since notification, thereby allowing these Frauds and Schemes to continue.

[SEC Complaints](#)

[Filings on Madoff](#)

[Filings on Stanford](#)

[Filings on SGI](#)

[Galleon Information](#)

The Ivieit technologies have been valued in the TRILLIONS of dollars, valued by leading engineers as "PRICELESS" and the "HOLY GRAIL" inventions of the digital imaging and video worlds, affecting virtually every form of digital communication. In fact, almost 99.99% of users of digital imaging and video products use the Ivieit Technologies in some form and the other .01% is most likely statistical aberration. Therefore, the Ivieit RICO exposes one of the largest crimes in World History, certainly the largest against any US Citizen/Inventor. Where again we find Attorneys at Law, trusted Patent and Corporate Counsel, charged with theft of their RETAINED CLIENTS Intellectual Property rights and royalties. As the Amended Complaint alleges, the royalties owed the Inventors have been ILLEGALLY CONVERTED by their former Intellectual Property and Corporate Counsel for their own gains.

One of the Intellectual Property Attorneys accused, Defendant Raymond Anthony Joao of Defendants Proskauer Rose/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe and Schlissel who put 90+ patents in

his very own name. Joao acted as lackey for the main Iviewit Retained Attorney at Law, Patent Hack, Defendant Kenneth Rubenstein of Defendant Proskauer Rose/Defendant Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel and also the same Rubenstein who is the sole (soulless) patent Reviewer for DEFENDANT MPEGLA, LLC. MPEGLA one of the largest infringers and chief thieves of the Iviewit Intellectual Properties where Rubenstein represented Iviewit and then stole the Patent Pending/Suspending IP off to his Patent Pools at MPEGLA, LLC, then through a pattern of Antitrust and Racketeering Activity precluded the Inventors of their rights. We find that Joao after being accused by Iviewit Management of Falsifying Patent Oaths went to work with the recently imprisoned Marc S. Dreier at the law firm of Dreier & Baritz.

The Attorneys at Law then used the courts to facilitate their crime by disabling the inventors' Intellectual Property rights to their inventions through Conflict after Conflict in the Courts and Prosecutorial Offices to block Plaintiff/Inventor's legal rights, all as explained in detail in the Iviewit Amended Complaint and RICO Statement. Finally, in order to LAUNDER the ILL GOTTEN ROYALTIES over the past DECADE, the lawyers have created further frauds, allegedly including the Ponzis/Criminal RICO Money Laundering Operations mentioned above, as vehicles to wash hundreds of billions of converted royalties away while making it appear to be Ponzi or other financial fraud schemes.

Anderson's FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS demand immediate investigations of ALL those responsible for the disabling of the Judicial System and Regulatory Oversight Agencies designed to protect US Citizens from Crimes committed by Public Officials, Justices, Prosecutors, Law Firms and Attorneys at Law. Anderson's allegations reveal there is nowhere to turn at the State or Federal level where Whistleblowers or Victims can pursue claims against these "Protected" Government Officials, where Senior Public Officials are not already in place to block the complaints through continued Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law. CONFLICTS remain "the glue that binds" the crimes from prosecution in the courts and prosecutorial offices, as Victims and Whistleblower are passed back and forth for years between Agencies that intentionally deny and dismiss complaints without fair and impartial due process of law through the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS described by Anderson.

The Criminals, disguised as Public Officials with Law Degrees, are not lazy, lackadaisical, ignorant, or "asleep at the wheel," they instead appear this way in order to subterfuge and derail prosecutions, lawsuits and regulatory discipline, while holding the door open for their criminal legal brethren and their criminal clientele as they loot the country and world markets. **ANDERSON'S ALLEGATIONS EXPLAIN WHY THERE HAVE BEEN NO SUBSTANTIVE PROSECUTIONS, ARRESTS OR TRIALS, OF ANY OF THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS, LAW FIRMS, LAWYERS, JUSTICES AND PROSECUTORS, WHO WITH SCIENTER, AIDED AND ABETTED THE CRIMES COMMITTED ON WALL STREET/FRAUD STREET, ALL CRIMINALLY FAILING TO UPHOLD THEIR**

PUBLIC OFFICE DUTIES AND LAW. NO JUSTICE WHATSOEVER, DESPITE ABSOLUTE AND OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE OF CRIMES.

JUSTICE IS DEAD IN THIS COURT and OFFICIALS OF THIS COURT have a DIRECT and heavy hand in aiding and abetting the crimes of the herein complaint and the crimes committed on Wall Street/Fraud Street that have destroyed Main Street and streets throughout the World. What Court could allow all this Crime to Continue under its very nose? The Public need look no further than THIS COURT, which has jurisdiction over Wall Street/Fraud Street where the crimes appear to both begin and end worldwide. By FAILING TO PERFORM JUDICIALLY according to Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, as alleged by Anderson, Ivewit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits to Anderson, OFFICIALS OF THIS COURT have become a central component of the RICO Criminal Organization’s success in committing these crimes and evading prosecution. The Criminal Acts committed by Officials of THIS COURT in concealing the evidence of crimes acts as further PRIMA FACIE evidence as the failure of these Officials to CALL IN THE GUARDS after learning of Anderson and Corrado’s FELONY WHISTLEBLOWING CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST OTHER SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS constitutes FELONY CRIMES. In fact, Members of THIS COURT instead found attempting to sweep the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS they are fully cognizant of under the rug, by dismissing the Lawsuits prior to any INVESTIGATIONS in a hurried effort to hide the crimes and their culpability in them.

Continued Criminal Felony Allegations Against Members of this Court

Plaintiff would AGAIN like to thank the Justices and Members of this Court, including but not limited to, **NAME ALL COURT MEMBERS**, who have FURTHER FINGERPRINTED themselves for CRIMINAL PROSECUTION. Thank you for submitting further PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE of YOUR CONTINUED FRAUD ON THIS COURT through issuance of ILLEGAL Orders and other actions, without first removing the identified Plethora of Identified Conflicts of Interest and other Felony Crimes ongoing in this Lawsuit, constituting Fraud on the Court, prior to further adjudication. Additional PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE exists, as Members of THIS COURT fail to act according to well-established MISPRISION OF FELONY laws, once aware of CREDIBLE CLAIMS OF FELONY CRIMES, including but not limited to, violating laws relating to and AIDING & ABETTING a Criminal RICO Organization, Obstruction of Justice, Threats on Federal Witnesses and more. The Cover-Up by MEMBERS OF THIS COURT of the Felony Criminal Acts exposed by Anderson and levied against Senior Ranking Public Officials, becomes criminal in the failure of THIS COURT to,

1. DEMAND IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATIONS,
2. REPORT THE FELONIES TO ALL PROPER AUTHORITIES,
3. IMMEDIATELY REMOVE ALL CONFLICTS and OBSTRUCTIONS, and,

4. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES WHO HAVE ACTED UNLAWFULLY THUS FAR IN THESE LAWSUITS, as required by Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law.

This Court's INTENTIONAL failures constitute continued Fraud on the Court and Felony Obstruction by the JUSTICES and other Members of this COURT on behalf of the Criminal RICO Organization. Obstruction committed through ongoing combined VIOLATIONS of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State, Federal and & International Law, by all those adjudicating this lawsuit and ALL those participating in the defense and prosecution of the Defendants in these matters.

The attached ILLEGAL and OBSTRUCTIONARY ruling to **DISMISS⁷ this Lawsuit without allowing Plaintiff a single day in Court, issued by Members of this COURT,** attempts to bury the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit on Appeal. Prior to removing any of the germane Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law or investigating any of Anderson's allegations, which all preclude Plaintiff from a Fair and Impartial Court of Law thereby denying Plaintiff Due Process entirely. In fact, this case was Dismissed prior to resolution of the "Legally Related" Anderson lawsuit, showing the Courts hurried rulings as merely illegal attempts to cover-up the crimes exposed by Anderson and further deny Plaintiff rights to Discovery and Investigation of the Anderson allegations.

This illegal conduct makes the Court's DISMISSAL yet another ILLEGAL ATTEMPT to COVER-UP the ONGOING crimes committed by Members of this Court in conjunction with Members of the US District Court Southern District of New York, the New York Supreme Court, the New York Attorney General's Office, the New York Governor Andrew Cuomo and others. The Court's failure to Halt this Lawsuit until summoned investigators can investigate Whistleblower Anderson's Felony Criminal Allegations, exposed in her sworn testimony in US Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, against Members of the US Attorney General's Office, Members of the District Attorney Offices, Members of the New York Attorney General's Office (under the leadership of Spitzer, Cuomo and Schneiderman), Members of the New York Court's, as yet unidentified publically "Favored Lawyers and Law Firms," and others, stands as clear evidence of continued Obstruction of Justice and more. Then again, Plaintiff does not anticipate that this Court can rule in favor of Plaintiff, as it would result in Members of the Court serving very lengthy FEDERAL PRISON sentences for their part in the RICO. A phenomenon similar to a Concentration Camp Victim appealing to the Gestapo for Justice against other Gestapo members responsible for killing and torturing Camp Victims, the odds of success and fair and impartial due process, nil.

⁷ [Attach Link](#)

Plaintiff would like to WELCOME all the new Second Circuit Officials who have FINGERPRINTED themselves thus far to the Iviewit Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Kindly take this Motion as further OFFICIAL NOTICE, see Motion to Compel for earlier NOTICE, that you have been CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF, both personally and professionally, to FEDERAL, STATE & INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES and other authoritative disciplinary agencies with oversight of your actions, for FELONY CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS OF LAW. Members of the Court attempt to suppress the CRIMINAL CHARGES by attempting to DISMISS the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, prior to investigators investigating Members of This Court and the others fingered by Anderson, which acts as further evidence of Criminal Acts committed by This Court. This too has been reported to criminal authorities and oversights and Plaintiff awaits their formal responses and ignores the ILLEGAL ORDERS OF THIS COURT UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT ALL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES HAVE FINALIZED THEIR ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS.

Take this Motion as FURTHER OFFICIAL NOTICE that Members of this Court named herein, have, and will continue to be, included in ALL ongoing and future Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Litigations, Criminal Complaints and Appeals/Rehearings of this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Iviewit Lawsuit is a 12 Count 12 Trillion Dollar Lawsuit, and as such, the named Members of this Court are required to report both the Criminal Complaints against them and all current, pending and future threatened legal actions to all those with liabilities that may result from their actions. Reporting these LIABILITIES to all Insurance Carriers, Bond Holders, the State of New York Auditors and to any parties who may incur liabilities from the Members of this Court’s CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES. Plaintiff reminds the Members of THIS COURT OF INJUSTICE that **THERE IS NO IMMUNITY FOR CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY JUSTICES OR MEMBERS OF THE COURTS, AS NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW, DESPITE YOUR CONTINUED EFFORTS TO SHIELD YOUR FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS USING RIDICULOUS IMMUNITY CLAIMS.**

- II. IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL JUSTICES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (THIS COURT) WHOM HAVE CURRENTLY ACTED IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ANYWAY WHATSOEVER, FOR THEIR PART IN AIDING AND ABETTING FRAUD ON THE COURT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS AND MORE.**

This Court has Prima Facie evidence, from CREDIBLE EXPERT EYEWITNESS WHISTLEBLOWERS and Knowledge of this THREAT ON A FEDERAL WITNESS and other FELONY CRIMES EXPOSED and therefore has LEGAL OBLIGATION to the report these FELONY CRIMES and all the other alleged crimes exposed by Anderson and Corrado.

Reporting the FELONIES to all proper authorities or be **CULPABLE** of FELONY CRIMES, including but not limited to, MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES), AIDING & ABETTING, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, RICO and more. Plaintiff quotes the following from Anderson's Motion,

http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf

November 16, 2011 Anderson Motion – US District Court

V. WITNESS TAMPERING – THREAT ON WITNESS IN A FEDERAL PROCEEDING

“42. The Attorney General and the trial court were aware that in August of 2008, one of the plaintiff's witnesses, DDC staff attorney Nicole Corrado, was threatened.

Two days prior to her deposition testimony, state employee, and [NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE] DDC Deputy Chief Counsel, Andral N. Bratton, and who had been her immediate supervisor for approximately 5 years, confronted Corrado.

43. Following Corrado's deposition testimony on August 21, 2008, Bratton's behavior toward Corrado became more **harassing, troubling, frightening and threatening as he began to follow her inside and outside of the state office** where they both worked. Corrado subsequently reported these serious issues to DDC chief counsel Allan Friedberg, Deputy chief Counsel Sherry Cohen, a defendant in the current proceeding, and DDC Chief Investigator Vincent Ranieri - all of whom who took no required action.

Other Iviewit News

"Another One Bites the Dust! Defendant in Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson's Federal Lawsuit, Sherry K. Cohen of the New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee joins Thomas Cahill in early retirement."⁸

⁸ <http://www.ethicscomplaint.com/2011/02/new-york-supreme-court-whistleblower.html>

“New York Supreme Court Whistleblower. Corruption in New Your Courts - Enough is Enough.” Ethics Complaint - Industry Whistleblower Blog by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox Tuesday, February 15, 2011 and

<http://www.suppressthe truth.com/2010/09/andrew-cuomo-new-york-attorney-general.html>

and

http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/Anderson%20111609%20Filing.pdf

Further, This Court has absolute knowledge and Prima Facie evidence from Anderson and Corrado of these CRIMES through depositions under oath, Testimony and Sworn Statements to the NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE contained in the “Legally Related” Anderson Lawsuit and on record at the NY Senate Judiciary Committee⁹. A variety of HEROIC WHISTLEBLOWING PUBLIC OFFICIALS also testified to the NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, including but not limited to, testimony alleging a host of FEDERAL OFFENSES, corroborating Anderson’s allegations of Widespread Public Office Corruption. Further irrefutable PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE of FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, in addition to credible evidence provided by Anderson, Corrado and others, has been presented to this Court, including Deposition Testimony in Anderson’s Lawsuit given by former Defendant in Anderson’s Lawsuit and now Witness for Anderson, Defendant in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe (“Wolfe”), the Clerk of This Court!

In fact, Wolfe continues to act in OFFICIAL CAPACITY in this Lawsuit, the Anderson Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” lawsuits despite the further myriad of Conflicts of Interest and Law this violates. Wolfe, is also a Defendant and Witness in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit with firsthand knowledge of FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY LICENSED ATTORNEYS AT LAW in the New York Supreme Court. Wolfe was instrumental in having KEY DEFENDANTS in this Lawsuit, including but not limited to, Steven C. Krane, the Former, now Deceased, President of Defendant New York State Bar Association. Steven C. Krane, former Senior Partner in Defendant Proskauer Rose’s Law Firm¹⁰ who was caught by Wolfe handling Supreme Court Attorney Disciplinary and Criminal Complaints for his Law Firm while the SENIOR MEMBER of the Supreme Court Disciplinary Department where the complaints were filed.

These Conflicts and Violations of Law further compel This Court to now Act According to Law to remove all of these Obstructions, itself first confirming no conflicts. In fact, all WHO BORE WITNESS OR HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF THESE FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS of ANDERSON and CORRADO ARE LEGALLY REQUIRED by Judicial

⁹ Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein Any/All records from the “Legally Related” Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit, the “Legally Related” Lawsuits and any/all other legal records relating to Nicole Corrado, in any legal matters in the US District Court, this Court or any other court and any/all other Regulatory and Investigate Entities acting in these matters. Records, including but not limited to, all “SEALED” and IMPOUNDED RECORDS that relate to these matters. PLAINTIFF DEMANDS that these records be instantly made part of this MOTION, CERTIFIED and CATALOGUED due to the ALLEGATIONS by Anderson of DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION IN OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS, including matters now before This Court and the District Court, as further defined herein.

¹⁰ August 11, 2004 UNPUBLISHED ORDER OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT
<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/2004%2008%2011%20new%20york%20first%20department%20orders%20investigation%20Krane%20Rubenstein%20Joao.pdf>

Cannons, Attorney Conduct/Ethics Codes and State & Federal Law to report the crimes or face charges for FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, as further defined herein.

The INTENTIONAL FAILURE TO REPORT THE CRIMES EXPOSED constitutes further crimes that act to AID & ABET the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION and shield it from prosecution. MEMBERS OF THIS COURT adjudicating this Lawsuit thus far, have committed¹¹, including but not limited to, Misprision(s) of Felony(ies) for failure to report

¹¹ http://www.defraudingamerica.com/title_18_usc_4.html

Federal Crime Reporting Statutes

The federal offense of failure to disclose a felony, if coupled with some act concealing the felony, such as suppression of evidence, harboring or protecting the person performing the felony, intimidation or harming a witness, or any other act designed to conceal from authorities the fact that a crime has been committed.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Misprision of a Felony

Misprision of a felony is the offense of failure to inform government authorities of a felony that a person knows about. A person commits the crime of misprision of a felony if that person:

Knows of a federal crime that the person has witnessed or that has come to the person's attention, or failed to prevent.

Fails to report it to a federal judge or other federal official (who is not themselves involved in the crime).

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Obstructing Justice Statutes

Title 18 U.S.C. § 2. Principals. (a) Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal. (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to

be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal.

Note: The legislative intent to punish as a principal not only one who directly commits an offense and one who "aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures" another to commit an offense, but also anyone who causes the doing of an act which if done by him directly would render him guilty of an offense against the United States. Case law decisions: *Rothenburg v. United States*, 1918, 38 S.Ct. 18, 245 U.S. 480, 62 L.Ed. 414, and *United States v. Giles*, 1937, 57 S.Ct. 340, 300 U.S. 41, 81 L.Ed. 493.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3. Accessory after the fact. Whoever, knowing that an offense against the United States had been committed, receives, relieves, comforts or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an accessory after the fact.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined not more than \$500 or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1505. Whoever corruptly ... influences, obstructs, or impedes or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede the due the proper administration of the law under which any pending proceeding is being had before any department or agency of the United States ... shall be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1510. Obstruction of criminal investigation.

(a) Whoever willfully endeavors by means of bribery to obstruct, delay, or prevent the communication of information relating to a violation of any criminal statute of the United States by any person to a criminal investigator shall be fined not more than \$5,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant

(b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or physical force, threatens, or corruptly persuades another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to—

(1) influence, delay, or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding;

(2) cause or induce any person to—

(A) withhold testimony, or withhold a record, document, or other object, from an official proceeding;

(3) hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both.

(c) Whoever intentionally harasses another person and thereby hinders, delays, prevents, or dissuades any person from—

(1) attending or testifying in an official proceeding;

(2) reporting to a law enforcement officer or judge of the United States the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ... (3) arresting or seeking the arrest of another person in connection with a Federal offense; or

(4) causing a criminal prosecution, or a parole or probation revocation proceeding, to be sought or instituted, or assisting in such prosecution or proceeding;

or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

(e) For the purposes of this section—

(1) an official proceeding need not be pending or about to be instituted at the time of the offense; and

(2) the testimony, or the record, document, or other object need not be admissible in evidence or free of a claim of privilege.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513. Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant.

(a) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or threatens to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for (1) the

FELONY THREATS ON A FEDERAL WITNESS, TAMPERING WITH A FEDERAL WITNESS, FELONY OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN FEDERAL PROCEEDINGS and more, AS EXPOSED BY ANDERSON and CORRADO. MEMBERS OF THIS COURT CURRENTLY ADJUDICATING THESE LAWSUITS HAVE CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS ALREADY FILED AGAINST THEM for AIDING & ABETTING a CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION, MULTIPLE COUNTS OF FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MULTIPLE COUNTS OF FEDERAL MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES) and much much more.

attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding; or (2) any information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ..."

Title 18 U.S.C. § 111. Impeding certain officers or employees. Whoever ... intimidates, or interferes with any person ... while engaged in ... the performance of his official duties shall be fined ... or imprisoned ...

Racketeering Enterprise Statutes and Criteria

Title 42 USC § 1961. Definition. As used in this chapter-(1) "racketeering activity" means:

(A) any act or threat involving ... relating to 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice), section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations), section 1511 (relating to obstruction of State or local law enforcement), section 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery or extortion), section 1952 (relating to racketeering, ...

Title 42 USC § 1962. Prohibited Activities.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern or racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.

(d) It shall be unlawful for any person to conspire to violate any of the provisions of subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this section. ...

JUDICIAL Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

Yet, despite all these facts that FORCE DISQUALIFICATION of MEMBERS OF THIS COURT acting in Violation of Law, they continue to fail to act according to law, in continued attempts to cover up the FELONY CRIMES exposed by Anderson and Corrado and those contained in this RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Crimes directly involving MEMBERS OF THIS COURT and their legal brethren, purposefully suppressed and buried by the very ACCUSED, because reporting the crimes as proscribed by law would expose MEMBERS OF THIS COURT and their LEGAL BRETHERN as key players of the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION and the onion would peel.

One can only expect THIS COURT, now caught in the act of Aiding & Abetting a Criminal RICO Organization and Obstruction of Justice, fingered by INSIDERS, to ILLEGALLY RULE IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST and VIOLATION OF LAW to ILLEGALLY DISMISS this Lawsuit, the Anderson Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits to Anderson, which they have. All in efforts to SUPPRESS the CRIMES, where they now include Criminal Allegations against Members of the Court, whereby asking This Court to Rule against itself in these matters, would be akin to asking the accused Members of This Court to Rule to Hang Themselves. In this particular RICO Lawsuit, the RICO PREDICATE CRIMES involve an ATTEMPTED MURDER, commissioned through TERRORIST ACTS against Plaintiff Bernstein, a US Citizen and Inventor. Terrorist Acts, including but not limited to, a CAR BOMBING of Inventor Bernstein’s family minivan (see images at www.iviewit.tv)¹² and other Violations of PRIVACY and PROPERTY RIGHTS¹³ by Members of the Courts acting CRIMINALLY against VICTIMS and WHISTLEBLOWERS, including Defendants in this Lawsuit.

¹² The Iviewit Website hereby incorporated by URL reference herein in entirety, including all evidentiary links on the homepage URL <http://iviewit.tv/#Evidence>)

¹³ Tuesday, April 1, 2008 “NY Ethics Scandal Tied to International Espionage Scheme (MORE, CLICK HERE) Tammany Hall II Ethics Scandal Reaching New Heights” by Investigative Blogger and Former/Current Federal Agent, Frank Brady aka Kevin McKeown at Expose Corrupt Courts.

“Reports surfaced in New York and around Washington, D.C. last week detailing a massive communications satellite espionage scheme involving major multi-national corporations and the interception of top-secret satellite signals.

The evidence in the corporate eavesdropping cover-up “is frightening,” according to an informed source who has reviewed the volumes of documentation. The espionage scheme, he says, is directly tied to the growing state bar ethics scandal at the Appellate Division First Department, Departmental Disciplinary Committee (DDC) in Manhattan.

Rumors had been Circulating Linking the NY Bar Scandal to International Corporate Espionage Ops Using Satellites”

MORE AT THE LINK <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2008/04/ny-ethics-scandal-tied-to-international.html>, fully incorporated by reference herein.

IMMEDIATE Disqualification of Justices and other Members of the Second Circuit Court who have acted in Violation of Law, Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court. In seeking DISQUALIFICATION of the current JUSTICES OF THIS COURT, PLAINTIFF ALSO DEMANDS FULL REMOVAL OF ALL PRIOR RULINGS and ORDERS, ALL tendered in Conflicts of Interests, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal Law as already described herein.

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?" Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistrieri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a

bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." Taylor v. O'Grady, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." Balistreri, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. **Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge.** Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is in violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. United States v. Sciuto, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

COURTS HAVE REPEATEDLY RULED THAT JUDGES HAVE NO IMMUNITY FOR THEIR CRIMINAL ACTS. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

LET ME COUNT THE WAYS THIS COURT IS CONFLICTED and IN VIOLATION OF LAW, THAT DEMAND TOTAL DISQUALIFICATION OF ALL JUSTICES, OFFICERS and MEMBERS OF THIS COURT CURRENTLY HANDLING THIS LAWSUIT.

- Failure to Affirm or Deny Conflict to Opposing Counsel (Pro Se Bernstein) in order to assure fair and impartial, including after Anderson states favored Lawyers and Law Firms, as yet unidentified, are involved in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, THREATENING A FEDERAL WITNESS and MORE, thereby necessitating ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW and LAW FIRMS to be screened to know if they are CONFLICTED or one of the yet unidentified and perhaps contained within the sealed records of the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit. Where there should be no Conflicts of Interest in the Lawsuit, we now witness admission of Conflict with a

Central Defendant in the RICO, the New York Attorney General's Office and cause for all parties to be screened. Where Plaintiff has requested COI's be signed by all Parties prior to adjudicating and has been ignored, there can be no reason not to sign one now with the Anderson allegations exposed.

- Scheindlin's Order Allowing AG into case
- Knowledge of Crimes Alleged by Credible Witness Anderson

III. REMAND, HALT AND REHEAR THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S NOW ADMITTED AND ACKNOWLEDGED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BOTH PAST AND PRESENT, IN ACTING ILLEGALLY AS COUNSEL FOR 39 PLUS STATE DEFENDANT/ACTORS IN THIS LAWSUIT BY VIOLATING PUBLIC OFFICE RULES & REGULATIONS, ATTORNEY CONDUCT CODES AND STATE & FEDERAL LAW.

Plaintiff Presumes at this point in this Motion, NEW Non-Conflicted Justices of This Court, Non-Conflicted Counsel for Defendants and Non-conflicted State Officials are reading this Motion further and have signed a Conflict of Interest Disclosure as attached, assuming liability if discovery of Conflict is found. Plaintiff presumes a timely response to this Motion but disregards any Order or Edict proffered by This Court without assurance of a conflict free forum going forward, from all those adjudicating these matters further, including the following requests on This Court. Assurances in the form of a signed verified and notarized, Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form, as the one attached herein, which is returned by Certified Mail to Plaintiffs Address at 2753 NW 34th St. Boca Raton, FL 33434 prior to ANY ACTION by this Court or in conjunction with any further action.

On April 14, 2011, James Rogers, Esq. Special Counsel and Senior Advisor to New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman, ADMITTED and ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest for both himself personally and the New York Attorney General's Office. Conflicts of Interest, which precluded both Rogers and the AG's office from handling or even speaking about any matters related to Iviewit and Eliot Bernstein's Criminal Complaints and this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit before THIS COURT. These recently ADMITTED & ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest have existed in this Lawsuit for the New York Attorney General since the initiation of the Lawsuit. Despite this Court and the District Court's knowledge of these Conflicts, as they have been Petitioned repeatedly since day one of this Lawsuit by Plaintiff of the Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law by the New York AG illegally allowed. A multitude of Conflicts were identified, which This Court and the US District Court should have precluded and

removed but instead allowed the illegal acts to continue and infect this proceeding, further evidence of FRAUD ON THE COURT by Members of the Courts.

The taped phone calls between Eliot Bernstein and Governor Cuomo's office with Emily Cole, Steven Michael Cohen¹⁴ and the New York Attorney General's offices with Rogers ultimate ADMISSION & ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of Conflicts of Interest are located at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFIEIp6E> , hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein. A formal letter memorializing the calls with the New York Attorney General Office with additional Criminal Complaints against new participants in the RICO Criminal Complaints filed can be found at the following URL's, hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein,

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=588>

and

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20110520%20FINAL%20NY%20AG%20ADMITTED%20CONFLICT%20OF%20INTEREST%20and%20CRIMINAL%20COMPLAINTS%20CUOMO%20and%20COHEN.pdf> .

The admission of Conflicts of Interest has now forced the NY Attorney General's office to refuse to further handle or even speak to Plaintiff regarding the Criminal Complaints filed with their offices or this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The Attorney General instead seeking INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL to represent their offices forward and INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED PROSECUTORS to investigate the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS before them, including those naming Members of this Court. KUDOS goes to the integrity of Scheinderman's Attorney General Office and Mr. Rogers for admitting that the New York Attorney General's Office is ABSOLUTELY CONFLICTED and seeking INDEPENDENT PARTIES to represent and investigate these matters forward. The admissions and removal of the AG from Conflict breakdowns one of main conflicts in the WALL OF OBSTRUCTIONARY CONFLICTS obstructing this Lawsuit. Prior New York Attorney General's Spitzer and Cuomo, flagrantly and with SCIENTER violated Conflict rules and with the blessing and APPROVAL FROM MEMBERS OF THE COURTS.

The Admission and Acknowledgement of Conflicts of Interest are reason for This Court to IMMEDIATELY REMAND this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the "Legally Related" Lawsuits, back to the US District Court for rehearings. REHEARINGS free of ALL Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, by ALL parties, which has polluted the

¹⁴As of July 11, 2011 Cohen has been retired of service to Cuomo.

first hearing (if you can call FRAUD ON THE COURT a hearing) of these matters. Members of This Court that have handled this case thus far, similarly must now DISQUALIFY themselves from handling this Lawsuit as they too are CONFLICTED, being accused in the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS of AIDING & ABETTING the former ATTORNEY GENERAL'S via OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN THESE PROCEEDINGS. Hopefully, henceforth, the NEW JUSTICES of THIS COURT ruling in the future on these matters, including this request to REMAND the Lawsuits back and issuing an EDICT on this MOTION, have already signed the attached CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE PRIOR TO RULING. To rule or act further without a signed Conflict of Interest disclosure will result in NEW CRIMINAL CHARGES filed. Further, any new members of This Court who comply with the Conflicts Check, the first order of business should be REPORTING all PRIOR JUSTICES, the DEFENDANT NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERALS and MEMBERS OF THIS COURT for their part in AIDING & ABETTING a CRIMINAL RICO ORG, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MISPRISION OF FELONY(IES) and more.

IV. REMAND AND REHEAR THIS LAWSUIT DUE TO THE NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON'S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR COURT OFFICIALS, PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND MORE.

This Court now has brand new knowledge of CRIMINAL ACTIVITY submitted with PRIMA FACIE evidence herein, including CREDIBLE EYEWITNESSES SWORN STATEMENTS, SWORN TESTIMONY IN FEDERAL COURT and TAPED ADMISSION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST in these matters, and must now report these NEW FELONY CRIMES. Failure to report these NEW CRIMINAL ACTS will result in further Felony Criminal Charges for MISPRISION OF FELONY, AIDING & ABETTING A CRIMINAL RICO ORIGINATION and other VIOLATIONS of STATE, FEDERAL & INTERNATIONAL LAW. Whistleblower Anderson, again, a Supreme Court of New York Attorney expert in ATTORNEY MISCONDUCT COMPLAINTS, presented Corroborating evidence to this Court of Violations of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State & Federal Law by the New York Attorney General. In Anderson's Notice of Motion to Disqualifying the Office of the New York State Attorney General from Representation of Defendants, which can be found at the URL's,

http://www.frankbrady.org/TammanyHall/Documents_files/CCA%20091410%20Filing.pdf

and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=391> , fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein. ALL APPLICABLE and RELEVANT ARGUMENTS regarding the Misconduct contained within the

Anderson Motion to DISQUALIFY the ATTORNEY GENERAL from the Anderson Lawsuit, are wholly incorporated herein in entirety for consideration in this Motion to DISQUALIFY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FROM THESE PROCEEDINGS. The New York Attorney General's Office and current and former members of the office already Defendants in these matters should remain in the case as Defendants only and need now seek INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED Attorneys at Law to represent them in their PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL capacities.

With the removal of the New York Attorney General as Counsel to the State Actors/Defendants, each State Official must now retain new, NON CONFLICTED COUNSELORS, one to represent them personally and one professionally. Anderson's Motion to DISQUALIFY the Attorney General for ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS in her Whistleblower Lawsuit and in Plaintiff's Motion to Compel show that New York State Funds and Resources are being illegally used to ILLEGALLY represent PUBLIC OFFICIALS in their PERSONAL capacities, in Violation of Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules and Regulations and State and Federal Law. Again, attempts by THIS COURT to continue to allow these ILLEGAL REPRESENTATIONS, THEFT, and FRAUD of PUBLIC RESOURCES by STATE OFFICIALS, by failure to end these crimes in THIS COURT or even report the CRIMES as mandated by Law to Criminal Authorities will result in ADDITIONAL CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST MEMBERS OF THIS COURT.

As already described herein, the Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson exposes a multitude of CRIMINAL FELONY ACTIVITIES of PUBLIC OFFICIALS and COURT OFFICIALS directly related to the adjudication of this Lawsuit and several of those FINGERED PUBLIC OFFICIALS ARE DEFENDANTS IN THIS LAWSUIT. CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS have therefore been lodged against those named officials fingered by Anderson and those "caught with their hand in cookie jar" feeding on the trough of corruption, including Members of This Court named herein who have Aided & Abetted these corrupt practices through CRIMINAL FELONY ACTS in efforts to bury these Lawsuits without giving them a day in court. With the REMOVAL of the New York Attorney General office for admitted Conflicts of Interest that preclude their future involvement, other than as Defendant, in both this Lawsuit and the CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS filed with their offices, which now need Independent Investigators to investigate, this case must be REMANDED back to the US District Court for IMMEDIATE REHEARING. REHEARING must start with CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS to determine who exactly is involved in the Conspiracy within the Courts described by Anderson, as Anderson named several key players and yet left several of the agencies and court personnel unidentified.

Conflicts of Interest and multitudes of Violations of State & Federal Law already identified to the Courts in this Lawsuit, Anderson's suit and the "Legally Related" Anderson lawsuits, especially those where the New York Attorney General Illegally Represents State

Defendants, must be re-evaluated. The Attorney General's Conflicts of Interests have melted into an orgy of Obstruction committed by ALL PARTIES REPRESENTING the Defendants and ALL THOSE HEARING this LAWSUIT that are licensed ATTORNEYS AT LAW, all acting in Collusion to Deny Due Process to Plaintiff, Anderson and the "Legally Related" lawsuits.

Anderson's claims, include but are not limited to, allegations against Members of Agencies and the COURTS who have been directly involved in alleged CRIMINAL ACTIVITY and are also DEFENDANTS in this Lawsuit, Plaintiff Demands this Lawsuit be REMANDED back to the US District Court for REHEARING in conjunction with FULL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALL OF THE FOLLOWING PARTIES named by Anderson as being part of the CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY¹⁵;

1. The Department of Justice – Office of the Attorney General
2. The New York Attorney General Office
3. The District Attorney Office
4. The Assistant DA Office
5. Thomas Cahill, former Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
6. Sherry Cohen, Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
7. Naomi Goldstein, aka "The Cleaner," (as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court) Deputy Chief Counsel of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
8. David Spokony, Deputy Clerk - New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department
9. Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Former Clerk of the New York Supreme Court and now CLERK of this COURT, Defendant in this Lawsuit and former Defendant in Anderson's Whistleblower Lawsuit and now material witness for Anderson
10. Justice Angela M. Mazzarel of the Appellate Division, New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Department

¹⁵ <http://exposecorruptessex.com/CourtInspectorGeneral.html>

November 1, 2009 To: Inspector General for NY Unified Court System at jg@courts.state.ny.us
Re: Intolerable corruption and criminal conduct in our Appellate Court Discipline by Terence Finnan and

<http://iviewit.tv/wordpress/?p=205>

Tuesday, October 27, 2009 Letter to Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: IVIEWIT LETTER TO US FED JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN RE CRIMINAL "WHISTLEBLOWER" ALLEGATIONS in Christine C. Anderson v. New York State et al. Docket 07cv09599 alleging Disciplinary Complaint Fixing by the "CLEANER" for US Attorneys, New York District Attorneys and ADA's; Code of Conduct for US Judges Canon 3B(5), Protecting the People. Eliot I. Bernstein.

Both footnote references incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

11. "Favored Law Firms" as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court yet left unidentified
12. "Favored Lawyers", as defined by Anderson in Sworn Testimony in Federal Court
13. Steven C. Krane ~ Proskauer Rose
14. Kenneth Rubenstein ~ Proskauer Rose
15. Proskauer Rose
16. Foley & Lardner
17. Michael Grebe – Former CEO of Foley & Lardner during commissioning of the FRAUDULENT PATENTS filed by Foley & Lardner with the US Patent Office, now in Suspension pending investigations of Federal Patent Bar Attorney's from both Foley & Proskauer by the US Patent Office of Enrollment & Discipline, Director, Harry I. Moatz.

These factors alone relating to the Anderson ALLEGATIONS OF FELONY MISCONDUCT by MEMBERS of PUBLIC AGENCIES and the COURTS whom are directly involved in Plaintiff's RICO & ANTITRUST, constitute absolute cause to REMAND this Lawsuit back to the US District Court to be IMMEDIATELY HEARD BY NON CONFLICTED PARTIES. Further, Orders to Halt the Lawsuit should accompany the REMANATION, until everyone currently involved in this Lawsuit is both DISQUALIFIED and REPORTED for their part in Aiding & Abetting this MASSIVE FRAUD ON THE COURTS. Then, and only then, can the matters proceed to be heard in a CONFLICT FREE FORUM by CONFLICT FREE PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND COURT OFFICIALS who have signed Conflict Disclosure Forms prior to PROCEEDING, a FAIR & IMPARTIAL COURT OF LAW as GUARANTEED UNDER LAW. YOUR, this COURT'S, failure to provide such GUARANTEE FURTHER will result in FURTHER CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION CHARGES.

HALT THIS LAWSUIT AND THE “LEGALLY RELATED” LAWSUITS, PENDING INVESTIGATIONS OF WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE, THE US ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE, JUSTICES, OFFICERS OF THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS AND OTHERS, BASED ON FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE BY NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY/WHISTLEBLOWER/HERO CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON. THE FELONY CRIMES ALLEGED BY ANDERSON, DIRECTLY RELATE TO THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT, INCLUDING HAVING SEVERAL IDENTICAL NEW YORK STATE PUBLIC OFFICIAL ACTOR/DEFENDANTS AND THE ALLEGATIONS ARE WHOLLY GERMANE TO THE NEXUS OF THE IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT CRIMES ALLEGED. FURTHER THE TWO LAWSUITS ARE “LEGALLY RELATED” BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN.

V. REMOVE ALL OTHER CONFLICTS OF INTEREST CURRENTLY IN PLACE IN THIS LAWSUIT IN ORDER TO IMPART FAIR AND IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.

Rehearings free of ALL Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons and State & Federal law and there are many more currently in play in this Lawsuit that are directly related to the New York Attorneys General conflicts, which all must instantly cease and further be reported to the proper CRIMINAL authorities. Conflicts that infected and poisoned these hearings from the start, Obstructing Justice, Denying Due Process and perpetrating never ending FRAUD ON THE COURTS through Violations of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law, which without this FRAUD ON THE COURT would crumble. The only sane course of action forward in this Lawsuit, where unraveling the Web of Conflicts is now impossible, as Anderson has left a mystery for discovery of who these “FAVORED LAW FIRMS AND LAWYERS” are, is to now DISQUALIFY and REPLACE, ALL ATTORNEYS AT LAW, currently acting in ANY Capacity in this Lawsuit. This ORDER to include PRESCREENING ALL those ATTORNEYS AT LAW coming forward to REPRESENT ANY PARTIES, and is also required as they are dually acting as OFFICERS OF THIS COURT, with NEW PRESCREENED FOR CONFLICTS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, JUSTICES and other PUBLIC OFFICIALS with LAW LICENSES.

Parties already identified in Conflict in these Matters and then ruled on by others in Conflict, include but are not limited to all of the Following matters and individuals;

1. Thomas Cahill Complaint for Conflicts of Interest and More ORDERED FOR INVESTIGATION, yet never completed due to further Conflicts, information regarding Cahill can be found at the URL
2. Steven C. Krane
3. Proskauer
4. Foley
5. Foley new Counsel
6. Joao
7. Joao Counsel
8. New York Attorney General

Orders must therefore be issued IMMEDIATELY, by NEW NON-CONFLICTED MEMBERS OF THIS COURT, ALL whom have signed the ATTACHED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM OR IDENTIFIED ALL CONFLICTS TO OPPOSING COUNSEL for APPROVAL, having all parties, INCLUDING ANY LAWYERS OR LAW FIRMS REPRESENTING ANY PARTIES FORWARD IN THIS LAWSUIT, whom are also ACTING AS OFFICERS OF THIS COURT to be PRESCREENED

TO PRECLUDE ANY FUTURE CONFLICT OF INTERESTS that act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE in VIOLATION OF LAW and PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF OF DUE PROCESS AND PROCEDURE. In this instance, OPPOSING COUNSEL is PLAINTIFF, ACTING PRO SE and so Disclosures may be made for approval by submitting the attached Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form to Plaintiff by ANY PARTY ACTING FORWARD.

VI. DEMAND THAT ALL PARTIES TO THIS LAWSUIT GOING FORWARD, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COURT JUSTICES & OFFICIALS, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, PROSECUTORS, CLERKS, ETC. SIGN AFFIRMED CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES IDENTICAL TO THE ONE ATTACHED HEREIN, ACKNOWLEDGING PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES FOR ANY VIOLATION, PRIOR TO, ANY FURTHER ACTION BY ANYONE IN THIS RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT.

As Plaintiff is suing the New York State Courts and Members of, the Disciplinary Departments and Members of, the New York State Bar Association and Members of, ANY MEMBER of these organizations cannot hear this Lawsuit. Therefore, the case should be remanded to a Court outside the State of New York and free of any lawyers registered with the New York Courts, as again, this would be further ILLEGAL CONFLICTS and Violations of

Attorney Conduct Codes that act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and ILLEGALLY DENY PLAINTIFF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS. Plaintiff demands a FEDERAL MONITOR to choose and screen the next venue to re-hear this Lawsuit free of conflicts and violations of law and who can represent as Counsel each Defendant, ALL screened and vetted for ANY Conflicts in Advance of Re-Hearing.

VII. DEMAND FOR JUSTICES OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT TO TURN THEMSELVES IN TO STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES TO ANSWER TO FILED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEM AND SERVED UPON THEM.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS THIS COURT REPORT THESE INEQUITIES perpetrated through this FRAUD ON THE COURT and FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS to all proper CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES for IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION or face further Obstruction Charges by YOUR continued MISPRISION OF FELONY Offences of which you have direct knowledge of, including but not limited to evidence and sworn testimony of Anderson. Public Officials of the following State and Federal Agencies are directly implicated by Anderson's allegations in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, including Members of the New York Attorney General's Office, the US Attorney's Office, the New York District Attorney's Office, Justice and Officers of the US District Court Second Circuit, Justices and Officers of the New York Supreme Court, and Senior Officials of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments.

Anderson further claims that "Favored Law Firms and Lawyers" are directly involved in the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, of which Plaintiff demands to know which Lawyers and Law Firms this involves and specifically requests that ANY party with a legal degree be mandated to sign a Conflict of Interest Disclosure to assure that none of the, as yet, unnamed parties are those directly involved in these matters.

VIII. ALLEGED CRIMES ONGOING BY P. STEPHEN LAMONT ET AL. BOTH KNOWN AND UNKNOWN AND FRAUD ON US DISTRICT COURT DC

By filing a similar action to this Ongoing RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit

IX. RELIEF

EXHIBIT 1 – CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS

1

2

3

EXHIBIT 2 – ETHICS COMPLAINT

1

2

3