

THE NEWSWIRE FOR BUSINESS LAWYERS



• Advanced Search



- Sign-up Here
- Home
- Sections
- <u>Tools</u>
- Subscribe
- About Us
- Jobs
- Welcome, Guest
- .
- My Account
- Log In

Ford, IBM, Daimler Dealt Setback In Apartheid Case



DOCOT ILITI

Order [PDF]



Sections

- Product Liability
- <u>Technology</u>
- <u>Top News</u>

Organizations

- Arnold & Porter
- Cravath Swaine
- Ford Motor
- Hausfeld
- <u>IBM</u>
- O'Melveny & Myers
- Skadden Arps

Articles

- S. Africa Lays Down Sword In Apartheid Suits
- \$400B Apartheid Case Against UBS, Barclays Trimmed

By Pete Brush

Law360, New York (January 04, 2010) -- A federal judge has refused to certify a bid by <u>Ford Motor</u> Co., Daimler AG and <u>IBM</u> Corp. for an interlocutory appeal on corporate statutory liability, a pivotal issue in a case accusing those corporations and others of aiding and abetting South Africa's former apartheid government.

Judge Shira A. Scheindlin's order, issued Dec. 31 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, referenced an April 8 opinion in which she rejected arguments by the three companies that the U.S. Alien Tort Statute cannot impose liability on corporations.

"In that opinion I stated that the issue of corporate liability is a long-settled question in this circuit,' "Judge Scheindlin wrote.

Judge Scheindlin's April opinion, which weighed in at 144 pages, dismissed several major corporate defendants accused of doing business with the South African regime. But claims that Daimler and Ford aided and abetted torture and apartheid, among other things, survived. Claims that IBM aided and abetted apartheid also survived.

Plaintiffs in the multidistrict case, consolidated in 2002, claim jurisdiction in U.S. courts under the Alien Tort Statute. The lawsuits take up the obligations of corporations under the law of nations, the roles of U.S. courts in enforcing international law and the legacy of South African apartheid.

An appeal before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit is currently pending on other issues in the case. Judge Scheindlin noted in her Dec. 31 order that, with oral arguments set for Jan.

11 before the appellate court, "deciding [on the interlocutory appeal] in a timely manner was of the utmost importance.

"The Second Circuit has made clear that it has not yet decided the question of corporate liability," Judge Scheindlin wrote. "However, it has not provided any reason to believe that there are substantial grounds for disagreement about the correct result."

In a memorandum dated Dec. 23, attorneys for the corporate defendants argued that the criteria for an interlocutory appeal were satisfied because the corporate liability issue involves a controlling question of law, because there is substantial ground for difference of opinion and because such an appeal would advance the litigation.

"If the [Alien Tort Statute] does not extend liability to corporations, these actions must be dismissed," the defendants reasoned.

But their argument was turned aside by Judge Scheindlin, who did not ask for a reply brief from counsel for the plaintiffs in the multibillion-dollar litigation.

The plaintiffs allege that by doing business in South Africa, the companies actively and willingly collaborated with the South African government to break international law through apartheid, which repressed the black South African population while providing benefits to the white population.

The plaintiffs are represented by <u>Hausfeld LLP</u>, Schonbrun DeSimone Seplow Harris & Hoffman LLP, Nagel Rice LLP, the International Human Rights Clinic at Harvard Law School and others.

Ford is represented by <u>O'Melveny</u> & Myers LLP. Daimler is represented by <u>Arnold & Porter</u> LLP and <u>Skadden Arps</u> Slate Meagher & Flom LLP, and IBM is represented by <u>Cravath Swaine</u> & Moore LLP.

Calls seeking comment were not immediately returned on Monday.

The case is In re: South African Apartheid Litigation, case number 02-md-1449, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.



TODAY'S LAW NEWS



Weak Economy Slows Litigation Growth

Lawsuit filings rose a scant 5 percent in 2009, down from 9 percent in the previous year, as economic pressures kept plaintiffs activity in check, according to a Law360 analysis of federal dockets.

Textron Goes To High Court Over Document Protection

In a fight with the Internal Revenue Service over tax accrual documents, global conglomerate Textron Inc. has petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to clarify whether the work product privilege extends beyond documents prepared for use in litigation.

Firms Roll Out 5 Cost-Cutting Strategies For 2010

With revenue expected to be flat or worse in 2010, law firms will continue cutting costs across the board, including reducing headcount among nonequity partners and continuing to revamp associate pay, according to legal industry consultants.

SECTIONS

Appellate

- 2nd Circ. Turns To Mass. High Court In Derivative Suit
- Ex-Sonnenschein Atty Wins New Trial In Payment Spat
- SOX Gives Whistleblowers De Novo Review: 4th Circ.

Bankruptcy

- Investors Seek Class Status In Lehman Fraud Suit
- Chrysler Fights Legislation Defending Rejected Dealers
- 3rd Circ. Kills WR Grace Bid To Bar Mont. Mine Claims

Competition

- Antitrust Litigation Recedes From Peak In 2009
- Chunghwa, LCD Purchasers Float \$10M Antitrust Deal
- Ex-Sonnenschein Atty Wins New Trial In Payment Spat

Contract

- Credit Default Swap Contract Spat Sent To State Court
- Chubb Faces Suit Involving 'Dr. Phil' Supplement
- Paradigm Seeks \$4M In Fees After Trade Secrets Win

Corporate Finance

- Ex-Morgan Lewis Chairman Joins Reed Smith
- XTO Energy Shareholder Sues Over \$41B Exxon Deal
- Judge Approves Metaldyne Asset Sale On Appeal

Employment

- Wage-And-Hour Suit Increase Picks Up Steam In '09
- Broadcom To Pay \$160.5M To Settle Backdating Suit
- Ex-Sonnenschein Atty Wins New Trial In Payment Spat

Energy

- XTO Energy Shareholder Sues Over \$41B Exxon Deal
- Radioactive Waste Processor Settles Race Bias Suit
- 11 States To Develop Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Environmental

- Environmental Litigation Drops In 2009
- EPA Unveils Plan For Phthalates, PFCs
- 11 States To Develop Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Financial Services

- Credit Default Swap Contract Spat Sent To State Court
- H&R Block, NY Settle Fraudulent IRA Marketing Suit
- 2nd Circ. Turns To Mass. High Court In Derivative Suit

Health

- Gene Patents Don't Violate Constitution: USPTO
- Chubb Faces Suit Involving 'Dr. Phil' Supplement
- Spectranetics To Pay \$5M Over Unapproved Lasers

Insurance

- Credit Default Swap Contract Spat Sent To State Court
- Chubb Faces Suit Involving 'Dr. Phil' Supplement
- Bucking Expectations, Insurance Suits Drop 9% In '09

Intellectual Property

- Gene Patents Don't Violate Constitution: USPTO
- Depressed By Copyright Plunge, IP Litigation Dips
- Fairchild, Infineon Resolve Semiconductor Patent Row

International Trade

- Spectranetics To Pay \$5M Over Unapproved Lasers
- Chinese Pipe Duty Decision Adds To Trade Tensions
- US Agencies Bash Taiwanese Bid To Retain Beef Ban

Product Liability

- Chubb Faces Suit Involving 'Dr. Phil' Supplement
- Spectranetics To Pay \$5M Over Unapproved Lasers
- Ford, IBM, Daimler Dealt Setback In Apartheid Case

Securities

- <u>H&R Block</u>, NY Settle Fraudulent IRA Marketing Suit
- Securities Litigation Grows More Slowly Than Expected
- 2nd Circ. Turns To Mass. High Court In Derivative Suit

Technology

- Chunghwa, LCD Purchasers Float \$10M Antitrust Deal
- Broadcom To Pay \$160.5M To Settle Backdating Suit
- Ex-Comverse Execs Settle Backdating Spat For \$62M