

*Iviewit Technologies, Inc. (fka) Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – Del.

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. (fka) Uview.com, Inc. – Del.

*Iviewit Holdings, Inc. - Fla.

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. - Fla.

Iviewit, Inc. – Fla.

* I.C., Inc. (fka) Iviewit.com, Inc. – Fla.

Iviewit.com LLC – Del.

Iviewit.com, Inc. - Fla.

Iviewit.com, Inc. - Del

Iviewit LLC. - Del.

*Iviewit Corporation

*Indicates companies where ownership is currently under federal and state investigations. Eliot I. Bernstein President, Founder & Inventor Direct Dial: 561.364.4240

PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Diana Maxfield Kearse State of New York Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts Renaissance Plaza 335 Adams Street – Suite 2400 Brooklyn, NY 11201-3745

Re: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Kenneth Rubenstein Docket No.: T-1688-04

Raymond A. Joao

Docket No.: T-1690-04

Steven C. Krane

Docket No.: T-1689-04
Diana Maxfield Kearse
Inquiry # - TBD
Lawrence DiGiovanna
Inquiry # - TBD

Dear Ms. Kearse:

In response to your letter that was lost in the mail dated March 18, 2005, again we find that you attempt to violate the court ordered "INVESTIGATION" of Steven



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 2 of 20

C. Krane, Kenneth Rubenstein and Raymond A. Joao, in a further attempt to subterfuge the complaints and disregard a court ordered mandate for **INVESTIGATION**, Exhibit "A". Most remarkable is that your letter imparts that investigations were "commenced" by the First Department, which is a materially false and misleading statement. Material in that it appears to claim that investigations were conducted by the First Department and then subsequently reviewed by your committee, attempting to shine a light of vindication on Krane, Rubenstein and Joao. Where this statement is factually false in that no formal or informal investigation has ever been conducted, it acts as further reason for your removal from these matters, in addition to your already admitted and concealed conflicts with Krane.

In fact, in attempting to elude the court mandated "INVESTIGATION", your department now claims that there was an investigation started in these matters by First Department and that the Committee reviewed and made decisions based upon such investigation. This is both ridiculous and untrue. The **truth** is, that First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee was found to have acted inappropriately with Steven C. Krane representing both himself and his Proskauer Rose partner Kenneth Rubenstein while maintaining positions with that department concurrently and additionally violating his duties as former past president of the New York State Bar Association. In fact, the confirmed conflict, by Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of the Court, First Department and Thomas Cahill, was so obvious and a violation of the

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 3 of 20

departmental rules as cited in the complaints, as to cause them to be transferred out of the conflict after review by a panel of five Justices of the First Department. After the Justices' review of the matters, it was sent for formal "INVESTIGATION" to your department to avoid further conflict and yet we find further and further evidence of

conflicts and disregard for the laws of the state of New York at your department.

Up to that point, the conflict and abuses of public office by Krane had been effective in preventing a formal investigation into the matters. In fact, per the First Departments' letters of September 2, 2003, Exhibit "B", it is clear that the matters were put on hold (in another letter that got lost in the mail for months) and no investigation was ever conducted or even begun. This letter clearly indicates that **no** investigation was done up to that point, and when the letter deferment letter was finally received by the company, since the civil litigation had ended and had not dealt with any of the issues filed in the attorney disciplinary actions, an investigation by Cahill was about to be initiated. Prior to initiation of that investigation, the conflicts were uncovered regarding Krane and his conduct in violation of the rules of the New York Code Rules and Regulations - Part 605 - Rules And Procedures Of The Departmental Disciplinary Committee ("605") and Part 603 - Attorney Conduct ("603").

Cahill had admitted that no investigation was ever conducted and at the time the conflicts were discovered he had stated that an investigation was going to be conducted and then he was forced to file a petition for removal of the complaints from First

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

stated in the court ordered mandate.

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 4 of 20

Department, citing conflict and appearance of impropriety in his petition. It was at that point that the case was filed formally as a petition with the First Department, and then after careful and thorough review of the materials the Justices ordered the file be transferred for "INVESTIGATION". After they reviewed the matters they deemed that "INVESTIGATION" was necessary by your department, since the First Department was then in a conflict of interest with the appearance of impropriety, as

In fact, the following letter authored by Steven Krane representing both himself and Rubenstein in complaints filed against them, is factually made while Krane holds a position as Referee of the First Department, Exhibit "C".

It thus behooves one to question, what "investigation" you and the Committee reviewed in these matters as stated in your letter, when one has never been conducted? Therefor the basis of your conclusions are without merit and defy logic and further attempt to ignore the First Department court ordered investigation. Without conducting the court ordered **INVESTIGATION**, and where none has ever been formally conducted by any department, reveals false claims are being asserted in your letter. It is interesting to note how you now try to negate your obligations to **INVESTIGATE**, by stating that you are relying on an investigation that has been never done, which is why after review of the matters by the First Department Justices, your



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 5 of 20

department was ordered to begin and complete an **INVESTIGATION** which it has failed to undertake.

This would typically seem remarkable, yet after your attempt to side-step the investigation of Krane using a similar subterfuge tactic, which led to formal complaints being filed against both yourself (for an admitted conflict amongst other charges) and the Chairman, DiGiovanna. We find your letter regarding Rubenstein and Joao par for the conflicted course and a continuation of the conflicts and appearances of impropriety found at the First Department now carried over to your department. Again, we find your department further aiding and abetting Krane, who was clearly caught in conflict, attempting to shield him and the others from court ordered formal and procedural investigation under due process of law. Where it has been evidenced that these attorneys remain protected by their close affiliations to Chief Judge Judith Kaye, including her husband, Stephen Kaye and Stephen Krane, both partners in the accused law firms of Proksuaer Rose LLP. In fact, evidence shows that Judith Kaye has vested interests in the matters herself and is an owner of Iviewit companies shares, along with Krane and her husband. This further prevents fair and impartial due process from occurring due to the fact that Krane and Kaye are figureheads at the NYSBA and Departmental Disciplinary Committees of the state of New York. From your own admission, you have admitted a conflict in yet undisclosed and concealed ways with Krane. It is fascinating to note that when you admitted conflict with Krane, you asked

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 6 of 20

that the company request you disclose the conflicts in writing for you to respond to,

which we did several months ago. Yet, you still fail to address those issues prior to

continued efforts to aid and abet Krane, Rubenstein and Joao.

In fact, it again leaves one scratching ones head as to how exactly you or

Second Departmental Disciplinary Chairman, Lawrence DiGiovanna are still involved

in these matters. Where you have already admitted conflicts with Krane and formal

complaints were filed against you that remain un-docketed and as yet unresolved. More

fascinating to note is the disregard for the rules of 605, which require that you should

have docketed the complaints against yourself and DiGiovanna, that seem to have gone

un-docketed, all quite inapposite the laws of the state of New York. Where you now

have a formal complaint lodged against you that should, according to department rules,

be turned over to a special prosecutor for immediate investigation, you have done

nothing according to formal procedure. Again, your department defies the rules, in

fact, breaks the rules and laws of the state of New York, in an attempt to cover up the

matters with these frivolous letters imparting that you have done something in these

matters. We cite the violations under:

605.6 (2) Other Situations. In the case of an allegation of misconduct originating in

the Court or the Committee, or upon the initiative of the Office of Chief Counsel, the

writing reflecting the allegation shall be treated as a Complaint.



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 7 of 20

Your letter is further amiss, in that you attempt to state that after your review of investigation that never took place, you and your committee, decided that the matters were better suited for a court of law. Whether the totality of the matters are ever tried in a civil court of law by the companies and their shareholders or not, would not relinquish your obligations to investigate matters whereby members of the First Department (even Steven C. Krane) are found to have violated public office positions and acted in conflict. Krane, having acted in conflict on both behalf of complaints against himself and Rubenstein is not above the law and yet your department attempts to shield him from the court ordered **INVESTIGATION** and continue to try and imply that investigations have been done or that your reviews instead of investigations, have concluded something without formal investigation.

We therefor request clarification as to the Committee's decision as to what court of law they refer to in your letter, to handle the attorney complaint violations, that a court of law, the First Department, has ordered you to investigate. These attorney complaints have been clearly cited as violations of attorney disciplinary matters and departmental misconduct issues, citing specific codes under 605 and 603 that are under your jurisdiction and the rules that you are supposed to be enforcing. The issues you have been ordered by the First Department to investigate are matters involving attorney disciplinary violations and have nothing to do with any civil actions the company and its shareholders may have for the myriad of other issues involved. In fact, it was our



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 8 of 20

understanding that you are mandated under the rules, to file suit against members found in violations of the rules and abuses of public office, for formal disposition on behalf of the public. Your committee admits that the substantive other issues non-related to these additional disciplinary matters, such as fraud on a host of government agencies including the United States Patent and Trademark Offices and the Small Business Administration, by these attorneys, was a matter for another court. This admission and attempt to move the matters from your department to another venue, despite a court order to **INVESTIGATE**, does not and would not eliminate the need for the attorneys to also be disciplined according to formal procedural law under 605 and 603 by your department for those attorney disciplinary violations cited. Certainly, the Committee cannot be claiming that the companies and its shareholders are to bring charges for violations of these codes that are under your jurisdiction, as we do not feel that we have any legal power to do so, and as this is your responsibility under laws of New York and a First Department court order. In support of our claim we cite:

§ 605.9 (b) (1) Abatement of Investigation - Matters Involving Related Pending Civil Litigation or Criminal Matters.

General Rule. The processing of complaints involving material allegations which are substantially similar to the material allegations of pending criminal or civil litigation need not be deferred pending determination of such litigation.

and,

605.6 (f) (1) Action Following Recommendation.

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 9 of 20

1. No Jurisdiction. If the Office of Chief Counsel determines that the Complaint should be referred under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, it shall notify the complainant and the Respondent (if previously notified of the Complaint) of such disposition in writing and close the file on the matter. Whenever possible in cases of lack of jurisdiction, the Office of Chief Counsel shall bring the matter to the attention of the authorities of the appropriate jurisdiction, or to any other duly constituted body which may be able to provide a forum for the consideration of the Grievances, and shall advise the Complainant of such referral.

Under 605.6 (f) (1), since your committee deems the matters appropriate before a court of law, we ask what the Committee has done to notify the appropriate court of law, and any other "duly constituted body which may be able to provide a forum for the consideration of the Grievances" of the matters they are referring to in your letter, in the state of New York or elsewhere. We request the Committee advise on exactly who should bring the charges of attorney disciplinary misconduct and what court they are referring such party(ies) to and by what authority they are refusing to conduct a court ordered investigation.

As I am sure you are familiar with the rules in 605 and 603 although you blatantly disregard them, each violation of them will be met with formalized complaints against those involved for further failing to follow procedure and protocol. We are unclear as to how, or what rules, the Committee acts under in making decisions in these matters, especially with you still involved, without fully investigating and disposing of the complaint filed against you personally first. Where in accordance with the rules of

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005 Page 10 of 20

605, a formal complaint has been filed against you that appears to have vaporized, quite

inapposite the rules of 605, which require formal docketing, review and disposition.

Similarly, it appears that the same holds true for the complaint against DiGiovanna,

where it has mysteriously disappeared without formal docketing, review or due process

under the law. Where it states under 605:

§ 605.6 (g) (1)(2) Investigations and Informal Proceedings

Preliminary Screening of Complaints. Any complaint received by the Office of Chief Counsel against a member of the Committee or Staff counsel involving alleged misconduct shall be transmitted forthwith to the Committee Chairperson, who shall assign it either to the Office of Chief Counsel or to special counsel who shall

1. conduct or direct the appropriate investigation, and

2. give a written recommendation as to the disposition of the Complaint to the

Committee Chairperson, who shall determine the appropriate disposition of the

Complaint. Any such Complaint which relates to the Committee Chairperson shall,

in the first instance, be transmitted to a Hearing Panel Chairperson, who shall

conduct the appropriate investigation and determine the appropriate disposition of

the Complaint.

Quite clearly, as in the matter of Thomas C. Cahill, Inquiry #2004-1122, Exhibit

"D", currently under review by special counsel Martin Gold, the complaints against

both yourself and Mr. DiGiovanna should have similarly been referred to special

counsel for investigation, unless you are claiming to operate under a different set of

rules and procedures, if so, please advise. The complaints against you and DiGiovanna

cite, including but not limited to your failure to; (i) follow the court ordered

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 11 of 20

investigation in the matters of Krane, Joao and Rubenstein, (ii) your lack of candor and

failure to disclose your relationships with Mr. Krane and Kaye prior to acting in these

matters, and (iii) your failure to properly docket complaints filed. Where months later,

no Inquiry number or special counsel has been assigned according to procedure, and yet

you remain involved as if the complaints did not exist and continue to act in these

matters with undisclosed and further concealed conflicts, this is highly unethical and

furthers the appearances of impropriety. We find your letter and the actions of the

Committee to be in complete violation of established protocol under 605, and an

attempt to distance your department from the matters and avoid formal due process

under the law.

We do not object to the elevation of the matters of Krane, Joao and Rubenstein

being transferred to the Court of Appeals or whatever the next highest level of review

under 605 mandates, void of conflict. Yet, this still does not negate your failing to

follow the rules under 605 and despite your attempt to obfuscate the matters and

dispose of them without following the rules under 605, this cannot and will not be

tolerated.

Therefore, as we have done for now several months, we again formally request

that complaints against both you and Mr. DiGiovanna be formally filed and disposed of

according to the law under 605 and disposed of according to laws of the state of New

York following proper procedure and protocol. We demand that your department



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 12 of 20

conduct a formal and thorough INVESTIGATION of all matters transferred for "INVESTIGATION" to your department in the complaints against Krane, Joao and Rubenstein. To date, we have called the department over twenty-five times to ascertain that procedure has been followed and all the complaints formally recorded, and we have been met by excuse after excuse, for not providing formal inquiry numbers into the matters for the complaints on you and DiGiovanna. As we will be approaching the United States Supreme Court and federal and state authorities with these matters, to further illustrate the denial of due process under law, we request that all complaints formally be docketed according to procedure on all complaints. We also request a full disclosure on all committee members who participated in the decision stated in your letter so that further complaints may be filed against all members who based their conclusion on an "investigation" at the First Department that was never conducted and furthers the appearance of impropriety already evidenced in these matters. We also ask that the Presiding Justice A. Gail Prudenti, of the Appellate Division be pre-screened for conflicts before involvement, as we have already indicated that her relationships with Kaye and Krane may also present further conflicts due to information that surfaced and has already been transferred to your office. This game of "hot potato", where the matters are continuously subterfuged from one conflicted member of the department to the next, all having close personal and professional ties with the accused, Proskauer Rose and Kaye, will not be tolerated. Formal complaints will be forthcoming against

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 13 of 20

every conflicted member that is involved with aiding and abetting these matters, and

further aiding and abetting a host of federal, state and international crimes, Exhibit "E",

and denying due process under the laws of the state of New York.

Where both Krane and Kaye have vested and current roles in the rule creation

and enforcement policies of New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Departments and

also have vested interests in these matters, which could put Mr. Krane and Ms. Kaye's

husband in federal prisons for lengthy stays and cause catastrophic ruins to Proskauer

Rose, LLP, we ask that rules cited in these matters be the rules that were in force at the

time the events took place. In light of the overwhelming potential for further

wrongdoings through wordsmithing and changes to the rules of 605 and 603, by those

with vested interest in the outcome of the matters; we ask that all rules cited herein and

for use by your Committee, be used as a reference only to the 605 and 603 rules that

existed before 1998 when Proskauer met Iviewit.

We also ask that under the rules of 605, as it appears that you are obligated to

follow your own rules, be followed from this point forward and that these and all

matters now with your department, be elevated to the next highest level of review. This

time it would be remarkable if it were void of conflicts. Where screening for conflicts

should take place before any decisions attempting to exculpate Krane, Rubenstein,

Joao, DiGiovanna and yourself from due process under the law and deny formal and

procedural investigations. That conflict waivers should be secured prior to any further



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 14 of 20

actions by anyone. Again, we reiterate that a court of law composed of five Justices, after review of the entirety of the matters, determined and ordered that your department conduct "INVESTIGATIONS", nothing short will suffice. No matter how your department now tries to skin the cat, or wordsmith around the word "INVESTIGATION" to protect Krane and Kaye, until investigations are formally conducted into the matters, you remain in violation of a court ordered mandate.

Your attempts to bury the complaints against yourself inapposite the rules, and continue to act in matters that you admit conflict to on the one hand, and then refuse to reveal your exact conflicts with Krane and Kaye, also appears to violate and defy the rules of 605 and may act as violations of the criminal code of New York as well. We are transmitting to the New York District attorney this correspondence as a request to begin investigation into all of the continued misconduct by your department. In order to facilitate their investigation we will need the inquiry numbers for the complaints filed against you and DiGiovanna. We also ask that this letter serve as a request to transfer copies of the entirety of the files to the District Attorney's office to Mr. Leroy Frazier on behalf of Robert Morgenthau's office and copies to Eliot Spitzer's office c/o Maribel his secretary. Include copies of all complaints with your offices and prior files of the First Department; also, include an inventoried list of the documents in your possession and documents reviewed by the Committee. The company has spoken to both offices and apprised them that we would be contacting them to investigate the

Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005 Page 15 of 20

matters based on your previous refusal to follow the court ordered investigation but we

awaited this formal acknowledgement by your offices.

Finally, let this letter serve as a formal complaint against each member of the

Committee that took part in the review for the reasons stated herein, for attorney

misconduct under 603 and 605 and further aiding and abetting those charged. We

anticipate that your department will file each complaint separately and assign formal

docket or inquiry numbers for them according to the laws of the state of New York

under 605.

With best regards,

Eliot I. Bernstein

President

Iviewit Technologies, Inc.

Eliot Spitzer cc:

Morgenthau

James Pelzer

Stephen Lucchesi

Governor

Select members of the press

Select Iviewit shareholders

Encls: Exhibits "A" thru "E"



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 16 of 20

EXHIBIT "A" - FIRST DEPARTMENT COURT ORDERED INVESTIGATION

Paul J. Curran, Esq. Chairman

HALIBURTON FALES, 2D., ESQ. HON. THOMAS B. GALLIGAN MARTIN R. GOLD, ESQ. DENIS MCINERNEY, ESQ. ROY L. REARDON, ESQ. STEPHEN L. WEINER, ESQ. SPECIAL COUNSEL

LAWRENCE J. BANKS SALLY W. BERG DR. JANE EISNER BRAM Douglas W. Brandrup, Esq. CHRISTOPHER E. CHANG. ESO. ANN J. CHARTERS BRIAN M. COGAN, Esq. LISA D. CORRELL DENIS F. CRONIN, ESQ. CHERYL DAVIS, Esq. TELESFORO DEL VALLE JR., ESQ. CHARLES E. DORKEY III, Esq. PAUL F. DOYLE, Eso. PATRICIA FARREN, ESQ. STEVEN N. FEINMAN, Esq. Rosalind S. Fink, Esq. CHARLOTTE MOSES FISCHMAN, Esq. MARANDA E. FRITZ, ESQ. WILLIAM A. GALLINA, Eso. PAUL G. GARDEPHE, ESQ. ALFERD G. GEROSA ROBERT L. HAIG, ESQ. WILLIAM E. HAMMOND, ESQ. SUSAN M. KARTEN, ESQ. JOHN J. KENNEY, Esq. DAVID G. KEYKO, Eso. MYRON KIRSCHBAUM, ESQ. LENORE KRAMER, ESQ. WILLIAM FRANCIS KUNTZ II, ESQ. DEBORAH E. LANS, ESQ. MARVIN LEFFLER BURTON N. LIPSHIE, ESQ. HENRIETTA LYLE MARY B. MAGUIRE CHARLES C. MARINO
DOUGLASS B. MAYNARD, ESQ.
LAWRENCE D. MCGOVERN, ESQ. CHARLES G. MOERDLER, ESO. MATHIAS E. MONE, ESQ MERCEDES A. NESFIELD JANE W. PARVER, ESQ. ANTHONY M. RADICE, ESQ. ANDREW W. REGAN, ESQ. TIMOTHY G. REYNOLDS, Esq. MICHAEL J. ROSENBERG AUGUSTIN J. SAN FILIPPO, ESQ. SAMUEL W. SEYMOUR, ESQ. DANIEL E. SIFF, ESQ. MARIAN E. SILBER, ESQ. EUGENE P. SOUTHER, ESQ. JOHN L. WARDEN, ESQ. ERIC J. WARNER, ESQ. SUSAN WELSHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS

THOMAS J. CAHILL CHIEF COUNSEL

SHERRY K. COHEN
FIRST DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ANDRAL N. BRATTON
DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON ANGELA CHRISTMAS NICOLE CORRADO KEVIN P. CULLEY JORGE DOPICO MADY J. EDELSTEIN JEREMY S. GARBER NAOMI F. GOLDSTEIN JOSEPH J. HESTER ROBERTA N. KOLAR JUN HWA LEE VITALY LIPKANSKY STEPHEN P. MCGOLDRICK BIANCA MICHELIS KEVIN E.F. O'SULLIVAN JAMES T. SHED EILEEN J. SHIELDS JUDITH N. STEIN RAYMOND VALLEJO LA TRISHA A. WILSON STAFF COUNSEL

DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION

FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT 61 BROADWAY NEW YORK, N.Y. 10006

> (212) 401-0800 Fax: (212) 401-0810

RECEIVED

By Eliot I. Bernstein at 3:50 pm, 9/12/04

September 7, 2004

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Honorable James Pelzer Clerk of the Court Supreme Court, Appellate Division Second Judicial Department 45 Monroe Place Brooklyn, New York 11201

> Re: Matter of Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. - 2003.0531 Matter of Raymond A. Joao, Esq. - 2003.0532 Matter of Steven C. Krane, Esq. - 2004.1883

Dear Mr. Pelzer:

The above referenced complaints were filed with the Committee and involve the representation of one of the respondents by an attorney who also serves as a Departmental Disciplinary Committee referee. Consequently, to avoid an appearance of impropriety the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, has transferred the matters to your Court for assignment to a grievance committee that you deem appropriate.

As a result, I am forwarding herein copies of the Orders, complaints, and related documents, and respectfully request that you submit the matters to a grievance committee in your Department for whatever action they deem fit and proper.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

tha & Calill

Thomas J. Cahill

TJC/nkd Encls:

cc: Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq.

Raymond A. Joao, Esq. Steven C. Krane, Esq.

Eliot I Bernstein & P. Stephen Lamont

I:\Tjc\2004\pelzer4.wpd

RECEIVED

By Eliot I. Bernstein at 3:50 pm, 9/12/04

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION : FIRST DEPARTMENT

In the Matter of an Attorney and Counselor-at-Law:

M-3198

NOTICE OF ENTRY

Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department,

Petitioner.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the within is a certified copy of an unpublished order and decision duly made in this proceeding and duly entered and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department, on the 11th day of August, 2004.

DATED: New York, New York

September 7, 2004 Yours, etc.,

THOMAS J. CAHILL Chief Counsel Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department 61 Broadway - 2nd Floor New York, NY 10006 (212) 401-0800

RECEIVED

By Eliot I. Bernstein at 3:50 pm, 9/12/04

To: Steven C. Krane, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
1585 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

Eliot I. Bernstein
P. Stephen Lamont
Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
10158 Stonehenge Circle, Suite 801
Boynton Beach, Florida 33437

I:\Tjc\2004\Krane.ne.wpd

By Eliot I. Bernstein at 3:51 pm, 9/12/04

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on August 11, 2004.

PRESENT: Hon. Angela M. Mazzarelli, Justice Presiding,

Richard T. Andrias

David B. Saxe David Friedman Luis A. Gonzalez,

Justices.

Mate Division, Suprame County First Department

In the Matter of an Attorney and Counselor-at-Law:

UNPUBLISHED ORDER M-3198

Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department,

Petitioner.

The Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department, by Thomas J. Cahill, its Chief Counsel, having moved this Court on August 2, 2004, for an order granting movant permission to transfer the investigation and disposition of a complaint under Docket Number 1883/04 to a Grievance Committee in another Judicial Department, or to any other disciplinary jurisdiction this Court deems appropriate,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon, it is unanimously

Ordered that the motion is granted and the complaint under Docket Number 1883/04 is transferred to the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department, for investigation and disposition.

ENTER:

APPELLATE DIVISION SUPREME COURT FIRST DEPARTMENT

STATE OF NEW YORK

I, CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE, Clerk of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court First Judicial Department, do hereby certify that I have compared this copy with the original thereof filed in said office on ______ and that the same is a correct transcript thereof, and of the whole of said original IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

RECEIVED

By Eliot I. Bernstein at 3:51 pm, 9/12/04

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST DEPARTMENT

M-2820

In the Matter of an Attorney and

M-3212

Counselor-at-Law:

NOTICE OF ENTRY

Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department,

Petitioner.

. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the within is a certified copy of an unpublished order and decision duly made in this proceeding and duly entered and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department, on the 11th day of August, 2004.

DATED: New York, New York

September 7, 2004 Yours, etc.,

THOMAS J. CAHILL Chief Counsel Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department 61 Broadway - 2nd Floor New York, NY 10006 (212) 401-0800

To: Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. c/o Steven C. Krane, Esq. Proskauer Rose 1585 Broadway New York, New York 10036

> Raymond A. Joao, Esq. c/o John Fried, Esq. Fried & Epstein, LLP 1350 Broadway, Suite 1400 New York, New York 10018

Eliot I. Bernstein P. Stephen Lamont Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 10158 Stonehenge Circle, Suite 801 Boynton Beach, Florida 33437

I:\Tjc\2004\Krane2.ne.wpd

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court held in and for the First Judicial Department in the County of New York on August 11, 2004.

PRESENT: Hon. Angela M. Mazzarelli,

Richard T. Andrias

David B. Saxe
David Friedman
Luis A. Gonzalez,

Justices.

Justice Presid

Profess Bioleton, Supreme Court
Facility Street

In the Matter of an Attorney and Counselor-at-Law:

Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department,

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

M-2820 M-3212

Petitioner.

----X

The Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department, by Thomas J. Cahill, its Chief Counsel, having moved this Court on July 12, 2004, for an order granting movant permission to transfer the investigation and disposition of complaints under Docket Numbers 531 and 532/03 to a Grievance Committee in another Judicial Department, or to any other disciplinary jurisdiction this Court deems appropriate (M-2820),

And the motion papers executed by Eliot I. Bernstein and P. Stephen Lamont, dated July 8, 2004, seeking immediate investigation of complaints against certain specified attorneys, the striking of the Departmental Disciplinary Committee's motion, and for related relief, having been deemed a cross motion (M-3212),

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon, it is unanimously

Ordered that the motion is granted and the complaints under Docket Numbers 531/03 and 532/02 are transferred to the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department, for investigation and disposition. The cross motion is granted only to the extent of transferred to the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department, for investigation and disposition. The cross motion is granted only to the extent of transferred to the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department, for investigation and disposition. The cross motion is granted only to the extent of transferred to the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department, for investigation and disposition.

1. CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE. Clerk of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court First Judicial Department, do hereby certify that I have compared this copy with the original thereof filed in said office on ______ and that the same is a correct transcript thereof, and of the whole of said original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this Court

DEI OTT CLERK

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe CLERK



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 17 of 20

EXHIBIT "B" –
SEPTEMBER 2, 2003 FIRST DEPARTMENT LETTERS PUTTING JOAO, KRANE AND RUBENSTEIN ON HOLD PENDING CIVIL LITIGATION

Paul J. Curran, Esq. Chairman

HALIBURTON FALES, 2D., ESQ. HON. THOMAS B. GALLIGAN MARTIN R. GOLD, ESQ. DENIS MCINERNEY, ESQ. ROY L. REARDON, ESQ. STEPHEN L. WEINER, ESQ. SPECIAL COUNSEL

LAWRENCE J. BANKS SALLY W. BERG Douglas W. Brandrup, Esq. CHRISTOPHER E. CHANG, ESQ. ANN J. CHARTERS BRIAN M. COGAN, ESQ. DENIS F. CRONIN, ESQ. TELESFORO DEL VALLE JR., ESO. CHARLES E. DORKEY III. Eso. PAUL F. DOYLE, Esq. JUSTIN E. DRISCOLL III, ESQ. PATRICIA FARREN, ESQ. MARION T. ETHEREDGE STEVEN N. FEINMAN, ESQ. ROSALIND S. FINK, ESQ. CHARLOTTE MOSES FISCHMAN, ESQ. BEATRICE S. FRANK, Esq. MARANDA E. FRITZ, ESQ. WILLIAM A. GALLINA, Esq. ROBERT L. HAIG, ESQ. RICHARD J. HOLWELL, Esq. SUSAN M. KARTEN, ESQ. JOHN J. KENNEY, ESQ. DAVID G. KEYKO, Esq. MYRON KIRSCHBAUM, ESQ. LENORE KRAMER, ESQ. WILLIAM FRANCIS KUNTZ II, ESQ. MICHAEL A. LACHER, ESQ. DEBORAH E. LANS, ESQ. MARVIN LEFFLER BURTON N. LIPSHIE, ESQ. HENRIETTA LYLE MARY B. MAGUIRE CHARLES C. MARINO DOUGLASS B. MAYNARD, ESQ. LAWRENCE D. McGovern, Esq. CHARLES G. MOERDLER, ESQ. MATHIAS E. MONE, ESQ. MERCEDES A. NESFIELD JANE W. PARVER, ESQ. ANTHONY M. RADICE, ESQ. ANDREW W. REGAN, ESQ. TIMOTHY G. REYNOLDS, Esq. MICHAEL J. ROSENBERG REUBEN SAMUEL, ESQ. AUGUSTIN J. SAN FILIPPO, ESQ. SAMUEL W. SEYMOUR, ESQ. DANIEL E. SIFF, ESQ. MARIAN E. SILBER, ESQ. EUGENE P. SOUTHER, ESQ. JOHN L. WARDEN, ESQ. ERIC J. WARNER, ESQ. SUSAN WELSHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS

THOMAS J. CAHILL
CHIEF COUNSEL

SHERRY K. COHEN
FIRST DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ANDRAL N. BRATTON
DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON ROBERTA N. BERKWITS ANGELA CHRISTMAS NICOLE CORRADO JORGE DOPICO MADY J. EDELSTEIN JEREMY S. GARBER NAOMI F. GOLDSTEIN JOSEPH J. HESTER JUN HWA LEE VITALY LIPKANSKY STEPHEN P. McGOLDRICK JAMES T. SHED EILEEN J. SHIELDS JUDITH N. STEIN RAYMOND VALLEJO LA TRISHA WILSON STAFF COUNSEL

DEPA ENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTE
SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION
FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
61 BROADWAY

61 BROADWAY NEW YORK, N.Y. 10006

(212) 401-0800 Fax: (212) 401-0810 Not received by Iviewit until January 2004, due to missing Apartment number on letter. First letter ever missing the correct address.

September 2, 2003

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Eliot I. Bernstein
IVIEWIT #80(
10158 Stonehenge Circle
Boynton Beach, FL 33437

First Dept states that letter was returned because address was incorrect. Who wrote this, Joseph Wigley.



Re: Matter of Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. Docket No. 2003.0531

Dear Mr. Bernstein:

As you know, there is pending litigation concerning the same or related facts which you have alleged here. We have found that a judicial resolution of such matters is helpful to the Committee. Accordingly, we have decided to close our investigation at this time.

The Committee arrived at this determination after the case was submitted to a member of the Committee, an independent board of lawyers and non-lawyers appointed by the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department. The Committee member concluded that we should await the conclusion of the litigation. We request, however, that you inform the Committee of any court decision or other event which may warrant an immediate investigation by the Committee.

Who is the mystery Committee member? The civil case by the time we received this letter had been closed for months, Cahill states he is re-opening cases now that civil is complete and did not deal with any of the issues other than billing.

TJC:adp/P:JGW/A:ANB

D-PL/C(F475/TB520)

Cahill is fully aware that civil case is not dealing with any issues other than billing and that it is concluded. Aware that countercomplaint was not heard or tried on technicality and that Proskauer had won through a strange default judgement.

Very truly yours,

Cahill

Cahill cc's no one??? There are no filing date stamps or marks either, is this procedurally correct?

Paul J. Curran, Esq. Chairman

HALIBURTON FALES, 2D., ESQ. HON. THOMAS B. GALLIGAN MARTIN R. GOLD, ESQ. DENIS MCINERNEY, ESQ. ROY L. REARDON, ESQ. STEPHEN L. WEINER, ESQ. SPECIAL COUNSEL

LAWRENCE J. BANKS SALLY W. BERG DOUGLAS W. BRANDRUP, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER E. CHANG, Esq. ANN J. CHARTERS BRIAN M. COGAN, ESQ. DENIS F. CRONIN. ESQ. TELESFORO DEL VALLE JR., Eso. CHARLES E. DORKEY III. Esq. PAUL F. DOYLE, Esq. JUSTIN E. DRISCOLL III, ESQ. PATRICIA FARREN, Esq. MARION T. ETHEREDGE STEVEN N. FEINMAN, ESQ. ROSALIND S. FINK, ESQ. CHARLOTTE MOSES FISCHMAN, ESQ. BEATRICE S. FRANK, ESQ. MARANDA E. FRITZ, ESQ. WILLIAM A. GALLINA, ESQ. ROBERT L. HAIG, ESQ. RICHARD J. HOLWELL, ESQ. SUSAN M. KARTEN, ESQ. JOHN J. KENNEY, Esq. DAVID G. KEYKO, ESQ. MYRON KIRSCHBAUM, Esq. LENORE KRAMER, ESQ. WILLIAM FRANCIS KUNTZ II, ESQ. MICHAEL A. LACHER, Esq. DEBORAH E. LANS, ESQ. MARVIN LEFFLER BURTON N. LIPSHIE, Esq. HENRIETTA LYLE MARY B. MAGUIRE CHARLES C. MARINO DOUGLASS B. MAYNARD, ESQ. LAWRENCE D. McGovern, Esq. CHARLES G. MOERDLER, ESQ. MATHIAS E. MONT ESQ. MERCEDES A. NESFIELD JANE W. PARVER, ESQ. ANTHONY M. LADICE, Esq. ANDREW W. REGAN, Esq. TIMOT IY G. REYNOLDS, Esq. MICHAEL J. ROSENBERG REUBEN SAMUEL, ESQ. AUGUSTIN J. SAN FILIPPO, ESQ. SAMUEL W. SEYMOUR, ESQ. DANIEL E. SIFF, ESQ. MARIAN E. SILBER, ESQ. EUGENE P. SOUTHER, ESQ. JOHN L. WARDEN, ESQ. ERIC J. WARNER, ESQ. SUSAN WELSHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS

THOMAS J. CAHILL

SHERRY K. COHEN
FIRST DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

ANDRAL N. BRATTON
DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

CHRISTINE C. ANDERSON ROBERTA N. BERKWITS ANGELA CHRISTMAS NICOLE CORRADO JORGE DOPICO MADY J. EDELSTEIN JEREMY S. GARBER NAOMI F. GOLDSTEIN JOSEPH J. HESTER JUN HWA LEE VITALY LIPKANSKY STEPHEN P. McGoldrick JAMES T. SHED EILEEN J. SHIELDS JUDITH N. STEIN RAYMOND VALLEJO LA TRISHA WILSON STAFF COUNSEL

DEPAIENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTE

SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION
FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
61 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10006

(212) 401-0800 Fax: (212) 401-0810 Not received by Iviewit until January 2004, due to missing Apartment number on letter. First letter ever missing the correct address.

September 2, 2003

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Eliot I. Bernstein
IVIEWIT # 801
10158 Stonehenge Circle
Boynton Beach, FL 33437

Re: Matter of Raymond A. Docket No. 2003.0532

Dear Mr. Bernstein:

First Dept states that letter was returned because address was incorrect. Who wrote this, Joseph Wigley.

vas
ed
se es was
ect. Who chis,

Joao, Esq.

Joao has nothing to do with Proskauer billing dispute, as he claims he is not with Proskauer. How do they merge?

As you know, there is pending litigation concerning the same or related facts which you have alleged here. We have found that a judicial resolution of such matters is helpful to the Committee. Accordingly, we have decided to close our investigation at this time.

The Committee arrived at this determination after the case was submitted to a member of the Committee, an independent board of lawyers and non-lawyers appointed by the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department. The Committee member concluded that we should await the conclusion of the litigation. We request, however, that you inform the Committee of any court decision or other event which may warrant an immediate investigation by the Committee.

Who is the mystery Committee member? The civil case by the time we received this letter had been closed for months, Cahill states he is re-opening cases now that civil is complete and did not deal with any of the issues other than billing.

₫.

Thomas J. Cahill

TJC:adp/I:JP/P:JGW/A:ANB

D-PL/C(F475/TB520)

Cahill is fully aware that civil case is not dealing with any issues other than billing and that it is concluded. Aware that countercomplaint was not heard or tried on technicality and that Proskauer had won through a strange default judgement.

Cahill cc's no one??? There are no filing date stamps or marks either, is this procedurally correct?



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 18 of 20

EXHIBIT "C" – KRANE CONFLICTED RESPONSE FOR COMPLAINT AGAINST RUBENSTEIN AND KRANE WHILE A REFEREE AT THE FIRST DEPARTMENT AND WHILE A MEMBER OF THE RULES COMMITTEES FOR NYSBA AND DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEES

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036-8299 Telephone 212.969.3000 Fax 212.969.2900 LOS ANGELES WASHINGTON BOCA RATON NEWARK PARIS

Steven C. Krane Member of the Firm

Direct Dial: 212.969.3435 skrane@proskauer.com

At this time, he has First Department positions and he responds to complaints against Rubenstein and himself, acting here as counsel. Conflict!!!!

May 21, 2004

By Facsimile and Mail

Thomas J. Cahill, Esq Chief Counsel Departmental Disciplinary Committee 61 Broadway New York, New York 10006

Re: Complaint of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. -- Docket No. 2003.0531

The proceedings had been deferred until Proskauer billing lawsuit terminated. Complainants were never informed that the matters had been deferred, as the deferral letter was supposedly lost in the mail, yeah right.

Dear Mr. Cahill:

I represented my partner, Kenneth Rubenstein, in connection with the complaint filed against him in March 2003 by Iviewit Holdings, Inc. That proceeding was closed pursuant to your letter of September 2, 2003.

Ivewit has now asked that the response I submitted on April 11, 2003 be stricken on the ground that I had a conflict of interest by virtue of my various position with the New York State Bar Association. Obviously, Iviewit is not aware that there is no connection between the Departmental Disciplinary Committee, which operates under the aegis of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, and the New York State Bar Association, which is a voluntary organization of lawyers. This confusion is not surprising, since the principals of Iviewit are from Florida, where it is the Florida Bar that investigates and disciplines lawyers.

Accordingly, I respectfully request that Iviewit's "Demand to Strike Response" be rejected and that any complaint against me arising out of my representation of Mr. Rubenstein be dismissed. I stand ready to provide the Committee with whatever additional information it may require in connection with this matter.

Yours very truly,

Steven C. Krane

In this paragraph, he represents as counsel Rubenstein and pro se for himself, forgetting to disclose his roles at the First Department, oops.

Krane fails to list even his currrent roles with First Department. Tries to hide behind NYSBA roles. Krane's NYSBA roles do conflict him. and there is connection between NYSBA and First Department in the rule creation and enforcement roles Krane maintains. But per Wolfe and Cahill he has current First

Lamont. Iviewit CFO is from New York and went to Columbia law. Bernstein is from Chicago, complaint against Krane for conflict is based on New York Law, nice insult.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Thomas J. Cahill, Esq May 21, 2004 Page 2

cc: Mr. Eliot Bernstein

Mr. P. Stephen Lamont



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 19 of 20

EXHIBIT "D" - CAHILL LETTER STARTING FORMAL INQUIRY UNDER NYCRR 605

SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION

FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE

Paul J. Curran, Esq. Chairman

61 BROADWAY NEW YORK, N.Y. 10006

June 23, 2004

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL -</u>
<u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u>

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Eliot I. Bernstein Founder I View It Technologies, Inc. 10158 Stonehenge Circle Suite 801 Boynton Beach, Florida 33437-3546

Re: Complaint Against Thomas J. Cahill

Dear Mr. Bernstein:

This is to inform you that, pursuant to the Court's Rules, I have referred your complaint against Thomas J. Cahill to Martin R. Gold.

Very truly yours,

Paul J. Cu/rran

PJC:ak

cc: Martin R. Gold, Esq.



Diana Maxfield Kearse

RE: COMPLAINTS FILED AT SECOND DEPARTMENT

Thursday, April 21, 2005

Page 20 of 20

EXHIBIT "E" - LIST OF FEDERAL, INTERNATIONAL AND STATE CRIMES

ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS AND LIST OF FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL CRIMES COMMITTED IN THE THEFT OF THE IVIEWIT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES

CURRENT STATE & FEDERAL INVESTIGATIONS	282
II. UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE INVESTIGATIONS:	282
III. NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST DEPARTM	
('FIRST DEPT") ACTIONS – CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCOVERED – STEVEN	
KRANE AND CHIEF JUDGE JUDITH S. KAYE	
IV. STATE OF NEW YORK GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR SECOND A	
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICTS	
V. FLORIDA SUPREME COURT CASE #SC04-1078 – ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN AND P.	. 203
STEPHEN LAMONT V. THE FLORIDA BAR	284
VI. FEDERAL SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION ("SBA") FRAUD	
VII. FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION ("FBI")	
VIII. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("SEC") AND THE BOCA RATON	. 200
POLICE DEPARTMENT ("BOCA PD")	287
IX. AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ("AICPA")	
X. VIRGINIA STATE BAR ("VSB")	
XI. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ("DOJ")	
XII. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE ("IRS")	
XIII. United States Copyright Office Investigation ("USCO")	
XIV. UNITED STATES FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY COURT FRAUD	
XV. FLORIDA JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION	
XVI. PENNSYLVANIA BAR	
XVII. INSURANCE FRAUD - AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP ("AIG")	
XVIII. DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA.	
XIX. EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE ("EPO") INVESTIGATIONS	
XX. JAPANESE PATENT OFFICE ("JPO") INVESTIGATION	
· /	
FEDERAL CRIMES	294
I. VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED INVENTOR RIGHT	S –
ARTICLE I, SECTION VIII, CLAUSE VIII THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION	. 294
ARTICLE I, SECTION VIII, CLAUSE VIII OF THE UNITED STATES	
CONSTITUTION	. 294
TITLE 18 PART I CH 13 Sec 241 Conspiracy against rights	
TITLE 18 PART I CH 13 Sec 245 Federally protected activities	
II VIOLATION OF THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT	

C	RIMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL)	
	TITLE 31. MONEY AND FINANCE SUBTITLE III. FINANCIAL	
	MANAGEMENT CHAPTER 37. CLAIMS SUBC III. CLAIMS AGAINST	Γ
	THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT	303
III.	ANTITRUST CIVIL PROCESS	303
	SECTION 2 OF THE SHERMAN ACT: THROUGH A COURSE OF	
	ANTICOMPETITIVE CONDUCT THAT MAINTAINED ITS PATENT	
	POOL SYSTEM OF MONOPOLY	308
	The Offense Of Monopolization	
	RELEVANT PRODUCT IN GEOGRAPHIC MARKET	
	MARKET STRUCTURE AND COMPETITIVE EFFECTS	
	THE NEED FOR PRELIMINARY RELIEF	
	Systems Market in Violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act	
	TITLE 15 CH 34 Sec 1312 Civil investigative demands	318
	TITLE 15 CH 34 Sec 1313 CUSTODIAN of documents, answers and	
	transcripts	
	product violates Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 2	
	RECORDS AND REPORTS	332
III.	VIOLATIONS OF RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT	222
OKG.	ANIZATIONS (RICO)	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1965 RICO VENUE AND PROCESS TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND	333
		226
	CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONs ("RICO").	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1962 (a) – RICO Prohibited activities TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 sEC 1962 (a) RICO	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (a) RICO	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO	
	title 18 part i ch 19 sec 1962 (d) RICO	
	LIST OF DAMAGES SUSTAINED: BY REASON OF THE VIOLATION	
	§ 1962, INDICATING THE AMOUNT FOR WHICH EACH DEFENDAN	
		348
	DESCRIPTION OF THE DIRECT CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN	
	THE ALLEGED INJURY AND THE VIOLATION OF THE RICO	. •
	STATUTE	349
	DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED INJURY TO BUSINESS OR	547
	PROPERTY	349
	DESCRIPTION OF THE FACTS SHOWING THE EXISTENCE OF THE	517
	ALLEGED CONSPIRACY IN VIOLATION OF U.S.C. § 1962(d)	357
	STATEMENT OF WHO IS EMPLOYED BY OR ASSOCIATED WITH T	
	ALLEGED ENTERPRISE, AND WHETHER THE SAME ENTITY IS BC	
	THE LIABLE "PERSON" AND THE "ENTERPRISE" UNDER U.S.C. §	
		357
	1962(c) DESCRIPTION OF THE ACQUISITION OR MAINTENANCE OF ANY	'
	INTEREST IN OR CONTROL OF THE ALLEGED ENTERPRISE IN	
	VIOLATION OF U.S.C. § 1962(b)	358
	DESCRIPTION OF BENEFITS, THE ALLEGED ENTERPRISE RECEIV	ES
	FROM THE ALLEGED PATTERN OF RACKETEERING	358

C	RIMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL	ı)
	DESCRIPTION OF ALLEGED RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE ACTIVITIES OF THE ENTERPRISE AND THE PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY.	250
	STATEMENT AND DESCRIPTION OF WHETHER PLAINTIFF IS	339
	ALLEGING THAT THE PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY	AND
	THE ENTERPRISE ARE SEPARATE OR HAVE MERGED INTO ON	
	ENTITY	
	DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED "ENTERPRISE"	360
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1964 RICO Civil remedies	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1968 RICO CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE	505
	DEMAND	364
IV.	CONSPIRACY	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO	2 0 0
	COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES	366
V.	SUPREME COURT AGENCY PUBLIC OFFICE ABUSE, SUPREME COURT OF NEW YO	
	LLATE DIVISION: FIRST DEPT AND THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA	-
	TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 21 Sec 401 - Power of court	368
VI.	RACKETEERING	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING Sec 1951 - INTERFEREN	CE
	WITH COMMERCE BY THREATS OR VIOLENCE	369
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1952 Interstate and fo	reign
	travel or transportation in aid of racketeering enterprises	370
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1956 Laundering of	
	monetary instruments.	371
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in mo	netary
	transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity	382
	TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 103 SEC. 2112 - Personal property of Uni	ted
	States.	
VII.	COMMERCE AND TRADE	385
	TITLE 15 CHAPTER 1 RELATING TO MONOPOLIES AND	
	COMBINATIONS IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE Sec. 1 - Trusts, etc., in	
	restraint of trade illegal; penalty.	
	TITLE 15 CHAPTER 1 Sec Monopolizing trade a felony; penalty	
	TITLE 15 CHAPTER 1 Sec. 6 - Forfeiture of property in transit	
	TITLE 15 CHAPTER 1 Sec 6a - Conduct involving trade or commerce w	
	foreign nations.	
	TITLE 15 CHAPTER 1 Sec. 14 - Sale, etc., on agreement not to use good	
	competitor.	
	TITLE 15 CHAPTER 1 Sec. 18 - Acquisition by one corporation of stock	
	another	
	TITLE 15 CH 1 Sec 19 Interlocking directorates and officers	
	TITLE 15 CH 1 Sec 26 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR PRIVATE PARTIE	-
	EXCEPTION; COSTS	
	TITLE 15 CH 2 SUBCH I Sec 45 Unfair methods of competition unlawf	
	prevention by Commission.	398

	TITLE 15 CH 2 SUBCH I Sec 57b Civil actions for violations of rules and	
	cease and desist orders respecting unfair or deceptive acts or practices	411
	TITLE 15 CH 2 SUBCH II SEC 62 - Export trade and antitrust legislation.	
	TITLE 15 CH 2 SUBCH II Sec 64 - Unfair methods of competition in exp	
	trade	
IX.	VIOLATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	415
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 501 Infringement of copyright.	
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 502 Remedies for infringement: Injunctions	
	TITLE 17 CH 5 SEC 503 Remedies for infringement: Impounding and	
	disposition of infringing articles.	419
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 504 Remedies for infringement: Damages and profits	419
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 505 Remedies for infringement: Costs and attorney's fe	
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 506 Criminal offenses.	
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 507 Limitations on actions	424
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 508 Notification of filing and determination of actions	424
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 509 Seizure and forfeiture	425
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 510 REMEDIES FOR ALTERATION OF	
	PROGRAMMING BY CABLE SYSTEMS	426
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 511 Liability of States, instrumentalities of States, and	
	State officials for infringement of copyright	
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 512 Limitations on liability relating to material online.	428
	TITLE 17 CH 5 Sec 513 Determination of reasonable license fees for	
	individual proprietors	446
	TITLE 17 CHAPTER 13 Sec 1312 - Oaths and acknowledgments	449
	TITLE 17 CH 13 Sec 1326 Penalty for false marking	450
	TITLE 17 CHAPTER 13 Sec 1327 - Penalty for false Representation	451
	TITLE 17 cH 13 Sec 1329 Relation to design patent law	451
	TITLE 17 CH 13 Sec 1330 Common law and other rights unaffected	451
x. F	FRAUD UPON THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK	
OFFI	CE	452
	TITLE 35 PART I CH 2 Sec 25 Declaration in lieu of oath	
	TITLE 35 PART II CH 11 Sec 115 Oath of applicant	452
	TITLE 35 PART II CH 11 Sec 116 Inventors	453
	TITLE 35 PART III CH 261 Ownership; assignment	453
	TITLE 35 PART IV PATENT COOPERATION TREATY CH 35 Sec 351	454
	TITLE 35 PART IV CH 37 Sec 373 Improper applicant	454
	§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability	454
	§ 1.63 regarding Oaths and declarations.	456
	CONSOLIDATED PATENT RULES § 1.63	457
	§ 1.64 regarding person making false oaths and Declarations	460
	§ 1.71 regarding detailed description and specification of the invention	460
	§ 1.137 for Revival of abandoned application, terminated reexamination	
	proceeding, or lapsed patent	
	LAWS NOT IN TITLE 35, UNITED STATES CODE 18 U.S.C. 1001	
	LAWS NOT IN TITLE 35, UNITED STATES CODE 18 U.S.C. 2071	463

	Title 37 - Code of Federal Regulations Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights	
	MANUAL OF PATENT EXAMINING PROCEDURE PATENT RULES F	art
	10 - PRACTICE BEFORE THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
	PART 10 - REPRESENTATION OF OTHERS BEFORE THE UNITED	
	STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK	464
	§10.18 Signature and certificate for correspondence filed in the Patent and	
	Trademark Office.	
	§ 10.20 Canons and Disciplinary Rules	
	§ 10.21 Canon 1	
	§ 10.23 Misconduct	
	§ 10.25 - 10.29 [Reserved] § 10.30 Canon 2	
	§ 10.31 Communications concerning a practitioner's services.	
	§ 10.33 Direct contact with prospective clients	
	§ 10.40 Withdrawal from employment.	
	§ 10.50 - 10.55 [Reserved] § 10.56 Canon 4	
	§ 10.57 Preservation of confidences and secrets of a client	
	§ 10.58 - 10.60 [Reserved] § 10.61 Canon 5	
	§ 10.64 Avoiding acquisition of interest in litigation or proceeding before the	
	Office	
	§ 10.65 Limiting business relations with a client.	
	§10.66 Refusing to accept or continue employment if the interests of another	
	client may impair the independent professional judgment of the practitioner	
	§ 10.68 Avoiding influence by others than the client	
	§ 10.69 - 10.75 [Reserved] § 10.76 Canon 6	
	§ 10.77 Failing to act competently	
	§ 10.78 Limiting liability to client.	
	§ 10.79 - 10.82 [Reserved] § 10.83 Canon 7	
	§ 10.84 Representing a client zealously	
	§ 10.85 Representing a client within the bounds of the law	
	§ 10.94 - 10.99 [Reserved] § 10.100 Canon 8	
	§ 10.104 - 10.109 [Reserved] § 10.110 Canon 9	
	§ 10.112 Preserving identity of funds and property of client	
		485
XI.	VIOLATIONS OF PROTECTION OF TRADE SECRETS	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 Sec 1831 Economic espionage	486
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 Sec 1832 Theft of trade secrets	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 Sec 1834 Criminal forfeiture	488
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 Sec 1835 ORDERS TO PRESERVE	
	CONFIDENTIALITY	489
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 Sec 1837 Applicability to conduct outside the Un	
	States.	
	TITLE 15 CH 22 TRADEMARKS Sec 1116 Injunctive relief	
	TITLE 15 CH 22 SUBCH III Sec 1117 - Recovery for violation of rights	
	TITLE 15 CH 22 SUBCH III Sec 1120 CIVIL LIABILITY FOR FALSE C	
	FRAUDULENT REGISTRATION	500

CK	AIMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL)	
	TITLE 15 CH 22 SUBCH III Sec 1125 FALSE DESIGNATIONS OF	
	ORIGIN, FALSE DESCRIPTIONS, AND DILUTION FORBIDDEN	500
	TITLE 15 CH 22 SUBCH III Sec 1126 False designations of origin, false	
	descriptions, and dilution forbidden	508
XII.	FRAUD UPON THE UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICES	513
	TITLE 17 - COPYRIGHTS	
XIII.	VIOLATION OF FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY LAW	514
	TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 9 BANKRUPTCY Sec. 152 CONCEALMENT	
	OF ASSETS; FALSE OATHS AND CLAIMS; BRIBERY	
	TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 9 Sec 156 - Knowing disregard of bankruptc	
	law or rule	
	TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 9 Sec 157 - Bankruptcy fraud.	
	TITLE 11 CHAPTER 1 Sec 110 - Penalty for persons who negligently or	
	fraudulently prepare bankruptcy petitions.	517
XIV.	COUNTERFEITING AND FORGERY	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 25 SEC 470 COUNTERFEITING AND FORGERY	
	counterfeit acts committed outside the United States.	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 25 Sec 473 - Dealing in counterfeit obligations or	
	securities.	519
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 25 Sec 494 - Contractors' bonds, bids, and public	0 1)
	records	519
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 25 Sec 495 - COntracts, deeds, and powers of attorned	
XV.	FRAUD AND FALSE STATEMENTS	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 FRAUD AND FALSE STATEMENTS Sec 1001	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States.	
XVI.	MALICIOUS MISCHIEF VIOLATION	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 65 Sec 1361 – Government property or contracts	
XVII.	ROBBERY AND BURGLARY	
11,11,	TITLE 18 PART I CH 103 Sec 2112 - Personal property of United States	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 103 Sec 2114 - Mail, money, or other property of	
	United States.	524
XVIII.	STOLEN PROPERTY	
11,111,	TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 STOLEN PROPERTY Sec 2311	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 Sec 2314 - Transportation of stolen goods,	U _ U
	securities, moneys, fraudulent State tax stamps, or articles used in	
	counterfeiting.	526
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 Sec 2315 - Sale or receipt of stolen goods,	 0
	securities, moneys, or fraudulent State tax stamps	528
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 Sec 2318 - Trafficking in counterfeit labels for	
	phonorecords, copies of computer programs or computer program	
	documentation or packaging, and copies of motion pictures or other audio	
	visual works, and trafficking in counterfeit computer program documentation	n
	,	529
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 Sec 2319 - Criminal infringement of a copyright	

CR	IMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL)
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 Sec 2320 - Trafficking in counterfeit goods or	
	services.	
XIX.	SECURITIES VIOLATIONS	
XX.	BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	537
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 11	537
	BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	
XXI.	PERJURY	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1621 - Perjury generally	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1622.	539
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury	or
	court.	
XXII.	MAIL AND WIRE FRAUD	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 Sec 1341 - Frauds and swindles	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 Sec 1342 Fictitious name or address	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 Sec 1343 - Fraud by wire, radio, or television	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 Sec 1344 - Bank fraud	544
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 Sec 1346 - Definition of "scheme or artifice to	511
	defraud"	
N.V.III	TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 Sec 1345 - Injunctions against fraud	
XXIII.	TITLE 18 PART I CH 83 Sec 1701 - Obstruction of mails generally	
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 83 Sec 1701 - Obstruction of mains generally TITLE 18 PART I CH 83 Sec 1702 - Obstruction of correspondence	540
XXIV.	INTERNAL REVENUE CODE VIOLATIONS	
ΛΛΙ V.	TITLE 26 INTERNAL REVENUE CODE	
XXV.	EMBEZZLEMENT AND THEFT	
AAV.	TITLE 18 PART I CH 31 Sec 641 - Public money, property or records	
	Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of and	
XXVI.	TITLE 15 CH 22 SUBCH IV SUBCHAPTER IV - THE MADRID	0 10
	OCOL	548
XXVII.		
XXVIII.		
	TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law	
	enforcement	549
NIESTY X	ZODIZ CTATE CDIMES	553
NEW Y	ORK STATE CRIMES	352
I. N	Ew York Conspiracy	552
	New York State Consolidated Laws Penal ARTICLE 105 CONSPIRACY	552
II. V	TOLATIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICES NEW YORK SUPREME COURT	
APPEI	LLATE DIVISION: FIRST DEPARTMENT	553
	New York State Consolidated Laws Penal ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY	
	INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES	553
	S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree.	554
	S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree.	
	S 200.05 Bribery; defense.	555

S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree.	556
S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree.	
S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree.	
S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense.	
S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree	
S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree	
S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree.	
S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree	
S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities.	
S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities.	
S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of	
	560
S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office.	
S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office	
ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEM	
	561
S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree	562
S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree	
S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense.	563
S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree	
S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree	
S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree	564
S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree	
S 175.40 Issuing a false certificate.	
S 175.45 Issuing a false financial statement.	566
NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers	566
Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1	567
ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTIC	LE 15
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS	
S 468-b. Clients' security fund of the state of New York	568
S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law	570
S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the la	
S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general.	572
S 487. Misconduct by attorneys	
S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.	574
S 499. Lawyer assistance committees	576
Public Officers Law § 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and F	ormer
State Officers and Employees.	
Public Officers Law § 74 Code of Ethics	589
III. NEW YORK STATE CONSOLIDATED LAWS TITLE X ORGANIZED CRIM	ſΕ
CONTROL ACT ARTICLE 460 ENTERPRISE CORRUPTION	592
S 460.10 Definitions.	597
S 460.20 Enterprise corruption.	601
S 460.25 Enterprise corruption; limitations.	602
S 460.30 Enterprise corruption; forfeiture.	
S 460.40 Enterprise corruption; jurisdiction.	

	S 460.50 Enterprise corruption; prosecution.	612
	S 460.60 Enterprise corruption; consent to prosecute.	613
	S 460.70 Provisional remedies.	616
	S 460.80 Court ordered disclosure.	617
IV.	STATE OF NEW YORK TRADEMARK LAWS	618
	§ 360. Definitions	618
	§ 360-a. Registrability	621
	§ 360-b. Application for registration	
	§ 360-c. Filing of applications	
	§ 360-d. Certificate of registration	626
	§ 360-e. Duration and renewal	627
	§ 360-f. Assignments, changes of name and other instruments	
	§ 360-g. Records	
	§ 360-h. Cancellation	
	§ 360-i. Classification	
	§ 360-j. Fraudulent registration.	
	§ 360-k. Infringement.	632
	§ 360-1. Injury to business reputation; dilution.	633
	§ 360-m. Remedies.	
	§ 360-o. Common law rights	635
	§ 360-q. Rules and regulations.	
V.	NEW YORK STATE CONSOLIDATED LAWS ARTICLE 210 - PERJURY AN	ND
REL	ATED OFFENSES	636
	definitions of termS	636
	S 210.05 Perjury in the third degree.	638
	S 210.10 Perjury in the second degree.	638
	S 210.15 Perjury in the first degree.	
	S 210.20 Perjury; pleading and proof where inconsistent statements inv	olved.
	S 210.25 Perjury; defense	
	S 210.30 Perjury; no defense	640
	S 210.35 Making an apparently sworn false statement in the second deg	
	S 210.40 Making an apparently sworn false statement in the first degree	
	S 210.45 Making a punishable false written statement	
	S 210.50 Perjury and related offenses; requirement of corroboration	642
T OI	RIDA STATE CRIMES	
LOI		••••••
I.	FLORIDA CONSPIRACY	
	TITLE XLIV - CIVIL RIGHTS Ch 760-765-760.01 the Florida Civil R	ights
	Act of 1992	
	760.51 Violation of constitutional rights, civil action by the Attorney G	
	civil penalty	643
	Title XLV – TORTS - Ch 772 CIVIL REMEDIES FOR CRIMINAL	
	PRACTICES 772.103 Prohibited activities.	644

CRIMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL))
Title XLV TORTS - Ch 772 CIVIL REMEDIES FOR CRIMINAL	
PRACTICES 772.104 Civil cause of action.	645
Title XLV TORTS - Ch 772 CIVIL REMEDIES FOR CRIMINAL	
PRACTICES 772.11 Civil remedy for theft or exploitation	646
Title XLV TORTS – Ch 772 CIVIL REMEDIES FOR CRIMINAL	
PRACTICES - 772.185 Attorney's fees taxed as costs	647
II. FLORIDA RICO (RACKETEER ÎNFLUENCED AND CORRUPT	
ORGANIZATION) ACT	647
CH 895 - OFFENSES CONCERNING RACKETEERING AND ILLEGA	
DEBTS 895.01 "Florida RICO (Racketeer influenced and Corrupt	
Organization) Act.	647
895.03 Prohibited activities and defense.	
895.04 Criminal penalties and alternative fine.	652
895.05 Civil remedies.	
895.06 Civil investigative subpoenas.	663
895.07 RICO lien notice.	665
895.08 Term of RICO lien notice.	
III. CH 896 - OFFENSES RELATED TO FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS	
896.101 FLORIDA MONEY LAUNDERING ACT	675
896.102 Currency more than \$10,000 received in trade or business; report	
required; noncompliance penalties.	
896.103 Transaction which constitutes separate offense.	
896.104 Structuring transactions to evade reporting or registration require	ments
prohibited	
IV. VIOLATION OF PUBLIC OFFICES FLORIDA SUPREME COURT – C	CASE
SC04-1078, FLORIDA BAR COMPLAINTS AGAINST MATTHEW TRIGGS,	
CHRISTOPHER WHEELER AND ERIC TURNER	693
PART III – CODE OF ETHICS FOR PUBLIC OFFICERS AND	
EMPLOYEES	
112.312 Definitions	
112.313 Standards of conduct for public officers, employees of agencies,	
local government attorneys.	
112.320 Commission on Ethics; purpose	
112.324 Procedures on complaints of violations; public records and meeti	_
exemptions.	
112.3241 Judicial review	
112.3173 Felonies involving breach of public trust and other specified off	
by public officers and employees; forfeiture of retirement benefits	
112.52 Removal of a public official when a method is not otherwise provi	
	707
Title X PUBLIC OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, AND RECORDS Ch 112	_
PUBLIC OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES: GENERAL PROVISIONS se	
112.317 Penalties.	
CH 838 - BRIBERY; MISUSE OF PUBLIC OFFICE sec 838.022 Officia	
misconduct.	/12

C	RIMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL)	
	CH 839 - OFFENSES BY PUBLIC OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES sec	
	839.13 Falsifying records.	
	839.26 Misuse of confidential information.	715
	title XLVI Ch 777 PRINCIPAL; ACCESSORY; ATTEMPT;	
	SOLICITATION; CONSPIRACY sec 777.011 Principal in first degree	
	Title XLVI Ch 777 sec 777.03 Accessory after the fact	
V.	FLORIDA TRADE SECRETS ACT	721
	Title XXXIX COMMERCIAL RELATIONS Ch 688 UNIFORM TRADE SECRETS ACT.	721
	Title XXXIX COMMERCIAL RELATIONS Ch 688 UNIFORM TRADE	700
	SECRETS ACT 688.003 Injunctive relief	123
	Title XXXIX COMMERCIAL RELATIONS Ch 688 UNIFORM TRADE	704
3 7 1	SECRETS ACT 688.004 Damages	/24
VI.	FLORIDA TITLE XXXIII REGULATION OF TRADE, COMMERCE,	725
IIN V I	ESTMENTS, AND SOLICITATIONS	
	495.121 Fraudulent registration.	
	Title XXXIII Ch 495 sec 495.131 Infringement.	
	Title XXXIII Ch 495 sec 495.131 infinigement	
	Title XXXIII Ch 495 sec 495.141 Reflicties	
	Title XXXIII Ch 495 sec 495.151 Injury to business reputation, unution Title XXXIII Ch 495 sec 495.161 Common-law rights	
	559.791 False swearing on application; penalties	
VII.	FLORIDA PROTECTION OF TRADE SECRETS	
V 11.	sec 812.081 Trade secrets; theft, embezzlement; unlawful Copying; definiti	
	penalty	
VIII.		
, 111.	sec 812.155 Hiring, leasing, or obtaining personal property or equipment w	
	the intent to defraud; failing to return hired or leased personal property or	
	equipment; rules of evidence.	732
IX.	CH 815 - COMPUTER-RELATED CRIMES	
	sec 815.01 "Florida Computer Crimes Act".	
	sec 815.04 Offenses against intellectual property; public records exemption	.734
	sec 815.045 Trade secret information	
	sec 815.06 Offenses against computer users	737
	sec 815.07 This chapter not exclusive	
	sec 831.03 Forging or counterfeiting private labels; possession of reproduct	ion
	materials	
	sec 831.04 Penalty for changing or forging certain instruments of writing	742
	sec 831.04 Penalty for changing or forging certain instruments of writing	744
	sec 831.05 Vending goods or services with counterfeit trademarks or services	e
	marks	
X.	FLORIDA - FORGERY	747
	sec 831.01 Forgery	747
	sec 831.02 Uttering forged instruments.	
	sec 831.03 Forging or counterfeiting private labels; possession of reproduct	
	materials.	749

CI	RIMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL)	
	831.04 Penalty for changing or forging certain instruments of writing	751
	831.05 Vending goods or services with counterfeit trademarks or service	
	marks.	
377	sec 831.06 Fictitious signature of officer of corporation.	754
XI.	FLORIDA CH 817 - FRAUDULENT PRACTICES - PART I - FALSE	755
PKEI	CHARTER 217 SEC 217 02 Obtaining agreements by folgo personation	
	CHAPTER 817 - SEC 817.02 Obtaining property by false personation	
	817.025 Home or private business invasion by false personation; penalties sec 817.03 Making false statement to obtain property or credit	
	sec 817.03 Making false statements; venue of prosecution	
	sec 817.034 Florida Communications Fraud Act.	
	sec 817.05 False statements to merchants as to financial condition.	
	sec 817.06 Misleading advertisements prohibited; penalty.	
	sec 817.061 Misleading solicitation of payments prohibited	
	sec 817.12 Penalty for violation of s. 817.11	
	sec 817.15 Making false entries, etc., on books of corporation	764
	sec 817.155 Matters within jurisdiction of Department of State; false, fictiti	
	or fraudulent acts, statements, and representations prohibited; penalty; statu	
	of limitations.	
	sec 817.19 Fraudulent issue of certificate of stock of corporation.	766
	sec 817.20 Issuing stock or obligation of corporation beyond authorized	
	amount	
	sec 817.21 Books to be evidence in such cases.	
	sec 817.234 False and fraudulent insurance claims.	
	sec 817.562 Fraud involving a security interest.	772
	CROSSBOW & DISTREAM – SECURED CREDIT – ATTEMPTED	
7	ΓRANSFER	
	sec 817.566 Misrepresentation of association with, or academic standing at,	
	post secondary educational institution.	
VII	sec 817.567 Making false claims of academic degree or title.	
XII.	FLORIDA PERJURY	
	CHAPTER 837 – PERJURY	
	sec 837.02 Perjury by controllictory statements	
	sec 837.021 Perjury by contradictory statementssec 837.05 False reports to law enforcement authoritieS	
	sec 837.05 False reports to law emorethent authorities	
XIII.	FLORIDA STATE TAX LAW - CHAPTER 220 - INCOME TAX CODE	
71111.	CH 220 - iNCOME TAX CODE	
	220.21 Returns and records; regulations	
	PART X TAX CRIMES 220.901 Willful and fraudulent acts.	782
	sec 220.905 Aiding and abetting	
XIV.	THEFT, ROBBERY AND MISAPPROPRIATION AND CONVERSION OF FUND	
	783	
XV.	FLORIDA LAW SEC 812.081 TRADE SECRETS; THEFT, EMBEZZLEMENT;	
UNLA	WFUL COPYING; DEFINITIONS; PENALTY	
	sec 812.035 Civil remedies; limitation on civil and criminal actions	

(CRIMES COMMITTED (FEDERAL, STATE AND INTERNATIONAL)	
XVI		795
	FLORIDA LAW - Title XXXVI BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS Ch 607	
	CORPORATIONS sec 607.0129 Penalty for signing false document	795
	607.1402 Dissolution by board of directors and shareholders; dissolution by	
	written consent of shareholders.	
	sec 607.0129 Penalty for signing false document	
	sec 607.830 General standards for directors.	
	sec 607.830 Director conflicts of interest	
	sec 607.0834 Liability for unlawful distributions	804
	sec 607.0841 Duties of officers.	
	sec 607.0901 Affiliated transactions	805
DEL	AWARE STATE CRIMES	819
I.	DELAWARE § 521 CONSPIRACY	819
1.	CH 5 SPECIFIC OFFENSES Subch I Inchoate Crimes § 521 Conspiracy §	
	Attempt to commit a crime.	
	§ 871 Falsifying business records; class A misdemeanor	
	§ 891 Defrauding secured creditors; class A misdemeanor.	
	§ 909 Securing execution of documents by deception	
II.	VIOLATIONS OF DELAWARE CORPORATE LAWS	
	§ 102. Contents of certificate of incorporation > Amendment effective Aug.	1,
	2004, included; see 74 Del. Laws, c. 32.	•
	§ 224. Form of records	
	251. Merger or consolidation of domestic corporations and limited liability	
	company	829
	253. Merger of parent corporation and subsidiary or subsidiaries	843
	§ 257 Merger or consolidation of domestic stock and nonstock corporations	
	§ 372 Additional requirements in case of change of name, change of busine purpose or merger or consolidation.	
CIVI	L OFFENSES	854
I.	BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES AS DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS	854
II.	LEGAL MALPRACTICE	856
III.	BREACH OF CONTRACT	861
	BREACH OF CONTRACT: CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS	862
IV.	TORTUOUS INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP	865
V.	MISAPPROPRIATION AND CONVERSION OF FUNDS	870
INTE	ERNATIONAL CRIMES	871
I.	FRAUD UPON THE JAPANESE PATENT OFFICES (JPO)	871
II.	FRAUD UPON THE EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICES (EPO)	
III.	ECONOMIC ESPIONAGE ACT	

TITLE 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 90 > § 1831 Economic espionage	871	
CALIFORNIA STATE CRIMES – COMING SOON	••••••	873
WISCONSIN STATE CRIMES – COMING SOON	•••••	873
INTERACTIVE LIST OF EXHIBITS	•••••	874
EXHIBIT 1. –		
EXHIBIT 2		
APPENDIX A - EXTENDED LISTS OF DEFENDANTS	•••••	875
PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP.		
MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSEL, P.C.		
FOLEY & LARDNER		
SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY, LLP	903	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP		
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON LLP	906	
NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENTS, NON-COMPETE EMPLOYMENT		
AGREEMENTS, STRATEGIC ALLIANCES, LICENSEES, PATENT		
DISCLOSURES, OTHER CONTRACTS REQUIRING CONFIDENTIALITY	910	
MPEGLA, LLC	925	
DVD6C LICENSING GROUP (DVD6C)	939	
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP. CLIENTS	945	