


State of New York
Grievance Committee for the
Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts
Renaissance Plaza
335 Adams Street, Suite 2400
Brooklyn, New York 11201-3745

Original letter was lost in mail, this letter appears not to have stamps from NY postal just their postal stamp? See other letter envelope. If no Brooklyn postmark on the envelope how did it get to Florida??

*
1130 U.S. POSTAGE PB 2231549
5964 \$0.370 APR 07 05
8440 FROM ZIP CODE 11201

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL
Eliot I. Bernstein
P. Stephen Lamont
Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
10158 Stonehenge Circle
Suite 801
Boynton Beach, Florida 33437

334373343





LAWRENCE F. DiGIOVANNA
Chairman

State of New York
Grievance Committee
for the
Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts

Renaissance Plaza
335 Adams Street - Suite 2400
Brooklyn, New York 11201-3745
(718) 923-6300

DIANA MAXFIELD KEARSE
Chief Counsel

ROBERT J. SALTZMAN
Deputy Counsel

MARK F. DEWAN
DIANA J. SZOCHET
MELISSA D. BRODER
SUSAN KORENBERG
COLETTE M. LANDERS
SHARON F. GURSEN
MICHELE MARTINO
SUSAN B. MASTER
Assistant Counsel

April 7, 2005

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Eliot I. Bernstein
P. Stephen Lamont
Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
10158 Stonehenge Circle
Suite 801
Boynton Beach, Florida 33437

**RE: Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq.
Docket No.: T-1688-04
Raymond A. Joao, Esq.
Docket No.: T-1690-04**

Dear Messrs. Bernstein & Lamont:

Enclosed please find our March 18, 2005 letter that was returned to our office with no explanation from the post office.

Very truly yours,

There are formal complaints against Prudenti that she refuses to docket and still she continues to attempt to write dismissal letters. Even after she has admitted conflict with Krane and has a formal complaint she still takes action.

Diana Maxfield Kearse
Chief Counsel

DMK/jvd
Enclosure



LAWRENCE F. DiGIOVANNA
Chairman

State of New York
Grievance Committee
for the
Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts

Renaissance Plaza
335 Adams Street - Suite 2400
Brooklyn, New York 11201-3745
(718) 923-6300

DIANA MAXFIELD KEARSE
Chief Counsel

ROBERT J. SALTZMAN
Deputy Counsel

MARK F. DEWAN
DIANA J. SZOCHET
MELISSA D. BRODER
SUSAN KORENBERG
COLETTE M. LANDERS
SHARON F. GURSEN
MICHELE MARTINO
SUSAN B. MASTER
Assistant Counsel

March 18, 2005

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Eliot I. Bernstein
P. Stephen Lamont
Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
10158 Stonehenge Circle
Boynton Beach, Florida 33437

RE: Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq.
Docket No.: T-1688-04
Raymond A. Joao, Esq.
Docket No.: T-1690-04

Dear Messrs. Bernstein & Lamont:

At its last meeting, the Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts considered the above-entitled matters and the results of the investigation of those matters. That investigation was commenced by the Departmental Disciplinary Committee and subsequently transferred to this office. The Committee voted unanimously to dismiss both complaints, finding that the issues raised were clearly more appropriate for resolution in a court of law.

Please be advised that in light of your recent correspondence, the Committee's determination in these two matters, as well as the matter involving Steven C. Krane, Esq. (Docket No. T-1689-04), have been referred to the Appellate Division for review. The Court will advise you of the outcome of that review.

Very truly yours,

Diana Maxfield Kearse
Chief Counsel

DMK/jvd

cc: Hon. James Edward Pelzer
Clerk of the Court
Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department
45 Monroe Place
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Here she claims that investigations were started at First Department Disciplinary and transferred to her to review. The Court order states for her to investigate the complaints, yet she tries to wiggle out of her duties. These guys, Joao and Rubenstein are still under investigation at the Patent Office for Fraud on the United States Patent & Trademark Office.

Oh yeah, First Department Disciplinary never did an investigation as they put the matters on hold pending the civil case. When the civil case ended they were about to investigate when the Krane conflict of interest and abuse of public office was found and transferred the cases because of such. Then after "due deliberation of the matters" five justices found cause for an investigation which they ordered. Is this committee entirely trying to defy such court ordered investigation. More ridiculous behavior by the Proskauer controlled New York Courts.

What is she saying, that the five Justices of the First Department did not know what they were ordering? That they choose the wrong court to investigate the matters? Why is she writing this to us instead of the Justices that ordered her court to investigate????

1

1000

1000