**Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form**

Please accept and return signed the following Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form (COI) before continuing further with adjudication, review or investigation of the attached MOTION to the **United States Second Circuit Court**, titled,

**MOtion to:**

and any/all materials relating to Eliot Bernstein and or the Iviewit companies.

**After 10 Days, if this form has not been signed or subsequently turned over to a NON CONFLICTED PARTY, your Failure to comply may result in criminal and civil charges FILED against you FOR AIDING AND ABETTING A RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION, FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, AS NOTED HEREIN**.

The Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form designed to ensure that the review and any determinations from such review of the enclosed materials should not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other conflicting interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this confidential information. Whereby any conflict with any of the main alleged perpetrators of the alleged crimes referenced in these matters or any other perpetrators not known at this time must be fully disclosed and affirmed in writing and returned by to any review.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers, by any party, to any of the following questions, are demanded not to open the remainder of the documents or opine in any manner until reviewed and approved by the Iviewit companies and Eliot I. Bernstein. If you feel that conflict of interest exists that cannot be eliminated through conflict resolution with the Iviewit Companies or Eliot Bernstein, instantly forward the matters to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict that can sign and complete the requisite disclosure. Please identify conflicts that you have, in writing, upon terminating your involvement in the matters to the address listed at the end of this disclosure form. As many of these alleged perpetrators are large law firms, members of various state and federal courts and officers of federal, state and local law enforcement agencies, careful review and disclosure of any conflict with those named herein is pertinent in your continued handling of these matters objectively.

These matters already involve claims of, including but not limited to, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Offices, Whitewashing of Official Complaints in the Supreme Courts of New York, Florida, Virginia and elsewhere, Threatening a Federal Witness in a Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, Document Destruction and Alteration, Obstructions of Justice, RICO and ATTEMPTED MURDER. The need for prescreening for conflict is essential to the administration of due process in these matters and necessary to avoid charges of OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, against you. Federal District Court Judge Shira A. Scheindlin SDNY legally related these same matters to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit who alleges similar claims of public office corruption against Supreme Court of New York Officials, US Attorneys, NY District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys. This is a formal request for full disclosure of any conflict on your part, such request conforming with all applicable state and federal laws, public office rules and regulations, attorney conduct codes and judicial canons or other international law and treatises requiring disclosure of conflicts and Withdrawal from matters where conflict precludes involvement.

Failure to comply with all applicable conflict disclosure rules, public office rules and regulations and laws, prior to continued action on your part, **shall constitute cause** for the filing of criminal and civil complaints against you for any decisions or actions you make prior to a signed Conflict Of Interest Disclosure Form, with all applicable regulatory and prosecutorial agencies. Complaints will be filed with all appropriate authorities, including but not limited to, the appropriate Federal, State, Local and International Law Enforcement Agencies, Public Integrity Officials, Judicial Conduct Officials, State and Federal Bar Associations, Disciplinary Departments and any/all other appropriate oversight agencies.

1. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate have, any direct or indirect relations (relationships), or interest in any entity or any direct or indirect relations (relationships) to any of the parties listed in [EXHIBIT 1](#Exhibit1) of this document and any of the named Defendants in these matters contained at the URL <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer> , URL hereby incorporated by reference in entirety herein? Please review the online index in entirety. **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any consideration(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the consideration(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

1. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate have, any direct or indirect relations (relationships), or interest in any outside entity or any direct or indirect relations (relationships) to Any other known or unknown person or known or unknown entity not named herein that will cause your review of the complaint you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest or any other interest(s) **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any identified conflicted parties on a separate and attached sheet. Fully disclose all information regarding the conflict. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind. Please indicate if you are seeking waiver of the conflict(s) or will be disqualifying from involvement in these matters.**

1. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any entity related to the enclosed parties to the proceeding of the matters, defined in I, including but not limited to, campaign contributions whether direct, "in kind" or of any type at all? **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any consideration(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the consideration(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests and please affirm whether such presents a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

1. Have you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, had any prior communication(s), including but not limited to, phone, facsimile, e-mail, mail, verbal, etc. with any person related to the proceeding of the Iviewit or related matters as defined in I? **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Please describe in detail any identified communication(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the communication(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the communication(s) in detail, including but not limited to, who was present, what type of communication, the date and time, please affirm whether such communication(s) present a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.**

1. I have run a thorough and exhaustive Conflict of Interest check to conform with any and all state, federal or local laws, public office rules and regulations and any professional association rules and regulations regarding disclosure of any conflicts to verify that my spouse, my dependents, and I in the aggregate, have no conflicts with any parties to the matters referenced herein. **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**
2. I have notified all parties with any liabilities regarding my continued actions in these matters, including state agencies, insurance concerns or any other person with liability that may result from my actions in these matters. **\_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES**

**Relevant Sections of Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law**

**Conflict of Interest Laws & Regulations**

**Conflict of interest indicates a situation where a private interest may influence a public decision. Conflict of Interest Laws are Laws and designed to prevent conflicts of interest that deny fair and impartial due process and procedure thereby Obstructing Justice in State and/or Federal Civil and Criminal Proceedings. These Laws may contain provisions related to financial or asset disclosure, exploitation of one's official position and privileges, improper relationships, regulation of campaign practices, etc. The Relevant Sections of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law listed herein are merely a benchmark guide and other state, federal and international laws may be applicable to your particular circumstances in reviewing or acting in these matters. For a more complete list of applicable sections of law relating to these matters, please visit the URL,**

[**http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#\_Toc107852933**](http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#_Toc107852933)**, fully**

**incorporated by reference in entirety herein.**

**New York State Consolidated Laws Penal**   
ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES

S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree

S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree

S 200.05 Bribery; defense

S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree

S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree

S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree

S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense

S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree

S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities

S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities

S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of term

S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office

S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office

ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEMENTS

S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree. S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree.

S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense

S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree

S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree

S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree

S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree

NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers

Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1

ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTICLE 15 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

S 468-b. Clients` security fund of the state of New York

S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law

S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the law

S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general

S 487. Misconduct by attorneys

S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.

Public Officers Law SEC 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and Former State Officers and Employees

Public Officers Law SEC 74 Code of Ethics

Conflicts of Interest Law, found in Chapter 68 of the New York City Charter, the City's Financial Disclosure Law, set forth in section 12-110 of the New York City Administrative Code, and the Lobbyist Gift Law, found in sections 3-224 through 3-228 of the Administrative Code.

**TITLE 18 FEDERAL CODE & OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAW**

TITLE 18 PART I CH 11

Sec. 201. Bribery of public officials and witnesses

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Sec. 205. - Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government

Sec. 208. - Acts affecting a personal financial interest

Sec. 210. - Offer to procure appointive public office

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury or court

Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of another

TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law enforcement

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT Organizations ("RICO")

Section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice),

Section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations)

Section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement),

Section 1952 (relating to racketeering),

Section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity),

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO

title 18 part i ch 19 sec 1962 (d) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES

TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity

TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States

**Judicial Cannons**

**Canon 1. A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary**

[1.1] Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

**Canon 2. A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Activities**

(A) A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

[2.2][2A] The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge’s ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

**Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently**

(B) Adjudicative responsibilities.

(l) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

(E) Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned

[3.11][3B(6)(e)] A judge may delegate the responsibilities of the judge under Canon 3B(6) to a member of the judge’s staff. A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(6) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge’s staff. This provision does not prohibit the judge or the judge’s law clerk from informing all parties individually of scheduling or administrative decisions.

[3.21][3E(1)] Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

[3.22][3E(1)] A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

**Canon 4. A Judge May Engage in Extra-Judicial Activities To Improve the Law, the Legal System, and the Administration of Justice**

**Canon 5. A Judge Should Regulate Extra-Judicial Activities To Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Duties**

**Public Office Conduct Codes New York**

PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW Laws 1909, Chap. 51.

CHAPTER 47 OF THE CONSOLIDATED LAWS PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW

Sec. 17. Defense and indemnification of state officers and employees. 2 (b)

Sec. 18. Defense and indemnification of officers and employees of public entities.3 (b)

Sec. 74. Code of ethics.(2)(3)(4)

§ 73. Business or professional activities by state officers and employees and party officers.

**NY Attorney Conduct Code**

(a) "Differing interests" include every interest that will adversely affect either the judgment or the loyalty of a lawyer to a client, whether it be a conflicting, inconsistent, diverse, or other interest.

CANON 5. A Lawyer Should Exercise Independent Professional Judgment on Behalf of a Client

DR 5-101 [1200.20] Conflicts of Interest - Lawyer's Own Interests.

DR 5-102 [1200.21] Lawyers as Witnesses.

DR 5-103 [1200.22] Avoiding Acquisition of Interest in Litigation.

DR 5-104 [1200.23] Transactions Between Lawyer and Client.

DR 5-105 [1200.24] Conflict of Interest; Simultaneous Representation.

DR 5-108 [1200.27] Conflict of Interest - Former Client.

CANON 6. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Competently

CANON 7. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law

DR 7-102 [1200.33] Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law.

DR 7-110 [1200.41] Contact with Officials.

DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.

DR 8-103 [1200.44] Lawyer Candidate for Judicial Office.

A. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with section 100.5 of the Chief Administrator's Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR) and Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

CANON 9. A Lawyer Should Avoid Even the Appearance of Professional Impropriety

DR 9-101 [1200.45] Avoiding Even the Appearance of Impropriety.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. Executed on this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_20\_\_ the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims will subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in the RICO related crimes including the alleged attempted murder of the inventor Eliot Bernstein and his wife and children in a car-bombing attempt on their lives.



More images @ [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv)

I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document **with** conflict in the event that I continue to represent the matters without signing such COI first and will be an admission of such conflict(s).

Organization – United States Second Circuit Court

Print Name & Title and Organization \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

If you are unable to sign this COI and are therefore unable to continue further to pursue these matters, please attach a statement of whom we may contact as your replacement in writing within 10 business days to preclude legal actions against you. A copy can be sent to [iviewit@iviewit.tv](mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv) and original to the mailing address below:

Eliot I. Bernstein

Inventor

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL

Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL

Uview.com, Inc. – DL

Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL

Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL

I.C., Inc. – FL

Iviewit.com LLC – DL

Iviewit LLC – DL

Iviewit Corporation – FL

Iviewit, Inc. – FL

Iviewit, Inc. – DL

Iviewit Corporation

2753 N.W. 34th St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459

(561) 245.8588 (o)

(561) 886.7628 (c)

(561) 245-8644 (f)

[iviewit@iviewit.tv](mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv)

[www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:**

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521. This message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

Motion to

Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York Attorney General’s Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest, in acting as Counsel for 39 plus State Defendant/Actors in this Lawsuit and in Violation of Public Office Rules & Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State, Federal & International Law. Remand and Rehear this Lawsuit due to the New York Supreme Court Attorney/Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson’s Felony Criminal Allegations against SENIOR Court and Public Officials and more.

IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFY ALL Justices and other Members of the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals ( this Court ) whom have currently acted in this Lawsuit in anyway, for their part in Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court. Remove ALL Conflicts of Interest currently in place in this Lawsuit in order to impart fair and impartial DUE PROCESS UNDER LAW.

DEMANDS that ALL parties to this Lawsuit going forward, including but not limited to, Court Officials, Attorneys at Law, Prosecutors, etc. sign Affirmed Conflict of Interest Disclosures identical to the one attached herein, acknowledging PERSONAL and PROFESSIONAL LIABILITIES for any violation, prior to, ANY further Action by ANYONE in this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.

HALT THIS LAWSUIT and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, pending investigations of Whistleblower Anderson’s FELONY CRIMINAL Allegations against Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office, the US Attorney’s Office, the New York District Attorney’s Office, Justices, Officers of the New York Supreme Court, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and others, based on FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS in US Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee by NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY/WHISTLEBLOWER/HERO CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON. The Felony Crimes alleged by Anderson, directly relate to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit, including having several identical New York State Public Official Actor/Defendants and the allegations are wholly germane to the nexus of the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit Crimes alleged. Further the two lawsuits are “Legally Related” by Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin.

Demand for Justices of the SECOND CIRCUIT to turn themselves in to State and Federal Criminal Authorities to ANSWER to filed CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS against them.

1. Introduction and History

**NEW YORK SUPREME COURT WHISTLEBLOWER CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON’S FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, AGAINST SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS OF THE US ATTORNEY OFFICE, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE, THE NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS AND “FAVORED LAWYERS AND LAW FIRMS”, DEMAND IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION AND IMMEDIATE HALTING OF THE IVIEWIT RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT (“LEGALLY RELATED” TO ANDERSON’S LAWSUIT), IN ORDER TO BEGIN INVESTIGATIONS TO IDENTIFY AND PROSECUTE THOSE FINGERED BY ANDERSON.**

Anderson’s CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS reveal a MASSIVE GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION, exposing a PLETHORA OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY operated by a CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION comprised mainly of Law Firms and Lawyers operating in various capacities to subterfuge law and justice. Operatives of the Criminal RICO Organization include SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS, with legal degrees, operating inside Government Agencies, including the courts, the criminal operatives used to COVER-UP the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION’S ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIMES and these operatives now are deeply embedded throughout the entire US and New York regulatory agencies and courts at the highest posts. Anderson’s claims provide an explanation into how Wall Street has Melted Down from ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIME after ILLEGAL LEGAL CRIME and why NO PROSECUTIONS of the CRIMINALS (the law firms especially) have been made to date. In order to see how the US and World ECONOMIC COLLAPSES were not due to economic factors but instead has occurred due to FINANCIAL TERRORISM (an illegal form of Warfare under the Geneva Conventions), one must fully understand the riveting CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS of Anderson. Anderson, a seasoned New York Supreme Court Attorney who worked in the Attorney Regulatory Disciplinary Department, the very one that regulates Wall Street Lawyers, exposes how this CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION is operating scheme after scheme against the American People, virtually free of Prosecution, as if they are above the law.

In order to understand how the country has been robbed and by whom, one must understand that most of the FINANCIAL FRAUDS that are ONGOING on Wall Street, are committed by CRIMINAL LAW FIRMS and CRIMINAL LAWYERS, who create the underlying documentation for these COMPLEX ILLEGAL LEGAL FRAUDS, for example, bogus mortgages, cdo’s, derivatives, insurance contracts, etc. Whereby the Criminal RICO ORGANIZATION, as exposed by Anderson is disguised as ”Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” and their Criminal Clientele, who directly benefit from these crimes. Profiting and bonusing themselves and their criminal clientele while; (i) bankrupting Fortune 100 companies, (ii) destroying the mortgage market, (iii) rigging and destroying world markets and the global economic collapse[[1]](#footnote-1), (iv) rigging illegal wars of aggression and benefiting from each demise, all at the expense of BANKRUPTING the US and World markets.

To stop any Regulation or Prosecution for their crimes, Anderson further exposes that the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION is comprised almost exclusively of these CRIMINAL Law Firms and Lawyers, operating as Justices, US and State Prosecutors, Congressional Members and Regulators. This elaborate network of Government Operatives then acts to SUBTERFUGE any Criminal Complaint or Lawsuit that arise against the CRIMINAL RICO ORGANIZATION. Anderson FINGERS the Government Insiders whom are SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS, working in KEY REGULATORY POSTS, including but not limited to, the offices of the SEC, US ATTORNEY/DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, NEW YORK DISTRICT ATTORNEY, NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, the NEW YORK SUPREME COURT ATTORNEY DISCIPLINARY AGENCIES and more. These criminal operatives with legal degrees, upon entering or exiting their official public office under oath of G-d, swing through a revolving door of a select group of Law Firms and their clientele, where big payouts are waiting for them in INSTANT PARTNERSHIPS with these Law Firms and the likes for their time in public DISSERVICE.

Criminal RICO Organization Composed Mainly of perhaps the “FAVORED LAW FIRMS AND LAWYERS” fingered by Anderson.

* 1. I would AGAIN like to thank the Justices and Members of this Court, including but not limited to, NAME ALL COURT MEMBERS, who have FURTHER FINGERPRINTED themselves for CRIMINAL PROSECUTION by submitting further PRIMA FASCIAE EVIDENCE of CONTINUED FRAUD on this COURT. Fraud committed through continued VIOLATIONS of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State, Federal and & International Law by all those adjudicating this lawsuit and those participating in the defense of the Defendants in these matters.
     1. The ruling to DISMISS[[2]](#footnote-2) the Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit on Appeal, prior to resolving the FELONY VIOLATIONS OF LAW exposed in the “Legally Related” Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson, makes this Court’s ruling, yet another ILLEGAL ATTEMPT to COVER-UP the ONGOING crimes of this Court, the US District Court Southern District of New York, the New York Supreme Court and others.
     2. This Court’s failure to Halt this lawsuit until summoned investigators could investigate Whistleblower Anderson’s Felony Criminal Acts exposed in her sworn testimony in US Federal Court and before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, against US Attorneys, New York District Attorneys, New York Assistant DA’s, the New York Attorney General (under the leadership of Spitzer, Cuomo and Schneiderman), Court Officials and “Favored Lawyers and Law Firms”, in effort to further subterfuge investigations of the alleged criminal acts, evidences further crimes by this Court, including but not limited to, Obstruction of Justice and Misprison of a Felony(ies).
  2. Second, I would like to WELCOME all the Second Circuit Officials who have FINGERPRINTED themselves thus far to the Iviewit Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit and kindly take this Motion as further OFFICIAL NOTICE, see Motion to Compel for earlier NOTICE, that you have been CRIMINALLY COMPLAINED OF, both personally and professionally, to FEDERAL, STATE & INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES and other authoritative disciplinary agencies with oversight of your actions. This Court’s attempt to suppress the CRIMINAL CHARGES by attempting to DISMISS the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” Lawsuits, prior to investigators investigating This Court’s involvement, is further evidence of Criminal Acts committed by This Court.
  3. Take this Motion as FURTHER OFFICIAL NOTICE that you will be included in ALL future Iviewit/Eliot Bernstein Litigations, Complaints and in all future appeals/rehearings of this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit. Your names will be sought to be included as Defendants by seeking leave to amend the Amended Complaint. The Iviewit Lawsuit is a 12 Count 12 Trillion Dollar Lawsuit, and as such, you are required to report both the Criminal Complaints against you and the legal actions and their resulting LIABILITIES to all Insurance Carriers, Bond Holders, etc. of the State of New York and to any parties who may incur liabilities from YOUR CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES. **There is NO IMMUNITY for CRIMINAL ACTS COMMITTED BY JUSTICES OR MEMBERS OF THE COURTS as NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW, despite your efforts in attempting to shield your criminal acts using immunity claims**.

1. Remand this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit to the US District Court for Rehearing FREE OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST and VIOLATIONS OF LAW. Halt any further actions by this Court, in order to, immediately rehear this Lawsuit free of the New York Attorney General’s Admitted and Acknowledged Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Office Rules and Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and State, Federal and International Law. CEASE AND DESIST ALL ILLEGAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST ALREADY IDENTIFIED IN THIS LAWSUIT.
   1. On April 14, 2011, James Rogers, Esq. Special Counsel and Senior Advisor to New York Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman, ADMITTED and ACKNOWLEDGED Conflicts of Interest in handling matters related to Iviewit and Eliot Bernstein’s Criminal Complaints and RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit. The taped phone calls leading to this ADMISSION and ACKNOWLEDGEMENT can be found @ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X2pwFlEIp6E>
   2. The admission of Conflicts forced the NY Attorney General’s office to refuse to further handle or even speak regarding these matters and seek INDEPENDENT NON CONFLICTED COUNSEL and PROSECUTORS.
   3. The admission of Conflicts is reason for this Court to remand the RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit, the Anderson Whistleblower Lawsuit and the “Legally Related” lawsuits to Anderson, back to the US District Court.
2. IMMEDIATE Disqualification of Justices and other Members of the Second Circuit Court who have acted in Violation of Law, Aiding and Abetting Fraud on the Court. In seeking DISQUALIFICATION of the JUSTICES OF THIS COURT, PLAINTIFF DEMANDS FULL REMOVAL OF ALL PRIOR RULINGS and ORDERS, which have been tendered in CONFLICT and have Violated Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law.
3. PLAINTIFF DEMANDS THIS COURT REPORT THESE INEQUITIES perpetrated through this FRAUD ON THE COURT and FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS to all proper CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES for IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION or face further Obstruction Charges by YOUR continued MISPRISON OF FELONY Offences of which you have direct knowledge of, including but not limited to evidence and sworn testimony of Anderson. Public Officials of the following State and Federal Agencies are directly implicated by Anderson’s allegations in FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, including Members of the New York Attorney General’s Office, the US Attorney’s Office, the New York District Attorney’s Office, Justice and Officers of the US District Court Second Circuit, Justices and Officers of the New York Supreme Court, and Senior Officials of the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments.
   1. Anderson further claims that “Favored Law Firms and Lawyers” are directly involved in the FELONY CRIMINAL ACTS, of which Plaintiff demands to know which Lawyers and Law Firms this involves and specifically requests that ANY party with a legal degree be mandated to sign a Conflict of Interest Disclosure to assure that none of the, as yet, unnamed parties are those directly involved in these matters.
4. Relief

1. Insert Levin Report [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Attach Link [↑](#footnote-ref-2)