



I-VIEW-IT HOLDINGS, INC.
I-VIEW-IT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Direct Dial: (561) 245-8588
2753 N.W. 34th Street
Boca Raton, Florida 33434

Thursday, April 16, 2009

James B. Comey
General Counsel
Lockheed Martin Corporation

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

Dear James B. Comey:

As a follow up from my unreturned phone messages to you, un-replied to and confirmed received emails to your office and telephone discussions with your assistant Stephanie Doherty on April 03, 2009, who informed me that you are unwilling to take my calls regarding a Trillion Dollar Intellectual Properties infringement liability relating to my Intellectual Properties¹ and a Lawsuit² naming Lockheed Martin Corporation (Lockheed) as a named defendant, I now submit this written 24-hour limited time offer to enter sound and responsible business negotiations. I find it reprehensible that you, as Lockheed's General Counsel, have refused to return phone calls to myself acting as Pro Se Counsel in an ongoing litigation and thereby refusing sound business practices to resolve the lawsuit and Intellectual Property infringements and comply with proper accountancy rules and regulations. Should the demands stated herein not be met within the limited time, this letter serves as formal demand to cease and desist in the use of the herein defined Intellectual Properties.

¹ See attached "Intellectual Property" exhibit

² Amended Complaint @

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080509%20FINAL%20AMENDED%20COMPLAINT%20AND%20RICO%20SIGNED%20COPY%20MED.pdf> (incorporated in entirety by reference herein)

Private & Confidential Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

As you will note, there is now a definite and certain action to be taken at the conclusion of the 24-hour limited time offer, with such 24-hour period beginning at 5pm EST on April 16, 2009 and ending at 5pm EST on April 17, 2009. Thus, you may wish to pay particular attention, as the period was to be a bit longer but your refusal to return calls and delay matters already for several weeks, has prompted such short time frame. Complaints are already flying at the SEC regarding your former company Real 3D, Inc. and the transactions done between Lockheed, Intel and Silicon Graphics, Inc., of course before filing actions specifically regarding Lockheed's involvement I have been courteous in time and effort already, to allow your company to explain to me its actions and make reasonable reparations if possible.

Due to your refusal to speak and failure to lead me to Lockheed counsel handling these matters, you will now be making a sound and proper business decision by taking these matters and limited time offer to negotiate directly to your Chairman and CEO, Robert J. Stevens, within the 24-hour time period. Please read below to see the definite and certain actions that I will be taking in the event you do not properly bring this matter to Mr. Stevens within the 24-hour period for Lockheed to enter an agreement to agree. Discussions to attempt to clarify, possibly resolve, the liabilities defined herein through formal licensing and royalty payments to the true and proper inventors, beginning with an initial ten million dollar (USD \$10,000,000.00) deposit to be made payable within the 24-hour period, to an account to be determined.

I respectfully suggest that you, Mr. Comey, as counsel for Lockheed may be failing in a variety of legal, accounting and ethical obligations under law and codes of conduct as it relates to Lockheed and the rights of the shareholders, others with interests in Lockheed, any parties who may incur liabilities as a result of these actions and regulators. Your failure to communicate with me and undertake sound business discussions will result in my noticing the proper authorities, similar to what I have done in regard to the Intel Corporation (Intel) exemplified in our earlier emails.

Now that you have received copies of the Intel/SEC complaint letter involving Lockheed, Intel, Silicon Graphics, Inc. (SGI), all owners of your former majority owned company Real 3D, Inc. (R3D), you are now personally familiar with the many Iviewit contracts that were signed with R3D. On information and belief, Lockheed subsequently transferred the contracts and agreements with the sale of R3D to Intel. Iviewit's NDA's, Strategic Alliances and Licensing Agreements, both signed and in draft by both R3D counsel and Iviewit's legal counsel (defendants Proskauer Rose LLP, Meltzer Lippe Goldstein & Schlissel and Foley and Lardner LLP) were going to be honored and furthered by Intel, SGI and Lockheed after the sale. Especially, when it was known that each would continue use of the scaling imaging and video technologies after the sale

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

amongst yourselves of R3D. Further, my former counsel transferred patent copies to R3D counsel and others at R3D that were then shared amongst the R3D owner companies. In fact, under agreement, my technologies and equipment were transferred to Lockheed's premises in Orlando, where R3D was headquartered, for testing, creating and distributing the concepts into hardware and software applications.

Agreement's also include the RYJO, Inc. contract, whereby on information and belief, defendant Ryan J. Huisman an employee of R3D and subsequent subcontractor for them, whose father was a long-term imaging engineer for Lockheed, shared the technologies with the various companies for testing and implementation. Implementation including Lockheed's government applications such as, simulation, weaponry, satellite and virtually all spectrums of the video and imaging content creation and distribution value chain.

Intel, at this time, was a 20% owner of R3D and engineers from Intel and Lockheed Martin were brought in to R3D to evaluate the technologies that led to the agreements. Lockheed has had direct and binding knowledge of the technologies since that original point of agreement and Lockheed is fully aware of the possible and future litigation of the Intellectual Properties. Lockheed is also aware of the signed agreements for use through your company R3D, on or about 1998-2001, extending even beyond your sale of your interest to Intel, as Lockheed remains using the technologies and the details of the sale transaction have yet to be disclosed to me. Shareholders however should be noticed properly of the impending liabilities from both the Intellectual Property infringements and the lawsuits and balance sheets should reflect the liability properly since that time.

Because it is possible that your failures in these matters are in part premised upon an improper interpretation of applicable FASB accounting rules for liabilities, I have enclosed relevant sections of these rules for your further review:

Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies rules for booking a "contingent" liability in this matter:

For the purpose of this Statement, a contingency is defined as an existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible gain (hereinafter a "gain contingency") or loss (hereinafter a "loss contingency") to an enterprise that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Resolution of the uncertainty may confirm the acquisition of an

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

asset or the reduction of a liability or the loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability.

4. Examples of loss contingencies include:

e. Pending or threatened litigation.

f. Actual or possible claims and assessments.

Litigation, Claims, and Assessments

The following factors, among others, must be considered in determining whether accrual and/or disclosure is required with respect to pending or threatened litigation and actual or possible claims and assessments:

a. The period in which the underlying cause (i.e., the cause for action) of the pending or threatened litigation or of the actual or possible claim or assessment occurred.

b. The degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome.

c. The ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss.

Please take note of the following FASB language:

By way of further example, an enterprise may believe there is a possibility that it has infringed on another enterprise's patent rights, but the enterprise owning the patent rights has not indicated an intention to take any action and has not even indicated an awareness of the possible infringement. In that case, a judgment must first be made as to whether the assertion of a claim is probable. If the judgment is that assertion is not probable, no accrual or disclosure would be required. On the other hand, if the judgment is that assertion is probable, then a second judgment must be made as to the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome. If an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, accrual of a loss is required by paragraph 8. If an unfavorable outcome is probable but the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated, accrual would not be appropriate, but disclosure would be required by paragraph 10. If an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible but not probable, disclosure would be required by paragraph 10.

I respectfully Direct your focused attention to the following: **"In that case, a judgment must first be made as to whether the assertion of the claim is probable."**


Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St. Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o) / (561) 886.7628 (c) / (561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv - www.iviewit.tv

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

Surely it is "probable" that a claim will be asserted as claims have already been formally asserted in ongoing litigation and I remind you of prior communications with your offices whereby it is noted to notify your shareholders or any others with liabilities resulting from these claims.

Next, I Direct your focused attention to the following: **"then a second judgment must be made as to the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome."**

Based on several messages and email correspondences with your offices and the progressing history of these matters, it should be clear that the claims I have currently asserted in the federal courts of New York and whereby you are named defendant in the Amended Complaint in the case are Not the only claims that I may assert. Further Lawsuits and Intellectual Property Right Claims, will soon be forthcoming and continue for at minimum the 50+ years of the Intellectual Properties legal rights for patent, copyright, trademarks, etc., legal actions that will not be limited to the US Second Circuit Court of Appeals or the Federal Courts in New York.

Further, as a result of ongoing state, federal and international investigations, it is possible that criminal charges will soon be filed by any of the numerous investigatory agencies worldwide investigating a multitude of criminal behaviors against certain defendants. Certainly, this could have catastrophic individual and corporate ramifications on Lockheed and its shareholders and thus regulators and any liability carriers would have to be notified of these actions as well, failure to do so could constitute further criminal intent.

It is public record now that defendant Proskauer Rose, LLP is now being sued by an individual charged in a federal investigation by the SEC involving Ponzi schemer R. Allen Stanford for their part in that scam. Proskauer Rose and their IP department are under federal investigation by the USPTO and the USPTO OED, along with Foley & Lardner and other former IP counsel to my companies, investigations that have led to suspensions of my Intellectual Property pool. It is public record now that defendant attorney Marc S. Dreier of Dreier Baritz is in jail under federal indictment and his firm is where defendant and former IP attorney to my companies, Raymond Joao, of defendant Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein & Schlissel went to practice after being terminated from my companies. Joao terminated amidst allegations of stealing Intellectual Properties and other crimes, including putting 90+ patents into his own name many learned while acting as counsel to my companies, these charges currently are under ongoing federal investigations. It is public record now that defendant Christopher Clarke Wheeler of Proskauer, whom acting as counsel for my companies introduced R3D and Lockheed to

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

each other was arrested for Driving Under the Influence with Injury, a felony in the state of Florida.

I do note as an aside, Mr. Comey, that your absurd reaction and refusal to have polite discussions may be a sign of personal failings and/or medical/psychological conditions or even perhaps reactions based upon intimate relevant knowledge of wrongdoings herein. No matter what the cause, you may wish to Consult your company's Own Code of Conduct Rules for internal reporting and other applicable laws, where it is possible that a company employee such as yourself may or likely is Not acting in the best interests of Lockheed. This is why I am demanding that you turn these urgent matters over to senior management and perhaps the entire Board of Directors.

Of course, if I cannot ascertain through business discussions that your company is handling these most certain liabilities properly, I will most certainly immediately Report this matter to any and All appropriate authorities including the US Attorney's Office, US DOJ Inspector Glenn A. Fine, H. Marshall Jarrett of the DOJ (previously of the DOJ OPR), the US House and Senate Judiciary Committees, the Internal Revenue Service, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Lockheed Board, Lockheed Shareholders and all others with exposure. All of these authorities are in the process of conducting multi-year ongoing investigations, including the Commissioner of Patents and Harry I. Moatz, Director of the Office of Enrollment & Discipline at the USPTO. Actions of the USPTO have led to formal suspensions of my Intellectual Properties while allegations I was directed to file by Mr. Moatz, including fraud directly on the USPTO, are investigated. Lockheed is named in all of these actions and further, defendant RYJO, Inc. is alleged to be part of a fraudulent US Bankruptcy in efforts to steal the IP and fraudulent abscond with funds from the US Small Business Administration.

If Lockheed has not Booked in their financial accounting reports the intellectual property liabilities since 1998 and Disclosed same to all interested parties and Assigned an estimated value whereby such value has been estimated to be nearly a Trillion dollars over the life of the IP, estimated as "priceless" by R3D engineers, it would make sense to immediately begin reporting such. More logically would be to begin immediately licensing the technologies on a going forward basis with the true and proper inventors of such technologies. I welcome the opportunity to enter such agreements, which may alleviate many of the past problems.

Further, the Amended Complaint you are a named defendant in and current filings in the United States Court of Appeal, has 12 Counts currently cited against all parties, each claim for damages at US One Trillion Dollars (USD \$1,000,000,000.00). Obviously, you must be aware of what type of catastrophic consequences these liabilities

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

will have on Lockheed and if you are not taking appropriate actions I again suggest you may be either suffering from some form of personal disability or are acting directly against the Lockheed Code of Conduct, law and against the shareholder interests of Lockheed.

Now it is possible, however unlikely, that my interpretations of the Accounting Rules and other law do not comport with current interpretations of these matters by the IRS/SEC but certainly I will call and notify them within 24 hours, apprising them of the situation and seeking guidance and advice relative to the proper interpretations and actions necessary to notify all proper parties. Prior to doing so, however, I am once again offering you as a sound and proper business opportunity, within the 24-hour period defined herein, to turn these matters over to Mr. Stevens. To have him or representative counsel call me within such time to address first if you should continue to handle these matters in light of the possible FASB issues. Then address your failure as counsel to return phone calls to opposing counsel in a lawsuit. Finally, to determine if Lockheed would like to have the business discussion you failed to hear and instead so rudely had your assistant convey your disinterest to me.

FASB rules alone would point to the time of liabilities beginning when Lockheed was aware of the Intellectual Properties in 1998-1999 and for the sum of the royalties that would be due under licensing agreements and other agreements for the technologies since that time. Additional reporting under FASB for the legal liabilities resulting from the lawsuit defined at the time that Lockheed was aware of the legal liabilities resulting from the lawsuits and other actions filed in these matters. Reporting that would also include from the time of your initial contact regarding the liabilities from me several weeks ago.


As you are again made aware, the federal RICO case Lockheed is a named defendant in has been called a "**MURDER**" case by Judge Shira Scheindlin and one of Patent Theft and Car Bombings, **legally related** by Scheindlin to an ongoing "**Whistleblower**" lawsuit. Thus, I anticipate that with matters as serious as these, with liabilities over the top, each liability must be reported to the top senior executives and board members of Lockheed. Anything short will prompt immediate actions on my part to inform those at risk and those in charge of investigating such failures of disclosure and other violations of law, of Lockheed's continued violations.

Please accept this letter communication as an immediate Cease and Desist regarding all of my Intellectual Properties should Lockheed fail to contact me within 24-hours with the initial monetary deposit mentioned herein as the first step in an agreement to agree in this matter.

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

Further, I have attached for your convenience and completion of Due Diligence some selected article links, which have direct and/or related relevance to the matters herein.

Regretfully Yours,



Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
UVIEW.COM, INC. – DL;
IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. – DL;
IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. – DL;
IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. – FL;
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. – DL;
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. – FL;
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. - DL;
I.C., INC. – FL;
IVIEWIT.COM LLC – DL;
IVIEWIT LLC – DL;
IVIEWIT CORPORATION – FL;
IVIEWIT, INC. – FL;
IVIEWIT, INC. – DEL;
IVIEWIT CORPORATION

ec & cc:

Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esq.
Marc Garber, Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.
Michele M. Mulrooney Jackoway Esq. @ Jackoway Tyerman Wertheimer Austen
Mandelbaum & Morris

Enclosures/Attachments

Amended Complaint pdf file

Exhibit – Intellectual Properties

Article Links



Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St. Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o) / (561) 886.7628 (c) / (561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv - www.iviewit.tv

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

1. Article March 5, 2009, Request to US Attorney General Holder for Special Prosecutor in NY Judicial and Ethics Scandals involving NYS First Department DDC and more;

<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2009/03/us-attorney-general-eric-holder-asked.html>

2. Article Excerpt on Iviewit "Patentgate" at Website Nov. 24, 2007:

The OPR investigation was sparked by a request from the DOJ - OIG, Inspector General Glenn Fine's Office whom is also conducting an ongoing investigation. The patent pending applications and other IP have been suspended by the Commissioner of Patents pending the outcome of ongoing state, federal and international investigations. The probe reaches some of New York's most prominent politicians and judges, and has already proven to be a stunning embarrassment to the State's ethics watchdog committees.

As a backdrop to the technologies in question, Mr. Bernstein's inventions, the Iviewit video scaling and image overlay systems, are the backbone, enabling technologies for the transmission of video and images across almost all transmission networks and viewable on all display devices, an elegant upstream solution (towards the content creator) of reconfiguring video frames to unlock bandwidth, processing, and storage constraints -- the "Holy Grail" inventions of the digital imaging and video worlds that enable low bandwidth video on the Internet and mobile phones."

Article Link: <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2007/11/press-release-november-23-2007-for.html>

3. Article Excerpt from "Justice Department Widens Patentgate Probe..." August 24, 2007:

This is quite serious," says an investigator close to the federal probe. "The charges allege that valuable 'back-bone enabling digital imaging technology'-- MPEG type intellectual property-- was stolen by the inventor's own attorneys, the once-untouchable Manhattan based law firm Proskauer Rose. This is going to get very ugly," he says. . .

I know how," says a retired federal agent who asked not to be identified. "Phone calls were made—many phone calls. Plain and simple." And while this retired federal agent isn't surprised by the apparent "cover-up," he is alarmed by his own findings after a month-long independent review of all submitted Iviewit papers. "I can't find one discrepancy in the allegations, not one unsubstantiated charge," he says. . .

The powers that be can't contain this story anymore—it's out, U.S. Senators and Congressman are talking about it. This involves national

Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St. Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o) / (561) 886.7628 (c) / (561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv - www.iviewit.tv

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

Commerce issues: attorneys stealing U.S. Patents from their own client, and the illegal failings of a state's ethics agency by its own cover-up, and selective, self-dealing, politically-based inaction. Patentgate appears to have exposed the true, and troubling, underbelly of ethics investigations in New York State. And it's not pretty.

**Earlier this year, FBI headquarters in Washington, D.C. assigned additional agents to the Public Integrity Corruption squad at 26 Federal Plaza in Manhattan, and where agents have been actively conducting interviews. **

Article Link: <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2007/08/justice-dept-widens-patentgate-probe.html>

4. Article Excerpt from "NY Ethics Scandal Tied to International Espionage Scheme" April 1, 2008;

The evidence in the corporate eavesdropping cover-up "is frightening," according to an informed source who has reviewed the volumes of documentation. The espionage scheme, he says, is directly tied to the growing state bar ethics scandal at the Appellate Division First Department, Departmental Disciplinary Committee (DDC) in Manhattan.

Article Link: <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2008/04/ny-ethics-scandal-tied-to-international.html>



Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY EXHIBIT³

USPTO

Int'l Intellectual Property Organizations

Trademarks, Trade Secrets & Copyrights

09/630,939

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Image File
SUSPENDED BY COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS 17-Feb-04

PCT/US00/21211

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Image File

75/725,802

THE CLICK HEARD 'ROUND THE WORLD June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

09/630,939

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Image File
SUSPENDED BY COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS 17-Feb-04

PCT/US00/15602

System & Method for Video Playback Over a Network

75/725,805

IVIEWIT "YOUR THIRD EYE TO THE WORLD" June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

09/630,939

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Image File
SUSPENDED BY COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS 17-Feb-04

PCT/US00/15406

System & Method for Playing a Digital Video File
15406 Part 1 Attachment
15406 Part 2 Attachment
15406 Part 3 Attachment

75/725,806

IVIEWIT "YOUR THIRD EYE TO THE WORLD" June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

09/522,721

Apparatus & Method for Producing Enhanced Digital Images PENDING SUSPENSION
FILED 26-Feb-04

PCT US00/15408

System & Method for Streaming an Enhanced Digital Video File

75/725,807

IVIEWIT "YOUR THIRD EYE TO THE WORLD" (THIS MARK IS MISSING PROPER QUOTES June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

09/587,734

PCT/US00/15405

75/725,808

³ Due to ongoing international, federal, state investigations into Intellectual Property theft and fraud, including fraud upon the US Patent & Trademark Office and International Intellectual Property Organizations, which have led to Suspension of certain of the Intellectual Properties, pending investigations, this exhibit, is therefore not limited to following Intellectual Properties listed and known at this time. The list may be far more expansive, including hundreds, if not thousands of stolen intellectual properties in other organizations and individual names, including patent pooling schemes similar to MPEG LA LLC and formerly R3D's

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

USPTO

Int'l Intellectual Property Organizations

Trademarks, Trade Secrets & Copyrights

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Video File
SUSPENDED BY COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS 26-Feb-04

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Video File

IVIEWIT "YOUR THIRD EYE TO THE WORLD June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

09/587,734

PCT US00/07772

75/725,809

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Video File
SUSPENDED BY COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS 26-Feb-04

Apparatus & Method for Producing Enhanced Digital Images

IVIEWIT "YOUR THIRD EYE TO THE WORLD June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

09/587,026

EPO 00938126.0

75/725,810

System & Method for Playing a Digital Video File
SUSPENDED BY COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS 26-Feb-04

System & Method for Streaming an Enhanced Digital Video File

IVIEWIT "YOUR THIRD EYE TO THE WORLD June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

09/587,730

EPO 00944619.6

75/725,816

System & Method for Streaming an Enhanced Digital Video File
SUSPENDED BY COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS 26-Feb-04

System & Method for Streaming an Enhanced Digital Video File

IVIEWIT.COM June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

60/223,344

EPO 00955352.0

75/725,816

Zoom & Pan Using a Digital Camera

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Image File

IVIEWIT June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

60/233,341

Japan 2001 502364

75/725,817

Zoom & Pan Imaging Design Tool

System & Method for Streaming an Enhanced Digital Video File

IVIEWIT.COM June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

60,169,559

Japan 2001 502362

75/725,817

Apparatus and Method for Producing Enhanced Video Images and/or Video Files

System & Method for Streaming an Enhanced Digital Video File

IVIEWIT June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

60/155,404

Japan 2001 514379

75/725,818

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

USPTO

Int'l Intellectual Property Organizations

Trademarks, Trade Secrets & Copyrights

Apparatus & Method for Producing Enhanced Video Images and/or Video Files

60/149,737

Apparatus and Method for Producing Enhanced Digital Images and/or Digital Video Files

60/146,726

Apparatus & Method for Producing Enhanced Digital Images

60/141,440

Apparatus & Method for Providing and/or transmitting Video Data and/or Information in a Communication Network

60/137,921

Apparatus & Method for Playing Video Files Across the Internet

60/137,297

Apparatus & Method for Producing Enhanced Video Images

60/125,824

Apparatus & Method for Producing Enhanced Digital Images

System & Method for Providing an Enhanced Digital Image File

Korea PCT US00 15408

IVIEWIT.COM June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,819

THE CLICK HEARD 'ROUND THE WORLD June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,819

IVIEWIT.COM June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,820

IVIEWIT.COM June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,821

IVIEWIT June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,821

THE CLICK HEARD 'ROUND THE WORLD June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,822

IVIEWIT June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,823 IVIEWIT June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

75/725,823 THE CLICK HEARD 'ROUND THE WORLD June 8, 1999 FILED July 27, 2004

James B. Comey
General Counsel
Lockheed Martin Corporation

Page 14 of 17
Thursday, April 16, 2009 @ 11:54:07 AM

Re: Further notice of Intellectual Property Infringement/Cease and Desist and Lawsuit; Follow Up to Phone Discussions with your Assistant Stephanie Doherty; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements; Limited Time Offer

USPTO

Int'l Intellectual Property
Organizations

Trademarks, Trade Secrets
& Copyrights

76/037,700 IVIEWIT.COM May 1,
2000 FILED July 27, 2004

76/037,701 A SITE FOR SORE
EYES May 1, 2000 FILED July 27,
2004

76/037,702 A SITE FOR SORE
EYES May 1, 2000 FILED July 27,
2004

76/037,703 IVIEWIT May 1, 2000
FILED July 27, 2004

76/037,843 IVIEWIT LOGO May 1,
2000 FILED July 27, 2004

76/037,844 May 1, 2000 FILED July
27, 2004

Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St. Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o) / (561) 886.7628 (c) / (561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv - www.iviewit.tv



I-VIEW-IT HOLDINGS, INC.
I-VIEW-IT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Direct Dial: (561) 245-8588
2753 N.W. 34th Street
Boca Raton, Florida 33434

Tuesday, April 14, 2009

Robert J. Stevens
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
Lockheed Martin Corporation

Re: Limited Time Offer; Agree to Agree

Dear Mr. Robert J. Stevens:

Please accept this communication as a Limited time Offer to enter in to sound and responsible business negotiations in these matters involving the Lockheed Martin Corporation (Lockheed) and my rightful and proper claims as the Original Inventor and Owner of "backbone technologies" deemed as the "Holy Grail" of digital imaging and video inventions back in 1998. Technologies wrongfully used for over 10 years by Lockheed and others as defined in the attached letter to your General Counsel. As you will both note from the attached Letter to your In House General Counsel Mr. James Comey which references a host of federal and other sources in support of my claims and rights, it is ever so clear that Lockheed has had knowledge of the claims to such Technologies dating back to 1999 and has continued in unauthorized and perhaps illegal uses of such technologies since that time.

In that the value of my "backbone technologies" have been estimated at nearly a trillion dollars over the life of the Intellectual Properties and that Lockheed is a named Defendant in an ongoing federal RICO case marked legally "related" to an ongoing federal "Whistleblower" case of Christine Anderson. These litigations are presently ongoing in the United States District Court Southern District of New York and United States Court of Appeals Southern District New York. The US Southern District of New York Judge presiding over the "Anderson" case has declared that my lawsuit involves "Murder" and further considering that litigation is contemplated at the US Supreme Court, other federal court venues, worldwide Intellectual Property venues and more, I respectfully suggest that an "Agreement" to "Agree" to a business solution in this matter

Private & Confidential Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Eliot Ivan Bernstein


Re: Limited Time Offer; Agree to Agree

is a sound and responsible judgment on the part of Lockheed. Such agreement shall begin by an immediate Deposit of \$10 Million US Dollars, as defined in the attached letter to Mr. Comey, into an account to be determined while Lockheed obtains Non-conflicted counsel and I engage Counsel for details on a Global resolution and settlement of both past and going forward claims.

Moreover, because I believe that the actions of your present In House Counsel may now be questionable, violate FASB Rules and thereby are damaging to Lockheed's best interests, thus further likely being in violation of Lockheed's own Code of Conduct, as referenced herein it is requested that Lockheed seek non-conflicted Counsel to move forward on this Agreement to Agree which is literally a Global matter necessitating Global resolution. The attached Letter to Lockheed Counsel Comey is self-explanatory in describing the various manners in which Mr. Comey's actions are in question."

Such Deposit shall be made by Monday, March 09, 2009 by 5pm EST as an initial good faith action on the part of Lockheed in this Agreement to Agree.


Thank you for time, effort and consideration
in these urgent matters,



Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
UVIEW.COM, INC. - DL;
IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. - DL;
IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. - DL;
IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. - FL;
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. - DL;
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. - FL;
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. - DL;
I.C., INC. - FL;
IVIEWIT.COM LLC - DL;
IVIEWIT LLC - DL;
IVIEWIT CORPORATION - FL;
IVIEWIT, INC. - FL;
IVIEWIT, INC. - DEL;
IVIEWIT CORPORATION

Re: Limited Time Offer; Agree to Agree

ec & cc:

 cc Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esq.
cc Marc Garber, Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.
cc Michele M. Mulrooney Jackoway Esq. @ Jackoway Tyerman Wertheimer Austen
cc Mandelbaum & Morris

Enclosures/Attachments

Amended Complaint pdf file

April 16, 2009 Letter to Lockheed General Counsel James B. Comey

ec & cc:

Enclosures/Attachments

Links