

Eliot I. Bernstein Founder & Inventor Direct Dial: (561) 245-8588 (o) (561) 886-7628 (c)

Monday, December 14, 2009

Roy L. Reardon
Chairman
New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Judicial Department Departmental
Disciplinary Committee
61 Broadway
New York, NY 10006
(212) 401-0800
also Partner @
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017-3954

Re: YOUR CONTINUED INSANITY IN HANDLING COMPLAINTS AGAINST YOURSELF,
ALAN W. FRIEDBERG AND OTHER DEFENDANTS IN A TWELVE COUNT
TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT LEGALLY RELATED TO A
WHISTLEBLOWER CAUSING MASS LIABILITY YOU ARE
CONCEALING FROM THE STATE AND PEOPLE OF NEW YORK

Dear Mr. Reardon,

I. Response to Your Letter Dated December 07, 2009.

Your audacity bemuses me and allow me to retort to your and Mr. Friedberg's insanity and again put forth some basic realities regarding your misconduct and criminal acts.

First, my seventy-eight page complaint against Mr. Friedberg and YOU, if you have read it, is also a RE-FILED complaint against you, this letter shall serve as a third and a bit more severe complaint. Your letter is in response to the earlier complaints against Mr. Friedberg and yourself that you buried since February 2009, until sequestered by the NEW YORK STATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE, which requested that you provide information regarding the CONCEALED complaints to them, not me. Nevertheless, in delusion, you responded to me regarding your complaints and tried to dismiss complaints against yourself and your partner in crime, Mr. Friedberg, while your department is in a lawsuit with me. In that lawsuit, your department has representative

ILLEGALLY retained counsel, the New York Attorney General and yet you continue to pester me with your continued handling of your own and Mr. Friedberg's complaints, as well as, attempting ILLEGALLY to dismiss complaints against other defendants in the lawsuit.

Let me dissect your letters errors one by one before I begin my further FORMAL COMPLAINT against you in addition to the one you have buried for months and now try to dismiss:

- 1. First, you state, "Your 78 page fax dated December 3, 2009 labeled as a complaint against Chief Counsel Alan W. Friedberg has been referred to me." The problem in this statement is that you fail to see that the 78 Page Fax Complaint, is a re-filing of the complaint you have admitted to concealing since February 2009 against both YOU and Mr. Friedberg. The FORMAL COMPLAINT is actually against you and Mr. Friedberg, so had you really read the Complaint, you would see it would be impossible for it to be referred to you, as you are a Main Character in the Complaint. I resubmitted that complaint due ONLY to your prior CONCEALMENT of OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS. I have attached herein, that same complaint but have individually broke it out for you with your very own cover page. By the by, your inappropriate letter that defies ethics again has NO FORMAL DOCKETING number for the Friedberg case, so I wonder how to appeal it or if it has been CONCEALED again.
- 2. Next, you claim laughably, "Among other things, the document contains copies of letters from you to State Senator John L. Sampson of the New York Senate Judiciary Committee alleging that the DDC has engaged in conflicts of interest, corruption and other wrongful acts. We find no merit in those accusations." The silliness of your statement here, although criminal in nature, is very telling of your cognizance of the allegations contained in the FORMAL COMPLAINT that was CONCEALED and further stands as ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE OF YOUR CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT in trying to dismiss complaints you and your whole department are conflicted in, Mr. Friedberg and yourself especially, since you have FORMAL COMPLAINTS LODGED AGAINST BOTH OF YOU.

Now it becomes a bit dicey for you, as your RULES AND REGULATIONS OF YOUR DEPARTMENT CLAIM

§ 605.6 Investigations and Informal Proceedings

(g) Preliminary Screening of Complaints. Any complaint received by the Office of Chief Counsel against a member of the Committee or Staff counsel involving alleged misconduct shall be transmitted forthwith to the Committee Chairperson, who shall assign it either to the Office of Chief Counsel or to special counsel who shall conduct or direct the appropriate investigation, and give a written recommendation as to the disposition of the Complaint to the Committee Chairperson, who shall determine the appropriate disposition of the Complaint. Any such Complaint which relates to the Committee Chairperson shall, in the first instance, be transmitted to a Hearing Panel Chairperson, who shall conduct the appropriate investigation and determine the appropriate disposition of the Complaint.

My complaint Mr. Reardon is a twofold problem for you as the FORMAL COMPLAINTS YOU HAVE CONCEALED SINCE FEBRUARY 2009, are against YOU, acting as the Chair of the DDC and your partner in crime, Mr. Friedberg, acting as Chief Counsel. It is clever how in your SELF DISMISSING LETTER which defies ethics, you try and CONCEAL that Friedberg's complaint has been transferred to you, which according to your own rules would be a violation, as the COMPLAINT is also against YOU. Thus, you are AGAIN violating your OWN rules by trying to hide that you also are knowingly COMPLAINED of FORMALLY, by way of the Sampson Letters you have received several times now and the ORIGINAL COMPLAINT I re-filed. Nice try, though, "desperate men..."

3. Amazingly, your letter continues "In any event, your new "complaint" against Mr. Friedberg provides no basis on which the Committee should pursue

action." First, there is NO NEW COMPLAINT, this is merely a re-filing of the ORIGINAL COMPLAINT you have ILLEGALLY CONCEALED in violation of LAW against both you and Mr. Friedberg and now your trying to make it as a new complaint is both ridiculous and further criminal and will so be reported to ALL appropriate oversight authorities and criminal investigators of your continued violations and further attempt at CRIMINAL CONCEALMENT. Second, since you should not be handling complaints against yourself or your partner in crime Mr. Friedberg, it defies logic and law how you claim there is no basis in a complaint against yourself and where you should have already turned the matter over, per your rules you would have to turn the matter over to a Hearing Panel Chair, which obviously you fail to do in regard to the FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST YOU AND MR. FRIEDBERG. Again serving as prima facie evidence of your CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT, this statement will also be forwarded to all appropriate oversight of your continued CRIMINAL CONDUCT.

4. The next statement truly shows your detachment from both reality and the rules and regulations that define ETHICS. I quote your insanity, "Indeed, you appear to be arguing that anyone associated with the Committee is precluded from considering the merits of your complaint." Yes indeed Mr. Reardon I do make the claim that NOBODY in your department can handle these complaints and for several factual reasons. First, your department is a DEFENDANT in a 12-COUNT, 12-TRILLION dollar LAWSUIT, which I am certain you are not concealing from state auditors, well, perhaps you could clarify that for me in writing, as I am sure you are reporting the liability on your and the states books, liabilities directly related to your CRIMINAL CONDUCT and CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT.

As DEFENDANT'S in the ONGOING LEGAL ACTION the whole First Department is precluded from involvement due to CONFLICTS OF INTEREST and THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY this creates. Next, the CONFLICTS and APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY also act together to create CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION OF OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS. This CRIMINAL ACT, also will be reported to your oversight and CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS.

First Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee

Page 5 of 12 Monday, December 14, 2009

Re: YOUR CONTINUED INSANITY IN HANDLING COMPLAINTS AGAINST YOURSELF, ALAN W. FRIEDBERG AND OTHER DEFENDANTS IN A TWELVE COUNT TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT LEGALLY RELATED TO A WHISTLEBLOWER CAUSING MASS LIABILITY YOU ARE CONCEALING FROM THE STATE AND PEOPLE OF NEW YORK DIRECTLY RESULTING FROM YOUR CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT

As the First Department DDC is a DEFENDANT in the LAWSUIT, the DDC also has representative ILLEGAL counsel, the New York Attorney General and Monica Connell of their offices. Upon filing the complaints against other DEFENDANTS in the same LAWSUIT, Ms. Connell advised me as YOUR COUNSEL to file the complaints with your offices against the Proskauer Rose and Foley & Lardner attorneys (as the attorneys complained of are licensed there) but that they would be moved instantly to a NON CONFLICTED THIRD PARTY. Moved to avoid the OBVIOUS CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND APPEARANCES OF IMPROPRIETY your handling of the complaints creates. Yet, somehow, you sneaky dog, you and your partner in crime, Mr. Friedberg, interceded and attempted to DISMISS COMPLAINTS AGAINST OTHER DEFENDANTS IN THE LAWSUIT YOUR DEPARTMENT IS A NAMED DEFENDANT IN. Again, these CONFLICTS act to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE and will duly be noted to all oversight of the DDC and CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS.

Therefore, your question asks if I think anyone in your department can handle these complaints and the answer is NO THEY CANNOT and I advise YOU, Mr. Friedberg and any other department official that each act on these complaints will result in further FORMAL COMPLAINTS to your oversight and CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS.

5. Your letter continues insanely "On October 7, 2009 I wrote to you advising that your previous complaints against Mr. Friedberg and other attorneys have been closed." Now here your sneakiness is better than a proverbial "snake in the grass" as you attempt to state that my previous complaints filed against you and Mr. Friedberg for dismissing complaints against other DEFENDANTS are closed. Mixing apples and oranges while hiding the beef. First, my previous complaints against other attorneys, resulted in you and Mr. Friedberg handling them, leading to separate complaints against both you and Mr. Friedberg.

Love how you refer to "other attorneys" in a third person voice, versus identifying that YOU Mr. Reardon, are one of the "other" attorneys you reference. Do you often refer to yourself in the third person; this is a very symbolic sign of pathological behavior indicating delusion. Perhaps you

could have written more accurately, something like – your complaints against Mr. Friedberg, MYSELF and other attorneys. Again, you CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS, which creates further CRIMINAL CONDUCT. Finally, I am not sure how the FORMAL COMPLAINTS can be closed when they have not been opened formally with Complaint Numbers for me to even respond too, as demanded in my complaint and as part of the RULES and REGULATIONS of your department that you psychotically continue to ignore, ah, "desperate men..."

6. Finally, this my favorite act of your insanity, I quote, "You may wish to consider consulting with counsel regarding the matters raised in your submissions." To be clear, I have consulted counsel, YOUR COUNSEL the New York Attorney General and it was determined that you are conflicted and cannot handle complaints against other Defendants nor yourself and Mr. Friedberg, per your own departmental Rules and Regulation Part 600, per the Attorney Code of Conduct and Law. The result, was to have the complaints moved to a NON CONFLICTED THIRD PARTY. I advise you therefore to consider consulting with YOUR COUNSEL regarding the matters raised against you CRIMINALLY and then CIVIL charges against you and your department in the civil complaint.

I advise you to consult your counsel as to further pestering me directly, where as you know, I represent myself Pro Se, so it is highly unethical and against the Attorney Conduct Code for you, an attorney, to be contacting me directly when you have representative counsel. I find teaching you ethics at this point to be an utter waste of my time at this point, as you seem not be able to discern realistically what your own ethical obligations are, nor what the Rules you are charged with oversighting state and finally the Law. I therefore highly recommend that you discontinue with contacting me directly and have your attorney handle these matters going forward.

Of course, your attorney, the New York Attorney General, is also a DEFENDANT in my LAWSUIT and his representation is therefore suspect as aiding and abetting illegally your department through CONFLICTED representation that VIOLATES the NYAG Rules Public Office Rule 17 for one and that ILLEGAL REPRESENTATION also compounds to cause

Page 7 of 12 Monday, December 14, 2009

Re: YOUR CONTINUED INSANITY IN HANDLING COMPLAINTS AGAINST YOURSELF, ALAN W. FRIEDBERG AND OTHER DEFENDANTS IN A TWELVE COUNT TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT LEGALLY RELATED TO A WHISTLEBLOWER CAUSING MASS LIABILITY YOU ARE CONCEALING FROM THE STATE AND PEOPLE OF NEW YORK DIRECTLY RESULTING FROM YOUR CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT

Obstruction of Justice as the Conflict precludes the NYAG from doing his public duty of investigating dirty rotten Public Officials, like yourself and Mr. Friedberg. As my LAWSUIT has been marked legally "RELATED" by Federal Judge Shira Scheindlin to that of the First Department DDC Whistleblower case of Christine C. Anderson, we see that Anderson similarly is complaining that the NYAG illegal representation of State Defendants from your offices, is a Violation of the NYAG Rules and Regulations and Law, whereby you can get a gander for her position at the following URL, incorporated by reference in entirety herein @ ______

The allegations in the FORMAL COMPLAINTS against Mr. Friedberg and yourself are replete with concrete evidence of crimes you are committing by violating the very ethics laws you and Mr. Friedberg are in charge of upholding. Conflicts like your department and several of the First Department Judges are direct defendants in a TWELVE COUNT, TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR FEDERAL LAWSUIT that of course, I know you are not too delusional to have reported this liability and your involvement to any state auditors, state agencies and others who have liability resulting from your continued criminal conduct. The very fact that you attempt to ignore the conflicts and continue to OBSTRUCT JUSTICE in violation of FEDERAL and STATE CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION LAWS, ATTORNEY ETHICS CODES and more will be formally reported to your oversight and criminal authorities.

Yet, while your letter attempts to claim no conflict, almost, you failed to sign and return the CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM attached to the COMPLAINT. This failure serves as further evidence of your CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION through CONFLICT OF INTEREST, which now will be formulated along with this letter, to several of your oversight authorities, as a FORMAL AFFIRMED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AGAINST YOU. Under the laws of NEW YORK and the UNITED STATES, in the interim until authorities can arrest you for your felonious acts, I place you under CITIZENS ARREST and I advise you not only to seek independent counsel but also to turn yourself in to the proper authorities for processing for FELONY charges both state and federal.

I remind you that I have sent the JUDICIARY COMMITTEE OF NEW YORK and FEDERAL AUTHORITIES information regarding your involvement in RICO

Roy L. Reardon Chairman New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee

Re: YOUR CONTINUED INSANITY IN HANDLING COMPLAINTS AGAINST YOURSELF, ALAN W. FRIEDBERG AND OTHER DEFENDANTS IN A TWELVE COUNT TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT LEGALLY RELATED TO A WHISTLEBLOWER CAUSING MASS LIABILITY YOU ARE CONCEALING FROM THE STATE AND PEOPLE OF NEW YORK DIRECTLY RESULTING FROM YOUR CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT

related crimes, along with many in your department. Quite contrary to your claim, I do not think anyone in your CORRUPT COMMITTEE or DEPARTMENT can handle these complaints without conflict and if you are so confident in your meritorious good work on behalf of the Good People of New York, you should welcome a NON CONFLICTED THIRD PARTY review, as certainly you have nothing to hide.

Nothing to hide but everything, including the complaint against yourself that you keep concealing, even in your recent reply trying to dismiss the FORMAL COMPLAINT against Mr. Friedberg while failing to state that you are also complained of since February of 2009, making your handling of any complaints, including your own, not only conflicted but criminal. Your attached letter, mentions other attorneys complained of but fails to mention that YOU sir are one of those complained of and therefore are certainly conflicted.

II. Actions to be Taken

- Please see 78 Page Complaint Against You filed for a Second Time since February 2009 after you concealed those complaints
- This complaint is a refilling of that complaint and submission to the Judiciary Committee for Oversight of Your Office and pursuing criminal investigations within their scope of power over your Department, as part of the ONGOING INVESTIGATION BY THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE ABOUT YOUR and YOUR DEPARTMENTS FAILURE IN TOTO.
- Note that we are attaching copy of a SWORN AFFIRMED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT to all of the following, including but not limited to,
 - o Blah
 - o Blah

III. Conclusion

According to Whistleblower Christine Anderson under sworn oath in a Federal Court and many others who have come forth to expose the ongoing Whitewashing of

Complaints, your offices are alleged to be involved in criminal obstruction of official proceedings and threatening federal witnesses. Nice reputation for an ETHICS department but we will get to that in a moment. Not only are your offices accused of obstructing proceedings against "Favored Law Firms and Lawyers" but further criminal Whitewashing of Complaints was also alleged in regard to Whitewashing disciplinary complaints for the US Attorney, District Attorney and Assistant District Attorney by the "Cleaner" aka Naomi Goldstein of your offices, so named by your former Staff Attorney, Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson your offices should be under not only internal investigation but external. Again, solid reasons for you and your entire department's recusal from further involvement, other than turning yourself in and getting non-conflicted counsel to represent you.

Further, and most importantly, your failure to regulate the misconducts of the lawyers in your department according to well established rules and regulations of your department, you have failed this great nation and the People of the Great State of New York in your obfuscations of regulation. For example, had you done your job investigating Proskauer Rose for example when I first complained, your department could have saved many peoples losses in the Sir Allan Stanford affairs, where Proskauer Rose and Partner Thomas Sjoblom (a former SEC Enforcement Employee) were involved in teaching employees how to lie to Federal Authorities and have been sued for the entire amount of the Ponzi losses in a Global Class Action lawsuit. My recommendation will be for any unrecoverable losses that those VICTIMS sue you and your department for failure to regulate and possible conspiratorial roles in the cover up of the crimes.

Had you investigated Proskauer when I first informed you of their misconducts and crimes, you also could have prevented many people over the 7 seven years from losing their lives savings in the Bernard Madoff affair and saved the hundreds of charities destroyed by Madoff and lives ruined. Yes, you are the oversight that could have prevented these calamities and are responsible for your failures to the victims. In fact, since Proskauer appears to have senior partners basically running the First Department and the Ethics Department from Steven C. Krane, a Proskauer partner caught handling his own and his firms complaints while an officer of the First Department DDC, to recently deceased DDC officer Stephen Rakowe Kaye, a Proskauer partner and married to former Chief Judge Judith Kaye who had ultimate control over the department with her

husband and Krane her former clerk, no wonder complaints bounced off them into your trash can.

Yet and personally more tragic, is the fact that had you done your job regulating the attorneys, your "favored law firms and lawyers" most likely a BOMB would not have been placed in my family minivan (images at www.iviewit.tv) allowing someone to ATTEMPT to MURDER us. It is strange to note how the timing of that event coincided with discovery that STEVEN C. KRANE of Proskauer and Thomas Cahill (former Chief Counsel of the DDC) where found violating First Department DDC Rules and Regulations, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law leading to the First Department Court, in unanimous consent, transferring complaints against Krane of PROSKAUER and also a FIRST DEPARTMENT OFFICER, Krane's Proskauer partners he was representing lLLEGALLY in complaints while a DDC OFFICER and even Krane representing himself while an officer (sounds familiar). Thomas Cahill also was transferred for Special Inquiry at that time and your recent handling of that complaint, with Mr. Stephen Lamont and attempting to dismiss that complaint, again while really clever, will also be further evidence of your CRIMINAL ACTS filed with CRIMINAL AUTHORITIES.

Lest us not forget to remind those judging your actions of the fact that your department is supposed to regulate Wall Street lawyers and we can all see what your lax regulations have produced. I also remind you that your department appears to be the regulator for several fine attorneys in the Justice Department and Office of Legal Counsel who are being accused of war crimes for their violations of ethics and law that have allowed the torture and murder of innocent untried people, quite similar to Nazi Extermination Camps where habeas rights similarly were removed by dirty rotten lawyers, who were actually criminals with legal degrees who made concentration camps and murder legal for undesirables. I remind you of the Judges Trial so that today while you feel elevated above the law, enough so to first conceal and then attempt to dismiss complaints against yourself, in drunken and high delusions of grandeur, that one day you will be tried for these crimes as Justice is restored and even the Nazi lawyers and judges had their day in the infamous Judges Trial at the Nuremberg Trials. I await hearing your defenses from behind the glass.

Truly, and I mean this FIGURATIVELY not LITERALLY, I hope this letter feels like a bomb in your car and you may live with your family in similar trepidation that one day your world will end, when your scam ends and you are tried in a fair and impartial

court, free of conflict (you know the thing your supposed to be protecting) where hopefully you will serve the remainder of your life contemplating the magnanimity of the damage you have done to my family, my shareholders, those you have embroiled in your crimes like Ms. Anderson, those victims of your crimes that appear lining up with each new Judiciary Committee, all those who lost homes or 401k's from lawyers schemes in an unregulated capacity free to commit financial crimes, as the REGULATOR, YOU was naught more than a criminal in on the crimes.

Most DisRespectfully Yours,

Eliot I. Bernstein Founder & Inventor

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL Uview.com, Inc. – DL Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL I.C., Inc. – FL Iviewit.com LLC – DL Iviewit LLC – DL Iviewit Corporation – FL Iviewit, Inc. – FL Iviewit, Inc. – DL **Iviewit Corporation**

cc/ec:

Page 12 of 12 Monday, December 14, 2009

Re: YOUR CONTINUED INSANITY IN HANDLING COMPLAINTS AGAINST YOURSELF, ALAN W. FRIEDBERG AND OTHER DEFENDANTS IN A TWELVE COUNT TWELVE TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT LEGALLY RELATED TO A WHISTLEBLOWER CAUSING MASS LIABILITY YOU ARE CONCEALING FROM THE STATE AND PEOPLE OF NEW YORK DIRECTLY RESULTING FROM YOUR CONTINUED CRIMINAL CONDUCT

Enclosure(s)/Attachment(s)

Uniform Resource Locator(s)

All Uniform Resource Locators (URL) incorporated in entirety by reference herein

cmb/eib