



I View It Technologies, Inc.
10158 Stonehenge Circle
Suite 801
Boynton Beach, FL 3343-3546
Tel: 561 364 4240
Fax: 561 364 4240

CONFIDENTIAL FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

MESSAGE:

Ken,

Attached is the inventor change form for 09 630 939 signed by the assignor on the patents. I am still awaiting the other inventors to sign and will forward when I get them. Also, I will be sending in similar signatures for the other applications.

Eliot

To: Kenneth Weider

From: Eliot I Bernstein

Fax #: 17033053991

Fax #: 561 364 4240

Company: United States Patent &

Tel #: 561 364 4240

Subject: 09 630 939 Iviewit Inventor Change Form

Sent: 3/3/2004 at 2:39:52 PM

Pages: 9 (including cover)

THIS MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES INCORPORATED HEREIN CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THIS MAIL AND IT'S ATTACHMENTS. PLEASE DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES WITHOUT READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THEM, AND NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY AT 561.364.4240. IF YOU ARE THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM FORWARDING THEM OR OTHERWISE DISCLOSING THESE CONTENTS TO OTHERS, UNLESS EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED BY THE SENDER. THANK YOU!



IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder
Direct Dial: 561.364.4240

VIA – FACSIMILE

Thursday, February 12, 2004

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks

Re: CHANGE OF INVENTOR REQUEST – INTENT TO DECIEVE AND
COMMIT FRAUD UPON THE USPTO IS CLAIMED

US SERIAL NO. 09 630 939

Dear Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks:

Please let the attached changed of inventors request serve as an official request pursuant Section 37CFR 1.48 to change the inventors. Whereby, intent to commit fraud on the USPTO is the listed reason.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E.I. Bernstein", is written over a light-colored background.

Eliot I Bernstein
President
I View It Holdings, Inc. and any/all affiliates



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks
Thursday, February 12, 2004
Page 2 of 8

CHANGE OF INVENTOR REQUEST
US SERIAL NO. 09 630 939

PURSUANT TO 37CFR 1.48
INTENT TO DECEIVE AND COMMIT FRAUD UPON THE USPTO

I, Eliot I. Bernstein, as acting President of Iviewit and its affiliates, and as a named inventor on this application, hereby request that the true and correct inventors be added and the wrong inventors removed from this Non Provisional application 09 630 939 to properly name the inventors of this invention.

The listed and **incorrect** inventors for this application are:

Eliot I. Bernstein
Brian G. Utley

The **true and correct inventors** for this application are:

Eliot I. Bernstein
Zakirul Shirajee
Jude Rosario

The reason for this correction:

The true and correct inventors have been purposefully been left off this patent application by three different counsels all failing to correctly fix the inventor issues and wrong disclosures. Since the creation of the invention, our initial counsel in the Provisional filing **60 125 824** attorneys Kenneth Rubenstein of Proskauer Rose LLP ("PR") and Raymond Joao of Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C., ("MLGS") failed after repeated requests to make the inventor and content changes, although they had full knowledge of the correct inventors and the correct invention. In addition, the content of the Provisional application had changed from what the inventors disclosed initially and pertinent disclosures were left out with malice and intent to deceive the USPTO and further deprive the inventors of their inventions. Subsequent counsel to "PR" attorneys William Dick, Douglas Boehm and Steven Becker of Foley & Lardner ("FL") on this Non Provisional filing, created further errors with the inventors and failed to correct either the inventors or the content of the Provisional. This may now leave the pertinent disclosures left off and incorrect inventors, to serve as new matter in the in subsequent



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks
Thursday, February 12, 2004
Page 3 of 8

Non Provisional filings that claim priority to the Provisional application. Successor counsel to "FL" attorneys Norman Zafman, Thomas Coester and Farzad Amini of Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP ("BSZT") also failed to file the corrections despite repeated requests by the Company to get the corrections to the patent office.

Initially, attorneys Kenneth Rubenstein of "PR" and Raymond Joao of "MLGS" knowingly, with malice and intent to commit fraud upon the USPTO, left inventors off the Provisional application after obtaining their signatures and disclosures in meetings. Mssrs: Rubenstein and Joao, on the subsequent Non Provisional Filing (09 522 721) and the PCT (00 07772) filings, despite being aware of the prior problems discovered, made no attempt to fix their errors on the Non-Provisional filing. They further continued the errors of their Provisional filing, despite having the inventors sign and fix the new Non-Provisional filings; these changes and signatures were completely discarded by them and again a different application was filed. Mr. Rubenstein, an Advisor to the Board and Shareholder, who under deposition claimed to not know the Company now, had been the first patent attorney to meet with the inventors and receive the disclosures and he represented that he was directing his underling Mr. Joao to do the Provisional filings with his oversight. Raymond Joao was terminated as counsel for this and other patent malfeasances that became uncovered.

To replace "MLGS", "FL" was retained to make corrections to the patents and get the correct inventors listed. Again, it was fully disclosed who the correct inventors were and what the inventions were to each of these attorneys at "FL" for this application and other applications of the Company. After reviewing Mssrs: Joao and Rubenstein's work "FL" found that Raymond Joao had failed to properly list the inventors and left out pertinent disclosures on the filings. Upon finding out about the correct inventors, "FL" attorneys stated that the corrections were being made to the Provisional & Non-Provisional applications. After meeting with and taking disclosures and signatures of the true inventors, "FL" failed to make the corrections knowingly, with malice and intent to further commit fraud on the USPTO in their Provisional, Non-Provisional and PCT applications filed by them. Further, in instances such as this application where Brain G. Utley is a listed inventor, "FL" added inventor Brian G. Utley, knowingly, with malice and intent to further commit fraud upon the USPTO, knowing that he was not an inventor in any material way to the patents and was not even there when they were invented. Finally, in instances such as this filing, true and correct inventors have been partially left off the application and others were replaced by Mr. Utley as a new inventor.

This application is also a replacement of the original patent the Company had filed with Mssrs: Joao and Rubenstein for the original invention in an effort to let the original patent expire and replace it with this application. Yet, amazingly, the application does not get



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks
Thursday, February 12, 2004
Page 4 of 8

corrected it further gets an entirely new set of inventors, again these inventors are wrong knowingly, with malice and intent to commit fraud on the USPTO. In this Non Provisional application, some of the true and correct inventors were dropped and replaced by Brian G. Utley. Mr. Utley should not be on any applications for the Company, as he has not invented anything.

It will serve to note here that it has come to the attention of the Company after an investigation into Mr. Utley's background that quite the opposite of what his resume states about his prior employment to the Company is true. At his former job as President of Diamond Turf Equipment Inc. in Florida, a company owned by a Mr. Monte Friedkin of Benada Aluminum of Florida, Mr. Utley with the aid of Mr. William Dick of "FL", had stolen off with ideas learned while employed at Friedkin's company relating to turf equipment. Mr. Utley had written these patents into his own company, Premiere Consulting, and his own name as inventor with no assignment to the company he worked for, Premiere Consulting was separate and apart from his employer. Upon discovering the absconded with patents, Mr. Friedkin demanded that the patent applications be turned over to the company as they were learned while working at his company by Mr. Utley. Mr. Utley refused to sign them over to his employer and was fired with cause immediately for these patent malfeasances. Mr. Friedkin was forced to immediately close the business and take a substantial multi-million dollar loss on the company due directly to this incident. Additionally, the company, Premiere Consulting, that was set up to receive the patents Mr. Utley misappropriated, was set up by Christopher Wheeler of Proskauer Rose LLP, who was the first person to see the technologies, who then brought to the Company to handle our patents Mssrs: Rubenstein, Joao, Utley and Dick. What Mssrs: Wheeler, Utley and Dick failed to disclose to our Company was the past patent malfeasances and the damage caused to Mr. Friedkin by their actions. I quote from the resume Mr. Wheeler submitted on behalf of his dear friend Mr. Utley to the Company to hire him as President and handle our most prized possession the patents:

Personal Resume

Professional History:

President, Diamond Turf Equipment, Inc. July, 1995 to July 1999.

In 1995 the company was engaged in refurbishing obsolete and run-out golf course maintenance equipment and had annual sales of \$250K. Since that time the company has been transformed into a manufacturer of new machines which compete favorably with the best of the market leaders and an expected revenue for 1999 of \$6M. The design of the machines was by Brian and was accomplished while putting together a manufacturing and marketing team capable of supporting the rapid growth of the company.



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks
Thursday, February 12, 2004
Page 5 of 8

This resume is materially different from the truth. Mr. Utley was fired for cause and the company Diamond Turf Equipment Inc. closed upon his firing. Understanding that the same people (Wheeler, Utley & Dick) who had caused this calamity are the very same people who have caused similar harm to our Company, using similar patent malfeasances is core to understanding why our patents have such a bizarre array of problems. The very fact that this was not disclosed in writing and waivers, by any of the attorneys and further lied about in Utley's resume by Mr. Wheeler who procures the false resume to cover this up, is a sign of their intent to commit similar crime upon our Company and perpetrate similar fraud upon the USPTO. Had the Company been aware of this past patent malfeasance they were involved with the Company surely would have never hired any of them.

With this understanding, it appears that the intent of "FL" was to replace patents of the original inventions with patents whereby Mr. Utley was now named an inventor and finally in some instances Mr. Utley was named sole inventor of certain inventions of the Company. These applications in Utley's sole name are for part of the core technology that he did not invent such as this application. Further, "Zoom and Pan Imaging Design Tool" Provisional patent 60 233 341 and "Zoom and Pan Imaging Using A Digital Camera" Provisional patent 60 233 344 are further instances whereby "FL" writes patents directly into Mr. Utley's name in an attempt to abscond with core formula's and ideas of the original inventions by the true and correct inventors. These Provisional patents with Mr. Utley as sole inventor with no assignment to the Company, were not disclosed to the Company or its shareholders and were only revealed when the Company found in Mr. Utley's possession a set of patents that was markedly different than what the inventors were seeing and signing for. These inventions were undisclosed to the Company and appear to be filed in an attempt to abscond with core features of the original inventions from the true and correct inventors listed above. When caught with two sets of patent books, similar to maintaining cooked accounting books, Mr. Utley was terminated with cause and "FL" was terminated as patent counsel. This patent 09 630 939, has similar elements to their prior patent scam at Diamond Turf, Inc. in that Mr. Utley rewrites with the aid of Mr. Dick and other "FL" attorneys, patents again into his name that were not his inventions. This Non Provisional patent 09 630 939 was replacing the original Provisional, which Joao had already filed as Non Provisional, which "FL" then claimed Joao's work was so wrong, that correcting it was impossible, and this new Non-Provisional needed to be filed with the correct content and correct inventors. Knowing the true and correct inventors and having had them sign applications for what appeared the true invention, "FL" attorneys then threw those signatures and the application out and replaced it with this application before the USPTO, claiming Mr. Utley as an inventor and replacing himself with inventors Mssrs: Rosario and Shirajee.



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks
Thursday, February 12, 2004
Page 6 of 8

Finally, "BSZT" the last attorneys of record handling the patents, also failed to file the correct inventors knowingly, with malice and intent to further perpetrate and cover up such fraud of prior attorneys to the USPTO, after repeatedly being requested to make the changes to them. Upon finding that Mr. Utley was not an inventor of anything and that the inventors were wrong, "BSZT" assured the Company that these issues were being corrected. They had me sign a power of attorney on Mr. Utley's behalf to turn the inventions back over to the Company in his name and remove him from any applications his name appeared on, due to his employment and invention agreements signed with the Company that strictly prohibited such misappropriations. Mr. Utley was to be removed from any/all patents that have his name on them and the ones in which he was named as the sole inventor, were to be corrected and turned back over to the Company. Now, upon contacting the USPTO we find that many of these changes remain unchanged, in what appears another attempt to continue this fiasco and cover up for the attorneys before them, "BSZT" made virtually no changes requested by the Company.

At all times, all attorneys were fully cognizant of the true inventors and the true invention for this application. Finally, all these attorneys failed to report the prior counsels misconduct in these matters to the OED Director or any other department at the USPTO or other Federal Agencies and left the Company with many serious problems in the patents. The incorrect inventors are a great risk to the shareholders of the Company and need to be remedied immediately if possible, as the assignment of these patents to the Company and any successive assignments are not signed by the true and correct inventors and thus pose the question of what they currently have rights to in relation to their investments. Finally, many of the attorneys involved in these patents appear to have financial interests and severe conflicts of interest with the Company whereby the company's inventions being approved would stand in direct conflict with either with inventions of their own (Raymond Joao) or patent pools overseen by them (Kenneth Rubenstein).

Currently, I am listed on the patents for examination purposes and after reviewing the inventors listed have determined on behalf of Iviewit and its affiliates, and, on my own behalf as an original inventor at the time of creation, that the true inventors are as listed above and not what exists currently on this application. I was there at the time of invention and all times relevant hereto, and, swear that all of the following statements are true and correct statements to the best of my knowledge.



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks
Thursday, February 12, 2004
Page 7 of 8

These issues and many other of attorney misconduct in the above mentioned application are currently under a pending investigation with the Director of OED whom advised me to begin correcting the inventor issues with the USPTO Examiners.

Signed on this 11th day of February 2004,

By:

X _____
Eliot I. Bernstein
President Iviewit and any/all affiliates

X _____
Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patent & Trademarks
Thursday, February 12, 2004
Page 8 of 8

I have read the attached reasons for change in inventor with the USPTO and approve of the changes.

By:

X _____
Zakirul Shirajee – Inventor

On this ____ day of February 2004

By:

X _____
Jude Rosario - Inventor

On this 2nd day of ^{MARCH} ~~February~~ 2004 ^{sw}

By:

X _____
Stephen Warner - Assignee

Alpine Venture Capital Partners LP