



I-VIEW-IT HOLDINGS, INC.
I-VIEW-IT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Direct Dial: (561) 245-8588
2753 N.W. 34th Street
Boca Raton, Florida 33434

Friday, April 03, 2009

Elena Ramirez
General Counsel
Silicon Graphics, Inc.

**Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions;
Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board
“FASB” Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for
Contingencies - Reporting Requirements**

Dear Elena Ramirez, Esq.:

As a follow up from our telephone discussion on March 31, 2009, I wish to make several observations as part of this 24-hour limited time offer to enter sound and responsible business negotiations on behalf of Silicon Graphics, Inc.. As you will note further herein, there is definite and certain action to be taken at the conclusion of the 24-hour limited time offer herein with such 24-hour period commencing upon 9:00am EST on Monday, April 06, 2009 and ending 9:00 am EST on Tuesday, April 07, 2009. Thus, you may wish to pay particular attention herein.

As you will see, it is respectfully requested and suggested that you, Ms. Ramirez, will be making a sound and proper business decision herein by taking this matter to your Chairman and CEO within 24-hours herein. Please read below to see the definite and certain action that I will be taking in the event you do not properly bring this matter to the Chairman and CEO within 24 hours for their response which will be due at the ending of such 24-hour period.

As the original Owner and Inventor of backbone "technologies" and a business person myself, I was alarmed and shocked at your hostile resistance to commence sound, responsible business discussions in this matter and further alarmed at the hostile reaction you exhibited when I suggested speaking with the Chairman and the CEO refusing to even give me their names or numbers. The fact that you had not taken the matter to them in a time when it is heard you are rushing to bankruptcy and selling the company in some

Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements

form, may make further issues we can discuss such as if full disclosure were obtained for the liabilities you had become aware through the Intel letters and other materials we forwarded.

I respectfully suggest that you, Ms Ramirez, Esq., have admitted to failing and may be presently and currently be failing in a variety of legal and ethical obligations under law and codes of conduct and as it relates to SGI and the rights of the shareholders and others in SGI and other interested parties who may incur liabilities. I am sure under other circumstances you described, lawyers file a cease and desist or other more legal documents notifying you of the infringement of technologies and send you more formal notification you are a named Defendant in a Trillion Dollar lawsuit but our noticing you of these realities, must now give cause to fulfill your fiduciary obligations. I have attached the listed Intellectual Property showing the specific Intellectual Properties surrounding the matters that you are infringing upon, although certainly, as I must according to you, "do my homework" to find the names and numbers of your officers and direct reports, you could have easily done yours, as I mentioned all the information regarding your company involvement was on our homepage at www.iviewit.tv . Too not sound contrite, I would find withholding this information from company officers from the moment you were notified would not be very ethical and presume you have already notified them that we would be making a business-meeting offer before determining our next step, in hopes of clearing up these issues.

You are now personally familiar with our contracts that were signed with Real 3D, Inc., including NDA's, Strategic Alliances and Licensing Agreements both signed and in draft with Real 3D, Inc. As SGI was also a 10% owner of Real 3D and engineers from SGI, Intel and Lockheed Martin were brought into Real 3D to evaluate my technologies that led to the agreements, we presume that SGI has had direct and binding knowledge since that original point of knowledge of possible and future litigation of the patents that you signed NDA's to review, on or about 1999 and have since been infringing upon. This information would involve review of all your prior bankruptcy proceedings you referenced, the current bankruptcy proceeding you entered Wednesday, April 01, 2009 and any and all technology transfers that may have occurred in those transactions to assure that the Courts and the benefactors of any assets were fully notified of the potential liabilities resulting from infringement of my technologies and the Trillion Dollar Lawsuit you are named in.

Because it is possible that your failures in this matter are also in part premised upon an improper interpretation of applicable FASB accounting rules, I have enclosed relevant sections of these rules for your further review:

Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements

Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies rules for booking a "contingent" liability in this matter:

For the purpose of this Statement, a contingency is defined as an existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible gain (hereinafter a "gain contingency") or loss (hereinafter a "loss contingency") to an enterprise that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Resolution of the uncertainty may confirm the acquisition of an asset or the reduction of a liability or the loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability.

4. Examples of loss contingencies include:

e. Pending or threatened litigation.

f. Actual or possible claims and assessments.

Litigation, Claims, and Assessments

The following factors, among others, must be considered in determining whether accrual and/or disclosure is required with respect to pending or threatened litigation and actual or possible claims and assessments:

a. The period in which the underlying cause (i.e., the cause for action) of the pending or threatened litigation or of the actual or possible claim or assessment occurred.

b. The degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome.

c. The ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss.

Please take note of the following FASB language:

By way of further example, an enterprise may believe there is a possibility that it has infringed on another enterprise's patent rights, but the enterprise owning the patent rights has not indicated an intention to take any action and has not even indicated an awareness of the possible infringement. In that case, a judgment must first be made as to whether the assertion of a claim is probable. If the judgment is that assertion is not probable, no accrual or disclosure would be required. On the other hand, if the judgment is that assertion is probable, then a second judgment must be made as to the degree of probability of an unfavorable

Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements

outcome. If an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, accrual of a loss is required by paragraph 8. If an unfavorable outcome is probable but the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated, accrual would not be appropriate, but disclosure would be required by paragraph 10. If an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible but not probable, disclosure would be required by paragraph 10.

I respectfully Direct your focused attention to the following: **"In that case, a judgment must first be made as to whether the assertion of the claim is probable."**

Surely it is "probable" that a claim will be asserted as claims have already been formally asserted in litigation and I remind you of prior communications with you whereby it is noted to notify your shareholders or any others with liability of these claims.

Then I Direct your focused attention to the following: **"then a second judgment must be made as to the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome."**

At the time of our recent discussion, I attempted on more than one occasion to suggest to you during this discussion that the claims I have currently asserted in the federal courts of New York are Not the only claims which I may assert and further attempted to politely suggest to you that despite a present Dismissal from the Southern District of New York District Court Judge, that not only is the case on Appeal to the US Second Circuit Court of Appeals, but that further Lawsuits, Motions and filings would soon be forthcoming which were not necessarily limited to the US Second Circuit Court of Appeals and not limited to the Federal Courts in New York. Further, as a result of ongoing state, federal and international investigations, it is possible that criminal charges may soon be filed by any of the numerous investigatory agencies worldwide investigating these matters against certain defendants. Certainly, this could have catastrophic individual and corporate ramifications on SGI and shareholders and regulators would have to be notified of these civil actions and possible criminal actions for any part SGI played in the RICO conspiracy before the federal court.

I do note as an aside, Ms. Ramirez, that your hostile reactions and refusal to have polite discussions may be a sign of personal failings and/or medical/psychological conditions or even perhaps reactions based upon intimate relevant knowledge of wrongdoings herein but no matter what the cause you may wish to Consult your company's Own Code of Conduct Rules for internal reporting where it is possible that a company employee such as yourself may or likely is Not acting in the best interests of the SGI.

Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements

I will most certainly immediately Report this matter to any and All appropriate authorities including the US Attorney's Office, US DOJ Inspector Glenn A. Fine, Marshall Jarrett of the FBI OPR, the US Judicial Council, US House, the Internal Revenue Service, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Intel Board and Shareholders and US Senate Judiciary Committees and other as proper if answers and business discussions are not advanced immediately to rectify our concerns.

Keep in mind, however, that just this analysis under the Rules while my current case is "pending" with the US Second Circuit Court of Appeals does not contemplate future action at the US Supreme Court, returned action at the District Court, additional motions at the US Second Circuit Court of Appeals, other Federal Courts and International venues, which I politely suggested to you during our phone conversation that SGI can definitely anticipate which is why I was suggesting as a responsible business person that we now begin possible business discussions, discussions which may alleviate certain of the liabilities although not perhaps your personal liabilities.

More importantly, however, as you should be expressly aware, I have yet to file a formal claim based strictly on the violations of the Signed NDA's, Strategic Alliance Agreements and Licensing Agreements themselves, although contained in broad strokes in the Amended Complaint, yet these claims may also be separate claims which not only do you have personal knowledge of the existence of the claims but were being advised during our conversation of my clear intent to pursue such filings in the near future.

Now it is possible, however unlikely, that my interpretations of these Accounting Rules possibly somehow do not comport with current interpretations of these matters by the IRS/SEC but certainly I will call them within 24 hours to apprise the IRS/SEC of the situation and seek guidance and advice relative to the proper interpretations and whatever else may be just and proper.

Prior to doing so, however, I am once again offering you as a sound and proper business judgment matter an opportunity, a 24 hour opportunity measured from 9am Monday, April 06, 2009 EST to 9:am EST on Tuesday, April 07, 2009 time to turn these matters over to the CEO and Chairman of the Board and have them call me within such time to address first if you should continue to handle these matters in light of the possible FASB issues and two if they would like to have the business discussion you failed to even desire to hear, in your repeated statements that in your opinion this was not a priority of yours at the time. FASB would point to the time of liabilities beginning when SGI was aware of the Intellectual Properties in 1999 and the royalties that would be due under licensing agreements and other agreements for the technologies and additional reporting under FASB would point to the time that you and SGI were aware of the legal

Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements

liabilities resulting from the lawsuits and other actions filed in these matters, including your initial contact from myself.

Any reply to this communication is demanded to be by the CEO and Chairman only as you are again made aware the federal case has been called a MURDER case by Judge Shira Scheindlin and one of Patent Theft and Car Bombings, certainly we anticipate that with matters as serious of these, with liabilities over the top each liability must be reported to the top senior executives and board members of SGI, if not state and federal accountancy boards and any liability carriers and anything short will prompt immediate actions on our part to inform those at risk and those in charge of investigating such failures of disclosure and other possible criminal activities.

Further, I have attached for your convenience and completion of Due Diligence some selected article links, which have direct and/or related relevance to the matters herein.

Regretfully Yours,

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Iviewit Technologies, Inc.

ARTICLE LINKS:

1. Today's Article March 5, 2009 on Request to US Attorney General Holder for Special Prosecutor in NY Judicial and Ethics Scandals involving NYS First Department DDC and more;

<http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2009/03/us-attorney-general-eric-holder-asked.html>

2. Article Excerpt on Iviewit Patengate at Website Nov. 24, 2007:

The OPR investigation was sparked by a request from the DOJ - OIG, Inspector General Glenn Fine's Office whom is also conducting an ongoing investigation. The patent pending applications and other IP have been suspended by the Commissioner of Patents pending the outcome of ongoing state, federal and international investigations. The probe reaches some of New York's most prominent politicians and judges, and has already proven to be a stunning embarrassment to the State's ethics watchdog committees.

Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements

As a backdrop to the technologies in question, Mr. Bernstein's inventions, the Iviewit video scaling and image overlay systems, are the backbone, enabling technologies for the transmission of video and images across almost all transmission networks and viewable on all display devices, an elegant upstream solution (towards the content creator) of reconfiguring video frames to unlock bandwidth, processing, and storage constraints -- the "Holy Grail" inventions of the digital imaging and video worlds that enable low bandwidth video on the Internet and mobile phones."

Article Link: <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2007/11/press-release-november-23-2007-for.html>

3. Article Excerpt from "Justice Department Widens Patentgate Probe..." August 24, 2007:

This is quite serious," says an investigator close to the federal probe. "The charges allege that valuable 'back-bone enabling digital imaging technology'-- MPEG type intellectual property-- was stolen by the inventor's own attorneys, the once-untouchable Manhattan based law firm Proskauer Rose. This is going to get very ugly," he says.

I know how," says a retired federal agent who asked not to be identified. "Phone calls were made—many phone calls. Plain and simple." And while this retired federal agent isn't surprised by the apparent "cover-up," he is alarmed by his own findings after a month-long independent review of all submitted Iviewit papers. "I can't find one discrepancy in the allegations, not one unsubstantiated charge," he says.

The powers that be can't contain this story anymore—it's out, U.S. Senators and Congressman are talking about it. This involves national Commerce issues: attorneys stealing U.S. Patents from their own client, and the illegal failings of a state's ethics agency by its own cover-up, and selective, self-dealing, politically-based inaction. Patentgate appears to have exposed the true, and troubling, underbelly of ethics investigations in New York State. And it's not pretty.

**Earlier this year, FBI headquarters in Washington, D.C. assigned additional agents to the Public Integrity Corruption squad at 26 Federal Plaza in Manhattan, and where agents have been actively conducting interviews. **

Article Link: <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2007/08/justice-dept-widens-patentgate-probe.html>

4. Article Excerpt from "NY Ethics Scandal Tied to International Espionage Scheme"; April 1, 2008;

Re: Follow Up of March 18, 2009 and March 31, 2009 Phone Discussions; Responsible Business Judgments; Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5 Accounting for Contingencies - Reporting Requirements

The evidence in the corporate eavesdropping cover-up "is frightening," according to an informed source who has reviewed the volumes of documentation. The espionage scheme, he says, is directly tied to the growing state bar ethics scandal at the Appellate Division First Department, Departmental Disciplinary Committee (DDC) in Manhattan.

Article Link: <http://exposecorruptcourts.blogspot.com/2008/04/ny-ethics-scandal-tied-to-international.html>