The New Hork Eimes nytimes.com



September 19, 2008

Agency and Bush Are Sued Over Domestic Surveillance

By SCOTT SHANE

WASHINGTON — A privacy group filed a class-action lawsuit on Thursday against the <u>National Security</u> <u>Agency</u>, President Bush and other officials, seeking to halt what it describes as illegal surveillance of Americans' telephone and Internet traffic.

The lawsuit parallels a legal action brought against the <u>AT&T</u> Corporation in 2006 by the same nonprofit group, the Electronic Frontier Foundation, charging that the company gave the N.S.A. access to its communications lines and customer records without proper warrants.

Congress derailed that lawsuit this year by passing legislation granting immunity to telecommunications companies that had provided assistance to the agency, though the foundation has said it intends to challenge the constitutionality of the new law.

A lawyer with the foundation, Kevin S. Bankston, said the new suit opened a "second front" against a "massively illegal fishing expedition through AT&T's domestic networks and databases of customer records."

Mr. Bush and other officials have defended the surveillance as legal and have said it is aimed at preventing terrorist attacks, not invading Americans' privacy.

But some Bush administration officials questioned the legality of aspects of the secret program in 2004, leading to a showdown in which senior officials including Attorney General <u>John Ashcroft</u> and <u>Robert S.</u> <u>Mueller III</u>, the <u>F.B.I.</u> director, were on the brink of resigning before the president agreed to make changes in it.

When Mr. Bush started the program in late 2001, the N.S.A. began eavesdropping inside the United States without court warrants for the first time since 1978, when Congress created the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court to oversee such intelligence collection. In January 2007, the administration announced that the eavesdropping was once again being conducted under court oversight, but the lawsuit contends that related surveillance programs continue without judicial review.

The suit's plaintiffs are five AT&T customers, but it is filed on behalf of all customers. Like the 2006 suit, it is based in part on information from Mark Klein, a former AT&T technician who says he saw what he believed to be equipment installed by the N.S.A. at a company communications hub in San Francisco allowing the agency to filter a huge volume of Internet traffic.

Copyright 2008 The New York Times Company

 Privacy Policy
 Search
 Corrections
 First Look
 Help
 Contact Us
 Work for Us
 Site Map

http://www.nytimes.com/2008/09/19/washington/19nsa.html?fta=y&pagewanted=print 4/17/2009