

SEARCH BLOG

FLAG BLOG

Next Blog>>

[Create Blog](#) | [Sign In](#)

EXPOSE CORRUPT COURTS

MLK said: "Injustice Anywhere is a Threat to Justice Everywhere"

End Corruption in the Courts!

Court employee, judge or citizen - Report Corruption in any Court Today !! As of August 5, 2009, we've received over 68,050 tips...KEEP THEM COMING !! CorruptCourts@gmail.com

REFRESH - Go To Home Page

See Video of Senator John L. Sampson's 1st Hearing on Court 'Ethics' Corruption

The Next Ethics Corruption Hearing will be in NYC in September.... exact date soon !! The June 8, 2009 hearing is on two videos:

[CLICK HERE TO SEE Part 1](#)

[CLICK HERE TO SEE Part 2](#)

Thursday, August 20, 2009

NY Lawyer GUILTY in Witness Kill Plot, Faces Life


Simels convicted - faces life in prison

By the Stabroek staff - August 20, 2009

Roger Khan's ex-attorney Robert Simels was today convicted of plotting to kill witnesses in the case against Khan and could face up to life in prison. According to the New York Daily News, Simels sat back in his chair and looked furious as the Brooklyn Federal Court jury rendered a verdict that could send him to jail for life. His associate, Arienne Irving, who was also convicted of conspiring to tamper with witnesses, laid her head on the defense table and sobbed. "They got it wrong," her lawyer, Javier Solano, said outside the courtroom. "They got it wrong." The Daily News said that prosecutors immediately asked that Simels' bail be revoked, saying he was a flight risk. A hearing on that matter will be held this afternoon.

⋮

Simels' trial had riveted the Guyanese audience as he and his lawyers had alleged that the Guyana Government had approved the purchase of spy equipment which Khan had used to locate alleged criminals that his phantom gang went after. An executive from the UK-based company Smith Myers also testified the Guyana Government had approved the purchase. The Guyana Government has vehemently denied this. Khan has pleaded guilty to conspiracy to import drugs into the US and is to be sentenced shortly. Jurors in the Simels case declined to discuss the verdict. They took seven days to reach a verdict and they sent the judge 45 notes with questions during deliberations. A former state prosecutor who investigated corrupt cops and judges, Simels was convicted of tampering with eight witnesses against his then-client Shaheed (Roger) Khan, a major cocaine trafficker from Guyana. Irving was convicted on two counts of witness tampering. Simels and Khan were also convicted of possessing illegal eavesdropping equipment, which was seized in a raid on Simels' East Side offices.

Posted by Corrupt Courts Administrator at 2:52 PM 

21 comments:

Anonymous said...

Replace Guyana with OCA and you have a story that reads like OCA'S tactics back in 2006...in NY state!

Why is OCA not being investigated...when evidence points to destruction of witnesses.

I guess if you are the COURT SYSTEM...you get away with murder and are always above the law...and your mantra...murder with no consequences....is respected by the entire UNITED STATES CONGLOMERATE OF LEGAL DEPTS!

The story above has no meaning to me...it just proves that justice is for ALL..... except those whose gowns cover their balls!

August 20, 2009 3:49 PM

Anonymous said...

witness tampering, jury tampering,
judge tampering, lawyer tampering,
records tampering.....
that is still going on in the 8th.....
they forgot to follow all the rules of tampering, they should have asked the 8th how to do it!

August 20, 2009 6:32 PM

Anonymous said...

The 8 Commandments
1. tamper with records
2. tamper with lawyer
3. tamper with depositions
4. tamper with Bill of Particulars
5. tamper with judge
6. tamper with jury
7. tamper with court records/procedures

Ex-Judge David Gross

8. discredit your own witness

[August 20, 2009 6:40 PM](#)

Anonymous said...

The 8 Commandments

1. tamper with records
2. tamper with lawyer
3. tamper with depositions
4. tamper with Bill of Particulars
5. tamper with judge
6. tamper with jury
7. tamper with court records/procedures
8. discredit your own witness

[August 20, 2009 6:40 PM](#)

Anonymous said...

The 8 Commandments

1. tamper with records
2. tamper with lawyer
3. tamper with depositions
4. tamper with Bill of Particulars
5. tamper with judge
6. tamper with jury
7. tamper with court records/procedures
8. discredit your own witness

[August 20, 2009 6:40 PM](#)

Anonymous said...

I have a huge complaint of sexual harassment, witness intimidation, witness tampering, discrimination, arson and other serious crimes committed by the DDC and OCA. Please contact me if you are interested in seeking justice against these criminals and their cohorts at (347)804-2931.

[August 20, 2009 7:21 PM](#)

Anonymous said...

When calling the above number, leave a message. This is a REAL fight against the corrupt animals that MUST BE EXPOSED!!!

[August 20, 2009 7:32 PM](#)

nicole corrado said...

I am substituting ANONYMOUS to NICOLE in the above 2 posting. I am an attorney at the DDC and a proud witness for Christine Anderson.

[August 20, 2009 8:30 PM](#)

Anonymous said...

To 7:21, 7:32 what do you fear? Name names; expose corruption; let them hide. Have you sent in your complaint to DOJ (Federal) and CJC? Do you have a mandamus or federal complaint?

[August 20, 2009 8:43 PM](#)

T Finnan said...

⋮

Nicole Corrado, congratulations. Let the swine fear us.

August 20, 2009 8:47 PM

nicole corrado said...

My complaints are all officially filed with every city, state and federal agency...Nicole

August 20, 2009 9:07 PM

T Finnan said...

Nicole, as are mine filed. The outrage continues as CJC has a new pending complaint and each and everyone of the CJC received their own copy. Judges Pfau, Cocomma and Caruso are aware of gross judicial corruption; when will they act? Lippman has said he is powerless against a corrupt acting Supreme Court Judge.

August 20, 2009 9:27 PM

You're not ALONE said...

Hi Nicole, remember me, Luisa Esposito. You really helped me when I was at the DDC..I wanted to personally thank you for handing me the DDC's "fudged" transcript..Did you see the look on Naomi Goldstein's face when you gave me their "fudged" transcript, she looked like she wanted to jump out of the window...I admire both you and Christine..Here's my number if you want to talk..(516) 652-1639..God Bless

August 20, 2009 11:37 PM

Anonymous said...

Speak truth each one of you with his neighbor for we are members of one another...he who steals must steal no longer.....

August 21, 2009 7:43 AM

Anonymous said...

Christine & Nicole you must be children of God, we send our prayers & protection!
keep your courage

August 21, 2009 8:17 AM

Eliot Bernstein said...

A breath of fresh air in an era where heroes are hard to find, courage to stand up to the man has waned and fear is used to intimidate the good to meek, Nicole and Finnan you give me hope. My family has been in danger of the exact things you describe, including death threats and car bombing, truly these are not good and honest lawyers and judges and disciplinary. The fox is in the henhouse, the slaughter has been extreme, yet the fox, in drunken delusion always forgets to watch for the HUNTER creeping up behind him, who lays down the fox and returns the henhouse to order, ever weary of the next fox waiting in disguise.

Time to put these dirty lawyers and judges in PRISON, no one is above the law, not Bush, not Cheney, not mob stylized Courts controlled by corruption, controlled through twisting arms of women like Anderson or shotgun blasts to the face. ALL THESE CRIMES, top down must be TRIED in Courts not infested with these mobster clowns with legal degrees that they probably stole or bought their kids with illegal drug money or money from extortion or killing. Get a Pitchfork New York demand action, get this PUBLICIZED to protect those heroes, get cameras and video rolling all over these clowns.

Everyone can help by putting up videos of their complaints, naming names, calling them and recording their abuse, show up at the courts or their houses. Last advice

All related cases need to file separate and joint letters to the house and senate US Judiciary Committee, not New York and demand they begin Congressional Investigations of the Judges and Courts. Various committees like waste fraud and abuse can be also summoned. Homeland Security as this is a threat tantamount to Treason on the Courts. FBI Oversight committees, etc. Congressional Oversight Manual Updated May 1, 2007

Frederick M. Kaiser and Walter J. Oleszek - Government and Finance Division
T.J. Halstead, Morton Rosenberg, and Todd B. Tatelman American Law Division

Authority to Conduct Oversight

A. United States Constitution

The Constitution grants Congress extensive authority to oversee and investigate executive branch activities. The constitutional authority for Congress to conduct oversight stems from such explicit and implicit provisions as:

3. The power to make all laws for "carrying into Execution" Congress's own enumerated powers as well as those of the executive. Article I grants Congress a wide range of powers, such as the power to tax and coin money; regulate foreign and interstate commerce; declare war; provide for the creation and maintenance of armed forces; and establish post offices. Augmenting these specific powers is the so-called "Elastic Clause," which gives Congress the authority "To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof." Clearly, these provisions grant broad authority to regulate and oversee departmental activities established by law.

6. Impeachment and removal. Impeachment provides Congress with a powerful, ultimate oversight tool to investigate alleged executive and judicial misbehavior, and to eliminate such misbehavior through the convictions and removal from office of the offending individuals.

E. Investigate Alleged Instances of Poor Administration, Arbitrary and Capricious Behavior, Abuse, Waste, Dishonesty, and Fraud
Instances of fraud and other forms of corruption, the breakdown of federal programs, incompetent management, and the subversion of governmental processes arouse legislative and public interest in oversight.

August 21, 2009 8:23 AM

Eliot Bernstein said...

I. Protect Individual Rights and Liberties

Congressional oversight can help to safeguard the rights and liberties of citizens and others. By revealing abuses of authority, for instance, oversight hearings can halt executive misconduct and help to prevent its recurrence, either directly through new legislation or indirectly by putting pressure on the offending agency.

3. 1946 Legislative Reorganization Act

a. Mandated House and Senate committees to exercise "continuous watchfulness" of the administration of laws and programs under their jurisdiction. (Emphasis added.) 60 Stat. 832 (1946)

CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Order Code RL32935

Congressional Oversight

of Judges and Justices

May 31, 2005

Elizabeth B. Bazan

Legislative Attorney

American Law Division

Morton Rosenberg

Specialist in American Public Law

American Law Division

<http://fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL32935.pdf>

<https://www.policyarchive.org/handle/10207/2457>

Congressional Oversight of Judges and Justices

Report Misuse or Glitches

Publication Date: May 2005

Publisher: Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service

Topic: Justice (Courts and judicial power)

Permanent Link: <http://hdl.handle.net/10207/2457>

Abstract:

This report addresses Congress' oversight authority over individual federal judges or Supreme Court Justices. Congressional oversight authority, although broad, is limited to subjects related to the exercise of legitimate congressional power. While Congress has the power to regulate the structure, administration and jurisdiction of the courts, its power over the judicial acts of individual judges or Justices is more restricted. For instance, Congress has limited authority to remove or discipline a judge for decisions made on the bench. Article III, Section 1 of the Constitution provides that judges have "good behavior" tenure, which effectively has come to mean lifetime tenure for Article III judges subject to removal only through conviction on impeachment. However, impeachment of a judge or Justice requires a finding that such judge or Justice has engaged in a "High Crime or Misdemeanor." Thus, an investigation into decisions or other actions by a particular judge pursuant to an impeachment would appear to require some connection between an alleged "High Crime or Misdemeanor" and a particular case or cases.

Of course, review and consideration of particular court decisions or other judicial acts are well within the purview of Congress' legislative authority. For instance, Congress has the legislative authority to amend statutes that it believes were misinterpreted by court cases, or to propose amendments to the Constitution that it believes would rectify erroneous constitutional decisions. However, investigating the judge or Justices behind such decisions may require something more.

This report reviews a number of circumstances in which Congress may be authorized to either pursue or otherwise influence an investigation of individual federal judges or Supreme Court Justices. First the report addresses the general powers and limitations on Congress' oversight authority. Second, the report examines the Senate approval process for the nominations of individual judges or Justices, and the Senate's ability to obtain information on judges or Justices during that process. The report also considers the limits of existing statutory authority for judicial discipline and how Congress has influenced such procedures. It discusses the issue of how far the congressional investigatory powers can be exercised regarding possible judicial impeachments. Finally, it treats investigations regarding the individual actions of a judge outside of the above contexts, such as how a judge imposes sentences under the United States Sentencing Guidelines. A separate report, CRS Report RL32926, Congressional Authority over Federal Courts, by Elizabeth B. Bazan, Johnny Killian, and Kenneth R. Thomas, addresses Congress' legislative authority over the courts.

August 21, 2009 8:26 AM

Eliot Bernstein said...

I. Protect Individual Rights and Liberties

Congressional oversight can help to safeguard the rights and liberties of citizens and others. By revealing abuses of authority, for instance, oversight hearings can halt executive misconduct and help to prevent its recurrence, either directly through new legislation or indirectly by putting pressure on the offending agency.

3. 1946 Legislative Reorganization Act

a. Mandated House and Senate committees to exercise "continuous watchfulness" of the administration of laws and programs under their jurisdiction. (Emphasis added.) 60 Stat. 832 (1946)

CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Order Code RL32935

Congressional Oversight

of Judges and Justices

May 31, 2005

Elizabeth B. Bazan

Legislative Attorney

American Law Division

Morton Rosenberg

Specialist in American Public Law

American Law Division

<http://fas.org/spp/crs/misc/RL32935.pdf>

<https://www.policyarchive.org/handle/10207/2457>
Congressional Oversight of Judges and Justices
Report Misuse or Glitches
Publication Date: May 2005
Publisher: Library of Congress. Congressional Research Service
Topic: Justice (Courts and judicial power)
Permanent Link: <http://hdl.handle.net/10207/2457>
Abstract:

This report addresses Congress' oversight authority over individual federal judges or Supreme Court Justices. Congressional oversight authority, although broad, is limited to subjects related to the exercise of legitimate congressional power. While Congress has the power to regulate the structure, administration and jurisdiction of the courts, its power over the judicial acts of individual judges or Justices is more restricted. For instance, Congress has limited authority to remove or discipline a judge for decisions made on the bench. Article III, Section 1 of the Constitution provides that judges have "good behavior" tenure, which effectively has come to mean lifetime tenure for Article III judges subject to removal only through conviction on impeachment. However, impeachment of a judge or Justice requires a finding that such judge or Justice has engaged in a "High Crime or Misdemeanor." Thus, an investigation into decisions or other actions by a particular judge pursuant to an impeachment would appear to require some connection between an alleged "High Crime or Misdemeanor" and a particular case or cases.

Of course, review and consideration of particular court decisions or other judicial acts are well within the purview of Congress' legislative authority. For instance, Congress has the legislative authority to amend statutes that it believes were misinterpreted by court cases, or to propose amendments to the Constitution that it believes would rectify erroneous constitutional decisions. However, investigating the judge or Justices behind such decisions may require something more.

This report reviews a number of circumstances in which Congress may be authorized to either pursue or otherwise influence an investigation of individual federal judges or Supreme Court Justices. First the report addresses the general powers and limitations on Congress' oversight authority. Second, the report examines the Senate approval process for the nominations of individual judges or Justices, and the Senate's ability to obtain information on judges or Justices during that process. The report also considers the limits of existing statutory authority for judicial discipline and how Congress has influenced such procedures. It discusses the issue of how far the congressional investigatory powers can be exercised regarding possible judicial impeachments. Finally, it treats investigations regarding the individual actions of a judge outside of the above contexts, such as how a judge imposes sentences under the United States Sentencing Guidelines. A separate report, CRS Report RL32926, Congressional Authority over Federal Courts, by Elizabeth B. Bazan, Johnny Killian, and Kenneth R. Thomas, addresses Congress' legislative authority over the courts.

August 21, 2009 8:26 AM

Eliot Bernstein said...

From my upcoming Motion using a CITIZENS ARREST OF THESE COURT CLOWNS

New York State Consolidated Laws hold that:

Any person may arrest another person (a) for a felony when the latter has in fact committed such felony, and (b) for any offense when the latter has in fact committed such offense in his presence. (N.Y.C.L. 140.30).

In summation, this Writ of Motion to Compel, Compels this Court and all those involved with Professional Legal Titles to "Freeze, put your hands up in the air and surrender". Surrender until all applicable Law Enforcement and oversight Authorities summoned can evaluate your further right to continued involvement in these matters and can determine the degree of Your culpability of which You may become a Defendant in these matters. Freeze this is a Citizen's Arrest and take no further action that Violates Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes, Public Office Rules & Regs and Law as required by JC, ACC, PORR and Law, for a period necessary for Authorities summoned to examine the alleged Violations of JC, ACC, PORR and Law alleged herein.

“You [all Justices, Court Personnel, Law Firms, Lawyers and Public Office Officials involved in the Legal Disposition of this Lawsuit] have the right to remain silent. Anything You say [or put in Order or Motion or Pleading, etc. in this Lawsuit] can and will be used against You in a court of law [a conflict free court]...Do You understand these rights?” This reading of Miranda is not a joke but more a citizen’s arrest notification that action on Your part forward without the summoned oversight Authorities and Law Enforcement approval of Your actions thus far and continuation going forward. Any action taken prior to such time will incur filing of criminal charges against You with all appropriate authorities. Charges will include alleged US Code Title 18 Obstruction charges, RICO charges and more, as defined herein and in the Amended Complaint.
TURN YOURSELVES NOW & SAVE WHAT LITTLE SOUL YOU HAVE LEFT.

August 21, 2009 8:33 AM

Bat Out of Hell said...

had to put one more in

I remind this Court, which acts outside its own Rules, as if Above the Law, of the all too recent “Judges’ Trial ” of the infamous Nuremberg Trials. Proving that no one is Above the Law, not Justices, not Lawyers, nor Presidents or Deciders and that while power may corrupt and perverse those that control law at times, when the Long Arm of the Law regains its reach, the Guilty will be Tried despite their Titles and perceived Entitlement. Changing laws in order to commit crimes by those entrusted to uphold the sanctity of Law is not a defense that holds up well in a fair and impartial courtroom. Once Law and Order was re-established, the NAZI Party crushed and their delusional grandeur deflated, the Judges Trial tried the NAZI justices and lawyers who changed Law to allow Torture, Death Camps and Theft of Personal Properties, all eventually convicted in US Courts acting in Germany for the War Crimes, including for the Abuse and Misuse of Law. Above the Law while deluded in grandeur from sick Abuse of Power, yet in the end sentenced to life imprisonment for their crimes and forever stamped into history as Nazi war criminals. Eventually Justice will return to This Court and those guilty of misusing Law for personal gain to the disadvantage of citizens tried and convicted too.

The Judges’ Trial (or the Justice Trial, or, officially, The United States of America vs. Josef Altstötter, et al.) was the third of the twelve trials for war crimes the U.S. authorities held in their occupation zone in Germany in Nuremberg after the end of World War II. These twelve trials were all held before U.S. military courts, not before the International Military Tribunal, but took place in the same rooms at the Palace of Justice. The twelve U.S. trials are collectively known as the “Subsequent Nuremberg Trials” or, more formally, as the “Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals” (NMT).

The defendants in this case were 16 German jurists and lawyers. Nine had been officials of the Reich Ministry of Justice, the others were prosecutors and judges of the Special Courts and People’s Courts of Nazi Germany. They were—amongst other charges—held responsible for implementing and furthering the Nazi “racial purity” program through the eugenic and racial laws. The judges in this case, heard before Military Tribunal III, were Carrington T. Marshall (presiding judge), former Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, James T. Brand from Oregon, Mallory B. Blair from Texas, and Justin Woodward Harding as an alternate judge. Marshall had to retire due to illness on June 19, 1947, at which point Brand became president and Harding a full member of the tribunal. The Chief of Counsel for the Prosecution was Telford Taylor; his deputy was Charles M. LaFollette. The indictment was presented on January 4, 1947; the trial lasted from March 5 to December 4, 1947. Ten of the defendants were found guilty; four received sentences for lifetime imprisonment, the rest prison sentences of varying lengths.

August 21, 2009 8:37 AM

Eliot Bernstein said...

One more excerpt as I feel newly inspired

1. Plaintiff will summon the USAG, the Honorable Eric Holder, to represent Crimes alleged committed against the US Government, the USPTO, Foreign Nations and other Title 18 Crimes cited herein and in the Amended Complaint. Plaintiff has previously summoned Holder regarding these matters but Holder may be busy investigating a multitude of senior ranking Government lawyers, law firms and others alleged to have Violated International War Crimes and Torture Treatises. Crimes that led to the Death of Thousands of US Troops and Millions of foreign victims, resulting from War Crimes that were created by predominately Lawyers. On the other hand, perhaps Holder is busy investigating a multitude of senior ranking Government lawyers in regulatory posts who closed their eyes allowing the economic collapse of the nation. Or, law firms that may be at the center of massive financial crimes and Ponzi crimes in Cahoots with lax Regulators. Why is this rant important here you may ask; it shows that recently Lawyers at the highest level of Government, the highest levels of Law Enforcement (i.e. the CIA, the USAG and the DOJ) and the highest level of business and economic law have committed and may still be committing very serious crimes. Crimes that are Treasonous, Violate International War & Torture Treatises and hosts of other crimes and where lawyers and the legal profession are at the center, perhaps the instigator of the crimes while wholly betraying the rule of law they are beholden too and sworn to in Oath under G-d. Crimes that collaborate with Plaintiff's contention in the Amended Complaint that the powerful and politically connected Defendant Law Firms and Lawyers , once caught, seized control of the Government at the highest levels, in a coup d'état, to prevent prosecution resulting from their crimes. Top down control of the Executive Branch, Courts and Justice Dept and once the fox were in the henhouse, they moved on to more and more serious and lucrative crimes. These crimes have taken our Country into a very Sad and Dark period of her history, one where Government appears ruled by criminals who Violate their own rules and then try to change the rules and laws to make their crimes "legal". Plaintiff patiently awaits response from Holder regarding the prior summons and will petition his office again regarding the new Obstruction crimes and other crimes defined herein but with an understanding of the far more serious crimes that must be stopped first to prevent further innocent US Soldiers from being murdered by actions resulting from bad lawyers with bad legal advice,
2. US Solicitor General, The Honorable Elena Kagan, will be summoned to join the Lawsuit regarding the claims on behalf of the US Government and Foreign Nations,
3. Congressional Oversight of Judges & Justice from all appropriate Congressional Committees will be sought,

[August 21, 2009 8:40 AM](#)

[Post a Comment](#)

Links to this post

[Truth to Power: United States Senator John Ensign](#)
[Truth to Power: Madison Avenue](#)
[Truth to Power: Unfaithful Partners and "the Other Woman"](#)

[Create a Link](#)

[Home](#)

[Older Post](#)

Subscribe to: [Post Comments \(Atom\)](#)

REFRESH - Go To Home Page

Video of 1st Hearing on Court 'Ethics'
Corruption
The June 8, 2009 hearing is on two
videos:

[CLICK HERE TO SEE Part 1](#)

[CLICK HERE TO SEE Part 2](#)

Click here to leave **COMMENTS** about the videos

Worthy of Review: [Click here to see 'Sex Scandal at Attorney Ethics Committee'](#)

Worthy of Review: [Click here to see 'NY Attorney pleads guilty to federal felony; Gets law license back'](#)



About Me

Corrupt Courts Administrator
[E-Mail Address](#)

[View my complete profile](#)
[Go To Home Page](#)

